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## Résumé

Dans cette thèse, nous nous intéressons à l'étude des géométries des réseaux dans $P U(2,1)$, en d'autres termes, construction des domaines fondamentaux de ces réseaux pour leur action dans l'espace hyperbolique complexe. Dans le troisième chapitre, nous construisons un domaine fondamental pour la sour du groupe modulaire d'Eisenstein-Picard et calculatons le volume de son orbifold de quotient par la fomula de Gauss-Bonnet. Dans le quatrième chapitre nous donnons les generateurs des groupes modulaires euclidiens de Picard $\operatorname{PU}\left(2,1 ; \mathcal{O}_{d}\right)$ où $d=2,7,11$. De plus, domaines fondamentaux des stabilisateurs de l'infini sont obtenu ainsi que de leurs présentations. Dans le cinquième chapitre nous donnons une nouvelle construction des domaines fondamentaux pour certains groupes de Mostow, qui sont engendrés par trois réflexions complexe d'ordre 3. Ces domaines sont une généralisation naturelle du domaine de la soeur du groupe modulaire d'Eisenstein-Picard. Comme application, nous calculons la cohomologie de la surface d'Eisenstein-Picard et sa soeur à coefficients locaux dans le dernier chapitre.
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## Geometry of complex hyperbolic lattices


#### Abstract

This thesis concerns the study of the geometry of lattices in $\operatorname{PU}(2,1)$, in other words, construction of fundamental domains for these lattices for their action in complex hyperbolic space. In the third chapter we construct a fundamental domain for the sister of EisensteinPicard modular group and compute the volume of its quotient orbifold by Gauss-Bonnet fomula. In the fourth chapter we give the generators of the Euclidean Picard modular groups $P U\left(2,1 ; \mathcal{O}_{d}\right)$ where $d=2,7,11$. Furthermore, fundamental domains of their stabilizers of the infinity are obtained as well as their presentations. In the fifth chapter we give a new construction of fundamental domains for certain Mostow groups, that are generated by three braiding complex reflections of order 3. These fundamental domains are a natural generalization of the domain for the sister of Eisenstein-Picard modular group. As an application, we compute the cohomology of Eisenstein-Picard modular surface and its sister with local coefficients in the last chapter.
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### 0.1 Introduction en français

Cette thèse consiste en l'étude de la géométrie de certains réseaux de $P U(2,1)$, à travers leur action sur l'espace hyperbolique complexe.

Un réseau dans un groupe topologique localement compact $G$ muni de la mesure de Haar est un sous-groupe discret $\Gamma$ de $G$ tel que le quotient $\Gamma \backslash G$ soit de volume fini. Nous nous intéressons en particulier au cas où le groupe de Lie associé est le groupe des isométries holomorphes de l'espace hyperbolique complexe $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$, et un réseau hyperbolique complexe est un sous-groupe discret $\Gamma$ de $P U(2,1)$ tel que le volume du quotient $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} / \Gamma$ est fini pour la métrique de Bergman.

- Un réseau est dit uniforme ou cocompact si le quotient $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} / \Gamma$ est compact, c'est-àdire, s'il existe un domaine fondamental compact de $\Gamma$, et est appelé non-uniforme ou de covolume infinite sinon.
- Un réseau $\Gamma \subset G$ est réductible si $G$ admet sous-groupes normaux connexes $H, H^{\prime}$ tel que $H H^{\prime}=G, H \cap H^{\prime}$ est discret et $\Gamma /(\Gamma \cap H) \cdot\left(\Gamma \cap H^{\prime}\right)$ est fini. Un réseau est irréductible s'il n'est pas réductible. En outre, l'irréductibilité est équivalente à la condition que pour tout sous-groupe normal connexe $H \subset G$ et $\pi: G \rightarrow G / H$ est la projection naturelle, $\pi(\Gamma)$ est dense.
- Deux sous-groupes $H_{1}$ et $H_{2}$ d'un groupe sont commensurables si leur intersection $H_{1} \cap H_{2}$ est d'indice fini dans $H_{1}$ et $H_{2}$. Soit $G \subset \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathcal{K})$ un groupe algébrique linéaire défini sur un corps de nombres $\mathcal{K}$. Un sous-groupe $\Gamma \subset G(\mathcal{K})$ est dit arithmétique s'il est commensurable avec $G(\mathcal{O})$, où $\mathcal{O}$ est l'anneau des entiers de $\mathcal{K}$.

Les réseaux des espaces symétriques de rang un ont été étudiés depuis longtemps avec des résultats importants de rigidité et d'arithméticité. Un problème fondamental dans l'étude des espaces symétriques est la relation entre groupes arithmétiques et réseaux. En général, Borel et Harish-Chandra [BHC62] ont pouvé que dans tout espace symétrique de type non-compact, les groupes arithmétiques sont des réseaux. En revanche, Margulis Mar84 a montré que lorsque le rang de l'espace symétrique est au moins deux, tous les réseaux irréductibles sont arithmétiques.

Des progrès ont été faits pour les espaces symétriques de rang un de type non-compact, par exemple, les espaces symétriques suivants :

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^{n} & \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n} & \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{H}}^{n} & \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{O}}^{n}
\end{array}
$$

lesquels sont les espaces hyperboliques respectivement réel, complexe et quaternionique de dimension $n$ ainsi que le plan hyperbolique sur les octonions de Cayley (voir le chapitre 19 du livre [Mos83]). Dans ces cas, Corlette [Cor92] et Gromov et Schoen [GS92] ont montré que dans $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{H}}^{n}$ pour $n \geq 2$ et dans $\mathbf{H}_{\circledast}^{2}$ tous les réseaux sont arithmétiques. En plus, Gromov et Piatetski-Shapiro [GPS87] ont donné des exemples des réseaux non-arithmétiques de $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^{n}$ pour tout $n \geq 2$. L'existence de réseaux non-arithmétiques dans la géométrie hyperbolique complexe n'a pas été démontrée complètement. Plus précisément, Mostow Mos80 a construit une famille de réseaux non-arithmétiques dans le plan hyperbolique complexe $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ dont nous parlerons ci-dessous. Deligne et Mostow [DM86] ont trouvé un réseau nonarithmétique de $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{3}$. La question de savoir s'il existe des réseaux non-arithmétiques de $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n}$ avec $n \geq 4$ est encore ouverte. Il s'agit peut-être de la question ouverte le puls importante du domaine.

Il existe quatre méthodes de construction de réseaux dans l'espace hyperbolique complexe : les constructions arithmétiques, l'utilisation de différents espaces de modules, la géométrie algébrique et la construction des domaines fondamentaux ; voir la papier [Par09] pour l'étude préliminaire et ses références pour plus de détails. Nous nous intéressons en particulier aux réseaux dans $P U(2,1)$ par la construction d'un domaine fondamental dans $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$. En d'autres termes, il faut trouver un ensemble ouvert connexe $D \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ tel que $D \cap \gamma(D)=\emptyset$ pour tout $\gamma \in \Gamma-\{I d\}$ et $\bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma(\bar{D})=\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$, où $\bar{D}$ est la clôture de $D$ dans $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$. Une difficulté de cette construction est qu'il n'existe pas d'hypersurfaces réelles totalement géodésiques en géométrie hyperbolique complexe, et en particulier pas de notions naturelles de polyèdres.

Une méthode simple de la construction de domaines fondamentaux est de construire le domaine de Dirichlet centré en un point $p_{0} \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n}$. Le domaine de Dirichlet est l'ensemble des points de $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n}$ qui sont plus proches de $p_{0}$ que de tout autre point dans la $\Gamma$-orbite de $p_{0}$. C'est-à-dire, l'objet principal dans cette construction est l'ensemble des points équidistants à deux points donnés, qu'on l'appele un bissecteur. Le premier exemple de domaine de Dirichlet hyperbolique complexe est attribué à Giraud Gir21] ; voir également l'annexe A de Goldman [Gol99]. Une généralisation naturelle du domaine de Dirichlet est le domaine de Ford, voir la section 9.3 de Gol99]. Ici le point $z_{0}$ se trouve sur $\partial \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n}$ et la distance est remplacée par une fonction de Busemann centrée en $z_{0}$. Les courbes de niveau d'une fonction de Busemann sont les horosphères. Les faces du domaine de Ford sont contenues dans des bissecteurs appelés sphères isométriques par rapport à la métrique de Cygan, une métrique naturelle sur le groupe de Heisenberg. Comme le stabilisateur de $z_{0}$ est infini, on peut obtenir un domaine fondamental en considérant l'intersection du domaine de Ford avec un domaine fondamental du stabilisateur.

Typiquement, un domaine fondamental est un polyèdre localement fini $D$ muni d'une structure combinatoire. Les faces de codimension 1 de $D$, appelées faces, peuvent être parmi une grande variété d'hypersurfaces réelles (par exemple des bissecteurs, $\mathbb{C}$-sphères et $\mathbb{R}$-sphères), mais il doit exister des isométries d'appariement: chaque face doit être envoyée bijectivement sur une face (peut-être lui-même) par une isométrie d'appariement dans $P U(2,1)$. Le théorème du polyèdre de Poincaré donne les conditions permettant d'affirmer que le groupe engendré par les isométries d'appariement est discret, que $D$ est un domaine fondamental et on obtient une présentation de ce groupe. Une autre conséquence du théorème de Poincaré, est qu'on peut obtenir la caractéristique d'Euler de l'orbifold et ainsi de calculer le volume par la version hyperbolique complexe du théorème de Gauss-Bonnet. Nous donnons quelques exemples de domaines fondamentaux explicites qui ont été construits pour des réseaux de $\operatorname{PU}(2,1)$.

- L'un des réseaux arithmétiques les plus simples de $P U(2,1)$ est le groupe modulaire d'Eisenstein-Picard $P U(2,1, \mathbb{Z}[\omega])$ comprenant des matrices dont les entrées sont toutes dans les coefficients d'Eisenstein $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ où $\omega$ est une racine cubique de l'unité. C'est l'un des premiers exemples de Picard [Pic83] dans $P U(2,1)$ et c'est la généralisation naturelle du groupe modulaire classique $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ dans $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. En fait, Picard Pic83, Pic84] construit une famille de réseaux arithmétiques notés $\operatorname{PU}\left(2,1 ; \mathcal{O}_{d}\right)$, ce qu'on appelle groupes modulaires de Picard, où $\mathcal{O}_{d}$ est l'anneau des entiers dans le corps de nombres quadratique imaginaire $\mathbb{Q}(i \sqrt{d})$. La géométrie du groupe $P U(2,1, \mathbb{Z}[\omega])$ a été étudiée par Falbel et Parker dans [FP06]. En d'autres termes, ils ont construit un domaine fondamental muni de la structure combinatoire la plus simple possible. Ce domaine est un 4-simplexe, qui est un cône géodésique construit à partir d'un 3-simplexe avec un sommet idéal (le point fixé parabolique).

Cette construction est tout à fait analogue au 2-simplexe avec un sommet idéal qui est le domaine fondamental pour le groupe modulaire classique $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ dans le plan hyperbolique $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{1}$.

- Un autre groupe modulaire de Picard est appelé groupe modulaire de Gauss-Picard dont l'anneau associé est $\mathbb{Z}[i]$, noté $P U(2,1 ; \mathbb{Z}[i])$. Francsics et Lax ont décrit dans [FL05, FLa05] un domaine fondamental pour ce groupe. Leur domaine fondamental est seulement semi-explicite et ne comprend pas l'analyse de la combinatoire. Plus récemment, Falbel, Francsics, Lax et Parker [FFP10, FFLP11] en construisent un domaine fondamental à partir du domaine de Ford, qui est analogue au cas de $P U(2,1, \mathbb{Z}[\omega])$. Il peut être obtenu par l'intersection du domaine de Ford avec un domaine fondamental pour le stabilisateur. La différence est principalement due au fait qu'il y a trois arêtes compactes qui sont contenues dans trois sphères isométrique respectivement.
- Dans son célèbre article Mos80, Mostow a étudié de nouveaux exemples de sousgroupes de $P U(2,1)$ engendrés par trois réflexions complexes d'ordre $p(p=3,4,5)$ et a montré que ces sont des réseaux hyperboliques complexes en construisant des domaines fondamentaux de Dirichlet. Toutes les faces de codimension 1 sont contenues dans des bissecteurs. Comme nous l'avons mentionné, l'absence d'hypersurfaces réelles totalement géodésiques donne lieu à la difficulté de comprendre l'intersection de deux bissecteurs. Il y a eu quelques erreurs mineures dans la construction de Mostow, voir [Der05]. Une autre de construction des domaines fondamentaux pour les mêmes groupes a été proposée par Deraux, Falbel et Paupert [DFP05].
- Ron Livné dans sa thèse Liv81 a construit une famille de réseaux dans $P U(2,1)$ par utilisant des techniques issues de la géométrie algébrique. Parker Par06 a utilisé la méthode de Thurston pour donner une construction géométrique des réseaux de Livné. Plus précisément, il a construit un polyèdre fondamental pour les groupes de Livné, chaque arête de laquelle est contenue dans un bissecteur. Un lien entre les groupes de Livné et le groupe modulaire d'Eisenstein-Picard provient de la description du domaine fondamental. Le polyèdre fondamental dans Par06 est un cône géodésique obtenu à partir d'un tétraèdre vers un triangle géodésique sur une droite complexe. Si cette droite complexe dégénère en un sommet idéal, les groupes de Livné devient le groupe modulaire d'Eisenstein-Picard. En d'autres termes, cette configuration limite correspond à un domaine fondamental pour le groupe modulaire d'Eisenstein-Picard qui est différente de celle de [FP06]. Plus récemment, Boadi dans sa thèse [Bo11] a utilisé la même approche que pour les groupes de Livné pour construire des domaines fondamentaux pour les groupes de Mostow du premier type mentionné dans [Par09]. Le domaine fondamental, dans la construction de Boadi, est composé de deux simplexes en dimension 4 recollés sur la face commune en dimension 3.
- D'autres exemples viennent de représentations de groupes triangulaires hyperboliques dans $P U(2,1)$. Par convention, nous adoptons les notations suivantes pour ces réseaux. Soit ( $p, q, r$ ) un groupe triangulaire hyperbolique avec $1 / p+1 / q+1 / r<1$, défini comme étant le groupe de Coxeter avec la présentation,

$$
\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3} \mid a_{1}^{2}, a_{2}^{2}, a_{3}^{2},\left(a_{2} a_{3}\right)^{p},\left(a_{3} a_{1}\right)^{q},\left(a_{1} a_{2}\right)^{r}\right\rangle .
$$

Nous pouvons considèrer une famille de représentations $\rho_{t}:(p, q, r) \rightarrow P U(2,1)$. Nous définissons $\Gamma(p, q, r ; n)$ comme l'image d'une représentation non-fidèle $\rho_{t}(p, q, r)$
où $\rho_{t}\left(a_{1} a_{3} a_{2} a_{3}\right)$ est un élément elliptique d'ordre $n$. Deraux montre dans Der06] que le groupe $\Gamma(4,4,4 ; 5)$ est un réseau cocompact de $P U(2,1)$. Parker et Thompson [PT10] ont construit un domaine fondamental pour le réseau de Deraux et ont donné la présentation

$$
\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3} \mid a_{i}^{2},\left(a_{i} a_{j}\right)^{4},\left(a_{i} a_{j} a_{k}\right)^{10},\left(a_{i} a_{j} a_{k} a_{j}\right)^{5}\right\rangle
$$

De plus, Thompson dans sa thèse Tho10 a découvert deux nouveaux réseaux déformés du groupe trianglaire hyperbolique, $\Gamma(3,3,4 ; 7)$ et $\Gamma(3,3,5 ; 5)$. Il a construit conjecturalement leur domaines fondamentaux. La même construction des domaines fondamentaux pourrait être effectuée pour tout $\Gamma(p, q, r ; n)$ où $a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}$ est un élément elliptique régulier d'ordre fini. Plus explicitement, le domaine fondamental consiste en un cône de dimension 4 sur une région de dimension 3 connexe bornée de $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ avec un point cône (le point fixé de $a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}$ ).

Soit $X=G / K$ un espace symétrique de type non compact, où $G$ est le groupe des points réels d'un groupe algébrique semi-simple $\mathbf{G}$ défini sur $\mathbb{Q}$. Soit $\Gamma \subset G$ un réseau arithmétique et $(E, \rho)$ un $\Gamma$-module. Comme l'espace localement symétrique $\Gamma \backslash X$ est un $K(\Gamma, 1)$, le groupe de cohomologie $\Gamma$ est isomorphe à la cohomologie de l'espace localement symétrique, c.-à-d.

$$
H^{*}(\Gamma, E) \cong H^{*}(\Gamma \backslash X ; \mathbb{E})
$$

où $\mathbb{E}$ désigne le faisceau associé au système local sur (le variété ou l'orbifold) $\Gamma \backslash X$ défini par $(E, \rho)$. Une épine est un déformation rétracte $\Gamma$-équivariante $X_{0} \subset X$ telle que $\Gamma \backslash X_{0}$ est compact s'il existe. Des exemples d'épines explicites ont été construits pour quelques $\Gamma$. Par exemple, Soulé dans Sou78 a trouvé une épine pour $S L_{3}(\mathbb{Z})$ et le cas des groupes euclidiens de Bianchi $S L_{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{d}\right)$ (où $d=1,2,3,7,11$ ) a été traité par Mendoza dans Men79. Plus généralement, Ash As77, As84] a utilisé la méthode de well-rounded retract pour construire une épine pour tous les espaces symétriques linéaires. Cette méthode peux être appliquée pour les groupes algébriques où les points réels sont isomorphes à un produit des groupes suivants [FK94]:

- $G L_{n}(\mathbb{R})$;
- $G L_{n}(\mathbb{C})$;
- $G L_{n}(\mathbb{H})$;
- $O(1, n-1) \times \mathbb{R}^{\times}$;
- Le groupe de Lie noncompact d'algèbre de Lie $\mathfrak{e}_{6(-26)} \oplus \mathbb{R}$.

Pour quelques exemples en petite dimension, la cohomologie a été calculée combinatoirement à partir de la structure cellulaire d'une épine dans As80, Men79, Sou78, SV83].

Plus récemment, Yasaki a construit dans Yas06] une rétraction sur une épine pour groupes en $\mathbb{Q}$-rang 1. Comme application, il a construit dans Yas08 une épine explicite pour $\Gamma=S U(2,1 ; \mathbb{Z}[i])$ et calcule la cohomologie de $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} / \Gamma$ à coefficients locaux.

## Plan de thèse

Le plan de cette thèse est le suivant. Les principaux résultats se répartissent en trois grands chapitres, tous les trois indépendants, comportant chacun une introduction.

Dans le premier chapitre nous rappelons les deux modèles du plan hyperbolique complexe $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$, les isométries hyperboliques complexes et leur classification. Trois types de sous-variétés totalement géodésiques seront décrites. En plus, nous discutons de la projection orthogonale sur une géodésique complexe qui sera utilisée dans la thèse. Le domaine de Siegel a la même structure que le modèle du demi-espace supérieur de l'espace hyperbolique réel. De plus, le bord s'identifie au compactifié en un point du groupe de Heisenberg. Nous définissons la métrique de Cygan sur le groupe de Heisenberg et de la sphère de Cygan. La référence générale pour ce chapitre est le livre de Goldman Gol99] ou Parker [Par10].

Nous passons en revue, dans le deuxième chapitre, la définition d'un bissecteur qui est l'ensemble points à égales distances de deux points donnés. Un bissecteur est une hypersurfaces analytiques réelles dans $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$, ce qui est difféomorphe à $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Ces hypersurfaces jouissent de deux décompositions naturelles dans les sous-variétés totalement géodésiques ; voir Mos80, Gol99. Les sphères isométriques sont des exemples des bissecteurs. Nous construisons des polyèdres dont les faces de codimension un peuvent être contenues dans des bissecteurs ou sphères isométriques dans les chapitres suivants. Nous passons en revue le théorème du polyèdre de Poincaré qui sera d'usage constant tout au long de la thèse.

Dans le troisième chapitre, nous construisons un domaine fondamental explicitement pour un groupe (que nous appelons la sœur du groupe modulaire d'Eisenstein-Picard) noté $G_{2}$. Ce groupe a d'abord été défini par Parker dans [Par98], où il montre que les surfaces modulaires d'Eisenstein-Picard et $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} / G_{2}$ sont des candidats pour être l'orbifold hyperbolique complexe avec cusp de volume minimal. Stover dans son article [Sto10] a affirmé que ce sont précisément les deux orbifolds hyperboliques complexes de volume minimal. Nous énonçons le résultat principal de ce chapitre :

Théorème 0.1.1. Il existe un domaine fondamental pour $G_{2}$, qui est un cône géodésique sur un prisme (voir la Figure 3.6) vers $q_{\infty}$, avec les isométries d'appariement $I_{1}, R, S$ et $T$. Par conséquent, le groupe $G_{2}$ engendré par $I_{1}, R, S, T$ est discret et a la présentation :

$$
G_{2}=\left\langle I_{1}, R, S, T \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
R^{6}=\left(R^{-1} S\right)^{3}=[R, T]=S^{2} T^{-1} \\
=\left(T^{-1} I_{1}\right)^{3}=\left(S^{-1} I_{1}\right)^{3}=R^{-1} I_{1}^{2}=I d
\end{array}\right.\right\rangle
$$

Ce troisième chapitre a été publié dans Mathematical Proceedings of Cambridge Philosophical Society [Zh11].

Le quatrième chapitre est consacré à donner les générateurs des groupes modulaires de Picard $\operatorname{PU}\left(2,1 ; \mathcal{O}_{d}\right)$ où l'anneau d'entier $\mathcal{O}_{d}$ est Euclidien, c'est à dire $d=1,2,3,7,11$. En particulier, le cas de $\mathcal{O}_{1}$ et $\mathcal{O}_{3}$ ont déja été étudiés dans de nombreux aspects. Par exemple, le domaine fondamental explicite de ces deux groupes a été obtenu dans [FFP10, FP06]. Il y a peu de résultats pour les autres groupes modulaires de Picard. Chaque élément du groupe modulaire de Gauss-Picard peut être décomposé en un produit des générateurs par un algorithme simple [FFLP11]. Nous avons commencé par essayer de construire les domaines fondamentaux pour ces groupes $\operatorname{PU}\left(2,1 ; \mathcal{O}_{d}\right)$ d'après la méthode utilisée par Falbel et Parker dans FP06]. Cela a conduit à un domaine qui était trop complexe à comprendre. Enfin, un résultat de construction de domaine fondamental nous permet de donner les générateurs pour les groupes modulaires euclidiens de Picard de manière géométrique. Nous énoncons plus précisément :

Théorème 0.1.2. Les groupes modulaires euclidiens de Picard $\operatorname{PU}\left(2,1 ; \mathcal{O}_{d}\right)$ où $d=2,3,7$ sont engendrés par une involution, une rotation de Heisenberg, deux rotations spirales de Heisenberg et une translation verticale.

Essentiellement, cette méthode peut être appliquée sur le cas de $\mathcal{O}_{d}$ dont le nombre de classe est un. Cette classe est plus grande que celle des $\mathcal{O}_{d}$ où $\mathcal{O}_{d}$ est Euclidien. Toutefois, il est plus difficile de déterminer la collection finie des sphères spinales contenant le domaine fondamental pour le groupe cuspidal lorsque $d$ est plus grand.

Ce chapitre a été accepté pour publication dans Transactions of American Mathematical Society.

Le cinquième chapitre consiste en l'étude des réseaux de Mostow $\Gamma(p, k)$, basée sur le fait que la sœur du groupe modulaire d'Eisenstein-Picard qui est un cas particulier du réseau de Mostow $\Gamma(3,6)$. Mostow [Mos80] a considéré tout d'abord une famille remarquable des groupes engendrés par trois réflexions complexes $R_{1}, R_{2}, R_{3}$ d'ordre $p$ où $p=3,4,5$. Le fait que ces groupes soient discrets a été vérifié par la construction d'un domaine fondamental pour leur action. Après le travail de Mostow, Deraux, Falbel et Paupert DFP05] ont construit un nouveau domaine fondamental qui est plus simple que celui de Mostow, mais surtout qui permet l'utilisation de la synthèse des arguments géométriques. Nous donnons maintenant une autre construction de nouveaux domaines fondamentaux pour certains réseaux de Mostow $\Gamma(3, k)$ où les valeurs de $k$ satisfont $1 / k+1 / l=1 / 6$ pour un entier $l$. L'idée principale de ce chapitre est inspirée par la construction de Par06]. Plus de motivations et de résultats peuvent être trouvés dans l'introduction détaillée de ce chapitre, ainsi que quelques notations. Le groupe $\Gamma_{k}$ est l'un des réseaux de Mostow que nous considérons avec les générateurs géométriques $I_{1}, R, S$ et $T$. Notez bien que nous utilisons les mêmes notations que dans le troisième chapitre, mais ces générateurs sont des produits de $R_{1}, R_{2}, R_{3}$ et $J$, qui sont les générateurs de l'article original Mos80. Nous résumons les résultats :

Théorème 0.1.3. Le groupe $\Gamma_{k} \subset P U(2,1)$ est discret s'il existe un entier $l$ tel que $1 / k+1 / l=1 / 6$, c.-à-d., la paire ordonnée ( $k, l$ ) est dans la liste

$$
(7,42),(8,24),(9,18),(10,15),(12,12),(15,10),(18,9),(24,8),(42,7)
$$

Dans ce cas, il existe un domaine fondamental $\mathbf{D}$ avec les isométries d'appariement donnée par $R=\left(J R_{1}^{-1} J\right)^{2}, S=J R_{1}^{-1}, T=\left(J R_{1}^{-1}\right)^{2}, I_{1}=J R_{1}^{-1} J$ et les relations cycle donnent la présentation suivante:

$$
\Gamma_{k}=\left\langle R, S, T, I_{1} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
R^{k}=T^{l}=\left(R^{-1} S\right)^{3}=\left(T^{-1} I_{1}\right)^{3}=\left(S^{-1} I_{1}\right)^{3} \\
=[R, T]=I d, \quad T=S^{2}, \quad R=I_{1}^{2}
\end{array}\right.\right\rangle
$$

Le dernier chapitre, motivé par le travail de Yasaki dans Yas08], est consacré de calculer la cohomologie de la surface d'Eisenstein-Picard et sa soeur à coefficients locaux. Cette méthode peut être généralisée au domaine fondamental d'un cusp. Nous donnons la cohomologie à $\mathbb{Z}$-coefficients triviaux suivant:

Théorème 0.1.4. A coefficients triviaux,

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{i}\left(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} / G_{1} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) & = \begin{cases}\mathbb{Z}, & i=0 \\
0, & i \geq 1\end{cases} \\
H^{i}\left(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} / G_{2} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) & = \begin{cases}\mathbb{Z}, & i=0,2 \\
0, & i=1 \text { or } i \geq 3\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 0.2 Introduction in English

This thesis consists in the study of the geometry of some lattices in $P U(2,1)$ by means of their action on the complex hyperbolic space.

A lattice in a locally compact topological group $G$ with Haar measure is a discrete subgroup $\Gamma$ of $G$ such that the quotient $\Gamma \backslash G$ has finite volume. In our particular case of interest where the associated Lie group is the holomorphic isometry group of complex hyperbolic space $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$, a complex hyperbolic lattice is a discrete subgroup $\Gamma$ of $\operatorname{PU}(2,1)$ such that the volume of the quotient $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} / \Gamma$ is finite with respect to the Bergman metric.

- A lattice is said to be uniform or cocompact if the quotient $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} / \Gamma$ is compact, namely, there exists a compact fundamental domain of $\Gamma$ and is called non-uniform or coinfinite-volume otherwise.
- A lattice $\Gamma \subset G$ is reducible if $G$ admits connected normal subgroups $H, H^{\prime}$ such that $H H^{\prime}=G, H \cap H^{\prime}$ is discrete and $\Gamma /(\Gamma \cap H) \cdot\left(\Gamma \cap H^{\prime}\right)$ is finite. A lattice is irreducible if it is not reducible. Also, irreducibility is equivalent to the condition that for any connected normal subgroup $H \subset G$ and $\pi: G \rightarrow G / H$ is the natural projection, $\pi(\Gamma)$ is dense.
- Two subgroups $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ of a group are commensurable if their intersection $H_{1} \cap H_{2}$ has finite index in both $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$. Let $G \subset \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathcal{K})$ be a linear algebraic group defined over a number field $\mathcal{K}$. A subgroup $\Gamma \subset G(\mathcal{K})$ is an arithmetic subgroup if it is commensurable with $G(\mathcal{O})$, where $\mathcal{O}$ is the ring of integers of $\mathcal{K}$.

Lattices in rank one symmetric spaces have been studied for a long time with important results concerning rigidity and arithmeticity. A fundamental problem in the study of symmetric spaces is the relationship between arithmetic groups and lattices. In general, Borel and Harish-Chandra [BHC62] proved that in all symmetric spaces of non-compact type all arithmetic groups are lattices. In contrast, Margulis Mar84 showed that when the rank of symmetric space is at least two then all irreducible lattices are arithmetic.

More progress has been made in rank one symmetric space of non-compact type, for example, the following symmetric spaces:

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^{n} & \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n} & \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{H}}^{n} & \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{O}}^{n}
\end{array}
$$

which are hyperbolic spaces of dimension $n$ over the reals, complex numbers quaternions respectively, and the hyperbolic plane over the Cayley octonions (see Chapter 19 of book [Mos83]). In these cases, Corlette [Cor92] and Gromov and Schoen [GS92 have shown that in $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{H}}^{n}$ for $n \geq 2$ and in $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{O}}^{2}$ all lattices are arithmetic. Furthermore, Gromov and Piatetski-Shapiro [GPS87 have given examples of non-arithmetic lattices in $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^{n}$ for all $n \geq 2$. The existence of non-arithmetic lattices in complex hyperbolic geometry has not completely been settled. More explicitly, Mostow Mos80 constructed a family of nonarithmetic lattices in complex hyperbolic plane $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ which we will discuss below. Deligne and Mostow [DM86] found a non-arithmetic lattice in $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{3}$. The question whether there exist non-arithmetic lattices in $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n}$ with $n \geq 4$ is still open. This is perhaps the most important open question in the field.

There are four major methods of constructing lattices in complex hyperbolic space such as arithmetic constructions, use of moduli of different objects, algebraic geometry and
construction of fundamental domains; see the introductory survey paper [Par09] and its references for further details. Our particular case of interest is to study lattices in $P U(2,1)$ by building a fundamental domain acting on $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$. In other words, one must find an open connected set $D \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ so that $D \cap \gamma(D)=\emptyset$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma-I d$ and $\bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma(\bar{D})=\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$, where $\bar{D}$ is the closure of $D$ inside $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$. The difficulty of constructing a fundamental domain is mostly due to the fact that there are no totally geodesic real hypersurfaces in complex hyperbolic geometry, and in particular no natural notion of polyhedra.

One simple method of constructing fundamental domains is to construct the Dirichlet domain based at a central point $p_{0} \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n}$. The Dirichlet domain is the set of points in $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n}$ that are closer to $p_{0}$ than to any other point in the $\Gamma$-orbit of $p_{0}$. Namely, the basic object in this construction is the set of points equidistant from two given points, which is called a bisector. The first example of complex hyperbolic Dirichlet domain was due to Giraud Gir21] see also Appendix A of Goldman Gol99]. A natural generalization of the Dirichlet domain is the Ford domain, see Section 9.3 of Gol99]. Here the point $z_{0}$ lies on $\partial \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n}$ and the distance is replaced with a Busemann function based at $z_{0}$. The level sets of a Busemann function are horospheres. The sides of the Ford domain are contained in bisectors called isometric spheres with respect to the Cygan metric, a natural metric on the Heisenberg group. As the stabilizer of $z_{0}$ is infinite, one may obtain a fundamental domain by intersecting the Ford domain with a fundamental domain for the stabiliser.

Typically, a fundamental domain is a locally finite polyhedron $D$ with some combinatorial structure. The codimension one faces of $D$, called sides, may be contained in a wide variety of real hypersurfaces (for example bisectors, $\mathbb{C}$-spheres and $\mathbb{R}$-spheres), but there should exist a set of side pairing maps: each side should be mapped bijectively to another side (possibly itself) by a map in $P U(2 ; 1)$. Poincaré's polyhedron theorem gives conditions under which the group generated by the side pairing maps is discrete with $D$ as a fundamental domain and moreover one obtains a presentation for this group. Another consequence of Poincaré's theorem, is that can obtain the orbifold Euler characteristic of the quotient and so calculate its volume by the complex hyperbolic version of GaussBonnet theorem. We give some examples of explicit fundamental domains which have been constructed for lattices in $P U(2,1)$.

- One of the simplest arithmetic lattices in $P U(2,1)$ is the Eisenstein-Picard modular group $P U(2,1, \mathbb{Z}[\omega])$ comprising matrices whose entries are all in the Eisenstein integers $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ where $\omega$ is the cube-root of unity. This is one of Picard's first examples Pic83] in $P U(2,1)$ and is the natural generalization of the classic modular group $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ in $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. In fact, Picard [Pic83, Pic84] constructed a family of arithmetic lattices $P U\left(2,1 ; \mathcal{O}_{d}\right)$, so-called Picard modular groups, where $\mathcal{O}_{d}$ is the ring of integers in the imaginary quadratic number field $\mathbb{Q}(i \sqrt{d})$. The geometry of the group $P U(2,1, \mathbb{Z}[\omega])$ has been studied by Falbel and Parker [FP06]. In other words, they constructed a fundamental domain with the simplest possible combinatorial structure, which is 4 -simplex, itself a geodesic cone based on 3 -simplex with an ideal cone point (the parabolic fixed point). This construction is completely analogous to the 2-simplex with one ideal vertex which is the fundamental domain for the classical modular group $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ in the hyperbolic plane $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{1}$.
- Another Picard modular group is called Gauss-Picard modular group where the associated ring is $\mathbb{Z}[i]$. Francsics and Lax [FL05, FLa05] described a fundamental domain for this group $P U(2,1 ; \mathbb{Z}[i])$. Their fundamental domain is only semi-explicit and did not include analysis of the combinatorics of the fundamental domain. More re-
cently, Falbel, Francsics, Lax and Parker [FFP10, FFLP11] construct a fundamental domain from the Ford domain, which is analogous to the case of $P U(2,1, \mathbb{Z}[\omega])$. The fundamental domain for $P U(2,1 ; \mathbb{Z}[i])$ may be obtained by intersecting the Ford domain with a fundamental domain for the stabiliser. The difference is mostly due to the fact that there are three compact sides which are contained in three isometric spheres respectively.
- In his famous paper Mos80], Mostow studied new examples of subgroups of $P U(2,1)$ generated by three complex reflections of order $p(p=3,4,5)$ and showed that these are complex hyperbolic lattices by building fundamental domains, specifically, Dirichlet domains. All the codimension-1 faces are contained in bisectors. As we have mentioned, the absence of totally geodesic real hypersurfaces gives rise to the difficulty of understanding the intersection of two bisectors. There were some minor errors in Mostow's construction; see Der05] . Another construction of fundamental domains for the same groups was given by Deraux, Falbel and Paupert [DFP05].
- Ron Livné in his thesis Liv81 constructed a family of lattices in $P U(2,1)$ by using techniques from algebraic geometry. Parker Par06 used Thurston's method to give a geometrical construction of Livné's lattices. Specifically, he constructed a fundamental polyhedron for Livné's groups, each side of which is contained a bisector. A link between Livné's groups and the Eisenstein-Picard modular group comes from the description of fundamental domain. The pattern of the fundamental polyhedra in Par06 is a geodesic cone starting from a tetrahedron pointing to a geodesic triangle on a complex line. As this complex line degenerates to an ideal vertex, Livné's group becomes the Eisenstein-Picard modular group. In other words, this limiting configuration corresponds to a fundamental domain for the Eisenstein-Picard modular group which is different from that in [FP06]. More recently, Boadi in his thesis [Bo11] used the same approach as for Livné's groups to construct fundamental domains for Mostow groups of the first kind mentioned in [Par09]. The fundamental domain, in Boadi's construction, is made up of two 4-dimensional simplices glued along a common 3-dimensional face.
- Other examples come from the representation of hyperbolic triangle groups in $P U(2,1)$. For convenience, we adopt the following notations for these lattices. Let $(p, q, r)$ be a hyperbolic triangle group with $1 / p+1 / q+1 / r<1$, that is defined to be the Coxeter group with presentation,

$$
\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3} \mid a_{1}^{2}, a_{2}^{2}, a_{3}^{2},\left(a_{2} a_{3}\right)^{p},\left(a_{3} a_{1}\right)^{q},\left(a_{1} a_{2}\right)^{r}\right\rangle
$$

We may consider a family of representations $\rho_{t}:(p, q, r) \rightarrow P U(2,1)$. We define $\Gamma(p, q, r ; n)$ to be the image of a non-faithful representation $\rho_{t}(p, q, r)$ where $\rho_{t}\left(a_{1} a_{3} a_{2} a_{3}\right)$ is an elliptic element of order $n$. The group $\Gamma(4,4,4 ; 5)$ is a cocompact lattice in $P U(2,1)$ due to Deraux Der06]. Parker and Thompson [PT10] have constructed a fundamental domain for Deraux's lattice and give the presentation

$$
\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3} \mid a_{i}^{2},\left(a_{i} a_{j}\right)^{4},\left(a_{i} a_{j} a_{k}\right)^{10},\left(a_{i} a_{j} a_{k} a_{j}\right)^{5}\right\rangle .
$$

Furthermore, Thompson in his thesis Tho10 discovered two new deformed triangle group lattices, $\Gamma(3,3,4 ; 7)$ and $\Gamma(3,3,5 ; 5)$. He constructed conjectural fundamental domains for them. The same construction of fundamental domains could be carried out for any $\Gamma(p, q, r ; n)$ with $a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}$ finite order regular elliptic element. Specifically,
the fundamental domain consists of a 4-dimensional cone over a connected bounded 3-dimensional region of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ with a cone point (the fixed point of $a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}$ ).

Let $X=G / K$ be a symmetric space of non-compact type, where $G$ is the group of real points of an semisimple algebraic group $\mathbf{G}$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. Let $\Gamma \subset G$ be an arithmetic lattice and $(E, \rho)$ be a $\Gamma$-module. Since the locally symmetric space $\Gamma \backslash X$ is a $K(\Gamma, 1)$, the group cohomology of $\Gamma$ is isomorphic to the cohomology of the locally symmetric space, namely

$$
H^{*}(\Gamma, E) \cong H^{*}(\Gamma \backslash X ; \mathbb{E})
$$

where $\mathbb{E}$ denotes the sheaf associated to the local system on (the manifold or the orbifold) $\Gamma \backslash X$ defined by $(E, \rho)$. A spine is a $\Gamma$-equivariant deformation retract $X_{0} \subset X$ such that $\Gamma \backslash X_{0}$ is compact if it exists. Explicit examples of spines have been constructed for various Г. For example, Soule Sou78 found a spine for $S L_{3}(\mathbb{Z})$ and the case of the Euclidean Bianchi groups $S L_{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{d}\right)$ ( for $d=1,2,3,7,11$ ) was treated by Mendoza in Men79. More generally, Ash As77, As84 used the well-rounded retract method for constructing a spine for all linear symmetric spaces. This covers algebraic groups where the real points are isomorphic to a product of the following groups [FK94]:

- $G L_{n}(\mathbb{R})$;
- $G L_{n}(\mathbb{C})$;
- $G L_{n}(\mathbb{H})$;
- $O(1, n-1) \times \mathbb{R}^{\times}$;
- The non-compact Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{e}_{6(-26)} \oplus \mathbb{R}$.

For some low-dimensional examples, the cohomology has been computed combinatorially from the cellular structure of a spine [Sou78, As80, Men79, SV83].

More recently, Yasaki constructed in [Yas06] a retraction onto a spine for $\mathbb{Q}$-rank 1 groups. As an application, he Yas08] constructed an explicit spine for $\Gamma=S U(2,1 ; \mathbb{Z}[i])$ and computed the cohomology of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} / \Gamma$ with local coefficients.

## Plan of thesis

The thesis is organized as follows. The main results will fall into three large chapters, each of which is conceived to be self-contained, with its own introduction.

In the first chapter we recall the two models of complex hyperbolic plane $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$, complex hyperbolic isometries and their classification. Three types of totally geodesic submanifolds will be described. Moreover, we discuss the orthogonal projection into a complex geodesic which will be used in the thesis. The Siegel domain has the same structure as the upper half-space model of real hyperbolic space. Further to say that the boundary is identified with the one-point compactification of the Heisenberg group. We define the Cygan metric on the Heisenberg group and Cygan spheres. The general reference for this chapter is the book of Goldman Gol99] or Parker Par10].

We review, in the second chapter, the definition of a bisector which is the equidistant between two distinct points. A bisector is a real analytic hypersurfaces in $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ which is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. These hypersurfaces come about as close as possible to being totally geodesic. Specifically, they enjoy two natural decompositions into totally geodesic submanifolds, called slice and meridian decompositions Mos80, Gol99. Isometric spheres
are examples of bisectors. We will build the polyhedra whose codimensional 1 faces may be contained in bisectors or isometric spheres in later chapters. We review Poincaré's polyhedron theorem as the main technical tool throughout the thesis.

In the third chapter we construct an explicit fundamental domain for the group (we call the sister of Eisenstein-Picard modular group) denoted by $G_{2}$. This group was first defined by Parker in Par98, in which he showed that Eisenstein-Picard modular surfaces and $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} / G_{2}$ are candidates for the cusped, complex hyperbolic orbifold of minimal volume. Stover in his article Sto10] affirmed that they are precisely the two of orbifolds with minimal volume. We state the main result of this chapter as follows:

Theorem 0.2.1. There is a fundamental domain for $G_{2}$, that is a geodesic cone over a prism (see Figure 3.6) pointing to $q_{\infty}$, with the side-pairing maps $I_{1}, R, S$ and $T$. As the consequence, the group $G_{2}$ generated by $I_{1}, R, S, T$ is discrete and has the presentation:

$$
G_{2}=\left\langle I_{1}, R, S, T \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
R^{6}=\left(R^{-1} S\right)^{3}=[R, T]=S^{2} T^{-1} \\
=\left(T^{-1} I_{1}\right)^{3}=\left(S^{-1} I_{1}\right)^{3}=R^{-1} I_{1}^{2}=I d
\end{array}\right.\right\rangle
$$

This third chapter has been published in Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society Zh11].

This fourth chapter is devoted to give the generators of the Picard modular groups $P U\left(2,1 ; \mathcal{O}_{d}\right)$ where the integer ring $\mathcal{O}_{d}$ is Euclidean, i.e. $d=1,2,3,7,11$. In particular, the cases of $\mathcal{O}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{3}$ have been studied in many aspects. For example, the explicit fundamental domain for these two groups were obtained in [FFP10, FP06]. There are few results for other picard modular groups. Each element of the Gauss-Picard modular group can be decomposed as a product of the generators by a simple algorithm [FFLP11]. We began by trying to construct fundamental domain for these groups $P U\left(2,1 ; \mathcal{O}_{d}\right)$ following the method used by Falbel and Parker in FP06. This leads to a domain that was much to complicated to understand. However, a result of constructing fundamental domain enables us to give the generators for the Euclidean Picard modular groups in a geometric way. We state explicitly as follows:

Theorem 0.2.2. The Euclidean Picard modular groups $P U\left(2,1 ; \mathcal{O}_{d}\right)$ where $d=2,3,7$ are generated by an involution, a Heisenberg rotation, two screw Heisenberg rotations and a vertical translation.

Essentially, this method can be implemented on the case of $\mathcal{O}_{d}$ with class number one. This is a larger collection of $\mathcal{O}_{d}$ than the Euclidean ring. However it is more complicated to determine the finite collection of spinal spheres containing the fundamental domain for the cusp group as $d$ becoming large.

This chapter has been accepted for publication in Transactions of the American Mathematical Society.

The fifth chapter consists in the study of Mostow's lattices $\Gamma(p, k)$, based on the relationship that the sister of Eisenstein-Picard modular group corresponds to one of Mostow's lattices $\Gamma(3,6)$. Mostow Mos80 considered firstly a remarkable family of groups generated by three braiding complex reflections $R_{1}, R_{2}, R_{3}$ of order $p$ where $p=3,4,5$. The discreteness of these groups was verified by building a fundamental domain for their action. After Mostow's work, Deraux, Falbel and Paupert DFP05] constructed a new fundamental domain which is simpler than Mostow's, but mostly which allows the use of synthetic geometric arguments. We now give another new construction of fundamental domains for certain Mostow's lattices $\Gamma(3, k)$ where the values of $k$ satisfy $1 / k+1 / l=1 / 6$ for
an integer $l$. The main idea of this chapter is inspired by the construction of [Par06]. Further motivations and results can be found in the detailed introduction to that chapter, as well as some notations. The group $\Gamma_{k}$ is one of Mostow's lattices we consider with the geometric generators $I_{1}, R, S$ and $T$. Note that we use the same notations as in the third chapter but these generators are the product of $R_{1}, R_{2}, R_{3}$ and $J$ which are the generators coming from the original article [Mos80]. We summarizes the result in the following:

Theorem 0.2.3. The group $\Gamma_{k} \subset P U(2,1)$ is discrete if there is an integer $l$ such that $1 / k+1 / l=1 / 6$, namely, the ordered pair $(k, l)$ is in the list

$$
(7,42),(8,24),(9,18),(10,15),(12,12),(15,10),(18,9),(24,8),(42,7)
$$

In that case, there is a fundamental domain $\mathbf{D}$ with side pairings given by $R=\left(J R_{1}^{-1} J\right)^{2}$, $S=J R_{1}^{-1}, T=\left(J R_{1}^{-1}\right)^{2}, I_{1}=J R_{1}^{-1} J$ and the cycle relations give the following presentation of the group

$$
\Gamma_{k}=\left\langle R, S, T, I_{1} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
R^{k}=T^{l}=\left(R^{-1} S\right)^{3}=\left(T^{-1} I_{1}\right)^{3}=\left(S^{-1} I_{1}\right)^{3} \\
=[R, T]=I d, \quad T=S^{2}, \quad R=I_{1}^{2}
\end{array}\right.\right\rangle .
$$

The last chapter, motivated by the Yasaki's work Yas08, is devoted to compute the cohomology of Eisenstein-Picard modular surface and its sister with local coefficients. This method can be generalized to the case of fundamental domain with one cusp. We give the cohomology with trivial $\mathbb{Z}$-coefficients as follows:

Theorem 0.2.4. With trivial coefficients,

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{i}\left(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} / G_{1} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) & = \begin{cases}\mathbb{Z}, & i=0 \\
0, & i \geq 1\end{cases} \\
H^{i}\left(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} / G_{2} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) & = \begin{cases}\mathbb{Z}, & i=0,2 \\
0, & i=1 \text { or } i \geq 3\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Chapter 1
Complex hyperbolic space

In this chapter we review some basic features of complex hyperbolic geometry which may be needed later on; we will mainly focus on the case of (complex) dimension 2. The material is completely standard and may be found in more details in the book of Goldman Gol99] and the forthcoming book of Parker [Par10] or in the article of Chen and Greenberg CG74].

Let $\mathbb{C}^{n, 1}$ be the complex vector space $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ of dimension $n+1$ equipped with a nondegenerate, indefinite Hermitian form $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ of signature $(n, 1)$. Here $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ is given by a non-singular $(n+1) \times(n+1)$ Hermitian matrix $H$ with $n$ positive eigenvalues and 1 negative eigenvalue, which is

$$
H=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & & \vdots \\
0 & & 1 & 0 \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Specifically, $\langle\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}\rangle=\mathbf{w}^{*} H \mathbf{z}$ where $\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}$ are column vectors in $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ and the operator ${ }^{*}$ is the Hermitian transpose. Thus we may define subsets $V_{-}, V_{0}$ and $V_{+}$of $\mathbb{C}^{n, 1}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{-} & =\left\{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^{n, 1} \mid\langle\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}\rangle<0\right\}, \\
V_{0} & =\left\{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^{n, 1} \mid\langle\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}\rangle=0\right\}, \\
V_{+} & =\left\{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^{n, 1} \mid\langle\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}\rangle>0\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

A vector $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^{n, 1}$ is negative (respectively null, positive) if and only if $\langle\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}\rangle<0$ (respectively $\langle\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}\rangle=0,\langle\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}\rangle>0$ ).

Let $\mathbb{P}: \mathbb{C}^{n, 1} \backslash\{0\} \longmapsto \mathbb{C P}^{n}$ denote the standard projection map defined by $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{z})=[\mathbf{z}]$ where $[\mathbf{z}]$ is the equivalence class of $\mathbf{z}$. On the chart of $\mathbb{C}^{n, 1}$ with $z_{n+1} \neq 0$ the projection map $\mathbb{P}$ is given by

$$
\mathbb{P}:\left[\begin{array}{c}
z_{1} \\
\vdots \\
z_{n} \\
z_{n+1}
\end{array}\right] \longmapsto\left[\begin{array}{c}
z_{1} / z_{n+1} \\
\vdots \\
z_{n} / z_{n+1}
\end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{C}^{n}
$$

The projective model of complex hyperbolic space $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n}$ is defined to be the collection of negative lines in $\mathbb{C}^{n, 1}$ and its boundary is defined to be the collection of null lines. In other words $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n}$ is $\mathbb{P} V_{-}$and $\partial \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n}$ is $\mathbb{P} V_{0}$.

For the projective model the metric on $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n}$, called the Bergman metric is given by

$$
d s^{2}=\frac{-4}{\langle\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}\rangle^{2}} \operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\langle\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}\rangle & \langle d \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}\rangle \\
\langle\mathbf{z}, d \mathbf{z}\rangle & \langle d \mathbf{z}, d \mathbf{z}\rangle
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The distance between points $w, u \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n}$ is given by the formula

$$
\cosh ^{2}\left(\frac{\rho(w, u)}{2}\right)=\frac{\langle\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u}\rangle\langle\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}\rangle}{\langle\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}\rangle\langle\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}\rangle}
$$

where $\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u}$ are the lift of $w, u$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$. This formula is independent of which lifts $\mathbf{z}$ and $\mathbf{w}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n, 1}$ of $z$ and $w$ we choose

For each element $A$ of $P U(n, 1), A$ is unitary with respect to $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$, namely, $A$ acts isometrically on the projective model of complex hyperbolic space. Thus the Lie group $P U(n, 1)$ is a subgroup of the complex hyperbolic isometry group. There are isometries of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n}$ not in $P U(n, 1)$ for instance the complex conjugation $z \mapsto \bar{z}$. All of the isometries of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n}$ will be described in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.0.5. (see [Gol99]) The holomorphic isometries of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n}$ are given by the matrices in $\operatorname{PU}(n, 1)$; all other isometries are antiholomorphic which are obtained by composing an element of $P U(n, 1)$ with complex conjugation. The group of all the isometries of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n}$, denoted by $\widehat{P(n, 1)}$, is generated by $P U(n, 1)$ and the complex conjugation.

We have defined the projective model and then go on to specialize to the unit ball model and the Siegel domain model. This is simultaneously a complex version of the projective and Klein-Beltrami models of ordinary (real) hyperbolic space and also a generalization to higher complex dimensions of the Poincaré disc and half plane models of the hyperbolic plane. In what follows we restrict ourselves to the case of complex hyperbolic 2-space.

### 1.1 Complex hyperbolic plane

Different choices of Hermitian forms will lead to different models of complex hyperbolic plane $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$. We describe the following two models, which will be most useful.

### 1.1.1 The unit ball model

In this section, the representative matrix of the Hermitian form is chosen as follows

$$
H_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right]
$$

namely, for all $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^{2,1}$, the first Hermitian product $\langle\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}\rangle_{1}=\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}-\left|z_{3}\right|^{2}$. On the chart of $\mathbb{P}\left(V_{-}\right)$with $z_{3}=1$, complex hyperbolic plane is given by

$$
\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}=\mathbb{B}^{2}=\left\{\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right):\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}<1\right\} .
$$

Its boundary $\mathbb{P}\left(V_{0}\right)$ is homeomorphic to the sphere $S^{3}$ :

$$
\partial \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}=\left\{\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right):\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}=1\right\} .
$$

This model is a generalization of Poincaré disc model for complex hyperbolic line, which we will mention later.

### 1.1.2 The Siegel domain model

Let $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{2}$ denote the second Hermitian form associated to the following matrix

$$
H_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

For the second Hermitian form, the standard lift of $z$ is negative if and only if

$$
z_{1}+\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}+\bar{z}_{1}=2 \Re\left(z_{1}\right)+\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}<0
$$

Thus $\mathbb{P}\left(V_{-}\right)$is a paraboloid in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$, called the Siegel domain. Likewise, its boundary $\mathbb{P}\left(V_{0}\right)$ satisfies

$$
2 \Re\left(z_{1}\right)+\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}=0 .
$$

However, not all points in $\mathbb{P}\left(V_{0}\right)$ lie in $\mathbb{C}^{2} \subset \mathbb{C P}^{2}$. We have to add an extra point, denoted $q_{\infty}$, on the boundary of the Siegel domain. The standard lift of $q_{\infty}$ is

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Recall that one of the important models of real hyperbolic 3-space $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^{3}$ is the upper half space in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. The boundary of this model is the one point compactification of $\mathbb{C}$ regarded as the extended complex plane $\mathbb{C} \cup\{\infty\}$ (or Riemann sphere). The Siegel domain model has an analogous construction.

Fix $u \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$and consider the standard lift $\mathbf{z}$ of $z \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ satisfying $\langle\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}\rangle=-u$. In other words, $2 \Re\left(z_{1}\right)=-\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}-u$. We rewrite $z_{2}=\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ which means that $z_{1}=$ $\left(-|\zeta|^{2}-u+i v\right) / 2$. Thus for a $(\zeta, v, u) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}$corresponds to

$$
\mathbf{z}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\left(-|\zeta|^{2}-u+i v\right) / 2 \\
\zeta \\
1
\end{array}\right] \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}
$$

In this way we can identify a point $\mathbf{z}$ in the Siegel domain with $(\zeta, v, u) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}$, called the horospherical coordinate of $\mathbf{z}$.

Definition 1.1.1. The set of points of the Siegel domain $H_{u}=\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R} \times\{u\}$ is called the horosphere of height $u$. Likewise, the horoball $U_{t}$ of height $t$ is defined to be the union of all horospheres of height $u>t$.

The finite boundary points $\mathbf{z} \in \partial \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}-\left\{q_{\infty}\right\}$ is the horosphere of height zero $(\zeta, v, 0)$, that can be parameterized by $(\zeta, v) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}$. Therefore we can identify the boundary of the Siegel domain with the one-point compactification of $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}$. Furthermore, consider a family of the maps that fix the infinity and sends the origin to the point $(\zeta, v)$ given by

$$
T(\zeta, v)=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & -\bar{\zeta} & \left(-|\zeta|^{2}+i v\right) / 2 \\
0 & 1 & \zeta \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

In terms of their action on the boundary, $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}$ has a group law which gives the structure of the Heisenberg group $\mathcal{N}$ as follows

$$
(\zeta, v) \diamond(\xi, t)=(\zeta+\xi, v+t+2 \Im(\bar{\xi} \zeta)) .
$$

Remark 1.1.2. There are other Hermitian forms which are widely used in the literature (see page 67 of (CG74). The one given by the matrix

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -1 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

will be seen in the study of complex hyperbolic triangle groups. Following Mostow, it is most convenient to use the Gram matrix as the Hermitian form. This form will always be defined, but only for certain points in the parameter space will it have the correct signature (see [PPa09]). Furthermore, using this Hermitian form, calculations in complex hyperbolic geometry have a tendency to become extremely complicated but only the simple matrix representation for the group, refer to Chapter 5.

### 1.1.3 Cayley-transform associated to two models

Analogous to the map

$$
z \longmapsto-i \frac{z+i}{z-i}
$$

passing from the Poincaré disc to the upper half plane, the following Cayley-transform interchanges the first and second Hermitian forms

$$
C=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & \sqrt{2} & 0 \\
1 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right]
$$

The map $C$ conjugates $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ with $C^{2}=I d$, in other words, $H_{2}=C^{*} H_{1} C$.
On the affine chart with $z_{3}=1$ of $\mathbb{C P}^{2}$, we see that

$$
C:\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \longrightarrow\left(\frac{z_{1}+1}{z_{1}-1}, \sqrt{2} \frac{z_{2}}{z_{1}-1}\right)
$$

The Cayley transform leads to a generalized form of the stereographic projection. This mapping $\pi: S^{3} \backslash\left\{e_{2}\right\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$, where $S^{3}=\partial \mathbb{B}^{2}$ and $e_{2}=(1,0) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}$, is defined as the composition of the Cayley transform restricted $S^{3} \backslash\left\{e_{2}\right\}$ followed by the projection

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
z_{1} \rightarrow 2 \Im\left(z_{1}\right) \\
z_{2} \rightarrow z_{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

The stereographic projection $\pi$ can be extended to a mapping from $S^{3}$ onto the onepoint compactification $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^{3}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, given by

$$
\pi\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\left[\frac{\sqrt{2} z_{2}}{z_{1}-1}, \frac{-4 \Im\left(z_{1}\right)}{\left|z_{1}-1\right|^{2}}\right]
$$

Using the stereographic projection, we can identify $S^{3} \backslash\left\{e_{2}\right\}$ with $\mathcal{N}$ and $S^{3}$ with the one-point compactification $\overline{\mathcal{N}}$ of $\mathcal{N}$. The inverse function of the stereographic projection is given by

$$
\pi^{-1}([z, t])=\left(\frac{-|z|^{2}+i t+2}{-|z|^{2}+i t-2}, \frac{2 \sqrt{2} z}{-|z|^{2}+i t-2}\right)
$$

### 1.2 Totally geodesic submanifolds

In the general theory of symmetric spaces, one can readily prove that the only totally geodesic subspaces of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ are either complex linear subspaces or totally real totally geodesic submanifolds. There are only four types of totally geodesic subspaces in $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ :

- the points
- the geodesics
- $\mathbb{C}$-planes
- $\mathbb{R}$-planes

In particular, it doesn't exist totally geodesic real hypersurface in complex hyperbolic space. A sketch of the proof can be found in the Section 3.1.11 of Gol99.

### 1.2.1 Geodesics

There exists a unique geodesic joining a pair of distinct points in $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} \cup \partial \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ (see Theorem 3.1.11 of [Gol99]). Let $z$ and $w$ two points in $\partial \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$, up to normalization, we suppose that their lifts $\mathbf{z}$ and $\mathbf{w}$ in $V_{0}$ satisfy $\langle\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}\rangle=-1$. The geodesic joining $z$ and $w$ can be described in the following proposition.

Proposition 1.2.1. (see [Par10]) Let $\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w} \in V_{0}$ be null vectors with $\langle\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}\rangle=-1$. The geodesic $\sigma(t)$ with endpoints $z$ and $w$ is the collection of points in $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ corresponding to the vector $e^{t / 2} \mathbf{z}+e^{-t / 2} \mathbf{w}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{2,1}$ where $t$ is the arc length parameter.

The following proposition describes the expression for the geodesic connecting two distinct points in $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$.

Proposition 1.2.2. (see Proposition 5.2, [Par10]) Let $\sigma(t)$ be a geodesic parameterized by arc length $t$ joining $z$ and $w$ in $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$. Suppose that $\sigma(r)=z$ and $\sigma(s)=w$ such that their lifts $\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}$ satisfy $\langle\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}\rangle=\langle\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}\rangle=-2$ and $\langle\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}\rangle$ is real and negative. Then $\sigma(t)$ is given by the vector

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sinh ((t-s) / 2)}{\sinh ((r-s) / 2)} \mathbf{z}+\frac{\sinh ((r-t) / 2)}{\sinh ((r-s) / 2)} \mathbf{w} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 1.2.2 $\mathbb{C}$-planes

Definition 1.2.3. A complex line (or $\mathbb{C}$-plane) of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ is the intersection with $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ of a complex projective line of $\mathbb{C P}^{2}$ (when this intersection is not empty).

Such a $\mathbb{C}$-plane is an embedded copy of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{1}$ (more precisely, in the ball model, it carries the Poincaré model of real hyperbolic plane, with constant curvature -1, see [Gol99] and Par10]). Each $\mathbb{C}$-plane is also the fixed-point set of a one-parameter family of (holomorphic) isometries, one of which is an involution, so that $\mathbb{C}$-planes are totally geodesic.

We denote $\mathbf{n}^{\perp}=\left\{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^{2,1}:\langle\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{z}\rangle=0\right\}$. The following proposition shows the duality between $\mathbb{P}\left(V_{+}\right)$and the set of complex lines.

Proposition 1.2.4. - Each complex line $\Sigma$ of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ is associated to a unique point $n \in \mathbb{P}\left(V_{+}\right)$such that $\Sigma=\mathbf{n}^{\perp} \cap \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ where $\mathbf{n}$ is a lift of $n$ in $\mathbb{C}^{2,1}$. Such a vector $\mathbf{n}$ is called the polar vector to $\Sigma$.

- For all points $n$ in $\mathbb{P}\left(V_{+}\right)$, the intersection $\mathbf{n}^{\perp} \cap \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ is a complex line of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ which does not depend on the choice of lift $\mathbf{n}$.

The elements of $P U(2,1)$ act on $\mathbb{P}\left(V_{+}\right)$transitively. As a consequence, we have
Proposition 1.2.5. The group $P U(2,1)$ acts transitively on the set of $\mathbb{C}$-planes, with isotropy group a conjugate of $P(U(1) \times U(1,1))$.

Example 1.2.6. In the unit ball model, the vector

$$
\mathbf{n}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

is polar to the complex line $\left\{\left(z_{1}, 0\right):\left|z_{1}\right|<1\right\}$. Observe that the vector $\mathbf{n}$ is fixed by the Cayley transformation. In the Siegel domain model, it corresponds to the complex line given in horospherical coordinates by

$$
\left\{(0, v, u): v \in \mathbb{R}, u \in \mathbb{R}_{+}\right\} .
$$

### 1.2.3 $\mathbb{R}$-planes

Definition 1.2.7. A Lagrangian plane (or $\mathbb{R}$-plane) is a maximal totally real subspace of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$, that is the projective image of a real 3-subspace $L$ of $\mathbb{C}^{2,1}$ such that $\langle v, w\rangle \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $v, w \in L$ (and such that $L \cap \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} \neq \emptyset$ ).

Such a $\mathbb{R}$-plane is an embedded copy of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^{2}$ (more precisely, in the ball model, it carries the Klein-Beltrami model of real hyperbolic plane, with constant curvature $-1 / 4$, see Gol99] and [Par10]). Each $\mathbb{R}$-plane is also the fixed-point set of a unique (antiholomorphic) isometry, which is an involution. In particular, $\mathbb{R}$-planes are also totally geodesic.

Example 1.2.8. In the unit ball model, a standard example of $\mathbb{R}$-plane is

$$
L_{0}=\left\{\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}: z_{1}, z_{2} \in \mathbb{R}\right\}
$$

that is a copy of real hyperbolic plane $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^{2}$. In the Siegel domain model, it becomes $\left\{(x, 0, u): x \in \mathbb{R}, u \in \mathbb{R}_{+}\right\}$in horospherical coordinates.

We show the action of $P U(2,1)$ on the $\mathbb{R}$-planes of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ in the following proposition.
Proposition 1.2.9. The group $P U(2,1)$ acts transitively on the set of $\mathbb{R}$-planes, with isotropy group a conjugate of $P O(2,1)$.

### 1.2.4 Orthogonal projection onto $\mathbb{C}$-planes

In the following we describe the orthogonal projection of geodesics onto a complex line that will be used later. The sketch of the proof follows from geometric facts and refers to the same situation in Tho10] for calculations.

Lemma 1.2.10. Let $\Pi_{\Sigma}$ be the orthogonal projection of complex hyperbolic space onto a complex line $\Sigma$ and $\sigma$ be a geodesic.

- Then the image $\Pi_{\Sigma}(\sigma)$ is a single point
- or an arc of a geometric circle in $\Sigma$. In particular, if $\sigma \cap \Sigma \neq \emptyset$, then $\Pi_{\Sigma}(\sigma)$ is the segment of a geodesic in $\Sigma$.

Proof. Using the ball model of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$, we may assume that $\Sigma=\left\{\left(z_{1}, 0\right) \mid z_{1} \in \mathbb{C}\right\}$. This makes the orthogonal projection linear, that is $\Pi_{\Sigma}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=z_{1}$. We also assume $z_{1} \neq$ const otherwise the geodesic will be contained in the $\mathbb{C}$-line $\left\{\left(a, z_{2}\right) \mid a=\right.$ constant, $\left.\left.z_{2} \in \mathbb{C}\right\}\right)$, so $\sigma$ will be projected to a single point.

Recall, a complex line is the non-empty intersection of a complex projective line with $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ and a geodesic is the locus of a quadratic equation with respect to the real and imaginary parts of coordinates in a $\mathbb{C}$-line. From this, we see that $\Pi_{\Sigma}(\sigma)$ is the locus of a quadratic equation with respect to $\Re\left(z_{1}\right)$ and $\Im\left(z_{1}\right)$, which is a geometric circle in $\Sigma$.

To see this is true for a general $\mathbb{C}$-line, recall that a $\mathbb{C}$-line is an embedded copy of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{1}$, an element of $P U(2,1)$ sending a $\mathbb{C}$-line to another is an isometry of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{1}$. Isometries of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{1}$ is a Möbius transformation, which sends circles to circles. For the particular case of $\sigma \cap \Sigma \neq \emptyset$, the result follows from the fact that the linear projection preserves the straight line.

Corollary 1.2.11. In the ball model of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$, let $\Sigma_{1}$ and $\Sigma_{2}$ be $\mathbb{C}$-planes, $\gamma$ a hypercycle in $\Sigma_{2}$ and $\Pi_{\Sigma_{1}}$ be the orthogonal projection map onto $\Sigma_{1}$. Then $\Pi_{\Sigma_{1}}(\gamma)$ is an arc of a geometric circle in $\Sigma_{1}$ or a point if $\Sigma_{1}$ and $\Sigma_{2}$ are orthogonal.

Proof. Note that the hypercycle is the arc of a Euclidean circle in the Poincaré disc (see Proposition 2.1.8). This corollary follows from the same argument as Lemma 1.2.10.

Lemma 1.2.12. Let $\sigma$ be a geodesic and $p, q$ be two points on $\sigma$. Then the geodesic segment $[p, q]$ projects to the shorter arc of a geometric circle in a coordinate axis.

Proof. Let $\Sigma$ be a complex line containing the geodesic $\sigma$. Using the ball model of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$, we know that $\Sigma$ is an embedded copy of Poincaré disc in $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$. We consider the extension to projective space of $\sigma$ and $\Sigma$, denoted by $\bar{\sigma}$ and $\bar{\Sigma}$ respectively. There is an involution fixing $S^{3}$ (the boundary of $\partial \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ ) in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$

$$
\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \longrightarrow\left(\frac{z_{1}}{\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}}, \frac{z_{2}}{\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}}\right)
$$

which preserves the extension $\bar{\Sigma}$ and swaps the two parts $\bar{\sigma} \backslash \sigma$ and $\sigma$. It follows (like in Poincaré disc) that $\sigma$ is shorter than $\bar{\sigma} \backslash \sigma$ with respect to the Euclidean metric. By Lemma 1.2 .10 , the projection of $\bar{\sigma}$ is a geometrical circle in a $\mathbb{C}$-line. Furthermore, the orthogonal projection on a coordinate axis is linear, which implies that it preservers the angle. As a consequence, the projection sends the geodesic $\sigma$ to the shorter arc of a geometric circle. So does each geodesic segment $[p, q]$.

### 1.3 Complex hyperbolic isometries

Let $U(2,1)$ be the group of matrices that are unitary with respect to the Hermitian form $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$. The group of holomorphic isometries of complex hyperbolic space is the projective unitary group $P U(2,1)=U(2,1) / U(1)$, with a natural identification $U(1)=\left\{e^{i \theta} I, \theta \in\right.$ $[0,2 \pi)\}$ where $I$ is the identity matrix in $U(2,1)$. It will be useful to consider $S U(2,1)$, the group of matrices with determinant 1 which are unitary with respect to $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$. Then the group $S U(2,1)$ is a 3 -fold covering of $P U(2,1)$, that is

$$
P U(2,1)=S U(2,1) /\left\{I, \omega I, \omega^{2} I\right\}
$$

where $\omega=(-1+i \sqrt{3}) / 2$ is a cube root of unity.

### 1.3.1 Classification of the elements of $S U(2,1)$

In this section we recall briefly the different types of isometries of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$. A holomorphic complex hyperbolic isometry of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ is said to be:
(i) loxodromic if it fixes exactly two points of $\partial \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$;
(ii) parabolic if it fixes exactly one point of $\partial \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$;
(iii) elliptic if it fixes at least one point in $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$.

Following Chen and Greenberg [CG74], we now give the first criterion for classifying the elements of $S U(2,1)$.

Proposition 1.3.1. Let $g$ be a holomorphic isometry of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ and A a lift of $g$ in $U(2,1)$, then

- $g$ is elliptic if $A$ is semisimple with eigenvalues of norm 1;


Figure 1.1: The deltoid given by $f(\tau)=0$. Its interior corresponds to $f(\tau)<0$ and its exterior corresponds to $f(\tau)>0$.

- $g$ is loxodromic if $A$ is semisimple with two eigenvalues $\lambda$ and $\bar{\lambda}^{-1}$ where $|\lambda| \neq 1$;
- $g$ is parabolic if $A$ is not semisimple. In this case, its eigenvalues are of norm 1.

Definition 1.3.2. - An elliptic element $g$ is called regular elliptic if and only if its eigenvalues are distinct. In the other case, we say that $g$ is special.

- A parabolic element $g$ is called pure parabolic if it can be represented by a unipotent element of $U(2,1)$, that is, a linear transformation having 1 as its only eigenvalue. In the other case, we say that $g$ is screw-parabolic.

Definition 1.3.3. The map $\tau: S U(2,1) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is defined to be the trace of matrices in $S U(2,1)$. Let $f$ be the polynomial

$$
f(z)=|z|^{4}-8 \Re\left(z^{3}\right)+18|z|^{2}-27
$$

Let $\mathbb{U}_{3} \subset \mathbb{C}$ denote the set of cube roots of unity. The second criterion is described in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3.4. (see [Gol99], Chapter 6) Let $A$ be a matrix of $S U(2,1)$ and $g$ be the isometry of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ associated to $A$. Then
(i) $g$ is regular elliptic if and only if $f(\tau(A))<0$;
(ii) $g$ is loxodromic if and only if $f(\tau(A))>0$;
(iii) $g$ is screw-parabolic if and only if $g$ is not elliptic and $\tau(A) \in f^{-1}(0)-3 \mathbb{U}_{3}$;
(iv) $g$ a complex reflection (about either a point or a complex geodesic) if and only if $A$ is elliptic and $\tau(A) \in f^{-1}(0)-3 \mathbb{U}_{3}$;
(v) $\tau(A) \in 3 \mathbb{U}_{3}$ if and only if $A$ represents a unipotent automorphism of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$.

Figure 1.1 depicts the level set $f^{-1}(0)$ of $f$, which is a classical curve called a deltoid (see Chapter 8 of Lockwood Loc61] or page 26 of Kirwan Kir92] where it is written in terms of $x=\Re(\tau)$ and $y=\Im(\tau))$. The interior of the deltoid corresponds to conjugacy classes of elliptic elements and its exterior corresponds to conjugacy classes of loxodromic elements. Its boundary corresponds to various parabolic conjugacy classes and complex reflections, its three cusps correspond to the central elements and various unipotent conjugacy classes.

### 1.3.2 The elliptic elements

In the unit ball model, up to conjugation, a lift of an elliptic transformation in $S U(2,1)$ is

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
e^{i \theta_{1}} & 0 & 0  \tag{1.2}\\
0 & e^{i \theta_{2}} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & e^{-i\left(\theta_{1}+\theta_{2}\right)}
\end{array}\right]
$$

In this case $A$ fixes the center of the ball, corresponding to the vector $[0,0,1]^{T}$, and preserves the double axis of coordinates. The two quantities $2 \theta_{1}+\theta_{2}$ and $\theta_{1}+2 \theta_{2}$ in the range of $[0,2 \pi)$, called the angles of the elliptic element, determine the conjugacy class of an elliptic element.

Example 1.3.5. Using the unit ball model, in homogeneous coordinates, the function

$$
\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \longmapsto\left(e^{i \alpha} z_{1}, e^{i \beta} z_{2}\right)
$$

is elliptic and fixes only the origin if $e^{i \alpha}$ and $e^{i \beta}$ are different from 1.

## The regular elliptic elements

If $A \in S U(2,1)$ is a lift of regular elliptic $g$, then $g$ has three fixed points in $\mathbb{C P}^{2}$, which are the images of eigenvectors of $A$ under the projection.

- One of these fixed points is in $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$.
- The two other points are in $\mathbb{P}\left(V_{+}\right)$which are polar to the preserved complex lines.


## The special elliptic elements

A special elliptic element has a repeated eigenvector. In this case, the angles can be divided into two types:

- $\{0, \varphi\}$, in this case we call it complex reflection: $g$ fixes a complex line $\Sigma$ and acts on the complex line orthogonal to $\Sigma$ as a rotation with angle $\varphi$.
- $\{\varphi, \varphi\}$, in this case we call it complex reflection in a point: $g$ preserves each of the complex lines passing through this point in $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ and acts on each of these complex lines as a reflection with angle $\varphi$.

More precisely, the following proposition gives the formula of a complex reflection in $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$.

Proposition 1.3.6. Let $\Sigma$ be a complex line with polar vector $\mathbf{n}$. Then the complex reflection with angle $\varphi$ fixing $\Sigma$ is given in $U(2,1)$ by

$$
R(\mathbf{z})=\mathbf{z}+\left(e^{i \varphi}-1\right) \frac{\langle\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{n}\rangle}{\langle\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}\rangle} \mathbf{n} .
$$

In particular, $R$ is represented by a matrix in $S U(2,1)$ as follows,

$$
R(\mathbf{z})=e^{-i \varphi / 3} \mathbf{z}+\left(e^{2 i \varphi / 3}-e^{-i \varphi / 3}\right) \frac{\langle\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{n}\rangle}{\langle\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}\rangle} \mathbf{n} .
$$

Remark 1.3.7. If $\varphi=\pi$, this reflection is called involution or half-turn, given by

$$
\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \longmapsto\left(-z_{1},-z_{2}\right) .
$$

For every point $z \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ there is a unique half-turn fixing $z$ whose differential equals $-I$ on $T_{z} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$. These involutions equip $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ with the structure of a Riemannian symmetric space (see [Gol99], page 82).

### 1.3.3 The loxodromic elements

Let $g$ be a loxodromic element of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ and $A$ a lift of $g$ in $S U(2,1)$. Let $z_{g}$ and $w_{g}$ be the two fixed points of $g$ in $\partial \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$.

Definition 1.3.8. The geodesic $\sigma_{g}$ joining $z_{g}$ and $w_{g}$ is called the axis of $g$. The complex line $\Sigma_{g}$ containing the geodesic $\sigma_{g}$ is called the complex axis of $g$.

The geodesic $\sigma_{g}$ and complex line $\Sigma_{g}$ are preserved by $g$. As a result, the polar vector $u_{g}=\Sigma_{g}^{\perp}$ to the complex line $\Sigma_{g}$ is fixed by $g$. We describe this in the following proposition.

Proposition 1.3.9. A loxodromic element of $P U(2,1)$ has three fixed points in $\mathbb{C P}^{2}$, two of which are lying in the boundary of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ and the third in $\mathbb{P}\left(V_{+}\right)$.

Let $\mathbf{z}_{g}, \mathbf{w}_{g}$ and $\mathbf{u}_{g}$ be lifts of $z_{g}, w_{g}$ and $u_{g}$ respectively. We suppose that, up to conjugation, their lifts in $\mathbb{C}^{3}$ are

$$
\mathbf{z}_{g}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right], \mathbf{w}_{g}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right], \mathbf{u}_{g}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

then $A$ is the matrix

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\lambda & 0 & 0  \tag{1.3}\\
0 & \bar{\lambda} / \lambda & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 / \bar{\lambda}
\end{array}\right]
$$

If $|\lambda|>1$, then $z_{g}$ is an attractive fixed point and $w_{g}$ is a repulsive fixed point. Otherwise, the situations are just converse. Since $A$ acts on the geodesic as a translation, the quantity $\rho(w, A(w))$ on every point of $\sigma_{g}$ is called length of translation, denoted $l_{g}$. The relation of $l_{g}$ and $\lambda$ is give by

$$
\cosh \left(\frac{l_{g}}{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(|\lambda|^{2}+\frac{1}{|\lambda|^{2}}\right) .
$$

### 1.3.4 The parabolic elements

A parabolic element fixes exactly one point in the boundary. Up to conjugation, we can suppose that it fixes $\infty$. From Lemma 1.3 .10 , we write the matrix of $S U(2,1)$ fixing $\infty$ which is not loxodromic as follows

$$
A=e^{-i \theta / 3}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & -e^{i \theta} \bar{z} & \left(-|z|^{2}+i t\right) / 2  \tag{1.4}\\
0 & e^{i \theta} & z \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

with $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$.
Lemma 1.3.10. (see [Par10]) Suppose that $A \in S U(2,1)$ has the standard matrix form $\left(z_{j k}\right)^{3 \times 3}$. Then the following are equivalent:

1. A fixes $\infty$;
2. A is upper triangular;
3. $z_{31}=0$.

A pure parabolic element has a lift in $S U(2,1)$ which is unipotent. A unipotent matrix $A$ of $S U(2,1)$ is one such that $A-I$ is nilpotent, in other words, such that some power $(A-I)^{n}$ is zero. The smallest such $n$ is sometimes called the degree of unipotency of $A-I$. We can classify, in terms of degree, the conjugacy class of pure parabolic isometries:

Proposition 1.3.11. All pure parabolic isometries can be divided into two conjugacy class in $\widehat{P U(2,1)}$ :

1. The first conjugacy class consists of the isometries which lift to a unipotent matrix $A$ in $S U(2,1)$ such that the degree of unipotency of $A-I$ is two. Writing $z=0, t=$ $1, \theta=0$ in the matrix form (1.4), we obtain a representation with shortest length of translation. There are two candidates in $P U(2,1)$ for this conjugacy class, denoted by $A_{+}$and $A_{-}$:

$$
A_{ \pm}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & \pm i / 2 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

2. For the isometries which lift to a unipotent matrix $A$ in $S U(2,1)$ such that the degree of $A-I$ is three. The conjugacy class is represented by

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & -1 & -1 / 2 \\
0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Definition 1.3.12. In the previous proposition, the parabolic isometries of the first conjugacy class will be denoted by parabolic transformations of vertical type, and the second class will be called horizontal type.

For the screw-parabolic isometries, up to conjugation, we can suppose that the positive eigenvector is $[0,1,0]^{T}$. Under this condition, a matrix representation of a conjugacy class is

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
e^{-i \theta / 3} & 0 & -e^{-i \theta / 3} i / 2 \\
0 & e^{2 i \theta / 3} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & e^{-i \theta / 3}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Remark 1.3.13. Both screw-parabolic isometries and parabolic isometries of vertical type preserve a complex line where they act as a translation. Furthermore, screw-parabolic elements rotate around the preserved complex line with an angle $\theta$.

### 1.4 The action on $\partial \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$

In this section we work in the boundary of the Siegel domain model and discuss the classification of elements of $P U(2,1)$ in terms of their action on the boundary. Recall that $\mathbb{R}^{3} \cup\left\{q_{\infty}\right\}$ in the Siegel's domain model is naturally equipped with the structure of the Heisenberg group on $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}$. In other words, the boundary of the Siegel domain is identified with the one-point compactification of the Heisenberg group.

### 1.4.1 The Heisenberg group

Definition 1.4.1. The Heisenberg group $\mathcal{N}$ of dimension 3 is $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}$ equipped with the group law

$$
(\zeta, v) \diamond(\xi, t)=(\zeta+\xi, v+t+2 \Im(\zeta \bar{\xi}))
$$

We remark that $\Im(\zeta \bar{\xi})=\omega(\zeta, \xi)$ where $\omega$ is the standard symplectic form on $\mathbb{C}$. The Heisenberg group is 2-step nilpotent. Observe that

$$
(\zeta, v) \diamond(\xi, t) \diamond(-\zeta,-v) \diamond(-\xi,-t)=(0,4 \Im(\zeta, \bar{\xi}))
$$

where $(-\zeta,-v)$ is the inverse of the element $(\zeta, v)$. Therefore the center of $\mathcal{N}$ consists of the form $(0, v)$ and the commutator of any two elements lies in the center.

## The stabilizer of $q_{\infty}$

We now describe the action of the stabilizer of $q_{\infty}$ on the Heisenberg group.
Definition 1.4.2. We denote $\operatorname{Sim}(\mathcal{N})$ the group of the restrictions of elements of $P U(2,1)$ fixing $q_{\infty}$ on the Heisenberg group. The elements of $\operatorname{Sim}(\mathcal{N})$ will be called Heisenberg similarities. (The elements are similarities with respect to the Cygan metric which we will mention a little later.)

If $A$ fixes $q_{\infty}$ then it is upper triangular. As a matrix in $S U(2,1)$, it is given by

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\lambda & -\bar{\lambda} \bar{z} / \lambda & \left(-|z|^{2}+i t\right) / 2 \bar{\lambda} \\
0 & \bar{\lambda} / \lambda & z / \bar{\lambda} \\
0 & 0 & 1 / \bar{\lambda}
\end{array}\right]
$$

with $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$ and $(z, t) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}$. More precisely,
(I) The Heisenberg group acts on itself by Heisenberg translations. This is a normal subgroup of the $\operatorname{group} \operatorname{Sim}(\mathcal{N})$. For $\left(z_{0}, t_{0}\right) \in \mathcal{N}$, this is

$$
T_{\left(z_{0}, t_{0}\right)}:(z, t) \mapsto\left(z+z_{0}, t+t_{0}+2 \Im\left(\bar{z} z_{0}\right)\right)=\left(z_{0}, t_{0}\right) \diamond(z, t)
$$

As a matrix in $S U(2,1)$ this is

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & -\bar{z}_{0} & \left(-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}+i t_{0}\right) / 2 \\
0 & 1 & z_{0} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Heisenberg translation by $\left(0, t_{0}\right)$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ is called vertical translation by $t_{0}$.
(II) The unitary group $U(1)$ acts on the Heisenberg group by Heisenberg rotations. For $e^{i \theta} \in U(1)$, the rotation fixing $q_{0}=(0,0,0)$ is given by

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & e^{i \theta} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

In Heisenberg coordinates, this Heisenberg rotation is given by

$$
R_{\theta}:(z, t) \mapsto\left(e^{i \theta} z, t\right)
$$

All other Heisenberg rotations may be obtained from these by conjugating by a Heisenberg translation.
(III) For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$, Heisenberg dilation by $\lambda$ fixing $q_{\infty}$ and $q_{0}=(0,0,0) \in \partial \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ is given by

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\lambda & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \lambda^{-1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

In Heisenberg coordinates, the Heisenberg dilation is given by

$$
D_{\lambda}:(z, t) \mapsto\left(\lambda z, \lambda^{2} t\right)
$$

All other Heisenberg dilations fixing $q_{\infty}$ may be obtained by conjugating by a Heisenberg translation.

The stabilizer of $q_{\infty}$ in $P U(2,1)$ is generated by all Heisenberg translations, rotations and dilations. However, only Heisenberg translations and rotations are isometric with respect to various natural metrics on $\mathcal{N}$. For this reason the group generated by all Heisenberg translations and rotations, which is the semidirect product $U(1) \ltimes \mathcal{N}$, is called the Heisenberg isometry group $\operatorname{Isom}(\mathcal{N})$. The nontrivial central elements of the Heisenberg isometry group are precisely the vertical translations.

## The vertical projection

Geometrically, we think of the $\mathbb{C}$-factor of $\mathcal{N}$ as being horizontal and the $\mathbb{R}$-factor as being vertical. There is a canonical projection from $\mathcal{N}$ to $\mathbb{C}$ called vertical projection and denoted by $\Pi$, given by $\Pi:(z, t) \longmapsto z$. Using the exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N} \xrightarrow{\Pi} \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow 0
$$

we obtain the exact sequence (see Scott [Sco83] page 467)

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Isom}(\mathcal{N}) \xrightarrow{\Pi_{*}} \operatorname{Isom}(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow 1 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\operatorname{Isom}(\mathbb{C})=\mathbb{C} \rtimes U(1)$ is the group of orientation preserving Euclidean isometries of $\mathbb{C}$.

Observe the elements in $\operatorname{Isom}(\mathbb{C})$ can be represented by matrices in $G L(2, \mathbb{C})$ of the form

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
e^{i \theta} & z_{0} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
z \\
1
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
e^{i \theta} z+z_{0} \\
1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Therefore, the map $\Pi_{*}$ can be given by

$$
\Pi_{*}:\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & -\bar{z}_{0} e^{i \theta} & \left(-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}+i t_{0}\right) / 2  \tag{1.6}\\
0 & e^{i \theta} & z_{0} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \longrightarrow\left[\begin{array}{cc}
e^{i \theta} & z_{0} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

It is clear that

$$
\operatorname{Ker}\left(\Pi_{*}\right)=\left\{\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & i t_{0} / 2 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]: t_{0} \in \mathbb{R}\right\}
$$

is the group of vertical translations fixing $q_{\infty}$.

### 1.4.2 The Cygan metric

In this section we define a metric on the Heisenberg group, the Cygan metric. The Cygan metric can be extended to an incomplete metric on $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ which agrees with the Cygan metric on each horosphere. This metric should be thought of as the counterpart to the Euclidean metric on the upper half space model of real hyperbolic space.

Recall that the Heisenberg norm is given by

$$
|(z, t)|=\left||z|^{2}-i t\right|^{1 / 2}
$$

Definition 1.4.3. The Cygan metric on $\mathcal{N}$ is defined to be

$$
\rho_{0}\left(\left(z_{1}, t_{1}\right),\left(z_{2}, t_{2}\right)\right)=\left|\left(z_{1}, t_{1}\right) \diamond\left(z_{2}, t_{2}\right)^{-1}\right|
$$

In other words,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{0}\left(\left(z_{1}, t_{1}\right),\left(z_{2}, t_{2}\right)\right)=\left|\left|z_{1}-z_{2}\right|^{2}-i t_{1}+i t_{2}-2 i \Im\left(z_{1} \bar{z}_{2}\right)\right|^{1 / 2} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1.4.4. We remark that the Cygan metric defined by (1.7) is not a path metric. In other words, there exist pairs of points such that the Cygan distance between them is strictly shorter than the Cygan length of any path joining them (see the proof of $[\overline{\operatorname{Par} 10}]$ ).

We extend the Cygan metric to an incomplete metric on $\overline{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}}-\left\{q_{\infty}\right\}$ as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\rho}_{0}\left(\left(z_{1}, t_{1}, u_{1}\right),\left(z_{2}, t_{2}, u_{2}\right)\right)=\left|\left|z_{1}-z_{2}\right|^{2}+\left|u_{1}-u_{2}\right|-i t_{1}+i t_{2}-2 i \Im\left(z_{1} \bar{z}_{2}\right)\right|^{1 / 2} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now show that the extended Cygan metric on $\overline{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}}-\left\{q_{\infty}\right\}$ is exactly a metric. Naturally the restriction on $\partial \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}-\left\{q_{\infty}\right\}$ is also metric.
Proposition 1.4.5. (c.f. [Par10]) The function $\widetilde{\rho}_{0}(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $\overline{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}}-\left\{q_{\infty}\right\}$ given by (1.8) is a metric.

Proof. It obviously satisfies the properties of non-negativity, identity of indiscernible and symmetry. It suffices to verify the triangle inequality. That is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\rho}_{0}^{2} & \left(\left(z_{1}, t_{1}, u_{1}\right),\left(z_{2}, t_{2}, u_{2}\right)\right) \\
\quad= & \left|\left|z_{1}-z_{2}\right|^{2}+\left|u_{1}-u_{2}\right|-i t_{1}+i t_{2}-2 i \Im\left(z_{1} \bar{z}_{2}\right)\right| \\
\leq & \left|\left|z_{1}-z_{3}\right|^{2}+\left|u_{1}-u_{3}\right|-i t_{1}+i t_{3}-2 i \Im\left(z_{1} \bar{z}_{3}\right)\right| \\
& +2\left|z_{1}-z_{3}\right|\left|z_{3}-z_{2}\right|+\left|\left|z_{3}-z_{2}\right|^{2}+\left|u_{3}-u_{2}\right|-i t_{3}+i t_{2}-2 i \Im\left(z_{3} \bar{z}_{2}\right)\right| \\
\quad \leq & \left(\widetilde{\rho}_{0}\left(\left(z_{1}, t_{1}, u_{1}\right),\left(z_{3}, t_{3}, u_{3}\right)\right)+\widetilde{\rho}_{0}\left(\left(z_{3}, t_{3}, u_{3}\right),\left(z_{2}, t_{2}, u_{2}\right)\right)\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We conclude this section by considering spheres with respect to the Cygan metric.
Definition 1.4.6. The Cygan sphere of radius $r \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$and center $w_{0}=\left(z_{0}, t_{0}\right)=$ $\left(z_{0}, t_{0}, 0\right) \in \partial \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ is defined by

$$
S_{r}\left(w_{0}\right)=\left\{w=(z, t, u): \widetilde{\rho}_{0}\left(w, w_{0}\right)=r\right\}
$$

In other words, $S_{r}\left(w_{0}\right)$ is given by

$$
S_{r}\left(w_{0}\right)=\left\{w=(z, t, u):\left|\left|z-z_{0}\right|^{2}+u+i t-i t_{0}-2 i \Im\left(z \bar{z}_{0}\right)\right|=r^{2}\right\}
$$

A simple lemma will be useful in the Chapter 4, we state it as follows.
Lemma 1.4.7. (c.f. [FFP10]) All Cygan balls are affinely convex.
Proof. The Cygan ball of radius $r$ centered at $o=(0,0,0)$ is given by

$$
S_{r}(o)=\left\{(z, t, u) \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}:\left(|z|^{2}+u\right)^{2}+t^{2} \leq r^{4}\right\}
$$

For $\lambda \in(0,1)$ and $\left(z_{1}, t_{1}, u_{1}\right),\left(z_{2}, t_{2}, u_{2}\right) \in S_{r}(o)$, a simple calculation gives rise to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\left|\lambda z_{1}+(1-\lambda) z_{2}\right|^{2}+\lambda u_{1}+(1-\lambda) u_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\lambda t_{1}+(1-\lambda) t_{2}\right)^{2} \\
& \quad \leq\left(\lambda\left(\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}+u_{1}\right)+(1-\lambda)\left(\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}+u_{2}\right)\right)^{2}+\left(\lambda t_{1}+(1-\lambda) t_{2}\right)^{2} \\
& \quad \leq \lambda\left(\left(\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}+u_{1}\right)^{2}+t_{1}^{2}\right)+(1-\lambda)\left(\left(\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}+u_{1}\right)^{2}+t_{1}^{2}\right) \\
& \quad \leq \lambda r^{4}+(1-\lambda) r^{4}=r^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly $S_{r}(o)$ is affinely convex. Any other Cygan ball is the image of one centred at $o$ under a Heisenberg translation. Since Heisenberg translations are affine motions, the image is still affinely convex.

Remark 1.4.8. The boundary of a Cygan sphere on $\partial \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ is called a spinal sphere.

- For $z_{0}=0$. Spinal spheres centered at $w_{0}=\left(0, t_{0}\right)$ are ovoids with the property that along the locus $z=0$ they have fourth order contact with their tangent plane. The diameter of their equator, that is the points $(z, t)$ with $|z|=r$, grows linearly with $r$. On the other hand, the diameter of their meridians, that is the points $(0, t)$ with $\left|t-t_{0}\right|=r^{2}$, grows quadratically with $r$. Geometrically, as $r$ tends to zero, spinal spheres become very short and fat, lie a pancake, see Figure 1.2(a). Conversely, as $r$ tends to infinity, spinal spheres become very long and thin, like a cigar, see Figure 1.2 (b).
- For $z_{0} \neq 0$. Cygan spheres are sheared ovoids, see Figure 1.2 (c), the magnitude of the shear being proportional to $\left|z_{0}\right|$. Otherwise they enjoy the same properties as the case $z_{0}=0$.

(a) Pancake

(b) Cigar

c) Sheared ovoid

Figure 1.2: The shapes of spinal spheres in the Heisenberg group.

Chapter 2
Geometric technique

In this chapter we mostly state some geometric objects which are used to construct a polyhedron. There are no totally geodesic real hypersurfaces in $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$. The lack of totally geodesic real hypersurfaces complicates the construction of fundamental domains. However there is a three dimensional submanifold that is foliated by totally geodesic subspaces in two different ways. Throughout the thesis, the basic idea of constructing a fundamental domain is to verify the conditions of Poincaré's polyhedron theorem. As well, we can obtain a geometric presentation for the group we consider by the Poincaré's polyhedron theorem.

### 2.1 Bisectors

A bisector is the locus of points in complex hyperbolic space equidistant from a given, pair of points in complex hyperbolic space, say $p$ and $q$. By the normalization of $p$ and $q$ such that $\langle\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}\rangle=\langle\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}\rangle$, we give the definition as follows:

Definition 2.1.1. The bisector equidistant from $p$ and $q$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}_{p, q}=\left\{z \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}:|\langle\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{p}\rangle|=|\langle\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{q}\rangle|\right\} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.1.2. This definition of a bisector only depends on $\langle\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}\rangle=\langle\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}\rangle$ and not on whether this quantity is positive, negative or zero. If $\langle\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}\rangle=\langle\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}\rangle>0$, then $p$ and $q$ are polar vectors to complex lines $\mathcal{C}_{p}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{q}$. Thus $\mathcal{B}_{p, q}$ is equidistant from two complex lines $\mathcal{C}_{p}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{q}$. In other words, for each $z \in \mathcal{B}_{p, q}$ the distance from $z$ to the closest point of $\mathcal{C}_{p}$ is the same as the distance from $z$ to the closest point of $\mathcal{C}_{q}$.

Definition 2.1.3. - The points $p$ and $q$ lie in a unique complex line $\Sigma$, called the complex spine of the bisector $\mathcal{B}_{p, q}$.

- We call spine the geodesic $\sigma$ in $\Sigma$ that is equidistant from our pair of points with respect to the natural Poincaré metric on $\Sigma$. It is given by

$$
\sigma=\Sigma \cap \mathcal{B}_{p, q}=\{z \in \Sigma: \rho(z, p)=\rho(z, q)\}
$$

Bisectors are not totally geodesic in complex hyperbolic space, but can be described in terms of a foliation by totally geodesic subspaces in two different ways.

Theorem 2.1.4. (Mostow, Goldman) ([Mos80, Gol99]])

1. Let $\Pi_{\Sigma}: \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} \mapsto \Sigma$ be the orthogonal projection map onto $\Sigma$. Then $\mathcal{B}$ is the preimage of $\sigma$ under $\Pi_{\Sigma}$,

$$
\mathcal{B}=\Pi_{\Sigma}^{-1}(\sigma)=\bigcup_{s \in \sigma} \Pi_{\Sigma}^{-1}(s)
$$

Each fibre of this map, that is, each complex line that is the preimage of a point of $\sigma$, is a slice of $\mathcal{B}$.
2. The bisector $\mathcal{B}$ is the union of all Lagrangian planes that contain $\sigma$. These Lagrangian planes are called meridians of $\mathcal{B}$.

Corollary 2.1.5. - A bisector is uniquely determined by its real spine.

- The bisector $\mathcal{B}$ is preserved under a complex involution in any of its slices.
- The bisector $\mathcal{B}$ is preserved under an anti-holomorphic involution in any of its meridians.

Definition 2.1.6. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a bisector. The intersection $S=\overline{\mathcal{B}} \cap \partial \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ is called a spinal sphere. Suppose that $\sigma$ is the spine of $\mathcal{B}$, the two points of $\bar{\sigma} \cap \partial \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ are called the vertices of bisector.

### 2.1.1 Intersection of bisectors

The intersection of two or more bisectors can be very complicated, in general it is not necessarily connected or contained in a totally geodesic subspace. We adopt the following notation and recall several results which allow us to understand bisector intersections.

Definition 2.1.7. Let $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{2}$ denote bisectors with complex spines $\Sigma_{1}$ and $\Sigma_{2}$.

1. We call $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{2}$ cospinal if and only if $\Sigma_{1}=\Sigma_{2}$;
2. We call $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{2}$ coequidistant if and only if $\Sigma_{1}$ and $\Sigma_{2}$ intersect outside the real spines;
3. We call $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{2}$ cotranchal if and only if they share a common slice;
4. We call $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{2}$ comeridanal if and only it they share a common meridian.

In terms of the slice decomposition for bisectors, the following result helps us to understand bisector intersections.

Proposition 2.1.8. (Mos80]) Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a bisector and $\mathcal{C}$ be a complex line such that $\mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{C} \neq \emptyset$, then $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{B}$ (in which case $\mathcal{C}$ is a slice of $\mathcal{B}$ ) or $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{B}$ is a hypercycle in $\mathcal{C}$. In the ball model a hypercycle is an arc of a Euclidean circle intersecting the boundary.

We remark that a hypercycle in $\mathcal{C}$ is a curve with constant geodesic curvature (i.e. the magnitude of the mean curvature is constant). In particular, unless the two bisectors share a common slice, Proposition 2.1 .8 implies that each connected component of the intersection $\mathcal{B}_{1} \cap \mathcal{B}_{2}$ is a disk which is foliated by arcs of circles. It can be proven that there are at most two connected components. If the bisectors are coequidistant, there is a remarkable result due to Giraud.

Proposition 2.1.9. (Gir21, Gol99]) Let $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{2}$ be two coequidistant bisectors with complex spines $\Sigma_{1}$ and $\Sigma_{2}$ respectively, then $\mathcal{B}_{1} \cap \mathcal{B}_{2}$ is a smooth disk, moreover there exists one (and no more) bisector containing $\mathcal{B}_{1} \cap \mathcal{B}_{2}$ other than $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{2}$.

This intersection is not totally geodesic, we call it a Giraud disc. In particular, we can find the third bisector passing through the Giraud disc using the following proposition, see also [Tho10] and Figure 2.1 .

Proposition 2.1.10. Let $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{2}$ be a pair of coequidistant bisectors with respective complex (real) spines $\Sigma_{1}$ and $\Sigma_{2}\left(\sigma_{1}\right.$ and $\left.\sigma_{2}\right)$ such that their intersection is a Giraud disc $\mathcal{G}$. The third bisector $\mathcal{B}_{3}$ containing $\mathcal{G}$ can be defined as the following procedure. We denote $\Sigma_{1} \cap \Sigma_{2}=p_{0}$ and let $R_{\sigma_{i}}$ be the unique reflection fixing $\sigma_{i}$ for $i=1,2$. Suppose that $p_{1}=R_{\sigma_{1}}\left(p_{0}\right)$ and $p_{2}=R_{\sigma_{2}}\left(p_{0}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{B}_{1}=\mathcal{B}_{p_{0}, p_{1}}=\left\{z \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}: \rho\left(z, p_{0}\right)=\rho\left(z, p_{1}\right)\right\}, \\
& \mathcal{B}_{2}=\mathcal{B}_{p_{0}, p_{2}}=\left\{z \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}: \rho\left(z, p_{0}\right)=\rho\left(z, p_{2}\right)\right\}, \\
& \mathcal{B}_{3}=\mathcal{B}_{p_{1}, p_{2}}=\left\{z \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}: \rho\left(z, p_{1}\right)=\rho\left(z, p_{2}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{G}=\left\{z \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}: \rho\left(z, p_{0}\right)=\rho\left(z, p_{1}\right)=\rho\left(z, p_{3}\right)\right\}
$$



Figure 2.1: The schematic view of Giraud disc.

### 2.1.2 Intersection with geodesics

Proposition 2.1.11. (see Theorem 5.5.1, [Gol99]) A real geodesic $\sigma$ is contained in $\mathcal{B}$ if and only if $\sigma$ is contained in either a slice or a meridian of $\mathcal{B}$.

If the geodesic $\sigma$ is not entirely contained in $\mathcal{B}$, the following proposition shows how to see the number of intersection points between $\sigma$ and $\mathcal{B}$.

Proposition 2.1.12. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a bisector and $\sigma$ a geodesic, $\sigma$ not contained $\mathcal{B}$, then $\sigma \cap \Sigma$ consists of at most two points. Moreover the number of intersection points between $\sigma$ and $\mathcal{B}$ is equal to the number of intersection points between $\sigma_{\mathcal{B}}$ (the real spine of $\mathcal{B}$ ) with $\Pi_{\Sigma}(\sigma)$ (the image of $\sigma$ under orthogonal projection onto the complex spine of $\mathcal{B}$ ).

Corollary 2.1.13. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a bisector, with complex spine $\Sigma$ and $\sigma$ a geodesic. If $\sigma \cap \Sigma \neq \emptyset$ and $\sigma$ is not contained in $\mathcal{B}$, then $\sigma$ intersects $\mathcal{B}$ in at most one point.

### 2.2 Isometric spheres

### 2.2.1 Busemann function

We compute the Busemann function in the Siegel domain model in order to define the isometric spheres. Consider a unit speed geodesic $\sigma_{t} \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ such that $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{t}=q_{\infty}$. The corresponding Busemann function (see BGS85, Gol99) is defined as

$$
h_{\infty}(z)=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left(\rho\left(z, \sigma_{t}\right)-t\right)
$$

Explicitly, the points in the Siegel domain corresponding to the vectors

$$
\tilde{\sigma}_{t}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
-e^{t / 2} / 2 \\
0 \\
e^{-t / 2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

move (as $t \rightarrow \infty)$ at unit speed along a geodesic towards $q_{\infty}$ corresponding to

$$
Q_{\infty}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Let $\mathbf{z}$ be the standard lift of $z$ and $\langle\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}\rangle<0$. As $t \rightarrow \infty$, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho\left(z, \widetilde{\sigma}_{t}\right) & =2 \cosh ^{-1} \frac{\left|-e^{t / 2} / 2+z_{1} e^{-t / 2}\right|}{\sqrt{-2 \Re\left(z_{1}\right)-\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}}} \\
& \sim 2 \cosh ^{-1}\left(\frac{e^{t / 2}}{2 \sqrt{-2 \Re\left(z_{1}\right)-\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}}}\right) \\
& \sim 2 \log \left(\frac{e^{t / 2}}{\sqrt{-2 \Re\left(z_{1}\right)-\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}}}\right) \\
& =t+\log \frac{1}{-2 \Re\left(z_{1}\right)-\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 2.2.1. The Busemann function in Siegel domain is given by

$$
h_{\infty}(z)=\log \frac{1}{-\left(2 \Re\left(z_{1}\right)+\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}\right)}=-\log \Psi_{\infty}(z)
$$

where

$$
\Psi_{\infty}(z)=\frac{-\langle\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}\rangle}{\left\langle\mathbf{z}, Q_{\infty}\right\rangle\left\langle Q_{\infty}, \mathbf{z}\right\rangle}
$$

Recall that the horosphere (centered at $q_{\infty}$ ) of height $u$ is the set of points satisfying $\langle\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}\rangle=u$, that is the corresponding level sets $h_{\infty}^{-1}(-\log u)$ of $h_{\infty}(z)$. For $z_{0} \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ and $A \in P U(2,1)$, then $\mathcal{B}\left(z_{0}, A^{-1}\left(z_{0}\right)\right)$ is a bisector equidistant between $z_{0}$ and $A^{-1}\left(z_{0}\right)$. As $z_{0}$ tends to the boundary, then the distance in $\mathcal{B}\left(z_{0}, A^{-1}\left(z_{0}\right)\right)$ is replaced with a Busemann function based at $z_{0}$. We have
Definition 2.2.2. Given an element $A \in P U(2,1)$ such that $A\left(q_{\infty}\right) \neq q_{\infty}$, the isometric sphere of $A$ is defined to be the hypersurface

$$
\left\{z \in \boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}: h_{\infty}(z)=h_{\infty}(A(z))\right\}
$$

In other words,

$$
\left\{z \in \boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}:\left|\left\langle\mathbf{z}, Q_{\infty}\right\rangle\right|=\left|\left\langle\mathbf{z}, A^{-1}\left(Q_{\infty}\right)\right\rangle\right|\right\}
$$

where $\mathbf{z}$ and $Q_{\infty}$ are respectively the standard lifts of $z$ and $q_{\infty}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{2,1}$.
Example 2.2.3. The isometric sphere of

$$
I_{0}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}=\left\{(z, t, u):\left||z|^{2}+u-i t\right|=2\right\} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

in horospherical coordinates or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}=\left\{\left[z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right] \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}:\left|z_{1}\right|=\left|z_{3}\right|\right\} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

in homogeneous coordinates.

Remark 2.2.4. - The isometric sphere $\mathcal{S}$ is the Cygan sphere at the origin, called the standard isometric sphere. All other isometric spheres are images of $\mathcal{S}$ by Heisenberg dilations, rotations and translations. Thus the isometric sphere with radius $r$ and center $\left(z_{0}, t_{0}, 0\right)$ is given by

$$
\left\{(z, t, u):\left|\left|z-z_{0}\right|^{2}+u+i t-i t_{0}+2 i \Im\left(z \bar{z}_{0}\right)\right|=r^{2}\right\} .
$$

- If $A$ has the matrix form as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
a & b & c  \tag{2.4}\\
d & e & f \\
g & h & j
\end{array}\right],
$$

then $A\left(q_{\infty}\right) \neq q_{\infty}$ if and only if $g \neq 0$; see Lemma 1.3.10. The isometric sphere of $A$ has radius $r=\sqrt{2 /|g|}$ and center $A^{-1}\left(q_{\infty}\right)$, which in horospherical coordinates is

$$
\left(z_{0}, t_{0}, 0\right)=\left(\frac{\bar{h}}{\bar{g}}, 2 \Im\left(\frac{\bar{j}}{\bar{g}}\right), 0\right)
$$

### 2.2.2 Dirichlet and Ford domains

The simplest type of fundamental polyhedra for discrete groups are Dirichlet and Ford fundamental polyhedra.

Suppose $\Gamma \subset P U(2,1)$ is a discrete group of isometries of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ and choose a point $z_{0} \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$, called the base point of the polyhedron.
Definition 2.2.5. The Dirichlet domain for $\Gamma$ centered at $z_{0}$ is defined as

$$
\mathfrak{D}_{z_{0}}(\Gamma)=\left\{z \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} \mid \rho\left(z, z_{0}\right)<\rho\left(z, \gamma\left(z_{0}\right)\right) \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma \backslash\{I d\}\right\} .
$$

In other words, $\mathfrak{D}_{z_{0}}(\Gamma)$ is the intersection of equidistant half-spaces

$$
\mathfrak{D}_{z_{0}}(\Gamma)=\bigcap_{\gamma \neq i d} H\left(z_{0}, \gamma\left(z_{0}\right)\right)
$$

where the equidistant half-space is defined by

$$
H(u, v)=\left\{z \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} \mid \rho(z, u)<\rho(z, v)\right\} .
$$

Remark 2.2.6. The base point should not be fixed by any element of $\Gamma$. If so, the Dirichlet domain is exactly a fundamental domain otherwise a fundamental domain of $\Gamma$ is the intersection of a fundamental domain for $\Gamma_{0}$ (the stabilizer of the base point) and Dirichlet domain for $\Gamma-\Gamma_{0}$.

We define the Ford domain when the base point $z_{0}$ tends to an ideal point by using Busemann function. Here the boundary of Ford domain become the union of parts of isometric spheres. As well, the Dirichlet fundamental domains converge to Ford fundamental domains. Replace $z_{0}$ by $q_{\infty}$ and the Bergman metric $\rho$ by Busemann function in the previous definition.

Definition 2.2.7. The Ford domain is defined as

$$
\mathfrak{F}_{\infty}(\Gamma)=\left\{z \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} \mid h_{\infty}(z)<h_{\infty}(\gamma(z))\right\} .
$$

In other words, $\mathfrak{F}_{\infty}(\Gamma)$ is the intersection of isometric spheres of all elements not fixing infinity, that is,

$$
\mathfrak{F}_{\infty}(\Gamma)=\left\{z \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}| |\left\langle\mathbf{z}, Q_{\infty}\right\rangle\left|<\left|\left\langle\mathbf{z}, \gamma^{-1}\left(Q_{\infty}\right)\right\rangle\right|\right\} .\right.
$$

### 2.2.3 The geographical coordinates

Isometric spheres are examples of bisectors and, as such, have a very nice foliation by two different families of totally geodesic submanifolds,

- the slices,
- the meridians.

The real geodesic passing through two vertices of a bisector is called the spine of the bisector.

Together the slices and meridians give geographical coordinates on the bisector (see [FP06]). In order to parameterize the standard isometric sphere $\mathcal{S}$, we write

$$
|z|^{2}+u-i t=2 e^{i \theta}
$$

for $\theta \in[-\pi / 2, \pi / 2]$ (in particular, $|z| \leq \sqrt{2 \cos \theta}$ ) and

$$
z=r e^{i \alpha+i \theta / 2}
$$

for $r \in[-\sqrt{2 \cos (\theta)}, \sqrt{2 \cos (\theta)}]$ and $\alpha \in[-\pi / 2, \pi / 2)$.
Definition 2.2.8. In geographical coordinates, $\mathcal{S}$ is parameterized by

$$
\left\{\left[\begin{array}{c}
-e^{i \theta}  \tag{2.5}\\
r e^{i \alpha+i \theta / 2} \\
1
\end{array}\right]: \theta \in\left[-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right], \alpha \in\left[-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right), r \in[-\sqrt{2 \cos (\theta)}, \sqrt{2 \cos (\theta)}]\right\}
$$

In horospherical coordinates, the point of $\mathcal{S}$ with geographical coordinates $(r, \theta, \alpha)$ is given by $\left(r e^{i \alpha+i \theta / 2},-2 \sin (\theta), 2 \cos (\theta)-r^{2}\right)$. The spine, slices and meridians of $\mathcal{S}$ are given in the next proposition in terms of geographical coordinates.

Proposition 2.2.9. (cf. [FP06]) The isometric sphere of $I_{0}, \mathcal{S}$, with coordinates given by (2.5) is a bisector. Moreover
(i) the spine of $\mathcal{S}$ is given by $r=0$;
(ii) the slices of $\mathcal{S}$ are given by $\theta=\theta_{0}$ for fixed $\theta_{0} \in[-\pi / 2, \pi / 2]$;
(iii) the meridians of $\mathcal{S}$ are given by $\alpha=\alpha_{0}$ for fixed $\alpha_{0} \in[-\pi / 2, \pi / 2)$.

Proof. The spine of $\mathcal{S}$ passes through its vertices $q_{\infty}$ and $I_{0}\left(q_{\infty}\right)$. Thus the spine lies in the complex line spanned by $q_{\infty}$ and $I_{0}\left(q_{\infty}\right)$, that is the equation $z=0$ and the first part follows.

A slice is the preimage of a point of the spine under orthogonal projection onto the complex spine. When we orthogonally project onto the complex spine $z=0$, we throw away the second coordinate in the vector and leave the other entries unchanged. For each point $\left(0,-2 \sin \left(\theta_{0}\right), 2 \cos \left(\theta_{0}\right)\right)$ on the spine, the points of slice are given by

$$
\left\{\left[\begin{array}{c}
-e^{i \theta_{0}} \\
z \\
1
\end{array}\right] \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}\right\}
$$

The second part follows immediately.

The meridians of $\mathcal{S}$ are the fixed-point of antiholomorphic involutions fixing the spine. For $\alpha_{0} \in[-\pi / 2, \pi / 2)$, these maps are given by

$$
\ell_{\alpha_{0}}:\left[\begin{array}{c}
z_{1} \\
z_{2} \\
z_{3}
\end{array}\right] \longmapsto\left[\begin{array}{c}
\bar{z}_{3} \\
-e^{2 i \alpha_{0}} \bar{z}_{2} \\
\bar{z}_{1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

By applying $\ell_{\alpha_{0}}$ on the points of $\mathcal{S}$, we see that

$$
\ell_{\alpha_{0}}:\left[\begin{array}{c}
-e^{i \theta} \\
r e^{i \alpha+i \theta / 2} \\
1
\end{array}\right] \longmapsto\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
-r e^{2 i \alpha_{0}-i \alpha-i \theta / 2} \\
-e^{-i \theta}
\end{array}\right] \sim\left[\begin{array}{c}
-e^{i \theta} \\
r e^{2 i \alpha_{0}-i \alpha+i \theta / 2} \\
1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Therefore, the fixed-points by $\ell_{\alpha_{0}}$ is given by $\alpha=\alpha_{0}$, that is the meridian.

### 2.3 Poincaré's polyhedron theorem

Definition 2.3.1. Let $\Gamma$ be a discrete group of complex hyperbolic isometries. A subset $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ is called a fundamental domain for $\Gamma$ if the following satisfy.

- $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ is a domain in $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$, that is an open connected set;
- $\boldsymbol{\Delta} \cap \gamma(\boldsymbol{\Delta})=\emptyset$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma \backslash\{i d\}$;
- $\bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma(\overline{\boldsymbol{\Delta}})=\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} ;$
- the complex hyperbolic volume of $\partial \boldsymbol{\Delta}$ is 0 .

In this section we establish a Poincaré's polyhedron theorem suitable for our purposes, compare [FZ99, PPl06]. We will follow the formulation given by Mostow in Mos80] and also refer to [DFP05, FP06, Par06]. In what follows our polyhedron D constructed in the thesis is homeomorphic to the combinatorial model, we will use a form of Poincaré's polyhedron theorem to show that $\mathbf{D}$ is a fundamental domain and obtain a geometric presentation for the group.

Definition 2.3.2. A polyhedron is a cellular complex homeomorphic to a (compact) polytope, with the properties that there is only one cell of highest dimension and that each codimension-two cell is contained in exactly two codimension-one cells (noncompact if possible). Then its realization as a cell complex in a space $X$ is called a polyhedron.

Each of its codimension-2 cells, called faces, is contained in exactly two codimension-1 cells, called sides. A polyhedron is smooth if its cells are smooth. For the boundary of polyhedron, the sides contained in bisectors are naturally smooth. Nevertheless, the sides of our polyhedron not contained in bisectors (see Figure 5.7) are foliated by geodesic triangular cones, which gives rise to their smoothness. Moreover, their faces foliated by geodesics are also smooth.

Definition 2.3.3. A Poincaré polyhedron is a smooth polyhedron $D$ in a manifold $X$ with sides $S_{j}$ and side-pairing maps $g_{j} \in \operatorname{Isom}(X)$ satisfying:
(I) The sides of polyhedron are paired by a set $\Lambda$ of homeomorphisms $g_{i j}: S_{i} \longrightarrow S_{j}$ of $X$ called the side-pairing transformations, which respect the cell structure. We assume that if $g_{i j} \in \Lambda, g_{i j}^{-1}=g_{j i} \in \Lambda$.
(II) For every $g_{i j} \in \Lambda$ such that $S_{i}=g_{i j}\left(S_{j}\right)$, then $g_{i j}(\bar{D}) \cap \bar{D}=S_{i}$.

Remark 2.3.4. If $S_{i}=S_{j}$ (that is, a side-pairing maps one side to itself), then we impose the restriction that $g_{i i}: S_{i} \longrightarrow S_{i}$ is of order two, and we call it a reflection. In this case, the relation $g_{i i}^{2}=1$ is called a reflection relation.

Let $S_{1}$ be a side of $D$ and $F_{1}$ be a face contained in $F_{1}$. Let $S_{1}^{\prime}$ be the other side containing $F_{1}$. Let $S_{2}$ be the side paired to $S_{1}^{\prime}$ by $g_{1}$ and $F_{2}=g_{1}\left(F_{1}\right)$. Again, there exists only one other side containing $F_{2}$, which we call $F_{2}^{\prime}$. We define recursively $g_{i}$ and $F_{i}$, so that $g_{i-1} \circ \cdots \circ g_{1}\left(F_{1}\right)=F_{i}$.

## Definition 2.3.5. Cyclic condition

Cyclic is the condition that for each pair ( $F_{1}, S_{1}$ ) (a face contained in a side), there exists $n \geq 1$ such that, in the construction in the previous paragraph, $g_{n} \circ \cdots \circ g_{1}\left(S_{1}\right)=S_{1}$ and $g_{n} \circ \cdots \circ g_{1}$ restricted to $F_{1}$ is the identity. Moreover, writing $g=g_{n} \circ \cdots \circ g_{1}$, there exists a positive integer $m$ such that $g^{m}=1$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
g_{1}^{-1}(D) \cup\left(g_{2} \circ g_{1}\right)^{-1}(D) \cup \cdots \cup g^{-1}(D) \cup \\
\left(g_{1} \circ g\right)^{-1}(D) \cup\left(g_{2} \circ g_{1} \circ g\right)^{-1}(D) \cup \cdots \cup\left(g^{2}\right)^{-1}(D) \\
\vdots \\
\left(g_{1} \circ g^{m-1}\right)^{-1}(D) \cup\left(g_{2} \circ g_{1} \circ g^{m-1}\right)^{-1}(D) \cup \cdots \cup\left(g^{m}\right)^{-1}(D)
\end{gathered}
$$

is a cover of a closed neighborhood of the interior of $F_{1}$ by $D$ and its images.
The relation $g^{m}=\left(g_{n} \circ \cdots \circ g_{1}\right)^{m}=I d$ is called a cycle relation.
We now state Poincaré's polyhedron theorem:
Theorem 2.3.6. (Poincaré's polyhedron theorem) Let $D$ be a compact Poincaré polyhedron with side-pairing transformations $\Lambda \subset \operatorname{Isom}\left(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}\right)$ in $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ satisfying the cyclic condition. Let $\Gamma$ be the group generated by $\Lambda$. Then

- $\Gamma$ is a discrete subgroup of $\operatorname{Isom}\left(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}\right)$,
- $D$ is a fundamental domain, and
- $\Gamma$ has a presentation given by

$$
\Gamma=\langle\Lambda| \text { reflection relations, cycle relations }\rangle
$$

## Chapter 3

A minimal volume arithmetic cusped complex hyperbolic orbifold

This chapter has been published in Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society (see reference [Zh11] in the bibliography).

### 3.1 Introduction

Picard modular groups for $\mathcal{O}_{d}$, denoted by $\operatorname{PU}\left(2,1, \mathcal{O}_{d}\right)$, are the subgroups of $\operatorname{PU}(2,1)$ with entries in $\mathcal{O}_{d}$ where $\mathcal{O}_{d}$ be the ring of integers in the imaginary quadratic number field $\mathbb{Q}(i \sqrt{d})$. The general family of arithmetic groups $\operatorname{PU}\left(2,1, \mathcal{O}_{d}\right)$ gives the simplest lattices known and they are due to Picard Pic83, Pic84. In particular, the case of $\mathcal{O}_{3}=\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$, where $\omega$ is a cube root of unity was treated in [FP06 whose fundamental domain is a 4 -simplex with one ideal vertex. A description of the fundamental domain in the case $\mathcal{O}_{1}=\mathbb{Z}[i]$ was obtained in [FFP10, FL05, FLa05]. In [FFP10], Falbel, Francsics and Parker describe a fundamental domain for the group $P U\left(2,1 ; \mathcal{O}_{1}\right)$ and analyze the combinatorics of the fundamental domain to obtain a presentation of the group.

Recently, Stover [Sto10 has studied volumes of Picard modular surfaces. One of his main results is that there are exactly only two of arithmetic cusped complex hyperbolic orbifolds with minimal volume, namely, whose corresponding fundamental groups are the Eisenstein-Picard modular group and its sister. The sister of Eisenstein-Picard modular group $\operatorname{PU}(2,1, \mathbb{Z}[\omega])$ was defined by Parker in Par98. It is convenient to adopt the following notation for $3 \times 3$ complex matrices:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
z_{11} & z_{12} & z_{13} \\
z_{21} & z_{22} & z_{23} \\
z_{31} & z_{32} & z_{33}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Following notations as in Par98, we denote the Eisenstein-Picard modular group by $G_{1}$ and its sister by $G_{2}$ in this chapter. Let $G_{2}$ be the collection of all elements of $\operatorname{PU}(\mathbb{Q}(i \sqrt{3}))$ whose entries in the above form have $z_{11}, z_{12}, z_{13}(i \sqrt{3}), z_{21} /(i \sqrt{3}), z_{22}, z_{23}$, $z_{31} /(i \sqrt{3}), z_{32} /(i \sqrt{3})$, and $z_{33}$ all in $\mathcal{O}_{3}$. In other words,
(i) $z_{13}=x_{13} / 2+i y_{13} / 2 \sqrt{3}$, where $x_{13}$ and $y_{13}$ are integers of the same parity;
(ii) $z_{j k}=x_{j k} / 2+i \sqrt{3} y_{j k} / 2$ for all other $j, k$, where $x_{j k}$ and $y_{j k}$ are integers of the same parity;
(iii) $x_{21}, x_{31}$ and $x_{32}$ are all divisible by 3 .

By construction, $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are commensurable. Furthermore, they have a common subgroup $G_{1} \cap G_{2}$ of index 4 in both $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$; see Par98. As a consequence, one of the main results in Par98 is as follows:

Theorem 3.1.1. Par98] Let $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ be as above. The orbifolds $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} / G_{1}$ and $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} / G_{2}$ are distinct and have volumes

$$
\operatorname{Vol}\left(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} / G_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Vol}\left(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} / G_{2}\right)=\frac{\pi^{2}}{27}
$$

However, neither Parker nor Stover give an explicit fundamental domain or a presentation for $G_{2}$.

The first part of this chapter is devoted to construct an explicit fundamental domain for the action of $G_{2}$ on $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$. The special feature which simplifies the analysis is that the quotient $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} / G_{2}$ has only one cusp. The main idea (inspired by the analogous construction
of $G_{1}$ [FP06]) is to obtain a fundamental domain from the Ford domain instead of the Dirichlet domain. The Ford domain is the intersection of the exteriors of isometric spheres of all elements not fixing infinity, that is also a fundamental domain for the coset space of $\left(G_{2}\right)_{\infty}$ (the stabilizer of the point at infinity). In order to construct a fundamental domain, we must intersect the Ford domain with a suitable fundamental domain for $\left(G_{2}\right)_{\infty}$. Using the complex hyperbolic version of Poincaré's polyhedron theorem, we show their intersection gives a fundamental domain, from which we obtain a presentation. The main difference between the fundamental domain we construct and the one for $P U(2,1, \mathbb{Z}[\omega])$ is that our domain comprises two compact sides which are paired.

The second part of this chapter is devoted to use a form of Gauss-Bonnet theorem to calculate the volume of the orbifold $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} / G_{2}$, which is the known value of the volume of the quotient orbifold (see Theorem 3.1.1). In order to do this we have to analyze the stabilizers and orbits of all $n$-dimensional faces of our fundamental polyhedron.

### 3.2 The group $G_{2}$

In this section we describe some general features of the group $G_{2}$. In particular, we find a set of generators and describe a fundamental domain for the stabilizer of infinity.

Let $\omega$ denote the cube root of unity $(-1+i \sqrt{3}) / 2$. The group $G_{2}$ comprises all matrices in $U(2,1)$ (preserving the second Hermitian form) of the form

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
a & b & c i / \sqrt{3} \\
d i \sqrt{3} & e & f \\
g i \sqrt{3} & h i \sqrt{3} & j
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $a, b, \cdots, h, j$ are all elements of $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$.

### 3.2.1 The stabilizer $\left(G_{2}\right)_{\infty}$ of $q_{\infty}$

First, we want to analyze $\left(G_{2}\right)_{\infty}$, the stabilizer of $q_{\infty}$. Every element of $\left(G_{2}\right)_{\infty}$ is upper triangular, and its diagonal entries are units in $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$. Therefore, $\left(G_{2}\right)_{\infty}$ contains no dilations and so is a subgroup of $\operatorname{Isom}(\mathcal{N})$; and fits into the exact sequence (1.5) as

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \cap\left(G_{2}\right)_{\infty} \longrightarrow\left(G_{2}\right)_{\infty} \xrightarrow{\Pi_{*}} \Pi_{*}\left(\left(G_{2}\right)_{\infty}\right) \longrightarrow 1
$$

We can find explicitly the kernel and image of $\left(G_{2}\right)_{\infty}$ as in [FP06].
Proposition 3.2.1. The stabilizer $\left(G_{2}\right)_{\infty}$ of $q_{\infty}$ in $G_{2}$ satisfies

$$
0 \longrightarrow \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow\left(G_{2}\right)_{\infty} \xrightarrow{\Pi_{*}} \triangle(2,3,6) \longrightarrow 1
$$

where $\triangle(2,3,6)$ denotes the triangle group comprising orientation-preserving symmetries of $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$.

Proof. From the explicit construction 1.6 of $\Pi_{*}$, we see that for $A \in\left(G_{2}\right)_{\infty}$,

$$
\Pi_{*}(A)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
(-\omega)^{n} & z_{0} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $z_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$. It follows from Proposition 3.1 of FP06 that $\Pi_{*}\left(\left(G_{2}\right)_{\infty}\right)$ is generated by $R_{*}(z)=-\bar{\omega} z$ and $S_{*}(z)=-z+1$, which is the triangle group $\triangle(2,3,6)=\left\{R_{*}, S_{*} \mid R_{*}^{6}=\right.$ $\left.S_{*}^{2}=\left(R_{*} S_{*}\right)^{3}=1\right\}$.

Likewise, the kernel of $\Pi_{*}$ is easily seen to consist of those vertical translations in $\left(G_{2}\right)_{\infty}$, that is , Heisenberg translation by $(0,2 n / \sqrt{3}) \in \mathcal{N}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The following proposition gives the generators of $\left(G_{2}\right)_{\infty}$.
Proposition 3.2.2. $\left(G_{2}\right)_{\infty}$ is generated by

$$
R=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\bar{\omega} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right], \quad S=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & -\bar{\omega} i / \sqrt{3} \\
0 & -1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Proof. The triangle group $\triangle(2,3,6)$ is generated by

$$
\Pi_{*}(R)=R_{*}: z \longrightarrow-\bar{\omega} z, \quad \Pi_{*}(S)=S_{*}: z \longrightarrow-z+1
$$

Hence we only need to show that $R$ and $S$ generate $\mathbb{R} \cap\left(G_{2}\right)_{\infty} \simeq \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \mathbb{Z}$. Observe that

$$
S^{2}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & i / \sqrt{3}  \tag{3.1}\\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]=T
$$

which is precisely the generator of $\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \mathbb{Z} \cap\left(G_{2}\right)_{\infty}$. Therefore $\left(G_{2}\right)_{\infty}$ is generated by $R$ and $S$.

We first construct a fundamental domain for the parabolic subgroup $\left(G_{2}\right)_{\infty}$ acting on the Heisenberg group. We want to describe the action of $R$ and $S$ on each horosphere. Recall that complex hyperbolic space can be parameterized in horospherical coordinates $(z, t, u) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}$by:

$$
(z, t, u) \longrightarrow\left[\begin{array}{c}
\left(-|z|^{2}-u+i t\right) / 2 \\
z \\
1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Then, using the matrices of $R$ and $S$, we obtain the following action of $R$,

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\bar{\omega} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\left(-|z|^{2}-u+i t\right) / 2 \\
z \\
1
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\left(-|z|^{2}-u+i t\right) / 2 \\
-\bar{\omega} z \\
1
\end{array}\right]
$$

and $S$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & -\bar{\omega} i / \sqrt{3} \\
0 & -1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\left(-|z|^{2}-u+i t\right) / 2 \\
z \\
1
\end{array}\right]} \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{c}
\left(-|z|^{2}-u+i t\right) / 2+z-\bar{\omega} i / \sqrt{3} \\
-z+1 \\
1
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{c}
\left(-|-z+1|^{2}-u+i[t+2 \Im(z)+1 / \sqrt{3}]\right) / 2 \\
-z+1 \\
1
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

We also introduce two elliptic elements fixing $q_{\infty}$ used in later:

$$
R S^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & \omega i / \sqrt{3}  \tag{3.2}\\
0 & \bar{\omega} & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad R^{-1} S=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & -\bar{\omega} i / \sqrt{3} \\
0 & \omega & -\omega \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Therefore, we have the properties of those matrices:
(i) $R$ has order 6 and rotates the complex line spanned by 0 and $\infty$ by $\pi / 3$, that is, it acts on horospherical coordinates by

$$
R:(z, t, u) \longmapsto(-\bar{\omega} z, t, u)
$$

(ii) $T$ is the shortest vertical translation. Its action on horospherical coordinates is given by

$$
T:(z, t, u) \longmapsto(z, t+2 / \sqrt{3}, u)
$$

(iii) $S$ has a screw parabolic map with axis the complex line through $z=1 / 2$. It acts on horospherical coordinates by

$$
S:(z, t, u) \longmapsto(-z+1, t+2 \Im(z)+1 / \sqrt{3}, u)
$$

(iv) $R S^{-1}$ has order 3 and rotates the complex line through $z=1 / 2+i / 2 \sqrt{3}$ by $2 \pi / 3$. It acts on horospherical coordinates by

$$
R S^{-1}:(z, t, u) \longmapsto(\bar{\omega} z-\bar{\omega}, t+2 \Im(\omega z)-1 / \sqrt{3}, u)
$$

(v) $R^{-1} S$ also has order 3 and rotates the complex line through $z=1 / 2-i / 2 \sqrt{3}$ by $2 \pi / 3$. It acts on horospherical coordinates by

$$
R^{-1} S:(z, t, u) \longmapsto(\omega z-\omega, t+2 \Im(z)+1 / \sqrt{3}, u)
$$

These actions preserve each horosphere, that is, the set of points where $u$ is constant. Thus we may drop the dependence on $u$, and we obtain the action on $\mathcal{N}=\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}$.

We now construct a fundamental domain for the action of $\left(G_{2}\right)_{\infty}$ on $\mathcal{N}$. We know that $\Pi_{*}\left(\left(G_{2}\right)_{\infty}=\triangle(2,3,6)\right.$ is a triangle group of $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$. A fundamental domain for this group is the triangle in $\mathbb{C}$ with vertices at $0,1 / 2-i / 2 \sqrt{3}$ and $1 / 2+i / 2 \sqrt{3}$; see Figure 3.1. Side pairing maps are given by

$$
R_{*}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-\bar{\omega} & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right], \quad S_{*}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 1 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

The first of these is a rotation of order 6 fixing 0 and the second is a rotation of order 2 fixing $1 / 2$.

A fundamental domain for $\left(G_{2}\right)_{\infty}$ can be constructed by the intersection of preimages of this triangle under vertical projection $\Pi$ and a fundamental domain for $\operatorname{ker}\left(\Pi_{*}\right)$. The inverse image of the triangle under $\Pi$ is an infinite prism. The kernel of $\Pi_{*}$ is the infinite cycle group generated by $T$, the vertical translation by $(0,2 / \sqrt{3})$. So a fundamental domain for this group is the set of points where $-1 / \sqrt{3}<t<1 / \sqrt{3}$. Hence a fundamental


Figure 3.1: Fundamental domain for $\Delta(2,3,6)$ in $\mathbb{C}$. The map $R_{*}$ rotates by $\pi / 3$ about the origin and the map $S_{*}$ rotates by $\pi$ about $1 / 2$.
domain for $\left(G_{2}\right)_{\infty}$ is the prism in $\mathcal{N}$ with vertices at $(0, \pm 1 / \sqrt{3}),(1 / 2-i / 2 \sqrt{3}, \pm 1 / \sqrt{3})$, $(1 / 2+i / 2 \sqrt{3}, \pm 1 / \sqrt{3})$; see Figure 3.2 . The side pairings act on points $(z, t) \in \mathcal{N}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
R(z, t) & =(-\bar{\omega} z, t) \\
S(z, t) & =(-z+1, t+2 \Im(z)+1 / \sqrt{3}) \\
T(z, t) & =(z, t+2 / \sqrt{3})
\end{aligned}
$$

We can see the action of $S$ on the triangle $\left(\hat{z}_{1}^{+}, \hat{z}_{1}^{-}, \hat{z}_{2}^{-}\right)$is the composition of a reflection on the edge $\hat{z}_{1}^{+} \hat{z}_{2}^{-}$and a clockwise rotation. We summarize the side-pairing maps by acting on the vertices of the prism:

$$
\begin{aligned}
R & :\left(\hat{z}_{0}^{+}, \hat{z}_{0}^{-}, \hat{z}_{1}^{-}, \hat{z}_{1}^{+}\right) \longmapsto\left(\hat{z}_{0}^{+}, \hat{z}_{0}^{-}, \hat{z}_{2}^{-}, \hat{z}_{2}^{+}\right), \\
S & :\left(\hat{z}_{1}^{+}, \hat{z}_{1}^{-}, \hat{z}_{2}^{-}\right) \longmapsto\left(\hat{z}_{2}^{+}, \hat{z}_{2}^{-}, \hat{z}_{1}^{+}\right), \\
T & :\left(\hat{z}_{0}^{-}, \hat{z}_{1}^{-}, \hat{z}_{2}^{-}\right) \longmapsto\left(\hat{z}_{0}^{+}, \hat{z}_{1}^{+}, \hat{z}_{2}^{+}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Denoting all the edges by the ordered pairs of their endpoints, we can also consider the edge cycles given by these side-pairings are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\hat{z}_{0}^{+}, \hat{z}_{0}^{-}\right) \xrightarrow{R}\left(\hat{z}_{0}^{+}, \hat{z}_{0}^{-}\right), \\
& \left(\hat{z}_{1}^{+}, \hat{z}_{1}^{-}\right) \xrightarrow{S}\left(\hat{z}_{2}^{+}, \hat{z}_{2}^{-}\right) \xrightarrow{R^{-1}}\left(\hat{z}_{1}^{+}, \hat{z}_{1}^{-}\right), \\
& \left(\hat{z}_{1}^{-}, \hat{z}_{2}^{-}\right) \xrightarrow{S}\left(\hat{z}_{2}^{-}, \hat{z}_{1}^{+}\right) \xrightarrow{S}\left(\hat{z}_{1}^{+}, \hat{z}_{2}^{+}\right) \xrightarrow{T^{-1}}\left(\hat{z}_{1}^{-}, \hat{z}_{2}^{-}\right), \\
& \left(\hat{z}_{0}^{-}, \hat{z}_{1}^{-}\right) \xrightarrow{R}\left(\hat{z}_{0}^{-}, \hat{z}_{2}^{-}\right) \xrightarrow{T}\left(\hat{z}_{0}^{+}, \hat{z}_{2}^{+}\right) \xrightarrow{R^{-1}}\left(\hat{z}_{0}^{+}, \hat{z}_{1}^{+}\right) \xrightarrow{T^{-1}}\left(\hat{z}_{0}^{-}, \hat{z}_{1}^{-}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This has used all the edges of fundamental domain. The first of these cycles gives the relation $R^{6}=I$, the second gives the relation $\left(R^{-1} S\right)^{3}=I$, the third gives the relation $S^{2}=T$ and the last gives the relation $T^{-1} R^{-1} T R=I$. These relations can directly follow from the properties of matrices (3.1) and (3.2).

This enables us to give the following proposition.


Figure 3.2: Fundamental domain for $\left(G_{2}\right)_{\infty}$ in the Heisenberg group: the map $R$ rotates through $\pi / 3$ about $z=0$; the map $S$ is a screw Heisenberg rotation through $\pi$ about $z=1 / 2$ followed by an upward vertical translation by $1 / \sqrt{3}$; the map $T$ translates along $t$-axis by $2 / \sqrt{3}$.

Proposition 3.2.3. A fundamental domain for $\left(G_{2}\right)_{\infty}$ is the prism with vertices (in Heisenberg coordinates) $(z, t)=(0, \pm 1 / \sqrt{3}),(1 / 2-i / 2 \sqrt{3}, \pm 1 / \sqrt{3}),(1 / 2+i / 2 \sqrt{3}, \pm 1 / \sqrt{3})$.

A presentation is given by

$$
\left.\left(G_{2}\right)_{\infty}=\langle R, S, T| R^{6}=\left(R^{-1} S\right)^{3}=[R, T]=\text { identity, } S^{2}=T\right\rangle
$$

### 3.2.2 Generators of $G_{2}$

First consider the map

$$
I_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & i / \sqrt{3} \\
0 & -\omega & 0 \\
i \sqrt{3} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

it has the isometric sphere $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ given in horospherical coordinates by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}_{0}=\left\{(z, t, u):\left||z|^{2}+u-i t\right| \mid=2 / \sqrt{3}\right\} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

or in geographical coordinates $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ is parameterized by

$$
\left\{\left[\begin{array}{c}
-e^{i \theta} / \sqrt{3}  \tag{3.4}\\
r e^{i \alpha+i \theta / 2} \\
1
\end{array}\right]: \theta \in[-\pi / 2, \pi / 2], \alpha \in[-\pi / 2, \pi / 2), r \in\left[-\sqrt{\frac{2 \cos \theta}{\sqrt{3}}}, \sqrt{\frac{2 \cos \theta}{\sqrt{3}}}\right]\right\} .
$$

Observe that the action of $I_{1}$ on $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ is given by

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & i / \sqrt{3} \\
0 & -\omega & 0 \\
i \sqrt{3} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
-e^{i \theta} / \sqrt{3} \\
r e^{i \alpha+i \theta / 2} \\
1
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
i / \sqrt{3} \\
r e^{i \alpha+i \theta / 2-i \pi / 3} \\
-i e^{i \theta}
\end{array}\right]=-i e^{i \theta}\left[\begin{array}{c}
-e^{-i \theta} / \sqrt{3} \\
r e^{i(\alpha+\pi / 6)-i \theta / 2} \\
1
\end{array}\right]
$$

We see that $I_{1}$ maps $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ to itself, sending the point with coordinates $(r, \theta, \alpha)$ to the point with coordinates $(r,-\theta, \alpha+\pi / 6)$ when $-\pi / 2 \leq \alpha<\pi / 3$ or the point with coordinates $(-r,-\theta, \alpha-5 \pi / 6)$ when $\pi / 3 \leq \alpha<\pi / 2$. Therefore $I_{1}$ is an elliptic element of order 12 . Moreover, $I_{1}$ swaps the inside and the outside of $\mathcal{S}_{0}$.

Proposition 3.2.4. Let $\left(G_{2}\right)_{\infty}$ be the stabilizer of $q_{\infty}$ in $G_{2}$. Then there exists a fundamental domain for the action of $\left(G_{2}\right)_{\infty}$ on $\partial \boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ whose interior lies inside the ball in $\partial \boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ whose boundary is $\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}$.

Proof. The same results are proved for the Eisenstein-Picard group in [FP06] and GaussPicard group in [FFP10]. As in the proof of Proposition 3.2.3, the prism with vertices (in Heisenberg coordinates) $(0, \pm 1 / \sqrt{3}),(1 / 2-i / 2 \sqrt{3}, \pm 1 / \sqrt{3}),(1 / 2+i / 2 \sqrt{3}, \pm 1 / \sqrt{3})$ is a fundamental domain for $\left(G_{2}\right)_{\infty}$. All these vertices lie inside $\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}$ in Heisenberg group. Since $\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}$ is affinely convex (c.f. Lemma 1.4.7), the whole prism lies inside $\partial \mathcal{S}_{0}$.

We now show that adjoining $I_{1}$ to $\left(G_{2}\right)_{\infty}$ gives the full group $G_{2}$. In order to prove that, we should show that $\left\langle R, S, T, I_{1}\right\rangle$ has only one cusp. The fact that $G_{2}$ has only one cusp is already known we refer the reader to Par98.

Proposition 3.2.5. The group $G_{2}$ is generated by $T, R, S$ and $I_{1}$.
Proof. (cf. FP06, FFP10]) The same result for the Eisenstein-Picard group and GaussPicard group. Since a fundamental domain for $\left\langle R, S, T, I_{1}\right\rangle$ lies outside the isometric sphere of $I_{1}$ and inside the fundamental domain for $\langle R, S, T\rangle$. The Proposition 3.2.4 implies $\left\langle R, S, T, I_{1}\right\rangle$ has only one cusp. The fact that $G_{2}$ has the same cusp as the group generated by $T, R, S$ and $I_{1}$ implies that they are the same.

### 3.3 Construction of a prism

In this section, we will construct a prism $\mathbf{P}_{0}$ by the intersection of isometric spheres. In fact, the prism $\mathbf{P}_{0}$ is the compact part of the boundary of a fundamental domain for $G_{2}$. To have a global view of the prism we refer the readers to Figures 3.3 and 3.6 . The prism contains two compact sides of the fundamental domain and the other sides are cones based at the faces of this prism with cone point the ideal vertex.

We begin by investigating the intersection of $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ with its neighboring isometric spheres.

### 3.3.1 The intersection of $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ and its neighbors

Here we will use some isometric spheres and their intersections to define the compact sides. The bisectors we need are the following:

$$
\mathcal{S}_{0}, T\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right), T^{-1}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right), S\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right), S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right), R S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right), R^{-1} S\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)
$$

which are, respectively, the isometric spheres of

$$
I_{1}, \quad T I_{1} T^{-1}, \quad T^{-1} I_{1} T, \quad S I_{1} S^{-1}, \quad S^{-1} I_{1} S, \quad R S^{-1} I_{1} S R^{-1}, \quad R^{-1} S I_{1} S^{-1} R
$$

In particular, it suffices to determine

$$
T^{ \pm}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right) \cap \mathcal{S}_{0}, \quad S^{ \pm}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right) \cap \mathcal{S}_{0}
$$

The other intersections are easily obtained from these. For example,

$$
R^{-1} S\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right) \cap \mathcal{S}_{0}=R^{-1}\left(S\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right) \cap \mathcal{S}_{0}\right)
$$

We need to investigate the intersection of these bisectors with $\mathcal{S}_{0}$. A direct computation shows that $T\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right), T^{-1}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right), S\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right), S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
T\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right) & =\left\{(z, t, u):\left||z|^{2}+u-i t+2 i / \sqrt{3}\right|=2 / \sqrt{3}\right\}  \tag{3.5}\\
T^{-1}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right) & =\left\{(z, t, u):\left||z|^{2}+u-i t-2 i / \sqrt{3}\right|=2 / \sqrt{3}\right\}  \tag{3.6}\\
S\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right) & =\left\{(z, t, u):\left||z|^{2}-2 z+u+1-i t+i / \sqrt{3}\right|=2 / \sqrt{3}\right\}  \tag{3.7}\\
S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right) & =\left\{(z, t, u):\left||z|^{2}-2 z+u+1-i t-i / \sqrt{3}\right|=2 / \sqrt{3}\right\} \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

We start to describe the intersection of these bisectors. Note that $T^{ \pm}$and $S^{ \pm}$will be denoted by $T, T^{-1}$ and $S, S^{-1}$ respectively in Lemmas 3.3.1 and 3.3.2.

Lemma 3.3.1. In geographical coordinates, a point $(r, \theta, \alpha)$ of $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ with $\theta \in[-\pi / 6, \pi / 6]$ does not intersect the interior of $T\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$ and $T^{-1}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$. Furthermore, $T^{ \pm}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right) \cap \mathcal{S}_{0}$ is a common slice of the bisectors $T^{ \pm}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$ and $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ which corresponds to $\theta=\mp \pi / 6$.

Proof. Recall that a point of $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ is given by

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
-e^{i \theta} / \sqrt{3} \\
r e^{i \alpha+i \theta / 2} \\
1
\end{array}\right]
$$

In horospherical coordinates, it is given by $\left(r e^{i \alpha+i \theta / 2},-2 \sin \theta / \sqrt{3}, 2 \cos \theta / \sqrt{3}-r^{2}\right)$, where $\theta \in[-\pi / 2, \pi / 2], \alpha \in[-\pi / 2, \pi / 2), r \in[-\sqrt{(2 \cos \theta) / \sqrt{3}}, \sqrt{(2 \cos \theta) / \sqrt{3}}]$. Therefore, a point of $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ does not intersect the interior of $T\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$ if and only if

$$
\left|r^{2}+\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \cos \theta-r^{2}+i \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \sin \theta+i \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\right| \geq \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}
$$

A simple computation implies that $\sin \theta \geq-1 / 2$, i.e. $\theta \in[-\pi / 6, \pi / 2]$. Similarly, a point of $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ does not intersect the interior of $T^{-1}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$ if and only if $\theta \in[-\pi / 2, \pi / 6]$. Therefore, $T^{ \pm}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right) \cap \mathcal{S}_{0}$ are respectively the slices $\theta=\mp \pi / 6$. This completes the result.

Lemma 3.3.2. $S^{ \pm}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right) \cap \mathcal{S}_{0}$ is a topological disk.
Proof. In fact in horospherical coordinates $(z, t, u)$ of the bisector $\mathcal{S}_{0}$, the intersection is foliated by arcs of circles, each contained in a slice of height $t$. We show it explicitly as follows. Recall that the coordinates $(z, t)$, with that $|z|^{4}+t^{2}<4 / 3$, parameterize the bisector $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ whose equation, in horospherical coordinates, are $\left(|z|^{2}+u\right)^{2}+t^{2}=4 / 3$. As vectors in $\mathbb{C}^{2,1}$ we obtain therefore

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
\left(-|z|^{2}-u+i t\right) / 2 \\
z \\
1
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\left(-\sqrt{4 / 3-t^{2}}+i t\right) / 2 \\
z \\
1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S \cdot\left[\begin{array}{c}
\left(-\sqrt{4 / 3-t^{2}}+i t\right) / 2 \\
z \\
1
\end{array}\right] \\
& \quad=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & -\bar{\omega} i / \sqrt{3} \\
0 & -1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\left(-\sqrt{4 / 3-t^{2}}+i t\right) / 2 \\
z \\
1
\end{array}\right] \\
& \quad=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\left(-\sqrt{4 / 3-t^{2}}+i t\right) / 2+z-\bar{\omega} i / \sqrt{3} \\
z \\
1
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The condition that such a point lies in $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ is that the first entry has absolute value $1 / \sqrt{3}$, that is,

$$
\left|\frac{-\sqrt{4 / 3-t^{2}}+i t}{2}+z-\frac{\bar{\omega} i}{\sqrt{3}}\right|=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} .
$$

For each fixed height (with $-2 / \sqrt{3}<t<2 / \sqrt{3}$ ), the intersection lies on the circle centered at $\left(\sqrt{4 / 3-t^{2}}-i t\right) / 2+\bar{\omega} i / \sqrt{3}$ with radius $1 / \sqrt{3}$. The condition for the existence of an intersection between this circle and the slice of height $t$ is that the sum of the radii of these two circles, $1 / \sqrt{3}+\left(4 / 3-t^{2}\right)^{1 / 4}$, be greater than the distance between the centers, that is, $\left|\left(\sqrt{4 / 3-t^{2}}-i t\right) / 2+\bar{\omega} i / \sqrt{3}\right|$. That gives the equation

$$
\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}+\left(\frac{4}{3}-t^{2}\right)^{1 / 4}\right]^{2}>\left|\frac{\bar{\omega} i}{\sqrt{3}}+\frac{\sqrt{4 / 3-t^{2}}-i t}{2}\right|^{2}
$$

whose solution is

$$
-\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}<t<\frac{(291 \sqrt{3}+504)^{1 / 3}}{3}+\frac{1}{(291 \sqrt{3}+504)^{1 / 3}}-\frac{4 \sqrt{3}}{3}<\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}
$$

This shows that the intersection is foliated by a one-parameter family of arcs of circles and therefore is a topological disc. Observe that $S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right) \cap \mathcal{S}_{0}=S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0} \cap S\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)\right.$ implies that is also a topological disk.

We describe this intersection in more details below.

### 3.3.2 The vertices

In this section we define the vertices of the prism, all of them will correspond to vertices of the fundamental domain for $\left(G_{2}\right)_{\infty}$ in the Heisenberg group. In fact, the vertical projection $(z, t, u) \rightarrow(z, t)$ which projects the geodesic passing through $(z, t, u)$ to $q_{\infty}$ to its end point $(z, t)$ maps the vertices of this prism to the vertices of fundamental domain for $\left(G_{2}\right)_{\infty}$.

We start to define the vertices of the prism as points of $\mathbb{C}^{2,1}$ and discuss their horospherical and geographical coordinates. There are three vertices lying on the common slice of $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ and $T\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$, which are denoted by $z_{j}^{+}, j=0,1,2$. Let $z_{0}^{+}$define the intersection of the spine of $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ and the common slice $\theta=-\pi / 6$ (that is height $t=1 / \sqrt{3}$ in the Heisenberg $\operatorname{group} \mathcal{N})$. Other two vertices are the intersection of four bisectors, that are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z_{1}^{+}=\mathcal{S}_{0} \cap T\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right) \cap S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right) \cap R^{-1} S\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right) \\
& z_{2}^{+}=\mathcal{S}_{0} \cap T\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right) \cap S\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right) \cap R S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, three vertices lie on the common slice of $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ and $T^{-1}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$, which are denoted by $z_{j}^{-}, j=0,1,2$. Let $z_{0}^{-}$define the intersection of the spine of $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ and the common slice $\theta=\pi / 6$ (that is height $t=-1 / \sqrt{3}$ in $\mathcal{N}$ ). Other two vertices are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z_{1}^{-}=\mathcal{S}_{0} \cap T^{-1}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right) \cap S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right) \cap R^{-1} S\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right) \\
& z_{2}^{-}=\mathcal{S}_{0} \cap T^{-1}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right) \cap S\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right) \cap R S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We now list all the vertices as points of $\mathbb{C}^{2,1}$ :
The vertices on the common slice of $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ and $T\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$ are

$$
z_{0}^{+}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{i}{2 \sqrt{3}} \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right], \quad z_{1}^{+}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{i}{2 \sqrt{3}} \\
\frac{1}{2}-\frac{i}{2 \sqrt{3}} \\
1
\end{array}\right], \quad z_{2}^{+}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{i}{2 \sqrt{3}} \\
\frac{1}{2}+\frac{i}{2 \sqrt{3}} \\
1
\end{array}\right]
$$

The vertices on the common slice of $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ and $T^{-1}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$ are

$$
z_{0}^{-}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{i}{2 \sqrt{3}} \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right], \quad z_{1}^{-}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{i}{2 \sqrt{3}} \\
\frac{1}{2}-\frac{i}{2 \sqrt{3}} \\
1
\end{array}\right], \quad z_{2}^{-}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{i}{2 \sqrt{3}} \\
\frac{1}{2}+\frac{i}{2 \sqrt{3}} \\
1
\end{array}\right]
$$

In horospherical and geographical coordinates of these vertices $z_{j}^{ \pm}$points are given by

|  | $z$ | $t$ | $u$ | $r$ | $\theta$ | $\alpha$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $z_{0}^{+}$ | 0 | $1 / \sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 0 | $-\pi / 6$ |  |
| $z_{1}^{+}$ | $1 / 2-i / 2 \sqrt{3}$ | $1 / \sqrt{3}$ | $2 / 3$ | $1 / \sqrt{3}$ | $-\pi / 6$ | $-\pi / 12$ |
| $z_{2}^{+}$ | $1 / 2+i / 2 \sqrt{3}$ | $1 / \sqrt{3}$ | $2 / 3$ | $1 / \sqrt{3}$ | $-\pi / 6$ | $\pi / 4$ |
| $z_{0}^{-}$ | 0 | $-1 / \sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 0 | $\pi / 6$ |  |
| $z_{1}^{-}$ | $1 / 2-i / 2 \sqrt{3}$ | $-1 / \sqrt{3}$ | $2 / 3$ | $1 / \sqrt{3}$ | $\pi / 6$ | $-\pi / 4$ |
| $z_{2}^{-}$ | $1 / 2+i / 2 \sqrt{3}$ | $-1 / \sqrt{3}$ | $2 / 3$ | $1 / \sqrt{3}$ | $\pi / 6$ | $\pi / 12$ |

By computation we verify that $z_{1}^{+}, z_{2}^{-} \in S\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right) \cap S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$.

### 3.3.3 The edges

We first investigate the intersection of $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ and $S\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right), S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$ more closely than before. We compute it explicitly in geographical coordinates and show the following result.

Lemma 3.3.3. A point $(r, \theta, \alpha)$ of $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ in geographical coordinates with $-\pi / 12 \leq \alpha \leq \pi / 4$ does not intersect the interior of $S\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$, provided that

$$
r \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left[2 \cos \left(\frac{\theta}{2}-\frac{\pi}{12}\right) \cos \left(\alpha-\frac{\pi}{12}\right)-\sqrt{1-4 \cos ^{2}\left(\frac{\theta}{2}-\frac{\pi}{12}\right) \sin ^{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{\pi}{12}\right)}\right]
$$

with equality if and only if the point lies in $\mathcal{S}_{0} \cap S\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$.
Proof. A point of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ does not lie in the interior of $S\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$ (cf. 3.7) if satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \leq\left||z|^{2}-2 z+u+1-i t+\frac{i}{\sqrt{3}}\right| . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If such a point lies on $\mathcal{S}_{0}$, we can write it in geographical coordinates. Substituting in (3.9) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \leq\left|-\sqrt{3} r e^{i(\alpha+\theta / 2)}+e^{i \theta}+e^{i \pi / 6}\right|=\left|\sqrt{3} r e^{i(\alpha-\pi / 12)}-2 \cos \left(\frac{\theta}{2}-\frac{\pi}{12}\right)\right| . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have equality in (3.10) if and only if the point lies in $\mathcal{S}_{0} \cap S\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$. Expanding out the right-hand side of 3.10), we see that is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 r^{2}-4 \sqrt{3} r \cos \left(\frac{\theta}{2}-\frac{\pi}{12}\right) \cos \left(\alpha-\frac{\pi}{12}\right)+4 \cos ^{2}\left(\frac{\theta}{2}-\frac{\pi}{12}\right)-1 \geq 0 \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By solving this inequality, we know that all points of $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ do not intersect the interior of $S\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$ if satisfy with

$$
r \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left[2 \cos \left(\frac{\theta}{2}-\frac{\pi}{12}\right) \cos \left(\alpha-\frac{\pi}{12}\right)-\sqrt{1-4 \cos ^{2}\left(\frac{\theta}{2}-\frac{\pi}{12}\right) \sin ^{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{\pi}{12}\right)}\right]
$$

or

$$
r \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left[2 \cos \left(\frac{\theta}{2}-\frac{\pi}{12}\right) \cos \left(\alpha-\frac{\pi}{12}\right)+\sqrt{1-4 \cos ^{2}\left(\frac{\theta}{2}-\frac{\pi}{12}\right) \sin ^{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{\pi}{12}\right)}\right] .
$$

We claim that the second of these solutions is always greater than $\sqrt{2 \cos (\theta) / \sqrt{3}}$ as $-\pi / 12 \leq \alpha \leq \pi / 4$ and so does not correspond to a point of $\mathcal{S}_{0}$. In order to see this, observe that $-\pi / 12 \leq \alpha \leq \pi / 4$ implies $2 \cos (\alpha / 2-\pi / 12) \geq \sqrt{3}$ and $4 \sin ^{2}(\alpha-\pi / 12) \leq 1$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left[2 \cos \left(\frac{\theta}{2}-\frac{\pi}{12}\right) \cos \left(\alpha-\frac{\pi}{12}\right)+\sqrt{1-4 \cos ^{2}\left(\frac{\theta}{2}-\frac{\pi}{12}\right) \sin ^{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{\pi}{12}\right)}\right] \\
& \quad \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left[\sqrt{3} \cos \left(\frac{\theta}{2}-\frac{\pi}{12}\right)-\sin \left(\frac{\theta}{2}-\frac{\pi}{12}\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \cos \left(\frac{\theta}{2}+\frac{\pi}{12}\right) \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\left[\frac{\cos (\theta+\pi / 6)+1}{\sqrt{3}}\right]} \\
& \geq \sqrt{\frac{2 \cos \theta}{\sqrt{3}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

In fact,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\cos (\theta+\pi / 6)+1}{\sqrt{3}}-\cos \theta & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left[\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \cos \theta-\frac{1}{2} \sin \theta+1-\sqrt{3} \cos \theta\right] \\
& =\frac{1-\sin (\theta+\pi / 3)}{\sqrt{3}} \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that when $\theta=\pi / 6$ and $\alpha=\pi / 12$ or $\pi / 4$, then we have equality in both inequalities above. But in this case $1=4 \cos ^{2}(\theta / 2-\pi / 12) \sin ^{2}(\alpha-\pi / 12)$, so the quadratic equation (3.11) has a repeated root.

Similarly, we can also obtain the following results.
Lemma 3.3.4. A point $(r, \theta, \alpha)$ of $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ in geographical coordinates with $-\pi / 4 \leq \alpha \leq \pi / 12$ does not intersect the interior of $S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$, provided that

$$
r \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left[2 \cos \left(\frac{\theta}{2}+\frac{\pi}{12}\right) \cos \left(\alpha+\frac{\pi}{12}\right)-\sqrt{1-4 \cos ^{2}\left(\frac{\theta}{2}+\frac{\pi}{12}\right) \sin ^{2}\left(\alpha+\frac{\pi}{12}\right)}\right]
$$

with equality if and only if the point lies in $\mathcal{S}_{0} \cap S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$.
We should understand the intersection of $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ and $R^{-1} S\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right), R S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$ and observe that $R^{-1} S\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right) \cap \mathcal{S}_{0}=R^{-1}\left(S\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right) \cap \mathcal{S}_{0}\right), R S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right) \cap \mathcal{S}_{0}=R\left(S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right) \cap \mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$. The following lemmas can be derived from Lemma 3.3.3 and Lemma 3.3.4.

Lemma 3.3.5. A point $(r, \theta, \alpha)$ of $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ in geographical coordinates with $-\pi / 4 \leq \alpha \leq-\pi / 12$ does not intersect the interior of $R^{-1} S\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$, provided that

$$
r \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left[2 \cos \left(\frac{\theta}{2}-\frac{\pi}{12}\right) \cos \left(\alpha+\frac{\pi}{4}\right)-\sqrt{1-4 \cos ^{2}\left(\frac{\theta}{2}-\frac{\pi}{12}\right) \sin ^{2}\left(\alpha+\frac{\pi}{4}\right)}\right]
$$

with equality if and only if the point lies in $\mathcal{S}_{0} \cap R^{-1} S\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$.
Lemma 3.3.6. A point $(r, \theta, \alpha)$ of $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ in geographical coordinates with $-\pi / 12 \leq \alpha \leq 5 \pi / 12$ does not intersect the interior of $R S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$, provided that

$$
r \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left[2 \cos \left(\frac{\theta}{2}+\frac{\pi}{12}\right) \cos \left(\alpha-\frac{\pi}{4}\right)-\sqrt{1-4 \cos ^{2}\left(\frac{\theta}{2}+\frac{\pi}{12}\right) \sin ^{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{\pi}{4}\right)}\right]
$$

with equality if and only if the point lies in $\mathcal{S}_{0} \cap R S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$.
We can now characterize the edges of the prism not containing $q_{\infty}$. Some edges are obtained by intersecting three bisectors. Some edges are contained in geodesic arcs by construction. We now list them in the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3.7. (i) The edge joining $z_{0}^{+}$and $z_{0}^{-}$is contained in the spine of $\mathcal{S}_{0}$.
(ii) The edge joining $z_{0}^{ \pm}$and $z_{j}^{ \pm}$for $j=1,2$ is a geodesic arc.
(iii) The edge joining $z_{1}^{+}$and $z_{2}^{+}$is given by points in geographical coordinates

$$
\theta=-\frac{\pi}{6}, \quad r=\cos \left(\alpha-\frac{\pi}{12}\right)-\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}-\sin ^{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{\pi}{12}\right)}, \quad-\frac{\pi}{12} \leq \alpha \leq \frac{\pi}{4}
$$

(iv) The edge joining $z_{1}^{-}$and $z_{2}^{-}$is given by points in geographical coordinates

$$
\theta=\frac{\pi}{6}, \quad r=\cos \left(\alpha+\frac{\pi}{12}\right)-\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}-\sin ^{2}\left(\alpha+\frac{\pi}{12}\right)}, \quad-\frac{\pi}{4} \leq \alpha \leq \frac{\pi}{12}
$$

(v) The edge joining $z_{1}^{+}$and $z_{1}^{-}$is given by points in geographical coordinates

$$
r=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \quad \alpha=-\frac{\theta}{2}-\frac{\pi}{6} \quad \text { and } \quad-\frac{\pi}{6} \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{6}
$$

Notice that the complex line $z=r e^{i \alpha+i \theta / 2}=1 / 2-i / 2 \sqrt{3}$ contains this edge.
(vi) The edge joining $z_{2}^{+}$and $z_{2}^{-}$is given by points in geographical coordinates

$$
r=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \quad \alpha=\frac{\pi}{6}-\frac{\theta}{2} \quad \text { and } \quad-\frac{\pi}{6} \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{6}
$$

Notice that this edge lies in the complex line $z=1 / 2-i / 2 \sqrt{3}$.
(vii) The edge joining $z_{1}^{+}$and $z_{2}^{-}$is given by points in geographical coordinates

$$
r=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \quad \alpha=\frac{\theta}{2} \quad \text { and } \quad-\frac{\pi}{6} \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{6}
$$

Proof. Parts (i) and (ii) follow by construction.
We prove (iii) and then (iv) follows similarly. The edge joining $z_{1}^{+}$and $z_{2}^{+}$is defined to the common intersection of the bisectors $\mathcal{S}_{0}, T\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right), S\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$. Being in the first two of these implies that $\theta=-\pi / 6$ following from Lemma 3.3.1. Substituting in Lemma 3.3.3 and requiring equality gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
r & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left[\sqrt{3} \cos \left(\alpha-\frac{\pi}{12}\right)-\sqrt{1-3 \sin ^{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{\pi}{12}\right)}\right] \\
& =\cos \left(\alpha-\frac{\pi}{12}\right)-\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}-\sin ^{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{\pi}{12}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We know that $\alpha=-\pi / 12$ at $z_{1}^{+}$and $\alpha=\pi / 4$ at $z_{2}^{+}$. Moreover, $r=1 / \sqrt{3}$ at both $z_{1}^{+}$ and $z_{2}^{+}$.

We now prove (vi) and then (v), (vii) follows similarly. The edge joining $z_{2}^{+}$and $z_{2}^{-}$is defined to the common intersection of the bisectors $\mathcal{S}_{0}, S\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right), R S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$. As in the proof of Lemma 3.3.3. the intersection of $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ and $S\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$ implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 r^{2}-4 \sqrt{3} r \cos \left(\frac{\theta}{2}-\frac{\pi}{12}\right) \cos \left(\alpha-\frac{\pi}{12}\right)+4 \cos ^{2}\left(\frac{\theta}{2}-\frac{\pi}{12}\right)-1=0 \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, the intersection of $R S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$ with $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ implies another equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 r^{2}-4 \sqrt{3} r \cos \left(\frac{\theta}{2}+\frac{\pi}{12}\right) \cos \left(\alpha-\frac{\pi}{4}\right)+4 \cos ^{2}\left(\frac{\theta}{2}+\frac{\pi}{12}\right)-1=0 \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

First we write $\phi=\alpha-\pi / 6$. Then subtracting the equations 3.12 and 3.13 gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{3} r \sin \left(\frac{\theta}{2}-\phi\right)=\sin \theta \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 3.3: A schematic view of 1-skeleton of the prism $\mathbf{P}_{0}$. The compact sides are contained in the isometric sphere $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ and the noncompact sides of the fundamental domain are cones over the faces of the prism with cone point $\infty$.

Furthermore, adding the equations $(3.12$ and $(3.13$ derives

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =3 r^{2}-2 \sqrt{3} r \cos \left(\frac{\theta}{2}+\phi\right)-3 r \cos \left(\frac{\theta}{2}-\phi\right)+\sqrt{3} \cos \theta+1 \\
& =3 r^{2}-\sqrt{3} r(2 \cos \theta+\sqrt{3}) \cos \left(\frac{\theta}{2}-\phi\right)-2 \sqrt{3} r \sin \theta \sin \left(\frac{\theta}{2}-\phi\right)+\sqrt{3} \cos \theta+1 \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining with the equation (3.14), we can simplify the equation 3.15 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{3} r(2 \cos \theta+\sqrt{3}) \cos \left(\frac{\theta}{2}-\phi\right)=3 r^{2}-1+(2 \cos \theta+\sqrt{3}) \cos \theta \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Making use of the equalities (3.14) and (3.16), we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
3 r^{2}(2 \cos \theta+\sqrt{3})^{2}= & 3 r^{2}(2 \cos \theta+\sqrt{3})^{2} \cos ^{2}\left(\frac{\theta}{2}-\phi\right) \\
& +3 r^{2}(2 \cos \theta+\sqrt{3})^{2} \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\theta}{2}-\phi\right) \\
= & {\left[3 r^{2}-1+(2 \cos \theta+\sqrt{3}) \cos \theta\right]^{2}+(2 \cos \theta+\sqrt{3})^{2}\left(1-\cos ^{2} \theta\right) } \\
= & \left(3 r^{2}-1\right)^{2}+2\left(3 r^{2}-1\right)^{2}(2 \cos \theta+\sqrt{3}) \cos \theta+(2 \cos \theta+\sqrt{3})^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking all terms to the right of the equation and simplifying, we can obtain

$$
0=\left(3 r^{2}-1\right)\left(3 r^{2}-2 \sqrt{3} \cos \theta-4\right)
$$

Thus, we can get the solution that

$$
3 r^{2}=1 \quad \text { or } \quad 3 r^{2}=2 \sqrt{3} \cos \theta+4
$$

of which the latter is impossible since $3 r^{2} \leq 2 \sqrt{3} \cos \theta$. So $r=1 / \sqrt{3}$. Substituting $r=$ $1 / \sqrt{3}$ in the equation 3.14 , it is easy to get $\phi=-\theta / 2$, that is, $\alpha=\pi / 6-\theta / 2$. This proves the result.

Remark 3.3.8. The edges $z_{1}^{+} z_{1}^{-}, z_{1}^{+} z_{2}^{-}$and $z_{2}^{+} z_{2}^{-}$lie on the surface of cylinder which is given in the Euclidian coordinates $(x, y, z)$ by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x^{2}+y^{2}=1 / 3 \\
-\pi / 6 \leq z \leq \pi / 6
\end{array}\right.
$$

However, two faces $\left(z_{1}^{+}, z_{1}^{-}, z_{2}^{-}\right)$and $\left(z_{1}^{+}, z_{2}^{-}, z_{2}^{+}\right)$don't lie on the surface of cylinder.

### 3.3.4 Compact sides

In this section, we define two compact sides for the fundamental domain contained in the isometric sphere $\mathcal{S}_{0}$. For the sake of convenience, two compact sides are denoted by $\mathbf{F}_{7}, \mathbf{F}_{8}$ and the non-compact sides will be denoted by $\mathbf{F}_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq 6$.

Definition 3.3.9. In geographical coordinates from (3.4), the compact sides are the points in $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ given by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{F}_{7}: & 0 \leq r \leq \rho_{1}(\theta, \alpha), \quad-\pi / 6 \leq \theta \leq \pi / 6, \quad-\theta / 2-\pi / 6 \leq \alpha \leq \theta / 2 \\
\mathbf{F}_{8}: & 0 \leq r \leq \rho_{2}(\theta, \alpha), \quad-\pi / 6 \leq \theta \leq \pi / 6, \quad \theta / 2 \leq \alpha \leq \pi / 6-\theta / 2
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho_{1}(\theta, \alpha)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left[2 \cos \left(\frac{\theta}{2}+\frac{\pi}{12}\right) \cos \left(\alpha+\frac{\pi}{12}\right)-\sqrt{1-4 \cos ^{2}\left(\frac{\theta}{2}+\frac{\pi}{12}\right) \sin ^{2}\left(\alpha+\frac{\pi}{12}\right)}\right] \\
& \rho_{2}(\theta, \alpha)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left[2 \cos \left(\frac{\theta}{2}-\frac{\pi}{12}\right) \cos \left(\alpha-\frac{\pi}{12}\right)-\sqrt{1-4 \cos ^{2}\left(\frac{\theta}{2}-\frac{\pi}{12}\right) \sin ^{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{\pi}{12}\right)}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

A realistic view of $\mathbf{F}_{7}$ and $\mathbf{F}_{8}$ is given in Figure 3.4. We next describe explicitly all the faces of $\mathbf{F}_{7}$ and $\mathbf{F}_{8}$.
(i) The face $\mathcal{F}_{1}=\left(z_{0}^{+}, z_{0}^{-}, z_{1}^{-}, z_{1}^{+}\right)$of $\mathbf{F}_{7}$ is union of geodesics arcs with endpoints in edges $\left(z_{0}^{+} z_{0}^{-}\right)$and $\left(z_{1}^{+}, z_{1}^{-}\right)$on each slice. Therefore, its points are parameterized by

$$
0 \leq r \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \quad \alpha=-\frac{\theta}{2}-\frac{\pi}{6} \quad \text { and } \quad-\frac{\pi}{6} \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{6}
$$

We remark the projection of $\mathcal{F}_{1}$ on the Heisenberg group is the same as the face $\left(\hat{z}_{0}^{+}, \hat{z}_{0}^{-}, \hat{z}_{1}^{-}, \hat{z}_{1}^{+}\right)$of the prism in Figure 3.2 , which corresponds to $\arg (z)=-\pi / 6$.
(ii) The common face $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}=\left(z_{0}^{+}, z_{1}^{+}, z_{2}^{-}, z_{0}^{-}\right)$of $\mathbf{F}_{7}$ and $\mathbf{F}_{8}$ is the union of geodesics arcs with endpoints in edges $\left(z_{0}^{+} z_{0}^{-}\right)$and $\left(z_{1}^{+}, z_{2}^{-}\right)$on each slice. Therefore, its points are parameterized by

$$
0 \leq r \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \quad \alpha=\frac{\theta}{2} \quad \text { and } \quad-\frac{\pi}{6} \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{6}
$$



Figure 3.4: A realistic view of the two compact sides contained in $\mathcal{S}_{0}$.
(iii) The face $\mathcal{F}_{2}=\left(z_{0}^{+}, z_{0}^{-}, z_{2}^{-}, z_{2}^{+}\right)$of $\mathbf{F}_{8}$ is union of geodesics arcs with endpoints in edges $\left(z_{0}^{+} z_{0}^{-}\right)$and $\left(z_{2}^{+}, z_{2}^{-}\right)$on each slice. Therefore, its points are parameterized by

$$
0 \leq r \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \quad \alpha=\frac{\pi}{6}-\frac{\theta}{2} \quad \text { and } \quad-\frac{\pi}{6} \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{6} .
$$

We remark the projection of $\mathcal{F}_{2}$ on the Heisenberg group is the same as the face $\left(\hat{z}_{0}^{+}, \hat{z}_{0}^{-}, \hat{z}_{2}^{-}, \hat{z}_{2}^{+}\right)$of the prism in Figure 3.2, which corresponds to $\arg (z)=\pi / 6$.
(iv) The face $\mathcal{F}_{3}=\left(z_{0}^{-}, z_{1}^{-}, z_{2}^{-}\right)$of $\mathbf{F}_{7}$ is its intersection with the bisector $T^{-1}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$ given by $\theta=\pi / 6$. Therefore, its points are parameterized by $-\pi / 4 \leq \alpha \leq \pi / 12$ and

$$
0 \leq r \leq \cos \left(\alpha+\frac{\pi}{12}\right)-\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}-\sin ^{2}\left(\alpha+\frac{\pi}{12}\right)}
$$

(v) The face $\mathcal{F}_{4}=\left(z_{0}^{+}, z_{1}^{+}, z_{2}^{+}\right)$of $\mathbf{F}_{8}$ is its intersection with the bisector $T\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$ given by $\theta=-\pi / 6$. Therefore, its points are parameterized by $-\pi / 12 \leq \alpha \leq \pi / 4$ and

$$
0 \leq r \leq \cos \left(\alpha-\frac{\pi}{12}\right)-\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}-\sin ^{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{\pi}{12}\right)}
$$

(vi) The face $\mathcal{F}_{5}=\left(z_{1}^{+}, z_{1}^{-}, z_{2}^{-}\right)$of $\mathbf{F}_{7}$ is its intersection with the bisector $S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$ given by $-\pi / 6 \leq \theta \leq \pi / 6,-\theta / 2-\pi / 6 \leq \alpha \leq \theta / 2$ and

$$
r=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left[2 \cos \left(\frac{\theta}{2}+\frac{\pi}{12}\right) \cos \left(\alpha+\frac{\pi}{12}\right)-\sqrt{1-4 \cos ^{2}\left(\frac{\theta}{2}+\frac{\pi}{12}\right) \sin ^{2}\left(\alpha+\frac{\pi}{12}\right)}\right] .
$$



Figure 3.5: The modifications on the faces $\mathcal{F}_{5}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{6}$ : top view.
(vii) The face $\mathcal{F}_{6}=\left(z_{1}^{+}, z_{2}^{-}, z_{2}^{+}\right)$of $\mathbf{F}_{8}$ is its intersection with the bisector $S\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$ given by $-\pi / 6 \leq \theta \leq \pi / 6, \theta / 2 \leq \alpha \leq \pi / 6-\theta / 2$ and

$$
r=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left[2 \cos \left(\frac{\theta}{2}-\frac{\pi}{12}\right) \cos \left(\alpha-\frac{\pi}{12}\right)-\sqrt{1-4 \cos ^{2}\left(\frac{\theta}{2}-\frac{\pi}{12}\right) \sin ^{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{\pi}{12}\right)}\right] .
$$

We remark that the major modifications for the fundamental domain of $\left(G_{2}\right)_{\infty}$ occurred in the faces $\mathcal{F}_{5}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{6}$, see Figure 3.5 .

### 3.3.5 The basic prism

We now are ready to construct the basic prism $\mathbf{P}_{0}$. In the previous section, we have constructed a fundamental domain for $\left(G_{2}\right)_{\infty}$. Thus a fundamental domain for $G_{2}$ is the intersection of the outside of the isometric sphere $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ of $I_{1}$ with the fundamental domain of $\left(G_{2}\right)_{\infty}$ we have already constructed. More precisely, the fundamental domain for $G_{2}$ is the geodesic cone over the interior of the basic prism to the cone point $\infty$.

In order to make the boundary of our prism lie outside any other isometric sphere, we should make suitable modifications to the fundamental domain of $\left(G_{2}\right)_{\infty}$. The modifications consist of using the intersection of $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ and its neighboring isometric spheres stated in Figure 3.5. The vertices of the fundamental domain are the same as those for the intersection of $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ with the prism we have already constructed for $\left(G_{2}\right)_{\infty}$, that is also the intersection of three neighboring bisectors with $\mathcal{S}_{0}$, listed in Section 3.3.2. There are some geodesic edges, one of whose is contained in the spine of isometric sphere $\mathcal{S}_{0}$, the others are each contained in one of two slices of bisector $\mathcal{S}_{0}$. Some generic edges are the intersection of three bisectors constructed in Section 3.3.3.

The two dimensional faces containing $q_{\infty}$ are foliated by geodesics starting at the ideal point $q_{\infty}$ and arriving at the corresponding edges. To determine the remaining compact faces and sides, we observe that all the finite edges are contained in the isometric sphere $\mathcal{S}_{0}$. Two of the faces are contained in complex lines, two of the faces are foliated by a family of geodesic arcs with endpoints at two edges, and the two remaining faces are defined as intersections of $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ with appropriate images of $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ under elements of $\left(G_{2}\right)_{\infty}$.

The two compact sides are contained in $\mathcal{S}_{0}$. The other six sides are cones based at the faces of the prism with the cone point the ideal vertex $q_{\infty}$, all of which are listed in Section 3.3.4.

We give the definition of the basic prism $\mathbf{P}_{0}$.

(a) 1-skeleton

(b) 3-skeleton

Figure 3.6: A realistic view of the basic prism $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{0}}$ inside the isometric sphere $\mathcal{S}_{0}$.

Definition 3.3.10. In geographical coordinates, the prism $\boldsymbol{P}_{0}$ consists of those points of $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ for which $-\pi / 6 \leq \theta \leq \pi / 6$,

$$
0 \leq r \leq \begin{cases}\rho_{1}(\theta, \alpha) & \text { for } \quad-\theta / 2-\pi / 6 \leq \alpha \leq \theta / 2 \\ \rho_{2}(\theta, \alpha) & \text { for } \quad \theta / 2 \leq \alpha \leq \pi / 6-\theta / 2\end{cases}
$$

where $\rho_{1}(\theta, \alpha)$ and $\rho_{2}(\theta, \alpha)$ are defined as before.

Following from the Definitions 3.3 .9 and 3.3 .10 , we see clearly that the basic prism $\mathbf{P}_{0}$ is the union of two compact sides $\mathbf{F}_{7}$ and $\mathbf{F}_{8}$. (Compare with the standard fundamental domain for $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$, that is a ideal geodesic triangle whose boundary consists of two vertical lines and an arc of Euclidean circle with radius 1 centered at origin. This arc is only one compact side of the fundamental domain of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ with its side pairing $z \mapsto-1 / z)$. The boundary of the prism are all the faces of the two compact sides except for the common face $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ that is in the interior of $\mathbf{P}_{0}$. The schematic view is given in Figure 3.3 and a realistic view is given in Figure 3.6.

### 3.4 The side pairing maps

In the previous section we have constructed two compact sides $\mathbf{F}_{7}$ and $\mathbf{F}_{8}$ of the fundamental domain. The other sides are cones from the faces of our prism to the point $q_{\infty}$ defined in Section 3.4.2. A side pairing map is an element of $P U(2,1)$ that sends one of these sides to another (possibly the same). In this section, we will describe all the side pairing maps in $G_{2}$.

### 3.4.1 Compact side pairing map

We consider the map $I_{1}$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & i / \sqrt{3} \\
0 & -\omega & 0 \\
i \sqrt{3} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

acting on $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ given by

$$
I_{1}:(r, \theta, \alpha) \longrightarrow(r,-\theta, \alpha+\pi / 6)
$$

By definition, we know that the compact side $\mathbf{F}_{7}$ is a region in $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ bounded by four faces $\mathcal{F}_{1}, \mathcal{F}^{\prime}, \mathcal{F}_{3}, \mathcal{F}_{5}$ and the compact side $\mathbf{F}_{8}$ is a region in $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ bounded by four faces $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$, $\mathcal{F}_{2}, \mathcal{F}_{4}, \mathcal{F}_{6}$. Observe that the map

$$
I_{1}:(r, \theta, \alpha) \longrightarrow(r,-\theta, \alpha+\pi / 6), \quad I_{1}^{2}=R:(r, \theta, \alpha) \longrightarrow(r, \theta, \alpha+\pi / 3)
$$

We can verify that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{F}^{\prime}=I_{1}\left(\mathcal{F}_{1}\right), \quad \mathcal{F}_{4}=I_{1}\left(\mathcal{F}_{3}\right) \\
\mathcal{F}_{6}=I_{1}\left(\mathcal{F}_{5}\right), \quad \mathcal{F}_{2}=R\left(\mathcal{F}_{1}\right)=I_{1}^{2}\left(\mathcal{F}_{1}\right)=I_{1}\left(\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

For example, we take a point $(r, \theta, \alpha)$ of $\mathcal{F}_{1}$, that is satisfied the set of inequalities

$$
0 \leq r \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \quad \alpha=-\frac{\theta}{2}-\frac{\pi}{6} \quad \text { and } \quad-\frac{\pi}{6} \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{6}
$$



Figure 3.7: Two compact sides in geographical coordinates $(r, \theta, \alpha)$ inside the isometric sphere $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ and its side pairing $I_{1}$ maps $\mathbf{F}_{7}$ to $\mathbf{F}_{8}$ upside down and with a rotation.

It is clearly that the point $I_{1}(r, \theta, \alpha)$ satisfies the equations

$$
0 \leq r \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \quad \alpha=\frac{\theta}{2} \quad \text { and } \quad-\frac{\pi}{6} \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{6}
$$

that is, the point $I_{1}(r, \theta, \alpha)$ lies on the face $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$. Thus we can obtain $\mathbf{F}_{8}=I_{1}\left(\mathbf{F}_{7}\right)$, namely, $I_{1}$ is the side pairing map from $\mathbf{F}_{7}$ to $\mathbf{F}_{8}$. The realistic view of these two compact sides and its side pairing is given by Figure 3.7 .

### 3.4.2 Noncompact sides and side pairing maps

As the action of elements of $\left(G_{2}\right)_{\infty}$ preserves each horosphere, then we can give a natural extension of faces of the prism $\mathbf{P}_{0}$. We define two pyramids $\mathbf{F}_{1}, \mathbf{F}_{2}$ and four tetrahedra $\mathbf{F}_{3}, \mathbf{F}_{4}, \mathbf{F}_{5}, \mathbf{F}_{6}$. Each of these is the geodesic cone from $q_{\infty}$ over the union of faces $\mathcal{F}_{1}, \mathcal{F}_{2}, \mathcal{F}_{3}, \mathcal{F}_{4}, \mathcal{F}_{5}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{6}$ of $\mathbf{P}_{0}$. To be precise, the pyramid $\mathbf{F}_{1}$ is defined to be the union of geodesic arcs based at the face $\mathcal{F}_{1}$ with cone point $q_{\infty}$ and it is likewise for others. By constructions, the intersection of $\mathbf{P}_{0}$ with each of the pyramids $\mathbf{F}_{1}, \mathbf{F}_{2}$ and tetrahedra $\mathbf{F}_{3}, \mathbf{F}_{4}, \mathbf{F}_{5}, \mathbf{F}_{6}$ is nothing other than the corresponding face of $\mathbf{P}_{0}$.

We define the 4 -dimensional polyhedron $\mathbf{D}$ to be the geodesic cone pointing to $q_{\infty}$ over the interior of $\mathbf{P}_{0}$. Furthermore, $\mathbf{D}$ has eight three-dimensional sides, namely $\mathbf{F}_{1}, \mathbf{F}_{2}, \mathbf{F}_{3}$, $\mathbf{F}_{4}, \mathbf{F}_{5}, \mathbf{F}_{6}, \mathbf{F}_{7}, \mathbf{F}_{8}$. Later we will show $\mathbf{D}$ is a fundamental domain for $G_{2}$.

This enables us to give the following proposition.
Proposition 3.4.1. $R^{-1}(\boldsymbol{D}) \cap \boldsymbol{D}=\mathbf{F}_{1}$, and $R$ maps $\mathbf{F}_{1}$ to $\mathbf{F}_{2} ; T^{-1}(\boldsymbol{D}) \cap \mathbf{D}=\mathbf{F}_{3}$, and $T$ maps $\mathbf{F}_{3}$ to $\mathbf{F}_{4}$, likewise, $S^{-1}(\mathbf{D}) \cap \mathbf{D}=\mathbf{F}_{5}, S$ maps $\mathbf{F}_{5}$ to $\mathbf{F}_{6}$.

Proof. By construction these sides are corresponding to associated faces of $\mathbf{P}_{0}$, and so this directly follows from the matrices $R, S$ and $T$ in Proposition 3.2.2.

We now have already described all the side pairing maps. In what follows, we summarize the side pairing maps in terms of their action on the vertices.

$$
\begin{aligned}
R & :\left(\infty, z_{0}^{+}, z_{0}^{-}, z_{1}^{-}, z_{1}^{+}\right) \longmapsto\left(\infty, z_{0}^{+}, z_{0}^{-}, z_{2}^{-}, z_{2}^{+}\right), \\
S & :\left(\infty, z_{1}^{+}, z_{1}^{-}, z_{2}^{-}\right) \longmapsto\left(\infty, z_{2}^{+}, z_{2}^{-}, z_{1}^{+}\right) \\
T & :\left(\infty, z_{0}^{-}, z_{1}^{-}, z_{2}^{-}\right) \longmapsto\left(\infty, z_{0}^{+}, z_{1}^{+}, z_{2}^{+}\right) \\
I_{1} & :\left(z_{0}^{+}, z_{0}^{-}, z_{1}^{+}, z_{1}^{-}, z_{2}^{-}\right) \longmapsto\left(z_{0}^{-}, z_{0}^{+}, z_{2}^{-}, z_{1}^{+}, z_{2}^{+}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

### 3.4.3 The face cycles

In this section we focus on the 2-dimensional faces of $\mathbf{D}$. These faces may be contained in complex lines or in Lagrangian planes. In such cases they are totally geodesic. Otherwise the face is not totally geodesic and we refer to it as generic. We will describe all the 2 dimensional faces and find the associated face cycles and cycle transformations, which will turn out to give a presentation after we check that hypotheses of the Poincaré's polyhedron theorem are satisfied.

## Faces in complex lines

Observe that the faces $\mathcal{F}_{3}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{4}$ are each contained in a complex line, that is, a slice of $\mathcal{S}_{0}$. These two complex lines are paired by $T$ and each is preserved by $R$. Moreover, one face is the image of the other under $T$ or $T^{-1}$. In order to obtain cycle transformation we should find other side pairings for these two faces. We know these two faces are each contained in one of compact sides $\mathbf{F}_{7}$ and $\mathbf{F}_{8}$ and they are the associated to side pairing map $I_{1}$. Therefore, this cycle can be described as the following table, which each column has the vertices of each face and the generator in the fist row indicates that this column is the image of the previous one under this map.

$$
\begin{array}{llll} 
& I_{1} & T^{-1} \\
z_{0}^{-} & \longmapsto & z_{0}^{+} & \longmapsto \\
z_{1}^{-} & \longmapsto & z_{1}^{-} & \longmapsto \\
z_{1}^{-} \\
z_{2}^{-} & \longmapsto & z_{2}^{+} & \longmapsto \\
z_{2}^{-}
\end{array}
$$

The face $\mathcal{F}_{3}$ is fixed by $T^{-1} I_{1}$, which is a complex reflection of order 3 . Therefore the cycle relation is $\left(T^{-1} I_{1}\right)^{3}=I$.

## The generic triangular faces

The faces $\mathcal{F}_{5}, \mathcal{F}_{6}$ with vertices the ordered triple $\left(z_{1}^{+}, z_{1}^{-}, z_{2}^{-}\right),\left(z_{2}^{+}, z_{2}^{-}, z_{1}^{+}\right)$respectively are neither contained in complex line nor in Lagrangian planes. The map $S^{-1} I_{1}$ maps $\mathcal{F}_{5}$ to itself but acts on this face as a rotation of order 3, which is a regular elliptic element. They form a face cycle as described in the following table

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} 
& I_{1} & S^{-1} & & I_{1} & & S^{-1} & & I_{1} & & S^{-1} \\
z_{1}^{+} & \longmapsto & z_{2}^{-} & \longmapsto & z_{1}^{-} & \longmapsto & z_{1}^{+} & \longmapsto & z_{2}^{-} & \longmapsto & z_{2}^{+} \\
z_{1}^{-} & \longmapsto & z_{1}^{+} & \longmapsto & z_{2}^{-} & \longmapsto & z_{2}^{+} & \longmapsto & z_{1}^{+} & \longmapsto & z_{2}^{-} \\
z_{2}^{-} & \longmapsto & z_{2}^{+} & \longmapsto & z_{1}^{+} & \longmapsto & z_{2}^{-} & \longmapsto & z_{1}^{-} \\
\longmapsto & \longmapsto & z_{1}^{+} & \longmapsto & z_{2}^{-}
\end{array}
$$

The associated face cycle transformation is $\left(S^{-1} I_{1}\right)^{3}$.

## The generic quadrilateral faces

The faces $\mathcal{F}_{1}, \mathcal{F}^{\prime}, \mathcal{F}_{2}$ with vertices the ordered quadruples respectively $\left(z_{0}^{+}, z_{1}^{+}, z_{1}^{-}, z_{0}^{-}\right)$, $\left(z_{0}^{-}, z_{2}^{-}, z_{1}^{+}, z_{0}^{+}\right),\left(z_{0}^{+}, z_{2}^{+}, z_{2}^{-}, z_{0}^{-}\right)$are generic faces that form a single face cycle. This is described in the following table

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc} 
& I_{1} & I_{1} & & R^{-1} \\
z_{0}^{+} & \longmapsto & z_{0}^{-} & \longmapsto & z_{0}^{+} & \longmapsto \\
z_{1}^{+} & \longmapsto & z_{2}^{-} & \longmapsto & z_{2}^{+} & \longmapsto \\
z_{1}^{+} \\
z_{1}^{-} & \longmapsto & z_{1}^{+} & \longmapsto & z_{2}^{-} & \longmapsto \\
z_{1}^{-} \\
z_{0}^{-} & \longmapsto & z_{0}^{+} & \longmapsto & z_{0}^{-} & \longmapsto \\
z_{0}^{-}
\end{array}
$$

The associated cycle transformation is $R^{-1} I_{1}^{2}$.

## The generic infinite faces

We have already seen the infinite faces and the associated face cycles, which correspond to edges and edge cycles we considered when we analyzed $\left(G_{2}\right)_{\infty}$. We now list them again.

There are two face cycles involving $R$ associated to geodesic faces:

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
R & & R & T & R^{-1} & T^{-1} \\
q_{\infty} & \longmapsto & q_{\infty} & q_{\infty} & \longmapsto & q_{\infty} & \longmapsto & q_{\infty} & \longmapsto
\end{array} q_{\infty} \quad \longmapsto ~ q_{\infty}
$$

The face cycles transformations are $R$ and $T^{-1} R^{-1} T R$ respectively, which give rise to $R^{6}=I$ and the latter may be rewritten as $[T, R]=I$.

There are another two face cycles involving $S$ associated to generic faces:

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
S & R^{-1} & & S & S & T^{-1} \\
q_{\infty} & \longmapsto & q_{\infty} & \longmapsto & q_{\infty} & q_{\infty} & \longmapsto & q_{\infty} & \longmapsto \\
z_{\infty} & \longmapsto & q_{\infty} \\
z_{1}^{+} & \longmapsto & z_{2}^{+} & \longmapsto & z_{1}^{+} & z_{1}^{-} & \longmapsto & z_{2}^{-} & \longmapsto \\
z_{1}^{+} & \longmapsto & z_{1}^{-} \\
z_{1}^{-} & \longmapsto & z_{2}^{-} & \longmapsto & z_{1}^{-} & z_{2}^{-} & \longmapsto & z_{1}^{+} & \longmapsto \\
z_{2}^{+} & \longmapsto & z_{2}^{-}
\end{array}
$$

The associated cycles are $R^{-1} S$ and $T^{-1} S^{2}$ respectively. These give the cycle relation $\left(R^{-1} S\right)=I$ and $T=S^{2}$.

### 3.5 The main theorems

In this section we prove that the 4-dimensional polyhedron $\mathbf{D}$ constructed as above is indeed a fundamental domain for $G_{2}$ and give a presentation for the group $G_{2}$.

### 3.5.1 D is a fundamental domain

In this subsection, we use Poincaré's polyhedron theorem to conclude $\mathbf{D}$ is a fundamental domain for $G_{2}$. The techniques are the same as [FP06] and [FFP10]. We just apply these arguments on this polyhedron $\mathbf{D}$.

Theorem 3.5.1. The polyhedron $\boldsymbol{D}$ is a fundamental domain for $G_{2}$.


Figure 3.8: The images of $\mathbf{D}$ cover a neighborhood of the face $\mathcal{F}_{1}$.

Proof. We have given D the structure of a prism with side pairing maps. For each twodimensional face of $\mathbf{D}$, we have found all the face cycles given by the side-pairing maps. In what follows, we want to verify that the images of $\mathbf{D}$ cover a neighborhood of the interior of each two-dimensional face.

The faces containing $q_{\infty}$ are cones over its edges of $\mathbf{P}_{0}$. As the infinite faces are sent to other infinite faces by maps in $\left(G_{2}\right)_{\infty}$, then the face cycles from faces containing $q_{\infty}$ are corresponding to edge cycles from $\mathbf{P}_{0}$ that are listed in Section 3.4.3. Therefore, the images of $\mathbf{D}$ under $\left(G_{2}\right)_{\infty}$ cover any horoball not intersecting $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ from the construction of the fundamental domain for $\left(G_{2}\right)_{\infty}$.

For all the compact faces, we need only take one face in each face cycle in order to verify the tessellation around the associated face. Now consider the face $\mathcal{F}_{1}$ with vertices the ordered quadruple $\left(z_{0}^{+}, z_{1}^{+}, z_{1}^{-}, z_{0}^{-}\right)$. The face cycle is

$$
\left(z_{0}^{+}, z_{1}^{+}, z_{1}^{-}, z_{0}^{-}\right) \xrightarrow{I_{1}}\left(z_{0}^{-}, z_{2}^{-}, z_{1}^{+}, z_{0}^{+}\right) \xrightarrow{I_{1}}\left(z_{0}^{+}, z_{2}^{+}, z_{2}^{-}, z_{0}^{-}\right) \xrightarrow{R^{-1}}\left(z_{0}^{+}, z_{1}^{+}, z_{1}^{-}, z_{0}^{-}\right) .
$$

Therefore, $R^{-1} I_{1}^{2}$ is the identity on $\mathcal{F}_{1}$, that is also the identity in $G_{2}$. We want to show that $\mathbf{D}, I_{1}^{-1}(\mathbf{D}), I_{1}^{-2}(\mathbf{D})=R^{-1}(\mathbf{D})$ cover a neighborhood of $\mathcal{F}_{1}$. In fact, the map $R$ is a rotation of $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ about its spine and preserves $\mathcal{S}_{0}$. Therefore, $R^{-1}\left(\mathbf{P}_{0}\right)$ is also contained in $\mathcal{S}_{0}$. The image of $\mathbf{D}$ under $R^{-1}$ is the geodesic cone of $R^{-1}\left(\mathbf{P}_{0}\right)$. Hence $\mathbf{D} \cup R^{-1}(\mathbf{D})$ cover that part of a neighborhood of $\mathcal{F}_{1}$ exterior to $\mathcal{S}_{0}$. Observe that the face $\mathcal{F}_{1}$ is contained in $I_{1}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{F}_{8}\right)=\mathbf{F}_{7}$ and $I_{1}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{F}_{7}\right)=I_{1}^{-2}\left(\mathbf{F}_{8}\right)=R^{-1}\left(\mathbf{F}_{8}\right)$, in other words, $I_{1}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{P}_{0}\right)$ has a common side with each of $\mathbf{P}_{0}$ and $R^{-1}\left(\mathbf{P}_{0}\right)$, namely, $\mathbf{P}_{0} \cap I_{1}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{P}_{0}\right)=\mathbf{F}_{7}$ and $R^{-1}\left(\mathbf{P}_{0}\right) \cap I_{1}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{P}_{0}\right)=R^{-1}\left(\mathbf{F}_{8}\right)$. Moreover the maps $I_{1}$ swaps the exterior and the interior of $\mathcal{S}_{0}$. Thus we conclude that $\mathbf{D} \cup I_{1}^{-1}(\mathbf{D}) \cup R^{-1}(\mathbf{D})$ covers a neighborhood of $\mathcal{F}_{1}$, see Figure 3.8 for a schematic view.

Next we consider the face $\mathcal{F}_{3}$ with vertices the ordered triples $\left(z_{0}^{-}, z_{1}^{-}, z_{2}^{-}\right)$. The face cycles are

$$
\left(z_{0}^{-}, z_{1}^{-}, z_{2}^{-}\right) \xrightarrow{I_{1}}\left(z_{0}^{+}, z_{1}^{+}, z_{2}^{+}\right) \xrightarrow{T^{-1}}\left(z_{0}^{-}, z_{1}^{-}, z_{2}^{-}\right) .
$$



Figure 3.9: The images of $\mathbf{D}$ cover a neighborhood of the faces $\mathcal{F}_{3}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{5}$.

Therefore $T^{-1} I_{1}$ is the identity on $\mathcal{F}_{3}$ and a rotation about complex line containing $\left(z_{0}^{-}, z_{1}^{-}, z_{2}^{-}\right)$. In fact, we can easily verify $\left(T^{-1} I_{1}\right)^{3}$ is identity in $G_{2}$. We will show that a neighborhoods of the face $\mathcal{F}_{3}$ is tessellated by some images of $\mathbf{D}$. To see this, first observe that $T^{-1}$ is a vertical translation in the Heiseinberg group $\mathcal{N}$, therefore, $\mathbf{D} \cup T^{-1}(\mathbf{D})$ cover a neighborhood of $\mathcal{F}_{3}$ exterior to two isometric spheres $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ and $T^{-1}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$. The result will be completed by a similar argument (FFP10, Section 7.8). This argument may be applied to the faces that are in the intersection of two distinct isometric spheres of the Ford domain. This also shows a neighborhood of $\mathcal{F}_{5}$ is covering by some images of $\mathbf{D}$ since the face $\mathcal{F}_{5}$ is in the intersection of two isometric spheres of $I_{1}$ and $S^{-1} I_{1} S$.

From the point of view of geometry, we state that the face $\mathcal{F}_{3}$ is covered by $\mathbf{D}, I_{1}^{-1}(\mathbf{D})$, $I_{1}^{-1} T(\mathbf{D}), I_{1}^{-1} T I_{1}^{-1}(\mathbf{D}),\left(I_{1}^{-1} T\right)^{2}(\mathbf{D})$ and $\left(I_{1}^{-1} T\right)^{2} I_{1}^{-1}(\mathbf{D})=T^{-1}(\mathbf{D})$ and the face $\mathcal{F}_{5}$ is tessellated by $\mathbf{D}, I_{1}^{-1}(\mathbf{D}), I_{1}^{-1} S(\mathbf{D}), I_{1}^{-1} S I_{1}^{-1}(\mathbf{D}),\left(I_{1}^{-1} S\right)^{2}(\mathbf{D})$ and $\left(I_{1}^{-1} S\right)^{2} I_{1}^{-1}(\mathbf{D})=S^{-1}(\mathbf{D})$ by the same argument as above. We draw two-dimensional pictures to show more clearly, see Figure 3.9 .

Notice that there is a difference between the two cases, that is not apparent from the two dimensional picture. Namely, the map $T^{-1} I_{1}$ is a complex reflection of order 3 and fixes each point of the face $\mathcal{F}_{1}$, whereas $S^{-1} I_{1}$ is a regular elliptic of order 3 and acts on the face $\mathcal{F}_{5}$ as a rotation.

By Poincaré's polyhedron theorem, we conclude that $\mathbf{D}$ is a fundamental domain for the group generated by the side pairing maps and the presentation is given by the cycle relations. Recall that $G_{2}$ was shown to be generated by $R, S, T, I_{1}$ in Proposition 3.2.5, which completes the proof.

### 3.5.2 $\quad$ A presentation for $G_{2}$

In this section, we also use Poincaré's polyhedron theorem on $\mathbf{D}$ to derive a presentation for $G_{2}$. We know the generators of $G_{2}$ are side pairing maps for $\mathbf{D}$ given in Section 3.4.2.

For each two-dimensional face of $\mathbf{D}$, we have already found all the cycles given by the side-pairing maps listed in Section 3.4.3. Thus the stabilizer of infinity $\left(G_{2}\right)_{\infty}$ has the
following presentation

$$
\left.\left(G_{2}\right)_{\infty}=\langle R, S, T| R^{6}=\left(R^{-1} S\right)^{3}=[R, T]=S^{2} T^{-1}=\text { identity }\right\rangle
$$

For the compact faces, we have given the cycles in Section 3.4.3. Therefore, we have obtained the additional relations

$$
\left(T^{-1} I_{1}\right)^{3}=\left(S^{-1} I_{1}\right)^{3}=R^{-1} I_{1}^{2}=\text { identity }
$$

Thus a presentation for $G_{2}$ is given by

$$
\left\langle R, S, T, I_{1} \mid R^{6}=\left(R^{-1} S\right)^{3}=[R, T]=S^{2} T^{-1}=\left(T^{-1} I_{1}\right)^{3}=\left(S^{-1} I_{1}\right)^{3}=R^{-1} I_{1}^{2}=i d\right\rangle
$$

We want to give a briefer presentation by eliminating several generators. Suppose that $A_{2}=T^{-1} I_{1}$ and $A_{3}=S^{-1} I_{1}$, then we can get the relations $S=A_{3} A_{2}^{-1}, R=I_{1}^{2}=$ $\left(A_{3} A_{2}^{-1} A_{3}\right)^{2}$ which can be used to eliminate the generators $R, S, T$ and $I_{1}$. From these relations we obtain the following theorem:
Theorem 3.5.2. The maps $A_{2}=T^{-1} I_{1}$ and $A_{3}=S^{-1} I_{1}$ generate $G_{2}$. Moreover, a presentation on these generators is

$$
\left.\left\langle A_{2}, A_{3}\right| A_{2}^{3}=A_{3}^{3}=\left(A_{3} A_{2}^{-1} A_{3}\right)^{12}=\left[\left(A_{3} A_{2}^{-1} A_{3}\right)^{2},\left(A_{3} A_{2}^{-1}\right)^{2}\right]=\text { identity }\right\rangle .
$$

Proof. We begin by showing that the relations involving $A_{2}$ and $A_{3}$ follow from the relations involving $R, S, T$ and $I_{1}$. First, the relations $A_{2}^{3}=A_{3}^{3}=1$ follow directly from the definition of $A_{2}$ and $A_{3}$. Secondly, observe that the relations

$$
A_{3} A_{2}^{-1} A_{3}=S^{-1} I_{1} I_{1}^{-1} T S^{-1} I_{1}=I_{1}
$$

and

$$
A_{3} A_{2}^{-1}=S^{-1} I_{1} I_{1}^{-1} T=S, \quad T=S^{2}=\left(A_{3} A_{2}^{-1}\right)^{2}
$$

Thus $R=I_{1}^{2}, R^{6}=1$ and $[R, T]=1$ imply that

$$
\left(A_{3} A_{2}^{-1} A_{3}\right)^{12}=\left[\left(A_{3} A_{2}^{-1} A_{3}\right)^{2},\left(A_{3} A_{2}^{-1}\right)^{2}\right]=1
$$

Using $R=\left(A_{3} A_{2}^{-1} A_{3}\right)^{2}, S=A_{3} A_{2}^{-1}$, we obtain

$$
I_{1}=S A_{3}=A_{3} A_{2}^{-1} A_{3}
$$

and

$$
T=I_{1} A_{2}^{-1}=A_{3} A_{2}^{-1} A_{3} A_{2}^{-1}=\left(A_{3} A_{2}^{-1}\right)^{2}
$$

Hence, $\left\langle R, S, T, I_{1}\right\rangle=\left\langle A_{2}, A_{3}\right\rangle$.
Finally, we show that the relations involving $R, S, T$ and $I_{1}$ are a consequence of those involving $A_{2}, A_{3}$. First, it is obvious that

$$
\left(T^{-1} I_{1}\right)^{3}=A_{2}^{3}=1, \quad\left(S^{-1} I_{1}\right)^{3}=A_{3}^{3}=1, \quad R^{6}=\left(A_{3} A_{2}^{-1} A_{3}\right)^{12}=1
$$

and

$$
R=\left(A_{3} A_{2}^{-1} A_{3}\right)^{2}=I_{1}^{2}, \quad T=\left(A_{3} A_{2}^{-1}\right)^{2}=S^{2}, \quad[R, T]=\left[\left(A_{3} A_{2}^{-1} A_{3}\right)^{2},\left(A_{3} A_{2}^{-1}\right)^{2}\right]=1
$$

Finally,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(R^{-1} S\right)^{3} & =\left[\left(A_{3} A_{2}^{-1} A_{3}\right)^{-2} A_{3} A_{2}^{-1}\right]^{3} \\
& =\left(A_{3}^{-1} A_{2} A_{3}^{-1} A_{2}^{-1} A_{2} A_{3}^{-1} A_{2} A_{2}^{-1}\right)^{3} \\
& =\left(A_{3}^{-1} A_{2} A_{3}\right)^{3} \\
& =A_{3}^{-1} A_{2}^{3} A_{3} \\
& =1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.

### 3.5.3 The volume of the orbifold $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} / G_{2}$

We calculate the volume of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} / G_{2}$ using the complex hyperbolic Gauss-Bonnet formula. The similar case for Gauss-Picard group has been done, see [FFP10]. We state the GaussBonnet theorem for our convenience.

$$
\operatorname{Vol}(M)=\frac{8 \pi^{2}}{3} \chi(M), \quad \chi(M)=e_{0}-e_{1}+e_{2}-e_{3}+e_{4},
$$

where $e_{i}$ is the numbers of i-cells weighted by the order of the stabilizers.
The volume of complex hyperbolic 2 -orbifold $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} / G_{2}$ was calculated firstly by John Parker Par98 as $\pi^{2} / 27$. Note that Stover Sto10] states that there are exactly two noncompact arithmetic complex hyperbolic 2 -orbifold of minimal volume $\pi^{2} / 27$, whose fundamental groups are $\operatorname{PU}(2,1 ; \mathbb{Z}[\omega])$ and its sister $G_{2}$. Observe, however, that Par98] also uses the Gauss-Bonnet theorem to calculate the volume. From the Table 1 on pages 228 and 229 of Hol80], Holzapfel shows that $\chi\left(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} / S U(2,1 ; \mathbb{Z}[\omega])\right)=1 / 24$, which implies that $\chi\left(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} / P U(2,1 ; \mathbb{Z}[\omega])\right)=1 / 72$. This is also shows the Euler characteristic of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} / G_{2}$ is $1 / 72$ by the fact that the groups $P U(2,1 ; \mathbb{Z}[\omega]))$ and $G_{2}$ have a common subgroup of index 4.

By the combinatorics of $\mathbf{D}$, we also show the volume of the orbifold $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} / G_{2}$.
Proposition 3.5.3. The volume of the orbifold $\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} / G_{2}$ is $\pi^{2} / 27$.
Proof. According to the Gauss-Bonnet theorem, we need only show the Euler characteristic of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} / G_{2}$ is $1 / 72$. It suffices to find the stabilizer of $i$-dimensional faces of $\mathbf{D}$ and calculate their order.

- Vertices:

| Cycle | Stabilizer | Order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $z_{0}^{+}, z_{0}^{-}$ | $\left\langle T^{-1} I_{1}, R\right\rangle$ | 18 |
| $z_{1}^{+}, z_{1}^{-}, z_{2}^{+}, z_{2}^{-}$ | $\left\langle I_{1} T^{-1}, S^{-1} R\right\rangle$ | 24 |

The orders of the stabilizers are found as follows. Since $R=I_{1}^{2}$ and $[R, T]=I$, $T^{-1} I_{1}$ and $R$ commute. Therefore, the group $\left\langle T^{-1} I_{1}, R\right\rangle$ is the product of two cyclic groups $\left\langle T^{-1} I_{1}\right\rangle$ and $\langle R\rangle$, and then it has order 18 since $\left(T^{-1} I_{1}\right)^{3}=I$ and $R$ has order 6. Next, it is easy to check that $\left(I_{1} T^{-1}\right)\left(S^{-1} R\right)^{-1}$ has order 4, and that its square is in the center of $\left\langle I_{1} T^{-1}, S^{-1} R\right\rangle$. Therefore, $\left\langle I_{1} T^{-1}, S^{-1} R\right\rangle$ is a degree 2 extension of an (orientation preserving) $(2,3,3)$ triangle group (that is a tetrahedral group) and so it has order $2 \times 12=24$ (Compare with the Proposition 5.1 of [Par09]).

We conclude that

$$
e_{0}=\frac{1}{18}+\frac{1}{24}=\frac{7}{72} .
$$

- Edges:

| Cycle | Stabilizer | Order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left(z_{0}^{+}, z_{0}^{-}\right)$ | $\left\langle I_{1}\right\rangle$ | 12 |
| $\left(z_{0}^{+}, z_{1}^{+}\right),\left(z_{0}^{+}, z_{2}^{+}\right),\left(z_{0}^{-}, z_{1}^{-}\right),\left(z_{0}^{-}, z_{2}^{-}\right)$ | $\left\langle T^{-1} I_{1}\right\rangle$ | 3 |
| $\left(z_{1}^{+}, z_{1}^{-}\right),\left(z_{2}^{+}, z_{2}^{-}\right),\left(z_{1}^{+}, z_{2}^{+}\right),\left(z_{1}^{+}, z_{2}^{-}\right),\left(z_{1}^{-}, z_{2}^{-}\right)$ | $\left\langle R^{-1} S\right\rangle$ | 3 |
| $\left(z_{0}^{+}, \infty\right),\left(z_{0}^{-}, \infty\right)$ | $\langle R\rangle$ | 6 |
| $\left(z_{1}^{+}, \infty\right),\left(z_{1}^{-}, \infty\right),\left(z_{2}^{+}, \infty\right),\left(z_{2}^{-}, \infty\right)$ | $\left\langle R^{-1} S\right\rangle$ | 3 |

These stabilizers are all cyclic groups and so their orders are the same as those generators, seen in the previous section.
We conclude that

$$
e_{1}=\frac{1}{12}+\frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{6}+\frac{1}{3}=\frac{5}{4}
$$

- 2-faces:

| Cycle | Stabilizer | Order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left(z_{0}^{+}, z_{1}^{+}, z_{2}^{+}\right),\left(z_{0}^{-}, z_{1}^{-}, z_{2}^{-}\right)$ | $\left\langle T^{-1} I_{1}\right\rangle$ | 3 |
| $\left(z_{1}^{+}, z_{1}^{-}, z_{2}^{-}\right),\left(z_{2}^{+}, z_{2}^{-}, z_{1}^{+}\right)$ | $\left\langle S^{-1} I_{1}\right\rangle$ | 3 |
| $\left(z_{0}^{+}, z_{0}^{-}, z_{1}^{-}, z_{1}^{+}\right),\left(z_{0}^{+}, z_{1}^{+}, z_{2}^{-}, z_{0}^{-}\right),\left(z_{0}^{+}, z_{0}^{-}, z_{2}^{-}, z_{2}^{+}\right)$ | $\langle I d\rangle$ | 1 |
| $\left(z_{0}^{+}, z_{0}^{-}, \infty\right)$ | $\langle R\rangle$ | 6 |
| $\left(z_{1}^{+}, z_{1}^{-}, \infty\right),\left(z_{2}^{+}, z_{2}^{-}, \infty\right)$ | $\left\langle R^{-1} S\right\rangle$ | 3 |
| $\left(z_{1}^{+}, z_{2}^{+}, \infty\right),\left(z_{1}^{+}, z_{2}^{-}, \infty\right),\left(z_{1}^{-}, z_{2}^{-}, \infty\right)$ | $\langle I d\rangle$ | 1 |
| $\left(z_{0}^{+}, z_{1}^{+}, \infty\right),\left(z_{0}^{+}, z_{2}^{+}, \infty\right),\left(z_{0}^{-}, z_{1}^{-}, \infty\right),\left(z_{0}^{-}, z_{2}^{-}, \infty\right)$ | $\langle I d\rangle$ | 1 |

These stabilizers are also cyclic and so their orders are obvious. We conclude that

$$
e_{2}=\frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{3}+1+\frac{1}{6}+\frac{1}{3}+1+1=\frac{25}{6} .
$$

- 3-faces:

| Cycle | Stabilizer | Order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left(\infty, z_{0}^{+}, z_{1}^{+}, z_{2}^{+}\right),\left(\infty, z_{0}^{-}, z_{1}^{-}, z_{2}^{-}\right)$ | $\langle I d\rangle$ | 1 |
| $\left(\infty, z_{1}^{+}, z_{1}^{-}, z_{2}^{-}\right),\left(\infty, z_{2}^{+}, z_{2}^{-}, z_{1}^{+}\right)$ | $\langle I d\rangle$ | 1 |
| $\left(\infty, z_{0}^{+}, z_{0}^{-}, z_{1}^{-}, z_{1}^{+}\right),\left(\infty, z_{0}^{+}, z_{0}^{-}, z_{2}^{-}, z_{2}^{+}\right)$ | $\langle I d\rangle$ | 1 |
| $\left(z_{0}^{+}, z_{1}^{+}, z_{0}^{-}, z_{1}^{-}, z_{2}^{-}\right),\left(z_{0}^{-}, z_{2}^{-}, z_{0}^{+}, z_{1}^{+}, z_{2}^{+}\right)$ | $\langle I d\rangle$ | 1 |

We conclude that

$$
e_{3}=4
$$

- Finally $e_{4}=1$.

We compute now the orbifold Euler number to be

$$
\chi(M)=e_{0}-e_{1}+e_{2}-e_{3}+e_{4}=\frac{7}{72}-\frac{5}{4}+\frac{25}{6}-4+1=\frac{1}{72} .
$$

### 3.5.4 Relation with Mostow's group

In his notation of Mos80], Mostow considered a family of complex reflection groups with the angle $2 \pi / p$ where $p$ is one of $3,4,5$. He described these groups by a Coxetor diagram and a phase shift $\phi=\exp (\pi i t / 3)$ where $t=1 / p+2 / k-1 / 2$ and $k$ is an integer, showed the existence of non-arithmetic lattices in $P U(2,1)$ by the construction of an explicit fundamental domain of the groups for certain values of $k$ and gave a presentation for each of these groups. Parker in the survey paper [Par09], defined again the Mostow's groups. In that case, he allows $p=6$ and divides into two types of them. More precisely, Mostow's groups of the first type are the complex reflection groups where $1 / p+1 / k \geq 1 / 2, p \leq 6$ and those of the second type are the groups where $1 / p+1 / k<1 / 2, p \leq 6$. The EisensteinPicard modular group admits a presentation of a similar type, which is a Mostow's group of the first type with $p=6$ and $k=2$; (see [Par09] and Corollary 5.13 of [FP06]). For the sister of Eisenstein-Picard modular group, we show that it is a Mostow's group of the first kind with $p=3$ and $k=6$.

As in Theorem 3.5.2, if preserving the generator $R$, we may rewrite this presentation as

$$
G_{2}=\left\langle A_{2}, A_{3}, R \mid A_{2}^{3}=A_{3}^{3}=R^{6}=\left[R,\left(A_{3} A_{2}^{-1}\right)^{2}\right]=1, R=\left(A_{3} A_{2}^{-1} A_{3}\right)^{2}\right\rangle
$$

Using the notation of [Par09], we state a Mostow's group of the first type with $p=3$ and $k=6$ as follows

$$
\Gamma=\left\langle J, R_{1}, A_{1} \mid J^{3}=R_{1}^{3}=A_{1}^{6}=1, A_{1}=\left(J R_{1}^{-1} J\right)^{2}, A_{1} R_{1}=R_{1} A_{1}\right\rangle
$$

We make a explicit connection between the group $G_{2}$ and $\Gamma$ in the following proposition.
Proposition 3.5.4. There is a isomorphism $\varphi$ from $G_{2}$ to $\Gamma$ given by $\varphi\left(A_{3}\right)=J, \varphi\left(A_{2}\right)=$ $R_{1}$ and $\varphi(R)=A_{1}$.
Proof. First, observe that the orders of generators of $G_{2}$ and $\Gamma$ are the same if giving a homomorphism satisfies with $\varphi\left(A_{3}\right)=J, \varphi\left(A_{2}\right)=R_{1}$ and $\varphi(R)=A_{1}$. So it need only to show other relations of $G_{2}$ and $\Gamma$ are equivalent.

In fact,

$$
A_{1}=\varphi(R)=\varphi\left(\left(A_{3} A_{2}^{-1} A_{3}\right)^{2}\right)=\left(\varphi\left(A_{3}\right) \varphi\left(A_{2}\right)^{-1} \varphi\left(A_{3}\right)\right)^{2}=\left(J R_{1}^{-1} J\right)^{2}
$$

and $\left[R,\left(A_{3} A_{2}^{-1}\right)^{2}\right]=1$ yields $A_{1}\left(J R_{1}^{-1}\right)^{2} A_{1}^{-1}\left(J R_{1}^{-1}\right)^{-2}=1$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 & =\left(J R_{1}^{-1}\right)^{-2} A_{1}\left(J R_{1}^{-1}\right)^{2} A_{1}^{-1} \\
& =R_{1}\left(J^{-1} R_{1} J^{-1}\right) A_{1}\left(J R_{1}^{-1} J\right) R_{1}^{-1} A_{1}^{-1} \\
& =R_{1} A_{1} R_{1}^{-1} A_{1}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

following from $A_{1}=\left(J R_{1}^{-1} J\right)^{2}$, that is, $A_{1} R_{1}=R_{1} A_{1}$. Obviously, the above steps are reversible, this complete the proof.

Remark 3.5.5. For the general case of Mostow's groups of the first type with the values of $p, k$, the orbifold Euler characteristic has been calculated in Sau90, Par09, that is,

$$
\chi\left(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} / \Gamma\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left[2\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}\right)-\frac{1}{k}\right]^{2}
$$

Therefore, we can show again the Euler characteristic

$$
\chi(M)=\chi\left(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} / G_{2}\right)=\chi\left(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} / \Gamma\right)=\frac{1}{72}
$$

for $p=3$ and $k=6$ following from the Proposition 3.5.4

## Chapter 4

## The Euclidean Picard modular lattices

This chapter has been accepted for publication in Transactions of the American Mathematical Society.

### 4.1 Introduction

Let $\mathcal{K}=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$ be a quadratic imaginary number field. Let $\mathcal{O}_{d}$ be the ring of algebraic integers of $\mathcal{K}$. The Bianchi groups $P S L_{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{d}\right)$ are the simplest arithmetically defined discrete groups. In number theory they have been used to study the zeta-functions of binary Hermitian forms over the rings $\mathcal{O}_{d}$. As isometry groups acting on the half-upper space, they are of interest in the theory of Kleinian groups and the related theory of hyperbolic orbifolds. Bianchi groups can be considered as the natural algebraic generalization of the classical modular group $P S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$. A good general reference for the Bianchi groups and their relation to the modular group is [Fin89]. Likewise, Picard modular groups $P U\left(2,1 ; \mathcal{O}_{d}\right)$ is a natural generalization of the Bianchi groups. These groups have attracted a great deal of attention both for their intrinsic interest as discrete groups (see Holzapfel's book [Hol98]) and also for their applications in complex hyperbolic geometry (as holomorphic automorphism subgroups).

A general method to determine finite presentations for each of the Bianchi group $P S L_{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{d}\right)$ was developed by Swan Swa71 based on geometrical work of Bianchi, while a separate purely algebraic method was given by Cohn Coh68. The purpose of this chapter is to give a description of generators for certain Picard modular groups $P U\left(2,1 ; \mathcal{O}_{d}\right)$ where the ring $\mathcal{O}_{d}$ is Euclidean except for $d=1,3$ (these two exceptional cases have been studied in many aspects). Among the quadratic imaginary number rings $\mathcal{O}_{d}$ only $\mathcal{O}_{1}, \mathcal{O}_{2}, \mathcal{O}_{3}, \mathcal{O}_{7}, \mathcal{O}_{11}$ have a Euclidean algorithm, see [STa79], although there is a larger finite collection of $\mathcal{O}_{d}$ 's $(d=1,2,3,7,11,19,43,67,163$, see [Zin79]) which have class number one. For these values of $d$ the orbifold $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} / P U\left(2,1 ; \mathcal{O}_{d}\right)$ has only one cusp.

The main idea (inspired by the work in [FP06, FFP10, Zh11) , is to begin by finding suitable generators of the stabilizer of infinity of $\operatorname{PU}\left(2,1 ; \mathcal{O}_{d}\right)$ and then construct a fundamental domain for the stabilizer acting on the boundary of complex hyperbolic space $\partial \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$. The generators of the groups in ([FP06, [FFP10, Zh11]) are easy to obtain since the fundamental domain constructed lies completely inside the boundary of the largest isometric sphere centered at origin. The real difficulty for the Picard modular groups studied here is to determine more isometric spheres such that the region that is the intersection of the exteriors of these isometric spheres and the fundamental domain for the stabilizer of the point at infinity we construct later has only one cusp. Again this reflects the underlying number theory; $\mathcal{O}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{3}$ have non-trivial units while the other three do not. A simple algorithm to decompose any transformation in the Picard group $P U\left(2,1 ; \mathcal{O}_{1}\right)$ as a product of the generators was given in [FFLP11], it would be interesting to extend their method to other Picard modular groups. However, it would also be important to find the generators in a geometric way. This will provide more important information for future research on the construction of an explicit fundamental domain for each of the Picard modular groups.

### 4.2 On the structure of the stabilizer

In this section we will obtain the generators and a presentation of the stabilizer of the point at infinity by analysis of the fundamental domain in the Heisenberg group.

Let $\mathcal{O}_{d}$ be the ring of integers in the quadratic imaginary number field $\mathbb{Q}(i \sqrt{d})$, where $d$ is a positive square-free integer. If $d \equiv 1,2(\bmod 4)$, then $\mathcal{O}_{d}=\mathbb{Z}[i \sqrt{d}]$ and if $d \equiv$ $3(\bmod 4)$, then $\mathcal{O}_{d}=\mathbb{Z}\left[\omega_{d}\right]$, where $\omega_{d}=(1+i \sqrt{d}) / 2$. The group $\Gamma_{d}=P U\left(2,1 ; \mathcal{O}_{d}\right)$ is called Euclidean Picard modular group if the ring $\mathcal{O}_{d}$ is Euclidean, namely, only the rings $\mathcal{O}_{1}, \mathcal{O}_{2}, \mathcal{O}_{3}, \mathcal{O}_{7}, \mathcal{O}_{11}$. Further relative to amalgamation property, these five groups can be subclassified into three groupings $\left\{\Gamma_{1}\right\},\left\{\Gamma_{3}\right\},\left\{\Gamma_{2}, \Gamma_{7}, \Gamma_{11}\right\}$. Since two classes $\left\{\Gamma_{1}\right\},\left\{\Gamma_{3}\right\}$ (c.f. [FP06], FFP10]) have been studied in detail, we mainly describe the remaining class $\left\{\Gamma_{2}, \Gamma_{7}, \Gamma_{11}\right\}$.

### 4.2.1 The stabilizer of $q_{\infty}$

First we want to analyze $\left(\Gamma_{d}\right)_{\infty}$ with $d=2,7,11$, the stabilizer of $q_{\infty}$. Every element of $\left(\Gamma_{d}\right)_{\infty}$ is upper triangular and its diagonal entries are units in $\mathcal{O}_{d}$. Recall that the units of $\mathcal{O}_{1}$ are $\pm 1, \pm i$, they are $\pm 1, \pm \omega, \pm \omega^{2}$ for $\mathcal{O}_{3}$ and they are $\pm 1$ for others. Therefore $\left(\Gamma_{d}\right)_{\infty}$ contains no dilations and so is a subgroup of $\operatorname{Isom}(\mathcal{N})$ and fits into the exact sequence as

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \cap\left(\Gamma_{d}\right)_{\infty} \longrightarrow\left(\Gamma_{d}\right)_{\infty} \xrightarrow{\Pi_{*}} \Pi_{*}\left(\left(\Gamma_{d}\right)_{\infty}\right) \longrightarrow 1
$$

We can write the isometry group of the integer lattice as

$$
\operatorname{Isom}\left(\mathcal{O}_{d}\right)=\left\{\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha & \beta \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]: \alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{O}_{d}, \alpha \text { is a unit }\right\}
$$

We now find the image and kernel in this exact sequence.
Proposition 4.2.1. The stabilizer $\left(\Gamma_{d}\right)_{\infty}$ of $q_{\infty}$ in $\Gamma_{d}$ satisfies

$$
0 \longrightarrow 2 \sqrt{d} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow\left(\Gamma_{d}\right)_{\infty} \xrightarrow{\Pi_{*}} \Delta \longrightarrow 1
$$

where $\Delta \subset \operatorname{Isom}\left(\mathcal{O}_{d}\right)$ is of index 2 if $d \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$ and $\Delta=\operatorname{Isom}\left(\mathcal{O}_{d}\right)$ if $d \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$.
Proof. Although we only consider the cases $d=2,7,11$, the ring $\mathcal{O}_{2}$ represents those for the values of $d$ with $d \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$ and the rings $\mathcal{O}_{7}, \mathcal{O}_{11}$ represent those of the values $d \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$, the remaining case is the same as $\mathcal{O}_{1}$ which has been done in [FFP10]. Observe that $\operatorname{Isom}\left(\mathcal{O}_{d}\right)$ is generated by the subgroup of translations

$$
\left\{\hat{T}_{\beta}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \beta \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]: \beta \in \mathcal{O}_{d}\right\}
$$

and the finite subgroup of order two

$$
\left\{\hat{R}_{\alpha}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\alpha & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]: \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_{d}, \alpha \text { is a unit }\right\}
$$

Then, to understand $\Delta \subset \operatorname{Isom}\left(\mathcal{O}_{d}\right)$, it suffices to determine which translations can be lifted. We divide into two cases to complete the proof.
(i) The case $\mathcal{O}_{d}$ with $d \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$

Suppose that $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_{d}=\mathbb{Z}[i \sqrt{d}]$ and consider the translation $\hat{T}_{\beta}$ by $\beta$ in $\mathbb{Z}[i \sqrt{d}]$ given above. The preimage of $\hat{T}_{\beta}$ under $\Pi_{*}$ has the form

$$
T_{\beta, t}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & -\bar{\beta} & \frac{-|\beta|^{2}+i t}{2} \\
0 & 1 & \beta \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

This map is in $P U(2,1 ; \mathbb{Z}[i \sqrt{d}])$ if and only if $|\beta|^{2}$ is an even integer and $t \in 2 \sqrt{d} \mathbb{Z}$. Writing $\beta=m+i \sqrt{d} n$ for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then we can obtain $m \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$ from the conditions $|\beta|^{2}=m^{2}+d n^{2} \in 2 \mathbb{Z}$ and $d \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$. Therefore, we conclude that $\Delta \subset \operatorname{Isom}(\mathbb{Z}[i \sqrt{d}])$ is of index 2. Also, the kernel of $\Pi_{*}$ is generated by

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & i \sqrt{d} \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

which is a vertical translation of $(0,2 \sqrt{2})$.
(ii) The case $\mathcal{O}_{d}$ with $d \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$

Suppose that $\beta=m+n \frac{1+i \sqrt{d}}{2} \in \mathcal{O}_{d}$ with $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $d \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$. By the same argument of (i), it only suffices to determine $m, n$ such that $|\beta|^{2}$ is an integer. For $d \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$, it is easy to show that $|\beta|^{2}=m^{2}+m n+n^{2}(d+1) / 4 \in \mathbb{Z}$ for any $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, which implies that $\Delta=\operatorname{Isom}\left(\mathcal{O}_{d}\right)$. Obviously, the kernel of $\Pi_{*}$ is generated by a vertical translation of $(0,2 \sqrt{d})$.

### 4.2.2 Fundamental domains for the stabilizer

As the first step towards the construction of a fundamental domain for the action of $\left(\Gamma_{d}\right)_{\infty}$ on the Heisenberg group $\mathcal{N}$ for $d=2,7,11$, we shall find the suitable generators of $\operatorname{Isom}\left(\mathcal{O}_{d}\right)$ to construct a fundamental domain in $\mathbb{C}$. This was already done by Feustel and Hozapfel in [Feu84, FH83, we state it again for the convenience for the reader.

In the proof of Proposition 4.2 .1 we saw that $\Delta=\Pi_{*}\left(\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)_{\infty}\right)$ is a subgroup of index 2 in $\operatorname{Isom}\left(\mathcal{O}_{2}\right)$ consisting of elements of $\operatorname{GL}\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{2}\right)$ of the form

$$
\left\{\left[\begin{array}{cc}
(-1)^{j} & m+i \sqrt{2} n \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]: j=0,1, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, m \equiv 0(\bmod 2)\right\}
$$

A fundamental domain for this group is the triangle in $\mathbb{C}$ with vertices at $-1+\sqrt{2} i / 2$ and $1 \pm \sqrt{2} i / 2$; see (a) in Figure 4.1. Side paring maps are given by

$$
r_{1}^{(2)}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right], r_{2}^{(2)}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 2 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right], r_{3}^{(2)}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & \sqrt{2} i \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

The first of these is a rotation of order 2 fixing origin, the second is a rotation of order 2 fixing 1 and the third is a rotation of order 2 fixing $\sqrt{2} i / 2$. Indeed every element of $\Delta=G L\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{2}\right)$ is generated by $r_{1}^{(2)}, r_{2}^{(2)}, r_{3}^{(2)}$ as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\begin{array}{cc}
(-1)^{j} & 2 m+\sqrt{2} n i \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right] } & =\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]^{m}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \sqrt{2} i \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]^{n}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]^{j} \\
& =\left(r_{2}^{(2)} r_{1}^{(2)}\right)^{m}\left(r_{3}^{(2)} r_{1}^{(2)}\right)^{n}\left(r_{1}^{(2)}\right)^{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

As the same argument, a fundamental domain for $\operatorname{Isom}\left(\mathcal{O}_{d}\right)$ with $d=7$ or 11 is the triangle in $\mathbb{C}$ with vertices at $(-1+i \sqrt{d}) / 4,(1-i \sqrt{d}) / 4$ and $(3+i \sqrt{d}) / 4$; see $(\mathrm{b})$ in Figure 4.1. Side paring maps are given by

$$
r_{1}^{(d)}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right], r_{2}^{(d)}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 1 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right], r_{3}^{(d)}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & (1+i \sqrt{d}) / 2 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$



Figure 4.1: (a) Fundamental domain for a subgroup $\Delta$ of $\operatorname{Isom}\left(\mathcal{O}_{2}\right)$ with index 2. (b) Fundamental domain for $\operatorname{Isom}\left(\mathcal{O}_{d}\right)$ with $d=7,11$. This is also valid for all the values of $d$ with $d \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$.

All these maps are rotations by $\pi$ fixing $0,1 / 2$ and $(1+i \sqrt{d}) / 4$ respectively.
In order to produce a fundamental domain for $\left(\Gamma_{d}\right)_{\infty}$ we look at all the preimages of the triangle (that is a fundamental domain of $\Pi_{*}\left(\left(\Gamma_{d}\right)_{\infty}\right)$ ) under vertical projection $\Pi$ and we intersect this with a fundamental domain for $\operatorname{ker}\left(\Pi_{*}\right)$. The inverse of image of the triangle under $\Pi$ is an infinite prism. The kernel of $\Pi_{*}$ is the infinite cyclic group generated by $T$, the vertical translation by $(0,2 \sqrt{d})$. We give the generators and geometric presentations for the isotropy subgroups $\left(\Gamma_{d}\right)_{\infty}$ by analysis of the combinatorics of the fundamental domain in the Heisenberg group and compare on presentations with those given by Dekimpe Dek96.

Proposition 4.2.2. $\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)_{\infty}$ is generated by

$$
\begin{gathered}
R_{1}^{(2)}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right], \quad R_{2}^{(2)}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 2 & -2 \\
0 & -1 & 2 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right], \\
R_{3}^{(2)}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & -i \sqrt{2} & -1 \\
0 & -1 & i \sqrt{2} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { and } T^{(2)}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & i \sqrt{2} \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

A presentation is given by

$$
\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)_{\infty}=\left\langle R_{j}^{(2)}, T^{(2)} \mid R_{j}^{(2)^{2}}=\left[T^{(2)}, R_{j}^{(2)}\right]=\left(T^{(2)^{2}} R_{1}^{(2)} R_{3}^{(2)} R_{2}^{(2)}\right)^{2}=I d\right\rangle
$$

Proof. Those matrices are constructed by lifting generators of the subgroup $\Delta \subset \operatorname{Isom}\left(\mathcal{O}_{2}\right)$ with index 2 and also $T^{(2)}$ is a generator of the kernel of the map $\Pi_{*}$. A fundamental domain can be constructed with side pairings as Figure4.2, where the vertices of the prism are $v_{3}^{+}=(-1+\sqrt{2} i / 2, \sqrt{2}), v_{2}^{+}=(1+\sqrt{2} i / 2, \sqrt{2}), v_{1}^{+}=(1-\sqrt{2} i / 2, \sqrt{2})$ for the upper cap of the prism and $v_{3}^{-}=(-1+\sqrt{2} i / 2,-\sqrt{2}), v_{2}^{-}=(1+\sqrt{2} i / 2,-\sqrt{2}), v_{1}^{-}=(1-\sqrt{2} i / 2,-\sqrt{2})$ for the base. In particular, the points $v_{4}^{ \pm}, v_{5}^{ \pm}, v_{6}^{ \pm}$are the middle points of the edges $\left(v_{1}^{ \pm}, v_{2}^{ \pm}\right),\left(v_{2}^{ \pm}, v_{3}^{ \pm}\right)$and $\left(v_{3}^{ \pm}, v_{1}^{ \pm}\right)$, respectively.


Figure 4.2: A fundamental domain $\mathbf{P}_{2}$ for $\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)_{\infty}$ in the Heisenberg group: the map $R_{1}^{(2)}$ rotates through $\pi$ about $z=0$, the map $R_{2}^{(2)}$ is a Heisenberg rotation through $\pi$ about $z=1$ and the map $R_{3}^{(2)}$ is a Heisenberg rotation through $\pi$ about $z=\sqrt{2} i / 2$.

The actions of side-pairing maps on $\mathcal{N}$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{1}^{(2)}(z, t)=(-z, t), \\
& R_{2}^{(2)}(z, t)=(-z+2, t+4 \Im(z)), \\
& R_{3}^{(2)}(z, t)=(-z+i \sqrt{2}, t-2 \sqrt{2} \Re(z)), \\
& T^{(2)}(z, t)=(z, t+2 \sqrt{2}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We describe the side pairing in terms of the action on the vertices:

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{1}^{(2)} & :\left(v_{6}^{+}, v_{1}^{+}, v_{1}^{-}, v_{6}^{-}\right) \longrightarrow\left(v_{6}^{+}, v_{3}^{+}, v_{3}^{-}, v_{6}^{-}\right), \\
R_{2}^{(2)} & :\left(v_{1}^{+}, v_{4}^{+}, v_{4}^{-}\right) \longrightarrow\left(v_{2}^{-}, v_{4}^{+}, v_{4}^{-}\right), \\
T^{(2)} R_{2}^{(2)} & :\left(v_{1}^{+}, v_{1}^{-}, v_{4}^{-}\right) \longrightarrow\left(v_{2}^{+}, v_{2}^{-}, v_{4}^{+}\right), \\
R_{3}^{(2)} & :\left(v_{2}^{+}, v_{5}^{+}, v_{5}^{-}\right) \longrightarrow\left(v_{3}^{-}, v_{5}^{+}, v_{5}^{-}\right), \\
T^{(2)} R_{3}^{(2)} & :\left(v_{2}^{+}, v_{2}^{-}, v_{5}^{-}\right) \longrightarrow\left(v_{3}^{+}, v_{3}^{+}, v_{5}^{+}\right), \\
T^{(2)} & :\left(v_{1}^{-}, v_{4}^{-}, v_{2}^{-}, v_{5}^{-}, v_{3}^{-}, v_{6}^{-}\right) \longrightarrow\left(v_{1}^{+}, v_{4}^{+}, v_{2}^{+}, v_{5}^{+}, v_{3}^{+}, v_{6}^{+}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The presentation can be obtained following from the edge cycles of the fundamental domain.

Writting $\alpha=R_{1}^{(2)}, a=R_{1}^{(2)} R_{2}^{(2)}, b=R_{1}^{(2)} R_{3}^{(2)}$ and $c=T^{(2)}$, then it is easy to see that this presentation is equivalent to the presentation of the almost-crystallographic group of type $Q=p 2$ given in the page 160 of Dek96] with $k_{1}=4$ and $k_{2}=k_{3}=k_{4}=0$.


Figure 4.3: A fundamental domain $\mathbf{P}_{7}$ for $\left(\Gamma_{7}\right)_{\infty}$ in the Heisenberg group: the map $R_{1}^{(7)}$ rotates through $\pi$ about $z=0$, the action of parabolic $R_{2}^{(7)}$ is a Heisenberg rotation through $\pi$ about $z=1 / 2$ followed by an upward vertical translation by $\sqrt{7}$ and the map $R_{3}^{(7)}$ is a Heisenberg rotation through $\pi$ about $z=(1+i \sqrt{7}) / 4$.

Proposition 4.2.3. $\left(\Gamma_{7}\right)_{\infty}$ is generated by

$$
\begin{gathered}
R_{1}^{(7)}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right], \quad R_{2}^{(7)}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & -\bar{\omega}_{7} \\
0 & -1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right], \\
R_{3}^{(7)}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \bar{\omega}_{7} & -1 \\
0 & -1 & \omega_{7} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad T^{(7)}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & i \sqrt{7} \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

A presentation is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\Gamma_{7}\right)_{\infty}=\left\langle R_{j}^{(7)}, T^{(7)}\right| R_{1}^{(7)^{2}}=R_{3}^{(7)^{2}} & =\left[T^{(7)}, R_{1}^{(7)}\right]=\left[T^{(7)}, R_{3}^{(7)}\right] \\
& \left.=T^{(7)} R_{2}^{(7))^{-2}}=\left(R_{1}^{(7)} R_{3}^{(7)} R_{2}^{(7)}\right)^{2}=I d\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Those matrices are constructed by lifting generators of $\operatorname{Isom}\left(\mathcal{O}_{7}\right)$ and also $T^{(7)}$ is a generator of the kernel of the map $\Pi_{*}$. A fundamental domain can be constructed with side pairings as Figure 4.3 , where the vertices of the prism are $v_{1}^{+}=((1-i \sqrt{7}) / 4, \sqrt{7})$, $v_{2}^{+}=((3+i \sqrt{7}) / 4, \sqrt{7}), v_{4}^{+}=((-1+i \sqrt{7}) / 4, \sqrt{7})$ for the upper cap of the prism and $v_{1}^{-}=$ $((1-i \sqrt{7}) / 4,-\sqrt{7}), v_{2}^{-}=((3+i \sqrt{7}) / 4,-\sqrt{7}), v_{4}^{-}=((-1+i \sqrt{7}) / 4,-\sqrt{7})$ for the base. The points $v_{3}^{ \pm}$and $v_{5}^{ \pm}$are the middle points of the edges $\left(v_{2}^{ \pm}, v_{4}^{ \pm}\right)$and $\left(v_{4}^{ \pm}, v_{1}^{ \pm}\right)$. In particular, we introduce more three points $w_{1}^{+}=((1-i \sqrt{7}) / 4, \sqrt{7} / 2)$, $w_{2}^{-}=((3+i \sqrt{7}) / 4,-\sqrt{7} / 2)$
and $w_{3}^{+}=((-1+i \sqrt{7}) / 4, \sqrt{7} / 2)$. The actions of side-pairing maps on $\mathcal{N}$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{1}^{(7)}(z, t)=(-z, t), \\
& R_{2}^{(7)}(z, t)=(-z+1, t+2 \Im(z)+\sqrt{7}), \\
& R_{3}^{(7)}(z, t)=\left(-z+\omega_{7}, t+2 \Im\left(\bar{\omega}_{7} z\right)\right), \\
& T^{(7)}(z, t)=(z, t+2 \sqrt{7}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We describe the side pairing in terms of the action on the vertices:

$$
\begin{array}{rc}
R_{1}^{(7)} & :\left(v_{5}^{+}, v_{1}^{+}, v_{1}^{-}, v_{5}^{-}\right) \longrightarrow\left(v_{5}^{+}, v_{4}^{+}, v_{4}^{-}, v_{5}^{-}\right), \\
R_{2}^{(7)} & :\left(v_{1}^{-}, v_{2}^{-}, w_{1}^{-}, w_{1}^{+}\right) \longrightarrow\left(w_{1}^{-}, w_{1}^{+}, v_{1}^{+}, v_{2}^{+}\right), \\
R_{3}^{(7)} & :\left(v_{2}^{+}, w_{1}^{-}, v_{3}^{-}, v_{3}^{+}\right) \longrightarrow\left(w_{2}^{+}, v_{4}^{-}, v_{3}^{-}, v_{3}^{+}\right), \\
T^{(7)} R_{3}^{(7)} & :\left(w_{1}^{-}, v_{2}^{-}, v_{3}^{-}\right) \longrightarrow\left(v_{4}^{+}, w_{2}^{+}, v_{3}^{+}\right) \\
T^{(7)} & :\left(v_{1}^{-}, v_{2}^{-}, v_{3}^{-}, v_{4}^{-}, v_{5}^{-}\right) \longrightarrow\left(v_{1}^{+}, v_{2}^{+}, v_{3}^{+}, v_{4}^{+}, v_{5}^{+}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

The presentation can be obtained following from the edge cycles of the fundamental domain.

Writting $\alpha=R_{1}^{(7)}, a=R_{1}^{(7)} R_{2}^{(7)}, b=R_{1}^{(7)} R_{3}^{(7)}$ and $c=T^{(7)}$, then it is easy to see that this presentation is equivalent to the presentation of the almost-crystallographic group of type $Q=p 2$ given in the page 160 of [Dek96] with $k_{1}=k_{2}=1$ and $k_{3}=k_{4}=0$.

Proposition 4.2.4. $\left(\Gamma_{11}\right)_{\infty}$ is generated by

$$
\begin{gathered}
R_{1}^{(11)}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right], \quad R_{2}^{(11)}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & -\bar{\omega}_{11} \\
0 & -1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right], \\
R_{3}^{(11)}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \bar{\omega}_{11} & -1-\bar{\omega}_{11} \\
0 & -1 & \omega_{11} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { and } T^{(11)}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & i \sqrt{11} \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

A presentation is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\Gamma_{11}\right)_{\infty}=\left\langle R_{j}^{(11)}, T^{(11)}\right| R_{1}^{(11)^{2}}=\left[T^{(11)}, R_{1}^{(11)}\right]=T^{(11)} R_{2}^{(11)^{-2}} \\
&\left.=T^{(11)} R_{3}^{(11)^{-2}}=T^{(11)}\left(R_{1}^{(11)} R_{3}^{(11)} R_{2}^{(11)}\right)^{-2}=I d\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Those matrices are constructed by lifting generators of $\operatorname{Isom}\left(\mathcal{O}_{11}\right)$ and also $T^{(11)}$ is a generator of the kernel of the map $\Pi_{*}$. A fundamental domain can be constructed with side pairings as Figure 4.4 where the vertices of the prism are $v_{1}^{+}=((1-i \sqrt{11}) / 4, \sqrt{11})$, $v_{2}^{+}=((3+i \sqrt{11}) / 4,3 \sqrt{11} / 2), v_{3}^{+}=((-1+i \sqrt{11}) / 4,2 \sqrt{11})$ for the upper cap of the prism and $v_{1}^{-}=((1-i \sqrt{11}) / 4,-\sqrt{11}), v_{2}^{-}=((3+i \sqrt{11}) / 4,-\sqrt{11} / 2), v_{3}^{-}=((-1+i \sqrt{11}) / 4,0)$ for the base. The points $v_{0}^{ \pm}$are the middle points of the edges $\left(v_{1}^{ \pm}, v_{3}^{ \pm}\right)$. In particular, we introduce more three points $w_{1}=((1-i \sqrt{11}) / 4,0), w_{2}=((3+i \sqrt{11}) / 4, \sqrt{11} / 2)$ and $w_{3}=((-1+i \sqrt{11}) / 4, \sqrt{11})$. The actions of side-pairing maps on $\mathcal{N}$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{1}^{(11)}(z, t)=(-z, t), \\
& R_{2}^{(11)}(z, t)=(-z+1, t+2 \Im(z)+\sqrt{11}), \\
& R_{3}^{(11)}(z, t)=\left(-z+\omega_{11}, t+2 \Im\left(\bar{\omega}_{11} z\right)+\sqrt{11}\right), \\
& T^{(11)}(z, t)=(z, t+2 \sqrt{11}) .
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 4.4: A fundamental domain $\mathbf{P}_{11}$ for $\left(\Gamma_{11}\right)_{\infty}$ in the Heisenberg group: the map $R_{1}^{(11)}$ rotates through $\pi$ about $z=0$, the action of parabolic $R_{2}^{(11)}$ is a screw Heisenberg rotation through $\pi$ about $z=1 / 2$ followed by an upward vertical translation by $\sqrt{11}$ and the map $R_{3}^{(11)}$ is a screw Heisenberg rotation through $\pi$ about $z=(1+i \sqrt{11}) / 4$ followed by an upward vertical translation by $\sqrt{11}$.

We describe the side pairing in terms of the action on the vertices:

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{1}^{(11)} & :\left(v_{0}^{+}, v_{1}^{+}, w_{1}, v_{0}^{-}\right) \longrightarrow\left(v_{0}^{+}, w_{3}, v_{3}^{-}, v_{0}^{-}\right), \\
T^{(11)} R_{1}^{(11)} & :\left(w_{1}, v_{1}^{-}, v_{0}^{-}\right) \longrightarrow\left(v_{3}^{+}, w_{3}, v_{0}^{+}\right), \\
R_{2}^{(11)} & :\left(v_{1}^{+}, w_{1}, v_{1}^{-}, v_{2}^{-}\right) \longrightarrow\left(v_{2}^{+}, w_{2}, v_{2}^{-}, v_{1}^{+}\right), \\
R_{3}^{(11)} & :\left(v_{2}^{+}, w_{2}, v_{2}^{-}, v_{3}^{-}\right) \longrightarrow\left(v_{3}^{+}, w_{3}, v_{3}^{-}, v_{2}^{+}\right), \\
T^{(11)} & :\left(v_{0}^{-}, v_{1}^{-}, v_{2}^{-}, v_{3}^{-}\right) \longrightarrow\left(v_{0}^{+}, v_{1}^{+}, v_{2}^{+}, v_{3}^{+}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The presentation can be obtained following from the edge cycles of the fundamental domain.

Writting $\alpha=R_{1}^{(11)}, a=R_{1}^{(11)} R_{2}^{(11)}, b=R_{1}^{(11)} R_{3}^{(11)}$ and $c=T^{(11)}$, then it is easy to see that this presentation is equivalent to the presentation of the almost-crystallographic group of type $Q=p 2$ given in the page 160 of Dek96 with $k_{1}=k_{4}=0$ and $k_{2}=k_{3}=0$.

### 4.3 Statement of the results

In this section, we introduce the method used in ([FP06], [FFP10] and [Zh11]) to determine the generators of the Euclidean Picard modular groups and then state our results.

Recall the map

$$
I_{0}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

defined in the Section 2.2. We consider the isometric sphere $\mathcal{B}_{0}$ of $I_{0}$ given by $(2.2)$, which is a Cygan sphere centred at $o=(0,0,0)$ with radius $\sqrt{2}$. Observe that $I_{0}$ maps $\mathcal{B}_{0}$ to itself and swaps the inside and the outside of $\mathcal{B}_{0}$. Given an element of $\Gamma_{d}$ of the form (2.4), we know that the radius of its isometric sphere is $\sqrt{2 /|g|}$. For each case $\mathcal{O}_{d}$, the radius of isometric sphere is not greater than $\sqrt{2}$ since the absolute of $g$ is not smaller than 1 for $g \in \mathcal{O}_{d}$. We show that the largest isometric spheres are all images of $\mathcal{B}_{0}$ under the elements in $\left(\Gamma_{d}\right)_{\infty}$.

Proposition 4.3.1. An isometric sphere has the largest radius if and only if it is the image of $\mathcal{B}_{0}$ under an element in $\left(\Gamma_{d}\right)_{\infty}$.

Proof. Obviously, the image of $\mathcal{B}_{0}$ under an element in $\left(\Gamma_{d}\right)_{\infty}$ has the same radius $\sqrt{2}$. Conversely, given an element $G$ of the form (2.4) such that $G\left(q_{\infty}\right) \neq q_{\infty}$, then the isometric sphere of $G$ has the largest radius only if $g=1$. So the center of the isometric sphere of $G$ in horospherical coordinates is $G^{-1}(\infty)=(\bar{h}, 2 \Im(j), 0)$. Since $\bar{h}$ and $2 \Im(\bar{j}) \in \mathcal{O}_{d}$, we can take a Heisenberg translation $T \in\left(\Gamma_{d}\right)_{\infty}$ mapping the origin to ( $\bar{h}, 2 \Im(\bar{j})$. Writing $T^{\prime}=G T I_{0}$, we know that $T^{\prime}$ fixes $\infty$. We conclude explicitly that the isometric sphere of $G$ is

$$
\left\{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}:\left|\left\langle\mathbf{z}, q_{\infty}\right\rangle\right|=\left|\left\langle\mathbf{z}, G^{-1}\left(q_{\infty}\right)\right\rangle\right|=\left|\left\langle\mathbf{z}, T I_{0}\left(q_{\infty}\right)\right\rangle\right|\right\}
$$

which is the image of $\mathcal{B}_{0}$ under $T$.
Our method is based on the special feature that the orbifold $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} / \Gamma_{d}$ has only one cusp for $d=2,7,11$. For these types of orbifolds, one would like to construct a fundamental domain using the Ford domain (that is the intersection of the exteriors of isometric spheres of all elements not fixing infinity), namely, the intersection of the Ford domain and a fundamental domain for the stabilizer of infinity. The Ford domain is canonical, but we can choose a fundamental domain for the stabilizer freely. In the previous section, we found suitable generators of the stabilizer and constructed a fundamental domain for the stabilizer in the Heisenberg group. We will show that adjoining $I_{0}$ to $\left(\Gamma_{d}\right)_{\infty}$ gives the Euclidean Picard modular groups $\Gamma_{d}$. The basic idea of the proof is analogous to Theorem 3.5 of [FP06].

- Find a sufficient many of isometric spheres such that the union of the interior of the boundary of these isometric spheres in the Heisenberg group covers each of the prisms we constructed in the previous section. The problem of determining the isometric spheres, as the key point, will be shown in the next section.
- From the first step, there is a fundamental domain for $\left\langle R_{1}^{(d)}, R_{2}^{(d)}, R_{3}^{(d)}, T^{(d)}, I_{0}\right\rangle$ contained in the region that is obtained from the intersection of the exteriors of a finite many isometric spheres found in the above step with the fundamental domain for the stabilizer of infinity. It is obviously that this region is not exactly a fundamental domain since the isometric spheres which we found are sufficiently but not necessarily to cover the prism. However, this region has only one cusp; see Figure 4.5 .
- Clearly, it follows that $\left\langle R_{1}^{(d)}, R_{2}^{(d)}, R_{3}^{(d)}, T^{(d)}, I_{0}\right\rangle$ has only one cusp $q_{\infty}$. Since the group $\left\langle R_{1}^{(d)}, R_{2}^{(d)}, R_{3}^{(d)}, T^{(d)}, I_{0}\right\rangle$ is a subgroup of $\Gamma_{d}$ and both groups have cofinite


Figure 4.5: A schematic view in 2-dimension. The red line indicates a fundamental domain for the cusp group in the Heisenberg group. The region bounded by the bold lines (arcs) contains a fundamental domain for $\left\langle R_{1}^{(d)}, R_{2}^{(d)}, R_{3}^{(d)}, T^{(d)}, I_{0}\right\rangle$. The halfcircles drawn by the dotted line are other possible isometric spheres intersecting with the fundamental domain for the cusp group.
volume, $\left\langle R_{1}^{(d)}, R_{2}^{(d)}, R_{3}^{(d)}, T^{(d)}, I_{0}\right\rangle$ must have finite index. Therefore, the fact that both groups have the same stabilizer of $q_{\infty}$ implies they are the same.

After we check the determination of isometric spheres, we obtain the main results as follows.

Theorem 4.3.2. Let $\mathcal{K}=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$ and let $\mathcal{O}_{2}=\mathbb{Z}[i \sqrt{2}]$. Then the group $P U\left(2,1, \mathcal{O}_{2}\right)$ is generated by the elements

$$
\begin{gathered}
I_{0}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right], R_{1}^{(2)}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right], R_{2}^{(2)}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 2 & -2 \\
0 & -1 & 2 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \\
R_{3}^{(2)}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & -i \sqrt{2} & -1 \\
0 & -1 & i \sqrt{2} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad T^{(2)}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & i \sqrt{2} \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

Theorem 4.3.3. Let $\mathcal{K}=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-7})$ and let $\mathcal{O}_{7}=\mathbb{Z}\left[\omega_{7}\right]$, where $\omega_{7}=\frac{1}{2}(1+i \sqrt{7})$, be the ring of integers of $\mathcal{K}$. Then the group $\operatorname{PU}\left(2,1, \mathcal{O}_{7}\right)$ is generated by the elements

$$
\begin{gathered}
I_{0}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right], R_{1}^{(7)}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right], R_{2}^{(7)}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & -\bar{\omega}_{7} \\
0 & -1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \\
R_{3}^{(7)}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \bar{\omega}_{7} & -1 \\
0 & -1 & \omega_{7} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { and } T^{(7)}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & i \sqrt{7} \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

Theorem 4.3.4. Let $\mathcal{K}=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-11})$ and let $\mathcal{O}_{11}=\mathbb{Z}\left[\omega_{11}\right]$, where $\omega_{11}=\frac{1}{2}(1+i \sqrt{11})$, be the ring of integers of $\mathcal{K}$. Then the group $\operatorname{PU}\left(2,1, \mathcal{O}_{11}\right)$ is generated by the elements

$$
\begin{gathered}
I_{0}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right], R_{1}^{(11)}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right], R_{2}^{(11)}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & -\bar{\omega}_{11} \\
0 & -1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \\
R_{3}^{(11)}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \bar{\omega}_{11} & -1-\bar{\omega}_{11} \\
0 & -1 & \omega_{11} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \text { and } T^{(11)}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & i \sqrt{11} \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

Remark 4.3.5. For other values of $d$ such that $\mathcal{O}_{d}$ has class number one, namely $d=19$, $43,67,163$, we can construct the same type of fundamental domain for $\left(\Gamma_{d}\right)_{\infty}$ in the Heisenberg group as $\left(\Gamma_{11}\right)_{\infty}$. All generators as the above types lie in $\operatorname{PU}\left(2,1 ; \mathcal{O}_{d}\right)$, but we don't know whether adjoining the element $I_{0}$ to $\left(\Gamma_{d}\right)_{\infty}$ generates the full group $P U\left(2,1 ; \mathcal{O}_{d}\right)$. Furthermore, the method of [FFLP11] could not be extended to non-Euclidean Picard modular groups.

### 4.4 Determination of the isometric spheres

Recall that the Cygan sphere $\mathcal{B}_{0}$ is the isometric sphere of $I_{0}$. The boundary of $\mathcal{B}_{0}$ is a spinal sphere denoted by $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ (this is not the same as the one in Chapter 3) in the Heisenberg group, which is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}_{0}=\left\{(z, t):\left||z|^{2}+i t\right|=2\right\} . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed we only need to consider the boundaries of isometric spheres in the Heisenberg group because two isometric spheres have a non-empty interior intersection if and only if their boundaries have a non-empty interior intersection.

### 4.4.1 The case $\mathcal{O}_{2}$

In the cases of $\operatorname{PU}\left(2,1 ; \mathcal{O}_{1}\right)$ and $P U\left(2,1 ; \mathcal{O}_{3}\right)$, all the vertices of the fundamental domain for the stabilizer of $q_{\infty}$ acting on $\partial \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ lie inside $\mathcal{S}_{0}$. For the group $P U\left(2,1 ; \mathcal{O}_{2}\right)$, it is not hard to show that six vertices of the prism $\mathbf{P}_{2}$ lie outside $\mathcal{S}_{0}$. Therefore we need to find more isometric spheres whose boundaries together with $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ contain the prism $\mathbf{P}_{2}$.

We consider the map

$$
I_{0} R_{2}^{(2)} I_{0}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
-2 & -1 & 0 \\
-2 & -2 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

whose isometric sphere which we denote by $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ is a Cygan sphere centered at the point $(1,0,0)$ (in horospherical coordinates) with radius 1 . The boundary of $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}_{1}=\left\{(z, t):\left||z-1|^{2}+i t+2 i \Im(z)\right|=1\right\} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Minimizing the number of spinal spheres by the symmetry of $R_{1}^{(2)}$, it suffices to consider $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ and several images of $\mathcal{S}_{1}$ under some suitable elements in $\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)_{\infty}$. In Heisenberg


Figure 4.6: (a) The shading view of neighboring spinal spheres containing the fundamental domain for $\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)_{\infty}$. (b) Another view for these spinal spheres.
coordinates these are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
T^{(2)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{1}\right) & =\left\{(z, t):\left||z-1|^{2}+i t-2 i \sqrt{2}+2 i \Im(z)\right|=1\right\}, \\
T^{(2)^{-1}}\left(\mathcal{S}_{1}\right) & =\left\{(z, t):\left||z-1|^{2}+i t+2 i \sqrt{2}+2 i \Im(z)\right|=1\right\}, \\
R_{1}^{(2)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{1}\right) & =\left\{(z, t):\left||z+1|^{2}+i t-2 i \Im(z)\right|=1\right\}, \\
T^{(2)^{-1}} R_{1}^{(2)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{1}\right) & =\left\{(z, t):\left||z+1|^{2}+i t+2 i \sqrt{2}-2 i \Im(z)\right|=1\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We claim that the prism $\mathbf{P}_{2}$ lies inside the union of $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ and these images of $\mathcal{S}_{1}$, see Figure 4.6 for viewing these spinal spheres.

Proposition 4.4.1. The prism $\mathbf{P}_{2}$ is contained in the union of the interiors of the spinal spheres $\mathcal{S}_{0}, \mathcal{S}_{1}, T^{(2)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{1}\right), T^{(2)^{-1}}\left(\mathcal{S}_{1}\right), R_{1}^{(2)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{1}\right)$ and $T^{(2)^{-1}} R_{1}^{(2)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{1}\right)$.

Proof. It suffices to show there exist three points $\left(v_{1}^{+}\right)^{(j)}(j=1,2,3)$ on the edges $\left(v_{1}^{+}, v_{1}^{-}\right),\left(v_{1}^{+}, v_{2}^{+}\right)$and $\left(v_{1}^{+}, v_{3}^{+}\right)$which lie in the intersection of the interiors of $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{1}$ such that the tetrahedron $\mathbb{T}\left(v_{1}^{+}\right)$with vertices $v_{1}^{+},\left(v_{1}^{+}\right)^{(1)},\left(v_{1}^{+}\right)^{(2)},\left(v_{1}^{+}\right)^{(3)}$ lies inside $\mathcal{S}_{1}$. By the same argument, we can also obtain five other tetrahedra $\mathbb{T}\left(v_{2}^{+}\right), \mathbb{T}\left(v_{3}^{+}\right)$, $\mathbb{T}\left(v_{1}^{-}\right), \mathbb{T}\left(v_{2}^{-}\right), \mathbb{T}\left(v_{3}^{-}\right)$with apex $v_{2}^{+}, v_{3}^{+}, v_{1}^{-}, v_{2}^{-}, v_{3}^{-}$respectively such that $\mathbb{T}\left(v_{2}^{+}\right) \in$ $\operatorname{Int}\left(T^{(2)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{1}\right)\right), \mathbb{T}\left(v_{3}^{+}\right) \in \operatorname{Int}\left(R_{1}^{(2)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{1}\right)\right), \mathbb{T}\left(v_{1}^{-}\right) \in \operatorname{Int}\left(T^{(2)^{-1}}\left(\mathcal{S}_{1}\right)\right), \mathbb{T}\left(v_{2}^{-}\right) \in \operatorname{Int}\left(\mathcal{S}_{1}\right)$ and $\mathbb{T}\left(v_{3}^{-}\right) \in \operatorname{Int}\left(T^{(2)^{-1}} R_{1}^{(2)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{1}\right)\right)$. Moreover, the core part obtained by cutting off six the tetrahedra from the prism lies inside $\mathcal{S}_{0}$.

We shall prove the existence of the tetrahedron $\mathbb{T}\left(v_{1}^{+}\right)$and the others follow similarly. The edge joining $v_{1}^{+}$and $v_{1}^{-}$is contained in the complex line $z=1-\sqrt{2} i / 2$ which is given by points with Heisenberg coordinates

$$
z=1-\sqrt{2} i / 2, \quad-\sqrt{2} \leq t \leq \sqrt{2}
$$

The edge joining $v_{1}^{+}$and $v_{2}^{+}$is given by points with Heisenberg coordinates

$$
\Re(z)=1, \quad-\sqrt{2} / 2 \leq \Im(z) \leq \sqrt{2} / 2, \quad t=\sqrt{2}
$$

The edge joining $v_{1}^{+}$and $v_{3}^{+}$is given by points with Heisenberg coordinates

$$
\Re(z)=-\sqrt{2} \Im(z), \quad t=\sqrt{2} .
$$

From the equations (4.1) and (4.2), the points on the edge $\left(v_{1}^{+}, v_{1}^{-}\right)$lie in the intersection of the interiors of $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{1}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
|3 / 2+i t|<2 \quad \text { and } \quad|1 / 2-(t-\sqrt{2}) i|<1 . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By easy calculations, the inequalities 4.3) are equivalent to

$$
\sqrt{2}-\sqrt{3} / 2<t<\sqrt{7} / 2
$$

Using the same argument as above, we obtain that the points on the edge $\left(v_{1}^{+}, v_{2}^{+}\right)$lie in the intersection of the interiors of $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{1}$ if and only if $\Re(z)=1$ and $-\sqrt{\sqrt{2}-1}<$ $\Im(z)<\delta_{1}$, where $\delta_{1} \approx-0.208$ is the largest real root of the equation $x^{4}+4 x^{2}+4 \sqrt{2} x+1=0$. The points on the edge $\left(v_{1}^{+}, v_{3}^{+}\right)$lie in the intersection of the interiors of $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{1}$ if and only if $\Re(z)=-\sqrt{2} \Im(z)$ and $-2^{1 / 4} / \sqrt{3}<\Im(z)<\delta_{2}$, where $\delta_{2} \approx-0.264$ is the largest real root of the equation $9 x^{4}+12 \sqrt{2} x^{3}+18 x^{2}+8 \sqrt{2} x+2=0$.

In term of these, we choose three points as $\left(v_{1}^{+}\right)^{(1)}=(1-\sqrt{2} i / 2,1)$ on the edge $\left(v_{1}^{+}, v_{1}^{-}\right)$, $\left(v_{1}^{+}\right)^{(2)}=(1-i / 2, \sqrt{2})$ on the edge $\left(v_{1}^{+}, v_{2}^{+}\right)$and $\left(v_{1}^{+}\right)^{(3)}=(\sqrt{2} / 2-i / 2, \sqrt{2})$ on the edge $\left(v_{1}^{+}, v_{3}^{+}\right)$, which are inside the intersection of the interiors of $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{1}$. Since the vertex $v_{1}^{+}$lies inside $\mathcal{S}_{1}$, the tetrahedron $\mathbb{T}\left(v_{1}^{+}\right)$with the vertices $v_{1}^{+},\left(v_{1}^{+}\right)^{(1)},\left(v_{1}^{+}\right)^{(2)},\left(v_{1}^{+}\right)^{(3)}$ lies inside $\mathcal{S}_{1}$ by Lemma 1.4.7.

### 4.4.2 The case $\mathcal{O}_{7}$

In this case, the distance between the top and base of the fundamental domain for the stabilizer $\left(\Gamma_{7}\right)_{\infty}$ is greater than the diameter of $\mathcal{S}_{0}$, which implies that the prism $\mathbf{P}_{7}$ can not be contained inside $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ completely. Due to increasing the length of Heisenberg translations, only the images of $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ under the elements in $\left(\Gamma_{7}\right)_{\infty}$ could not cover the whole prism. We show that there are also isometric spheres with Cygan radius smaller than $\sqrt{2}$ whose centers are near to the origin.

Therefore we consider the map

$$
Q=I_{0} R_{2}^{(7)} I_{0}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 \\
\bar{\omega}_{7} & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Consider the isometric spheres of $Q$ and $Q^{-1}$, which we denote by $\mathcal{B}_{2}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{3}$, respectively. The center of $\mathcal{B}_{2}$ is $Q^{-1}(\infty)$, which is the point with horospherical coordinates $(1 / 4+$ $i \sqrt{7} / 4, \sqrt{7} / 2,0)$ and the center of $\mathcal{B}_{3}$, is $Q(\infty)$ which has horospherical coordinates ( $1 / 4-$ $i \sqrt{7} / 4, \sqrt{7} / 2,0)$. Both these isometric spheres have Cygan radius $\sqrt{2 /\left|\omega_{7}\right|}=2^{1 / 4}$. The boundaries of these isometric spheres $\mathcal{B}_{2}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{3}$ are in Heisenberg coordinates given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{S}_{2}=\left\{(z, t):\left|\left|z-\omega_{7} / 2\right|^{2}+i t+i \sqrt{7} / 2+i \Im\left(\bar{\omega}_{7} z\right)\right|=\sqrt{2}\right\},  \tag{4.4}\\
& \mathcal{S}_{3}=\left\{(z, t):\left|\left|z-\bar{\omega}_{7} / 2\right|^{2}+i t-i \sqrt{7} / 2+i \Im\left(\omega_{7} z\right)\right|=\sqrt{2}\right\} . \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

In order to cover the prim $\mathbf{P}_{7}$ by the spinal spheres, we use the symmetry property of $R_{1}^{(7)}$. It suffices to consider $\mathcal{S}_{0}, \mathcal{S}_{2}$ and the images of $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{3}$ under suitable elements in


Figure 4.7: (a) The shading view of neighboring spinal spheres containing the fundamental domain for $\left(\Gamma_{7}\right)_{\infty}$. (b) Another view for these spinal spheres.
$\left(\Gamma_{7}\right)_{\infty}$. These spinal spheres are points with Heisenberg coordinates given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{2}^{(7)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right) & =\left\{(z, t):\left||z-1|^{2}+i t-i \sqrt{7}+2 i \Im(z)\right|=4\right\}, \\
R_{2}^{(7)^{-1}}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right) & =\left\{(z, t):\left||z-1|^{2}+i t+i \sqrt{7}+2 i \Im(z)\right|=4\right\}, \\
R_{2}^{(7)^{-1}}\left(\mathcal{S}_{3}\right) & =\left\{(z, t):\left|\left|z-\left(1+\omega_{7}\right) / 2\right|^{2}+i t+i \sqrt{7}+i \Im\left(\left(1+\bar{\omega}_{7}\right)\right)\right|=\sqrt{2}\right\}, \\
R_{3}^{(7)} R_{2}^{(7)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{3}\right) & =\left\{(z, t):\left|\left|\zeta+\bar{\omega}_{7} / 2\right|^{2}+i t-i \sqrt{7} / 2-i \Im\left(\omega_{7} z\right)\right|=\sqrt{2}\right\}, \\
R_{1}^{(7)} R_{3}^{(7)} R_{2}^{(7)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{3}\right) & =\left\{(z, t):\left|\left|z-\bar{\omega}_{7} / 2\right|^{2}+i t-i \sqrt{7} / 2+i \Im\left(\omega_{7} z\right)\right|=\sqrt{2}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We claim that the prism $\mathbf{P}_{7}$ lies inside the union of $\mathcal{S}_{0}, \mathcal{S}_{2}$ and these images $R_{2}^{(7)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$, $R_{2}^{(7)^{-1}}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right), R_{2}^{(7)^{-1}}\left(\mathcal{S}_{3}\right), R_{3}^{(7)} R_{2}^{(7)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{3}\right), R_{1}^{(7)} R_{3}^{(7)} R_{2}^{(7)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{3}\right)$, see Figure 4.7 for viewing these spinal spheres.
Proposition 4.4.2. The prism $\mathbf{P}_{7}$ is contained in the union of the interiors of the spinal spheres $\mathcal{S}_{0}, \mathcal{S}_{2}, R_{2}^{(7)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right), R_{2}^{(7)^{-1}}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right), R_{2}^{(7)^{-1}}\left(\mathcal{S}_{3}\right), R_{3}^{(7)} R_{2}^{(7)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{3}\right)$ and $R_{1}^{(7)} R_{3}^{(7)} R_{2}^{(7)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{3}\right)$.

Proof. It suffices to show that the prism $\mathbf{P}_{7}$ can be decomposed into several pieces as polyhedra such that each polyhedron lies inside a spinal sphere which is described in the proposition and the common face of two adjacent polyhedra lie in the intersection of the interior of two spinal spheres which contain these two polyhedra.

We need to add sixteen points on the faces of the prism $\mathbf{P}_{7}$ in order to decompose the prim into seven polyhedra. These points are given, in Heisenberg coordinates, by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
p_{1}=(1 / 4-i \sqrt{7} / 4,3 / 2), & p_{2}=(0.11-i 11 \sqrt{7} / 100,1.44+\sqrt{7} / 50), \\
p_{3}=(1 / 2,8 / 5), & p_{4}=(-1 / 10+i \sqrt{7} / 10, \sqrt{7}), \\
p_{5}=(-1 / 10+i \sqrt{7} / 10, \sqrt{7} / 2), & p_{6}=(3 / 4+i \sqrt{7} / 4,1.7), \\
p_{7}=(-1 / 4+i \sqrt{7} / 4,1), & p_{8}=(1 / 4-i \sqrt{7} / 4,-1), \\
p_{9}=(1 / 60-i \sqrt{7} / 60,-2 \sqrt{7} / 3), & p_{10}=(-1 / 20+i \sqrt{7} / 20,-\sqrt{7}), \\
p_{11}=(3 / 5+i \sqrt{7} / 10,-2 \sqrt{7} / 3), & p_{12}=(7 / 10+i \sqrt{7} / 5,-\sqrt{7}), \\
p_{13}=(3 / 4+i \sqrt{7} / 4,-2 \sqrt{7} / 3), & p_{14}=(5 / 12+i \sqrt{7} / 4,-2 \sqrt{7} / 3), \\
p_{15}=(1 / 4+i \sqrt{7} / 4,-\sqrt{7}), & p_{16}=(-1 / 4+i \sqrt{7} / 4,-1) .
\end{array}
$$



Figure 4.8: The decomposition of the prism $\mathbf{P}_{7}$ into the pieces of polyhedra.

We describe these polyhedra as follows:

- The tetrahedron $\mathbb{T}$ with the vertices $v_{1}^{+}, p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}$;
- The hexahedron $\mathbb{H}_{1}$ with the vertices $v_{1}^{+}, v_{2}^{+}, p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{4}, p_{5}, p_{6} ;$
- The pentahedron $\mathbb{P}_{1}$ with the vertices $v_{2}^{+}, p_{4}, p_{5}, p_{6}, v_{4}^{+}, p_{7}$;
- The pentahedron $\mathbb{P}_{2}$ with the vertices $v_{1}^{-}, p_{8}, p_{9}, p_{10}, p_{11}, p_{12} ;$
- The hexahedron $\mathbb{H}_{2}$ with the vertices $p_{9}, p_{10}, p_{11}, p_{12}, p_{13}, v_{2}^{-}, p_{14}, p_{15} ;$
- The pentahedron $\mathbb{P}_{3}$ with the vertices $p_{9}, p_{10}, p_{14}, p_{15}, p_{16}, v_{4}^{+}$;
- The octahedron $\mathbb{O}$ with the vertices $p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{5}, p_{6}, p_{7}, p_{8}, p_{9}, p_{11}, p_{13}, p_{14}, p_{16}$.

Note that the face $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right)$ of $\mathbb{T}$ and the face $\left(p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{5}, p_{6}\right)$ of $\mathbb{H}_{1}$ are on the face $\left(p_{1}, p_{5}, p_{6}\right)$ of $\mathbb{O}$; the common face $\left(v_{2}^{+}, p_{4}, p_{5}, p_{6}\right)$ of $\mathbb{H}_{1}$ and $\mathbb{P}_{1}$ is a vertical plane; the face ( $p_{9}, p_{11}, p_{13}, p_{14}$ ) of $\mathbb{H}_{2}$ is parallel to the base of the prism. Furthermore, the faces ( $p_{9}, p_{10}, p_{11}, p_{12}$ ) and ( $p_{9}, p_{10}, p_{14}, p_{15}$ ) are the trapeziums since the edge ( $p_{9}, p_{11}$ ) is parallel to $\left(p_{10}, p_{12}\right)$ and the edge ( $p_{9}, p_{14}$ ) is parallel to ( $p_{10}, p_{15}$ ).

By examining the location of the points and applying Lemma 1.4.7, we conclude that the tetrahedron $\mathbb{T}$ is inside the spinal sphere $R_{1}^{(7)} R_{3}^{(7)} R_{2}^{(7)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{3}\right)$; the hexahedron $\mathbb{H}_{1}$ is contained inside the spinal sphere $R_{2}^{(7)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$; the pentahedron $\mathbb{P}_{1}$ is inside $R_{3}^{(7)} R_{2}^{(7)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{3}\right)$; the pentahedron $\mathbb{P}_{2}$ is contained inside $R_{2}^{(7)-1}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$; the hexahedron $\mathbb{H}_{2}$ is contained inside $R_{2}^{(7)^{-1}}\left(\mathcal{S}_{3}\right)$; the pentahedron $\mathbb{P}_{3}$ is inside $\mathcal{S}_{2}$; the remaining octahedron $\mathbb{O}$ is inside $\mathcal{S}_{0}$; see Figure 4.8 for viewing the polyhedral decomposition of the prism.

### 4.4.3 The case $\mathcal{O}_{11}$

In this case, we again know that the fundamental domain for the stabilizer $\left(\Gamma_{11}\right)_{\infty}$ cannot be inside $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ completely. The radius of spinal spheres other than the largest are so small that these spinal spheres do not contribute much to covering the prism $\mathbf{P}_{11}$. Due to the different shape of the prism $\mathbf{P}_{11}$ with the case $\mathcal{O}_{7}$, we only need to consider the largest spinal spheres which are the images of $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ under the elements of $\left(\Gamma_{11}\right)_{\infty}$. In order to determine a union of the spinal spheres which covers the prism $\mathbf{P}_{11}$, we minimize their number by the symmetry of $R_{1}^{(11)}$. It suffices to consider $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ and the images of $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ under suitable elements in $\left(\Gamma_{11}\right)_{\infty}$. In Heisenberg coordinates they are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
T^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right) & =\left\{(z, t):\left||z|^{2}+i t-2 i \sqrt{11}+2 i \Im(z)\right|=4\right\} \\
R_{2}^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right) & =\left\{(z, t):\left||z-1|^{2}+i t-i \sqrt{11}+2 i \Im(z)\right|=4\right\}, \\
R_{1}^{(11)} R_{2}^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right) & =\left\{(z, t):\left||z+1|^{2}+i t-i \sqrt{11}-2 i \Im(z)\right|=4\right\}, \\
R_{2}^{(11)^{-1}}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right) & =\left\{(z, t):\left||z-1|^{2}+i t+i \sqrt{11}+2 i \Im(z)\right|=4\right\}, \\
R_{3}^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right) & =\left\{(z, t):\left|\left|z-\omega_{11}\right|^{2}+i t-i \sqrt{11}-2 i \Im\left(\bar{\omega}_{11} z\right)\right|=4\right\}, \\
R_{3}^{(11)^{-1}}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right) & =\left\{(z, t):\left|\left|z-\omega_{11}\right|^{2}+i t+i \sqrt{11}-2 i \Im\left(\bar{\omega}_{11} z\right)\right|=4\right\}, \\
R_{3}^{(11)} R_{2}^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right) & =\left\{(z, t):\left|\left|z+\bar{\omega}_{11}\right|^{2}+i t-i \sqrt{11}-2 i \Im\left(\omega_{11} z\right)\right|=4\right\}, \\
R_{1}^{(11)} R_{3}^{(11)} R_{2}^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right) & =\left\{(z, t):\left|\left|z-\bar{\omega}_{11}\right|^{2}+i t-i \sqrt{11}+2 i \Im\left(\omega_{11} z\right)\right|=4\right\}, \\
R_{1}^{(11)} R_{3}^{(11)^{-1}} R_{2}^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right) & =\left\{(z, t):\left|\left|z-\bar{\omega}_{11}\right|^{2}+i t+i \sqrt{11}+2 i \Im\left(\omega_{11} z\right)\right|=4\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 4.4.3. Let $X$ be a closed polygonal chain (not necessarily in a plane) in 3dimensional space, then a topological disc defined by the cone over $X$ with apex $v$ is called a cone-polygon, denoted by $\mathscr{D}_{v}(X)$.

Note that a polygon in traditional sense can be interpreted as a cone-polygon, in that case, the boundary of cone-polygon and the apex lie in the same plane and moreover the apex is in the interior of the boundary. We claim that the prism $\mathbf{P}_{11}$ lies inside the union of $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ and its images as above, see Figure 4.9 for viewing these spinal spheres.

Proposition 4.4.4. The prism $\mathbf{P}_{11}$ is contained in the union of the interiors of the spinal spheres $\mathcal{S}_{0}, T^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right), R_{2}^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right), R_{2}^{(11)^{-1}}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right), R_{3}^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right), R_{3}^{(11)^{-1}}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right), R_{3}^{(11)} R_{2}^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$, $R_{1}^{(11)} R_{2}^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right), R_{1}^{(11)} R_{3}^{(11)^{-1}} R_{2}^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$ and $R_{1}^{(11)} R_{3}^{(11)} R_{2}^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$

Proof. Using the same argument as Proposition 4.4.2, we want to decompose the prism $\mathbf{P}_{11}$ into several polyhedral cells. The difference is the complicated intersection of the spinal spheres, which makes it difficult to decompose into several polyhedral cells, each of which is contained in one spinal sphere. Observe that a union of interiors of several spinal spheres is a star-convex set if they have a non-empty interior intersection. We shall show that the collection of these spinal spheres can be separated into several parts such that each part contains certain polyhedral cell. All these polyhedral cells are defined by the cone-polygon as its boundary.


Figure 4.9: (a) The shading view of neighboring spinal spheres containing the fundamental domain for $\left(\Gamma_{11}\right)_{\infty}$. (b) Another view for these spinal spheres.

We first define a tetrahedron $\mathfrak{T}$ with vertices $v_{1}^{-}, q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q_{1}=(1 / 4-i \sqrt{11} / 4,-2 \sqrt{11} / 3), \\
& q_{2}=(3 / 20-3 i \sqrt{11} / 20,-4 \sqrt{11} / 5), \\
& q_{3}=(7 / 20-3 i \sqrt{11} / 20,-9 \sqrt{11} / 10) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe that the points $q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}$ lie on the edges $\left(v_{1}^{+}, v_{1}^{-}\right),\left(v_{1}^{-}, v_{3}^{-}\right)$and $\left(v_{1}^{-}, v_{2}^{-}\right)$, respectively. By Lemma 1.4.7 and simple calculations show that the tetrahedron $\mathfrak{T}$ is contained inside $R_{1}^{(11)} R_{3}^{(11)^{-1}} R_{2}^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$.

Next, we define a hexahedron $\mathfrak{H}_{1}$ with vertices $q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}, q_{4}, q_{5}, q_{6}, q_{7}, v_{0}^{+}$and another hexahedron $\mathfrak{H}_{2}$ with vertices $v_{2}^{-}, q_{5}, q_{6}, q_{7}, q_{8}, q_{9}$, where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
q_{4}=(1 / 4-i \sqrt{11} / 4,-1 / 2), & q_{5}=(0.42+0.26 i,-0.71 \sqrt{11}+0.39), \\
q_{6}=(0.6+i \sqrt{11} / 10,-0.65 \sqrt{11}), & q_{7}=(0.58+2 i \sqrt{11} / 25,-1.92), \\
q_{8}=(3 / 4+i \sqrt{11} / 4,0), & q_{9}=(0.55+i \sqrt{11} / 4,-2 \sqrt{11} / 5) .
\end{array}
$$

Observe that the points $q_{4}, q_{6}, q_{8}, q_{9}$ lie on the edges $\left(v_{1}^{+}, v_{1}^{-}\right),\left(v_{1}^{-}, v_{2}^{-}\right),\left(v_{2}^{+}, v_{2}^{-}\right)$and $\left(v_{2}^{-}, v_{3}^{-}\right)$, respectively. The points $q_{5}$ lies on the interior of the base of the prism $\mathbf{P}_{11}$ and the point $q_{7}$ lies on the interior of the face $\left(v_{1}^{+}, v_{1}^{-}, v_{2}^{-}, v_{2}^{+}\right)$. Then we know that the hexahedron $\mathfrak{H}_{1}$ has the faces $\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}\right),\left(q_{4}, q_{5}, v_{0}^{-}\right),\left(q_{4}, q_{5}, q_{7}\right),\left(q_{5}, q_{6}, q_{7}\right),\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, v_{0}^{+}, q_{4}\right)$ and $\left(q_{1}, q_{3}, q_{6}, q_{7}, q_{4}\right)$ and the hexahedron $\mathfrak{H}_{2}$ has the faces $\left(q_{5}, q_{6}, q_{7}\right),\left(q_{5}, q_{7}, q_{8}\right),\left(v_{2}^{-}, q_{8}, q_{9}\right)$, $\left(q_{5}, q_{8}, q_{9}\right),\left(q_{6}, q_{7}, q_{8}, v_{2}^{-}\right),\left(q_{5}, q_{6}, v_{2}^{-}, q_{9}\right)$. By examining the location of these points and Lemma 1.4.7. we conclude that the hexahedron $\mathfrak{H}_{1}$ is contained inside $R_{2}^{(11)^{-1}}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$ and the hexahedron $\mathfrak{H}_{2}$ is lied inside $R_{3}^{(11)^{-1}}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$.

We focus on describing other polyhedral cells in the decomposition of the prism $\mathbf{P}_{11}$. Let $\mathscr{U}_{1}$ denote the union of $R_{2}^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right), R_{1}^{(11)} R_{2}^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$ and $R_{1}^{(11)} R_{3}^{(11)} R_{2}^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$. We verify that $q_{10}=(0.2-0.4 i, 2.4)$ is in the intersection of the interiors of these three spinal spheres, which implies that $\mathscr{U}_{1}$ is a star-convex set about $q_{11}$. Analogously, we know $\mathscr{U}_{2}$, denoted by the union of $T^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right), R_{3}^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right), R_{1}^{(11)} R_{2}^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$ and $R_{3}^{(11)} R_{2}^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$, is a star-convex set about $q_{11}=(0.18+0.72 i, 4.8)$. This can be verified by examining the location of $q_{12}$ which is in the intersection of the interiors of these four spinal spheres. We need to add
the following points on the faces of the prism $\mathbf{P}_{11}$, each of which is in the intersection of the interiors of at least two spinal spheres.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
q_{12}=(1 / 4-i \sqrt{11} / 4, \sqrt{11} / 2), & \\
q_{13}=(0.21-0.21 i \sqrt{11}, \sqrt{11} / 2), \\
q_{14}=(0, \sqrt{11} / 2), & \\
q_{16}=(i \sqrt{11} / 4,1), & q_{17}=(-0.21+0.21 i \sqrt{11}, \sqrt{11} / 2), \\
q_{18}=(0.42-2 i \sqrt{11} / 25,1.95), & \\
q_{20}=(0.6+i \sqrt{11} / 10,27 \sqrt{11} / 20), & q_{21}=(0 / 4+i \sqrt{11} / 4, \sqrt{11}), \\
q_{22}=(-1.4+1.4 i \sqrt{11}, 4 \sqrt{11} / 5), & \\
q_{23}=(-1 / 4+i \sqrt{11} / 4, \sqrt{11} / 2) .
\end{array}
$$

Observe that the points $q_{12}, q_{20}, q_{23}$ lie on the edges $\left(v_{1}^{+}, v_{1}^{-}\right),\left(v_{1}^{+}, v_{2}^{+}\right)$and $\left(v_{3}^{+}, v_{3}^{-}\right)$ respectively and the points $q_{17}, q_{19}$ lie on the edge $\left(v_{2}^{+}, v_{2}^{-}\right)$. Moreover, the points $q_{13}, q_{14}$, $q_{15}, q_{22}$ lie on the interior of the face $\left(v_{1}^{+}, v_{1}^{-}, v_{3}^{-}, v_{3}^{+}\right)$, the point $q_{16}$ lies on the interior of the face $\left(v_{2}^{+}, v_{2}^{-}, v_{3}^{-}, v_{3}^{+}\right)$, the point $q_{18}$ lies on the interior of the face $\left(v_{1}^{+}, v_{1}^{-}, v_{2}^{-}, v_{2}^{+}\right)$ and the points $q_{21}$ lies on the interior of the top $\left(v_{1}^{+}, v_{2}^{+}, v_{3}^{+}\right)$. We need to add other three points in the interior of the prism $\mathbf{P}_{11}$ which are used to define the cone-polygon,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q_{24}=(-0.16+0.74 i, 1.4) \\
& q_{25}=(0.328-0.28 i, 1.99) \\
& q_{26}=(0.325+0.29 i, 4.652)
\end{aligned}
$$

We verify the location of all these points as follows:

- The point $q_{12}$ is in the intersection of the interiors of $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ and $R_{1}^{(11)} R_{3}^{(11)} R_{2}^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$;
- The point $q_{13}$ is in the intersection of the interiors of $\mathcal{S}_{0}, R_{1}^{(11)} R_{3}^{(11)} R_{2}^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$ and $R_{1}^{(11)} R_{2}^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$;
- The point $q_{12}$ is in the intersection of the interiors of $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ and $R_{1}^{(11)} R_{3}^{(11)} R_{2}^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$;
- The point $q_{13}$ is in the intersection of the interiors of $\mathcal{S}_{0}, R_{1}^{(11)} R_{3}^{(11)} R_{2}^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$ and $R_{1}^{(11)} R_{2}^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$;
- The point $q_{14}$ is in the intersection of the interiors of $\mathcal{S}_{0}, R_{2}^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$ and $R_{1}^{(11)} R_{2}^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$;
- The point $q_{15}$ is in the intersection of the interiors of $\mathcal{S}_{0}, R_{2}^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right), R_{3}^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$ and $R_{3}^{(11)} R_{2}^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$;
- The points $q_{16}, q_{19}, q_{20}$ are in the intersection of the interiors of $R_{2}^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$ and $R_{3}^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$;
- The point $q_{17}$ is in the intersection of the interiors of $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ and $R_{2}^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$;
- The point $q_{18}$ is in the intersection of the interiors of $\mathcal{S}_{0}, R_{1}^{(11)} R_{3}^{(11)} R_{2}^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$ and $R_{2}^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$;
- The point $q_{21}$ is in the intersection of the interiors of $T^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right), R_{2}^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$ and $R_{3}^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$;
- The point $q_{22}$ is in the intersection of the interiors of $R_{1}^{(11)} R_{2}^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right), R_{2}^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$ and $R_{3}^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$;
- The point $v_{0}^{+}$is in the intersection of the interiors of $R_{1}^{(11)} R_{2}^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$ and $T^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$, $R_{2}^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$;
- The point $q_{23}$ is in the intersection of the interiors of $\mathcal{S}_{0}, R_{3}^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$ and $R_{3}^{(11)} R_{2}^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$;
- The point $q_{24}$ is in the intersection of the interiors of $\mathcal{S}_{0}, R_{2}^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right), R_{3}^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$ and $R_{3}^{(11)} R_{2}^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$;
- The point $q_{25}$ is in the intersection of the interiors of $\mathcal{S}_{0}, R_{2}^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right), R_{1}^{(11)} R_{2}^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$ and $R_{1}^{(11)} R_{3}^{(11)} R_{2}^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$;
- The point $q_{26}$ is in the intersection of the interiors of $T^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right), R_{2}^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right), R_{3}^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$ and $R_{1}^{(11)} R_{2}^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$.

In terms of these, we denote by $X_{1}$ a closed polygonal chain joining in order with the points $p_{12}, p_{13}, p_{14}, p_{15}, p_{16}, p_{17}, p_{18}$ and denote by $X_{2}$ a closed polygonal chain joining in order with the points $p_{16}, p_{19}, p_{20}, p_{21}, v_{0}^{+}, p_{22}, p_{24}$. So then we can define two cone-polygons $\mathscr{D}_{q_{25}}\left(X_{1}\right)$ and $\mathscr{D}_{q_{26}}\left(X_{2}\right)$. By examining the locations of these points, we show that $\mathscr{D}_{q_{25}}\left(X_{1}\right)$ is in the intersection of the interiors of $\mathcal{S}_{0}, \mathcal{U}_{1}$ and $\mathscr{D}_{q_{26}}\left(X_{2}\right)$ is in the intersection of the interiors of $R_{2}^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$ and $T^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right), R_{1}^{(11)} R_{2}^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right), R_{3}^{(11)}\left(\mathcal{S}_{0}\right)$, namely, the intersection of the interiors of $\mathscr{U}_{1}$ and $\mathscr{U}_{2}$. The remaining faces can be easily verified which are contained inside $\mathcal{S}_{0}, \mathscr{U}_{1}$ or $\mathscr{U}_{2}$.

Finally, we define three polyhedral cells as follows:
(i) The polyhedral cell $\mathfrak{P}_{1}$ is defined by its boundary as the faces $\mathscr{D}_{q_{25}}\left(X_{1}\right), \mathscr{D}_{q_{26}}\left(X_{2}\right)$, $\left(v_{1}^{+}, q_{20}, q_{21}, v_{0}^{+}\right),\left(v_{1}^{+}, q_{12}, q_{18}, q_{17}, q_{19}, q_{20}\right)$ and $\left(v_{1}^{+}, q_{12}, q_{13}, q_{14}, q_{15}, q_{22}, v_{0}^{+}\right)$;
(ii) The polyhedral cell $\mathfrak{P}_{2}$ is defined by the faces $\mathscr{D}_{q_{26}}\left(X_{2}\right),\left(q_{23}, q_{16}, q_{24}\right),\left(q_{15}, q_{23}, q_{24}\right)$, $\left(v_{1}^{+}, q_{20}, q_{21}, v_{0}^{+}\right),\left(v_{1}^{+}, q_{12}, q_{18}, q_{17}, q_{19}, q_{20}\right)$ and $\left(v_{1}^{+}, q_{12}, q_{13}, q_{14}, q_{15}, q_{22}, v_{0}^{+}\right)$as its boundary;
(iii) The polyhedral cell $\mathfrak{P}_{3}$ is defined by the faces $\mathscr{D}_{q_{25}}\left(X_{1}\right),\left(q_{4}, q_{5}, q_{7}\right),\left(q_{5}, q_{7}, q_{8}\right)$, $\left(q_{4}, q_{5}, v_{0}^{-}\right),\left(v_{3}^{-}, v_{0}^{-}, q_{5}, q_{9}\right),\left(q_{8}, q_{9}, v_{3}^{-}, q_{23}, q_{16}, q_{17}\right),\left(q_{15}, q_{23}, q_{24}\right),\left(q_{4}, q_{7}, q_{8}, q_{17}, q_{18}, q_{12}\right)$, $\left(q_{23}, q_{16}, q_{24}\right)$ and $\left(q_{12}, q_{13}, q_{14}, q_{15}, q_{23}, v_{3}^{-}, v_{0}^{-}, q_{4}\right)$ as its boundary.

By Lemma 1.4.7 and the properties of star-convex of $\mathscr{U}_{1}$ and $\mathscr{U}_{2}$, we conclude that the polyhedral cell $\mathfrak{P}_{1}$ contained inside $\mathscr{U}_{1}$; the polyhedral cell $\mathfrak{P}_{2}$ contained inside $\mathscr{U}_{2}$; the polyhedral cell $\mathfrak{P}_{3}$ is contained inside $\mathcal{S}_{0}$. This completes the proof.

Chapter 5
New construction of fundamental domains for certain Mostow groups

### 5.1 Introduction

In Mos80 Mostow used the construction of fundamental domain to show that certain subgroups of $P U(2,1)$ are lattices. More recently, there has been a renewed interest in construction of fundamental domain (see [DFP05, FP06, FFP10, Par06, Zh11]. In particular, Deraux, Falbel and Paupert gave a new construction of fundamental domains for some of the groups considered by Mostow in Mos80. In this chapter we give another construction for the same groups. Our construction generalizes the fundamental domain we gave for the sister of the Eisenstein-Picard modular group. This generalization is in the same spirit as the construction of fundamental domains for Livné's groups given by Parker Par06 which generalizes the construction of the domain for the Eisenstein-Picard modular group given in [FP06.

Mostow groups are generated by three complex reflections $R_{1}, R_{2}, R_{3}$ each of order $p=3,4,5$. The complex lines fixed by three reflections are permuted by a map $J$ of order 3, equivalently, $J R_{i} J^{-1}=R_{i+1}$ (indices taken cyclically). So $\left\langle R_{1}, R_{2}, R_{3}\right\rangle$ is a normal subgroup of $\left\langle R_{1}, J\right\rangle$ with index 3 (or 1 ). Moreover, the complex reflection $R_{i}$ satisfies the braid relation $R_{i} R_{j} R_{i}=R_{j} R_{i} R_{j}$. Such groups are determined up to conjugation by a real parameter, which Mostow calls a phase shift, and denoted by $\varphi$. These groups have the property that $A_{i}=\left(J R_{i}^{-1} J\right)^{2}$ is also a complex reflection and there is a one to one correspondence between the phase shift parameter $\varphi$ and the angle of this reflection $A_{i}$. In order for $\left\langle R_{1}, J\right\rangle$ to be discrete, the complex reflection $A_{i}$ should have finite order and we take this order to be $k$. Following Parker [Par09, we use $p$ and $k$ rather than $\varphi$ to specify the group $\left\langle R_{1}, J\right\rangle$.

In this chapter we mainly restrict our attention to the case $p=3$. When $p=3$ the values of $k$ that lead to a lattice are exactly those for which there is an integer $l$ so that $1 / k+1 / l=1 / 6$ (see also the table of Par09, page 27). In [Zh11] we constructed a fundamental domain for the case $k=6$ and while we consider the case $k \geq 7$ here and we construct a fundamental domain whose shape is based on the shape of the domain in [Zh11. The main difference is that the vertex of $\infty$ is replaced with a triangle in a complex line and we need to be careful when constructing geodesic cones to point this triangle. Our construction is inspired by the construction of Parker Par06 where $p \geq 7$ and $k=2$. The fundamental domains Parker constructed are a generalization of the construction for $p=6, k=2$ given in [FP06]. Again the main difference is that the vertex of $\infty$ is replaced with a triangle in a complex line.

Our fundamental polyhedron is a 4 -dimensional domain, which is well defined by its boundary (the union of 3 -cells is homeomorphic to $S^{3}$ ). Analogous to Par06], the basic construction is to take a complex line $L_{0}$ instead of $\infty$ fixed by $\Gamma_{0} \subset \Gamma$ (assume that $\Gamma$ is the group we consider) and the intersection of a fundamental domain for $\Gamma_{0}$ and a Dirichlet type domain for $\Gamma / \Gamma_{0}$ (suppose that $L_{0}$ does not intersect any of its images under $\Gamma / \Gamma_{0}$ ). We adopt, in this chapter, the notions of polyhedron that the 3-dimensional (2,1,0-dimensional) skeletons of polyhedron are called the sides (faces, edges and vertices) respectively. The vertices of our polyhedron are the intersection of two complex lines. Many, but not all, edges are geodesic arcs. Most of the sides are contained in bisectors. Only two sides not contained in bisectors will be constructed; they are the foliated by the geodesic 2-dimensional cones (each of pieces called a sheet in what follows). Each of the faces is either contained in totally geodesic submanifolds or contained in a Giraud disc or a foliation of geodesics. Consider the group generated by the side pairings of our polyhedron, we use the appropriate version of the Poincaré's polyhedron theorem to show that our polyhedron is a fundamental domain and give a presentation for this group.

### 5.2 Description of the group

We consider the complex hyperbolic triangle group generated by three complex reflections $R_{1}, R_{2}, R_{3}$ of order $p$ with the property that there is an element $J$ of order 3 so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J^{3}=I, \quad R_{2}=J R_{1} J^{-1}, \quad R_{3}=J R_{2} J^{-1}=J^{-1} R_{1} J \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We call $\left\langle R_{1}, R_{2}, R_{3}\right\rangle$ an equilateral triangle group if satisfy the condition (5.1). For more details on complex hyperbolic triangle groups, we refer to [PPa09] as a general reference.

### 5.2.1 The group $\Gamma_{k}$

Consider an equilateral complex hyperbolic triangle group defined as (5.1), up to conjugation, equilateral complex triangle group may be parameterized by $\tau=\operatorname{tr}\left(R_{1} J\right)$ (see [PPa09]). For the stake of simplicity, we denote by $u=e^{2 i \pi / 3 p}$. Using their normalization of [PPa09], we may take the Hermitian form $H$ to be

$$
H=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
2-u^{3}-\bar{u}^{3} & \left(\bar{u}^{2}-u\right) \tau & \left(u^{2}-\bar{u}\right) \bar{\tau}  \tag{5.2}\\
\left(u^{2}-\bar{u}\right) \bar{\tau} & 2-u^{3}-\bar{u}^{3} & \left(\bar{u}^{2}-u\right) \tau \\
\left(\bar{u}^{2}-u\right) \tau & \left(u^{2}-\bar{u}\right) \bar{\tau} & 2-u^{3}-\bar{u}^{3}
\end{array}\right]
$$

This leads to the following matrices in $S U(H)$ for $R_{1}, R_{2}, R_{3}$ and $J$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
R_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
u^{2} & \tau & -u \bar{\tau} \\
0 & \bar{u} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \bar{u}
\end{array}\right], & R_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\bar{u} & 0 & 0 \\
-u \bar{\tau} & u^{2} & \tau \\
0 & 0 & \bar{u}
\end{array}\right] \\
R_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\bar{u} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \bar{u} & 0 \\
\tau & -u \bar{\tau} & u^{2}
\end{array}\right], & J=\left[\begin{array}{lcc}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right]
\end{array}
$$

As shown in Par09 that $|\tau|=1$ is equivalent to Mostow's condition that the generators $R_{j}$ and $R_{k}$ satisfy the braid relation $R_{j} R_{k} R_{j}=R_{k} R_{j} R_{k}$ for $j \neq k$. Furthermore, following Sauter Sau90 we define $A_{j}=\left(J R_{j}^{-1} J\right)^{2}$ for $j=1,2,3$, then $A_{j}$ is a complex reflection or a pure Heisenberg translation.

We focus on our attention to consider the group generated by three complex reflections of order 3 and so $u^{3}=e^{2 i \pi / 3}$ is a cube root of unity. We follow Parker and Paupert's expressions and write $\tau=-e^{-2 i \pi / 3 k}$, and denote the corresponding group by $\Gamma_{k}$. Following the notation of [Zh11], we give the geometrical generators as $R=\left(J R_{1}^{-1} J\right)^{2}, S=J R_{1}^{-1}$, $T=\left(J R_{1}^{-1}\right)^{2}$ and $I_{1}=J R_{1}^{-1} J$ and so the group $\Gamma_{k}$ may be rewritten as $\left\langle R, S, T, I_{1}\right\rangle$. Our main result is to construct a fundamental domain of $\Gamma_{k}$ acting on the complex hyperbolic space and obtain a geometrical presentation for the group $\Gamma_{k}$.

### 5.2.2 The stabilizer of complex line

In this section we shall explore the isotropy subgroup of complex line in $\Gamma_{k}$, which gives rise to the suitable values of $k$ we need. As described in [Zh11], the subgroup $\langle R, S, T\rangle$ is conjugate to the stabilizer of infinity. It is natural to consider the subgroup $\langle R, S, T\rangle$ of $\Gamma_{k}$ and calculate the common eigenvector $\mathbf{n}$ of $R, S$ and $T$.

Following the definitions, we see easily that $T=S^{2}$, which can simplify the group $\langle R, S, T\rangle$ to $\langle R, S\rangle=\left\langle R^{-1} S, S\right\rangle=\left\langle R_{3}, J R_{1}^{-1}\right\rangle$. It suffices to find a common eigenvector of $R_{3}$ and $J R_{1}^{-1}$. As the matrix of $S U(H)$,

$$
R_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\bar{u} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \bar{u} & 0 \\
\tau & -u \bar{\tau} & u^{2}
\end{array}\right], \quad J R_{1}^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & u \\
\bar{u}^{2} & -\bar{u} \tau & \bar{\tau} \\
0 & u & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

By simply calculations, it is easy to see that the common eigenvector of $R_{3}$ and $J R_{1}^{-1}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{2,1}$ is

$$
\mathbf{n}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
u^{2} \bar{\tau} \\
\bar{u}^{2} \tau \\
-1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Moreover, $T$ is a complex reflection on the complex line with polar vector $\mathbf{n}$, that is the eigenvector corresponding to non-repeated eigenvalue of $T$.

Using the Hermitian form (5.2), the following calculations enable us to know whether the eigenvector $\mathbf{n}$ is a negative, null or positive vector in $\mathbb{C}^{2,1}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}\rangle & =\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\bar{u}^{2} \tau & u^{2} \bar{\tau} & -1
\end{array}\right] H\left[\begin{array}{c}
u^{2} \bar{\tau} \\
\bar{u}^{2} \tau \\
-1
\end{array}\right] \\
& =1-u^{3}+\bar{u}^{6} \tau^{3}-\bar{u}^{3} \tau^{3}+u^{6} \bar{\tau}^{3}-u^{3} \bar{\tau}^{3}+1-\bar{u}^{3} \\
& =2-u^{3}-\bar{u}^{3}+\left(u^{3}-\bar{u}^{3}\right) \tau^{3}+\left(\bar{u}^{3}-u^{3}\right) \bar{\tau}^{3} \\
& =3+2 i \sin (2 \pi / 3)\left(\tau^{3}-\bar{\tau}^{3}\right) \\
& =3-2 \sqrt{3} \sin (2 \pi / k)
\end{aligned}
$$

This becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \langle\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}\rangle>0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad k>6 \\
& \langle\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}\rangle=0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad k=6 \\
& \langle\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}\rangle<0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad k<6
\end{aligned}
$$

For $k>6$, in other words, $\mathbf{n}$ is a positive vector in $\mathbb{C}^{2,1}$, then it is polar vector to a complex line as required. When $k=6$ the eigenvector $\mathbf{n}$ turns into a null vector. Thus as $\mathbf{n}$ tends to the null we must also have the complex line with polar vector $\mathbf{n}$ degenerating to a point on the boundary of complex hyperbolic space as well. This limiting configuration corresponds to the cusp of lattice, which is isomorphic to the sister of Eisenstein-Picard modular group treated in Zh11.

### 5.2.3 New normalization of $\Gamma_{k}$

Using the Hermitian form (5.2), calculations in complex hyperbolic space have a tendency to become extremely complicated, which means that explicit constructions are rather difficult to obtain. We have to make a good choice of coordinates in order to give simple, explicit geometrical arguments on $\Gamma_{k}$. In what follows we choose the first Hermitian matrix

$$
H_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right]
$$

The corresponding Hermitian form in complex vector space $\mathbb{C}^{2,1}$ is defined by

$$
\langle z, w\rangle=z_{1} \bar{w}_{1}+z_{2} \bar{w}_{2}-z_{3} \bar{w}_{3}
$$

where $z$ and $w$ are the column vectors $\left[z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right]^{t}$ and $\left[w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}\right]^{t}$ respectively. Thus we obtain in non-homogeneous coordinates the complex ball

$$
\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}=\left\{\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}:\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}<1\right\}
$$

The key point of our normalization is based on the geometrical observation of two complex lines fixed by $T$ and $R$ respectively. Following from the braid relation, it is easy to know that $R$ commutes with $T$. Thus two complex lines fixed by $T$ and $R$ are orthogonal, denoted by $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ respectively. We choose a new coordinate system of the complex ball, which makes $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ to be the $z_{1}$-axis and $z_{2}$-axis, specifically

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{C}_{1}=\left\{\left(z_{1}, 0\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}:\left|z_{1}\right|<1\right\}  \tag{5.3}\\
& \mathcal{C}_{2}=\left\{\left(0, z_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}:\left|z_{2}\right|<1\right\} \tag{5.4}
\end{align*}
$$

We now start to normalize the generators of $\Gamma_{k}$ in the new system of coordinates. Before normalizing, we need to introduce two angle parameters, denoted by $\phi_{1}=\pi / k$ and $\phi_{2}=\pi / 6-\pi / k$, which play an important role in the normalization of the group $\Gamma_{k}$. Also, we shall give several numbers related to $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ in order to simplify the expressions. For convenience, we remind the readers to keep these numbers in mind.

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{1} & =\sqrt{\frac{\sin \left(\pi / 6-\phi_{1}\right)}{\sin \left(\pi / 6+\phi_{1}\right)}}, \quad x_{2}=\sqrt{\frac{\sin \left(\pi / 6-\phi_{2}\right)}{\sin \left(\pi / 6+\phi_{2}\right)}} \\
\mu & =\sqrt{\frac{\tan \left(\phi_{1} / 2\right)}{\tan \left(\pi / 6-\phi_{1} / 2\right)}}, \quad \lambda=\sqrt{\tan \left(\phi_{1} / 2\right) \tan \left(\pi / 6-\phi_{1} / 2\right)} \\
\rho & =\sqrt{\frac{\sin \left(\pi / 6-\phi_{1} / 2\right)}{\cos \left(\phi_{1} / 2\right) \sin \left(\pi / 6+\phi_{1}\right)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

As the matrix of $S U(2,1)$, complex reflections $R$ and $T$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& R=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
e^{4 i \phi_{1} / 3} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & e^{-2 i \phi_{1} / 3} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & e^{-2 i \phi_{1} / 3}
\end{array}\right], \\
& T=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
e^{-2 i \phi_{2} / 3} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & e^{4 i \phi_{2} / 3} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & e^{-2 i \phi_{2} / 3}
\end{array}\right] . \tag{5.5}
\end{align*}
$$

We start to define the vertices of our polyhedron to be intersection of two complex lines. Basically, we consider two more complex lines fixed by $R_{1}$ and $R_{3}$, denoted by $\mathcal{L}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{3}$ respectively.
(i) The vertices on $\mathcal{L}_{1}$ :

$$
\mathbf{z}_{1}=\mathcal{L}_{1} \cap \mathcal{C}_{2}, \mathbf{z}_{2}=\mathcal{L}_{1} \cap \mathcal{L}_{3}, \quad \mathbf{z}_{3}=\mathcal{L}_{1} \cap R\left(\mathcal{L}_{3}\right)
$$

(ii) The vertices on $T\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}\right)$ :

$$
\mathbf{z}_{6}=T\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}\right) \cap \mathcal{C}_{2}, \mathbf{z}_{4}=T\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}\right) \cap \mathcal{L}_{3}, \mathbf{z}_{5}=T\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}\right) \cap R\left(\mathcal{L}_{3}\right)
$$

(iii) The vertices on $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ :

$$
\mathbf{z}_{7}=\mathcal{C}_{1} \cap \mathcal{C}_{2}, \mathbf{z}_{8}=\mathcal{C}_{1} \cap \mathcal{L}_{3}, \mathbf{z}_{9}=\mathcal{C}_{1} \cap R\left(\mathcal{L}_{3}\right) .
$$

Proposition 5.2.1. If $\mathbf{z}_{j}$ is defined as (i), (ii) and (iii) for $j=1,2, \cdots, 9$, then we have

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathbf{z}_{3}=R\left(\mathbf{z}_{2}\right), & \mathbf{z}_{5}=R\left(\mathbf{z}_{4}\right), & \mathbf{z}_{9}=R\left(\mathbf{z}_{8}\right), \\
\mathbf{z}_{6}=T\left(\mathbf{z}_{1}\right), & \mathbf{z}_{4}=T\left(\mathbf{z}_{2}\right), & \mathbf{z}_{5}=T\left(\mathbf{z}_{3}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

Proof. The braid relations give rise to the properties that $R$ commutes with $R_{1}$ and $T$ commutes with $R_{3}$. As a consequence, we know that $R$ commutes with $T R_{1} T^{-1}$ and $T$ commutes with $R R_{3} R^{-1}$. It follows that $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ is orthogonal to $\mathcal{L}_{3}, R\left(\mathcal{L}_{3}\right)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ is orthogonal to $\mathcal{L}_{1}, T\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}\right)$. We see clearly that $R$ preserves $\mathcal{L}_{1}, T\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}\right), \mathcal{C}_{1}$ and $T$ preserves $\mathcal{L}_{3}, R\left(\mathcal{L}_{3}\right), \mathcal{C}_{2}$. The result follows easily from the definitions.

We now start with investigating the coordinates of complex lines of $\mathcal{L}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{3}$ by the symmetry map $J$. Consider the triangle with the vertices $\mathbf{z}_{2}, \mathbf{z}_{3}, \mathbf{z}_{4}$, first observe that $J$ acts on the vertices with the property that $J\left(\mathbf{z}_{j}\right)=\mathbf{z}_{j+1}$ (with indices taken cyclically). To see this, it follows from $R_{1}\left(\mathbf{z}_{2}\right)=R_{3}\left(\mathbf{z}_{2}\right)=\mathbf{z}_{2}$ and $J^{3}=1$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J\left(\mathbf{z}_{2}\right)=R R_{3} R_{1}\left(\mathbf{z}_{2}\right)=R\left(\mathbf{z}_{2}\right)=\mathbf{z}_{3}, \\
& J\left(\mathbf{z}_{4}\right)=J T\left(\mathbf{z}_{2}\right)=J^{-1} R_{1}^{-1} J\left(\mathbf{z}_{2}\right)=R_{3}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{z}_{2}\right)=\mathbf{z}_{2}, \\
& J\left(\mathbf{z}_{3}\right)=J R\left(\mathbf{z}_{2}\right)=J^{-1} R_{1}^{-1} R_{3}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{z}_{2}\right)=J^{-1}\left(\mathbf{z}_{2}\right)=\mathbf{z}_{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, this is an equilateral triangle whose vertices as the vectors of $\mathbb{C}^{2,1}$ satisfy the following conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\mathbf{z}_{1}, \mathbf{z}_{1}\right\rangle=\left\langle\mathbf{z}_{2}, \mathbf{z}_{2}\right\rangle=\left\langle\mathbf{z}_{3}, \mathbf{z}_{3}\right\rangle, \quad\left|\left\langle\mathbf{z}_{1}, \mathbf{z}_{2}\right\rangle\right|=\left|\left\langle\mathbf{z}_{2}, \mathbf{z}_{3}\right\rangle\right|=\left|\left\langle\mathbf{z}_{3}, \mathbf{z}_{1}\right\rangle\right| . \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The condition (5.6) gives rise to the coordinates of complex lines $\mathcal{L}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{3}$, which are given by, in terms of non-homogeneous coordinates

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{L}_{1}=\left\{\left(z_{1}, x_{2} e^{-i \phi_{2}}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}:\left|z_{1}\right|<\sqrt{1-x_{2}^{2}}\right\},  \tag{5.7}\\
& \mathcal{L}_{3}=\left\{\left(x_{1} e^{-i \phi_{1}}, z_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}:\left|z_{2}\right|<\sqrt{1-x_{1}^{2}}\right\} . \tag{5.8}
\end{align*}
$$

As the vectors of $\mathbb{C}^{2,1}$, these vertices are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{1}}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
x_{2} e^{-i \phi_{\mathbf{2}}} \\
1
\end{array}\right], \quad \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{2}}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{1} e^{-i \phi_{1}} \\
x_{2} e^{-i \phi_{2}} \\
1
\end{array}\right], \quad \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{3}}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{1} e^{i \phi_{1}} \\
x_{2} e^{-i \phi_{2}} \\
1
\end{array}\right], \\
& \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{4}}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{1} e^{-i \phi_{1}} \\
x_{2} e^{i \phi_{2}} \\
1
\end{array}\right], \quad \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{5}}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{1} e^{i \phi_{1}} \\
x_{2} e^{i \phi_{2}} \\
1
\end{array}\right], \quad \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{6}}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
x_{2} e^{i \phi_{\mathbf{2}}} \\
1
\end{array}\right],  \tag{5.9}\\
& \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{7}}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right], \quad \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{8}}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{1} e^{-i \phi_{1}} \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right], \quad \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{9}}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{1} e^{i \phi_{1}} \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Recall, (c.f. Proposition 1.3.6) given a vector $v$ with $\langle v, v\rangle>0$, complex reflection in the complex line with polar vector $v$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{v, \zeta}(z)=z+(\zeta-1) \frac{\langle z, v\rangle}{\langle v, v\rangle} v \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\zeta$ is a complex number of absolute value one.
Observe that the polar vectors to complex lines $\mathcal{L}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{3}$, denoted by $\mathbf{n}_{1}, \mathbf{n}_{3}$ respectively, are given by

$$
\mathbf{n}_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
1 \\
x_{2} e^{i \phi_{2}}
\end{array}\right], \quad \mathbf{n}_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
0 \\
x_{2} e^{i \phi_{1}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Since $R_{1}$ and $R_{3}$ are complex reflections with order 3 , we denote $\zeta=u^{3}=e^{2 i \pi / 3}$ and then $\zeta-1=i \sqrt{3} e^{i \pi / 3}$. Using the formula (5.10) together with the fact that $\left\langle\mathbf{n}_{1}, \mathbf{n}_{1}\right\rangle=1-x_{2}^{2}$ and $\left\langle\mathbf{n}_{3}, \mathbf{n}_{3}\right\rangle=1-x_{1}^{2}$, complex reflections $R_{1}$ and $R_{3}$ are given as the matrix of $S U(2,1)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\bar{u} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{i\left(u^{2}+\bar{u}\right) e^{-i \phi_{2}}}{2 \sin \phi_{2}} & -\frac{i\left(u^{2}+\bar{u}\right) \sqrt{1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{2}} e^{-i \phi_{2}}}{2 \sin \phi_{2}} \\
0 & \frac{i\left(u^{2}+\bar{u}\right) \sqrt{1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{2}} e^{i \phi_{2}}}{2 \sin \phi_{2}} & -\frac{i\left(u^{2}+\bar{u}\right) e^{i \phi_{2}}}{2 \sin \phi_{2}}
\end{array}\right], \\
& R_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{i\left(u^{2}+\bar{u}\right) e^{-i \phi_{1}}}{2 \sin \phi_{1}} & 0 & -\frac{i\left(u^{2}+\bar{u}\right) \sqrt{1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{1}} e^{-i \phi_{1}}}{2 \sin \phi_{1}} \\
0 & \bar{u} & 0 \\
\frac{i\left(u^{2}+\bar{u}\right) \sqrt{1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{1}} e^{i \phi_{1}}}{2 \sin \phi_{1}} & 0 & -\frac{i\left(u^{2}+\bar{u}\right) e^{i \phi_{1}}}{2 \sin \phi_{1}}
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

The symmetry map $J$ plays an important role in the construction which is obtained from $J=R R_{3} R_{1}$ that

$$
J=e^{i\left(\phi_{2}-\phi_{1}+\pi\right) / 3}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{e^{i \phi_{1}}}{2 \sin \phi_{1}} & \frac{\sqrt{\left(1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{1}\right)\left(1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{2}\right)}}{4 \sin \phi_{1} \sin \phi_{2}} & -\frac{\sqrt{1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{1}}}{4 \sin \phi_{1} \sin \phi_{2}} \\
0 & \frac{e^{-i \phi_{2}}}{2 \sin \phi_{2}} & -\frac{\sqrt{1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{2} e^{-i \phi_{2}}}}{2 \sin \phi_{2}} \\
\frac{\sqrt{1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{1}} e^{i \phi_{1}}}{2 \sin \phi_{1}} & \frac{\sqrt{1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{2}}}{4 \sin \phi_{1} \sin \phi_{2}} & -\frac{1}{4 \sin \phi_{1} \sin \phi_{2}}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

We now define, from the relations $S=J R_{1}^{-1}, I_{1}=T R_{1}$, the remaining generators as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
S & =\frac{e^{-i \phi_{2} / 3}}{2 \sin \phi_{1}}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & -\sqrt{1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{1}} \\
0 & -2 \sin \phi_{1} e^{i \phi_{2}} & 0 \\
\sqrt{1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{1}} & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right]  \tag{5.11}\\
I_{1} & =\frac{e^{-i \phi_{1} / 3}}{2 \sin \phi_{2}}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-2 \sin \phi_{2} e^{i \phi_{1}} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & -\sqrt{1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{2}} \\
0 & \sqrt{1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{2}} & -1
\end{array}\right] . \tag{5.12}
\end{align*}
$$

### 5.3 A combinatorial polyhedron

In this section we construct a polyhedron $\mathbf{D}$ which we will prove later to be a fundamental domain for $\Gamma_{k}$ in complex hyperbolic space. The polyhedron $\mathbf{D}$ is defined to be

4-dimensional domain bounded by the sides we construct in the Sections 5.3.2 and 5.3.3. Many (but not all) sides of $\mathbf{D}$ are contained in bisectors and the vertices are the same as defined in previous section. The main idea of construction is to happen on the sides that are not contained in bisectors, each of which is the foliation of the geodesic triangle cones over Giraud disc. To have a schematic view of the polyhedron, we refer the readers to see Figures 5.4 and 5.8

### 5.3.1 Bisectors

In this section we review briefly the theory of bisectors and summarize some bisectors that contain four sides of our polyhedron.

Definition 5.3.1. The standard bisector in the ball model is defined as

$$
\mathcal{B}_{0}=\left\{\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}: z_{1} \in \mathbb{C}, \Im\left(z_{2}\right)=0\right\}
$$

in non-homogeneous coordinates, which is equidistant from $p=(0, i / 2)$ and $q=(0,-i / 2)$ given in (2.1).

We have given the geographical coordinates on the isometric spheres. Analogously, the standard bisector $\mathcal{B}_{0}$ (compare with [FP06]) is parameterized, in geographical coordinates, by

$$
\left\{\left[\begin{array}{c}
r e^{i \alpha}  \tag{5.13}\\
s \\
1
\end{array}\right]: \alpha \in[-\pi / 2, \pi / 2), s \in[-1,1], r \in\left[-\sqrt{1-s^{2}}, \sqrt{1-s^{2}}\right]\right\} .
$$

The spine, slices and meridians of $\mathcal{B}_{0}$ are given in the next proposition in terms of geographical coordinates.

Proposition 5.3.2. The standard bisector with geographical coordinates is given by (5.13). Furthermore,

- the spine of $\mathcal{B}_{0}$ is given by $r=0$;
- the slices of $\mathcal{B}_{0}$ are given by $s=s_{0}$ for fixed $s_{0} \in[-1,1]$;
- the meridians of $\mathcal{B}_{0}$ are given by $\alpha=\alpha_{0}$ for fixed $\alpha_{0} \in[-\pi / 2, \pi / 2)$.

Four of the bisectors we use to construct the polyhedron $\mathbf{D}$ have a very simple description. These four bisectors come in two cospinal pairs, the complex spines being the coordinate axes. We now write down these bisectors and some of the points for (5.9) that are contained in the corresponding bisector.

| Bisector | Definition | Vertices on spine | Other vertices |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathcal{B}_{78}$ | $\arg \left(z_{1}\right)=-\phi_{1}$ | $\mathbf{z}_{7}, \mathbf{z}_{8}$ | $\mathbf{z}_{1}, \mathbf{z}_{2}, \mathbf{z}_{4}, \mathbf{z}_{6}$ |
| $\mathcal{B}_{79}$ | $\arg \left(z_{1}\right)=\phi_{1}$ | $\mathbf{z}_{7}, \mathbf{z}_{9}$ | $\mathbf{z}_{1}, \mathbf{z}_{3}, \mathbf{z}_{5}, \mathbf{z}_{6}$ |
| $\mathcal{B}_{17}$ | $\arg \left(z_{2}\right)=-\phi_{2}$ | $\mathbf{z}_{1}, \mathbf{z}_{7}$ | $\mathbf{z}_{2}, \mathbf{z}_{3}, \mathbf{z}_{8}, \mathbf{z}_{9}$ |
| $\mathcal{B}_{67}$ | $\arg \left(z_{2}\right)=\phi_{2}$ | $\mathbf{z}_{6}, \mathbf{z}_{7}$ | $\mathbf{z}_{4}, \mathbf{z}_{5}, \mathbf{z}_{8}, \mathbf{z}_{9}$ |

### 5.3.2 The core sides

In this section we define two core sides $\mathcal{S}_{c}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{c}^{\prime}$ of the polyhedron $\mathbf{D}$ contained in a bisector $\mathcal{B}_{c}$, called the core bisector, which is the equidistant between two complex lines $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ and $I_{1}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{C}_{1}\right)$ as explained in Section 2.1 (compare [Zh11]). Also we call the core prism $\mathcal{P}_{c}$ in $\mathcal{B}_{c}$ which is made up of two sides $\mathcal{S}_{c}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{c}^{\prime}$ as shown in Figure 5.4 for the schematic view. Furthermore, other sides of $\mathbf{D}$ come from the foliation of geodesics connecting with points on the faces of $\mathcal{P}_{c}$ and points of the top triangle. Four of these are contained in the bisectors given in the previous section. In the case of the sister $G_{2}$, noncompact sides of fundamental polyhedron arise from the limiting configuration that the top triangle converges to an ideal vertex. In other words, that is the geodesic cone over the faces of the core prism to the ideal point which is the cusp of lattice; see [Zh11].

## The core bisector and its neighbors

Let $\mathbf{n}_{0}$ denote the polar vector to complex line $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ and denote $I_{1}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{n}_{0}\right)$ its image under by $I_{1}^{-1}$, these are

$$
\mathbf{n}_{0}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right], \quad I_{1}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{n}_{0}\right)=\frac{e^{i \phi_{1} / 3}}{2 \sin \phi_{2}}\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
1 \\
\sqrt{1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{2}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

It is clear that $\left\langle\mathbf{n}_{0}, \mathbf{n}_{0}\right\rangle=\left\langle I_{1}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{n}_{0}\right), I_{1}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{n}_{0}\right)\right\rangle=1$. We consider the bisector equidistant from $\mathbf{n}_{0}$ and $I_{1}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{n}_{0}\right)$ which is defined in non-homogeneous coordinates (c.f. 2.1) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}_{c}=\left\{\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}: 2 \sin \phi_{2}\left|z_{2}\right|=\left|z_{2}-\sqrt{1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{2}}\right|\right\} \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, the complex spine of $\mathcal{B}_{c}$ is exactly $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ that spanned by $\mathbf{n}_{0}$ and $I_{1}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{n}_{0}\right)$. Since both complex lines $\mathcal{L}_{1}$ and $T\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}\right)$ are orthogonal to complex spine $\mathcal{C}_{2}$, it follows that the spine of $\mathcal{B}_{c}$ pass through a pair of vertices $\mathbf{z}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{z}_{6}$ by the slice decomposition for bisector, see Figure 5.1 .

We shall explore the spine of $\mathcal{B}_{c}$ in order to give the parametrization in terms of geographical coordinates $(r, s, \alpha)$. We use the coordinate system $(x, y)=(\operatorname{Re}(z), \operatorname{Im}(z))$ in $\mathbb{C}$, then the Poincaré disc turns out to be $\left\{(x, y) \mid x^{2}+y^{2}<1\right\}$ and the spine $\sigma$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{2}}}\right)^{2}+y^{2}=\frac{4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{2}}{1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{2}} \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a circle centered at $\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{2}}}, 0\right)$ with the radius $\frac{2 \sin \phi_{2}}{\sqrt{1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{2}}}$. The spine $\sigma$ intersects with $x$-axis at the point $(\mu, 0)$. Then we apply a Möbius transformation $\psi$ mapping $(\mu, 0)$ to the origin in the Poincaré's disc, i.e.

$$
\psi(z)=\frac{z-\mu}{1-\mu z}
$$

The equation 5.15 becomes $|z+\mu|=|z-\mu|$ under the map $\psi$, i.e. $y$-axis. Taking a matrix $C$ in $S U(2,1)$ as

$$
C=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
e^{-i \pi / 6} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & e^{i \pi / 3} /\left(1-\mu^{2}\right) & e^{-i \pi / 6} \mu /\left(1-\mu^{2}\right) \\
0 & e^{i \pi / 3} \mu /\left(1-\mu^{2}\right) & e^{-i \pi / 6} /\left(1-\mu^{2}\right)
\end{array}\right]
$$



Figure 5.1: Configuration of the spines of bisector $\mathcal{B}_{c}$ and $\mathbf{z}_{1}, \mathbf{z}_{6}$ on the complex spine $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ for $k=7,8,9,10,12,15,18,24,42$. Here the spine is close to the origin as $k$ large.
then the matrix $C$ maps the spine of standard bisector $\mathcal{B}_{0}$ to the spine of $\mathcal{B}_{c}$ and furthermore the geographical coordinates on $\mathcal{B}_{c}$ turns out to be obtained from $\mathcal{B}_{0}$. Therefore the core bisector $\mathcal{B}_{c}$ is given in terms of geographical coordinates $(r, s, \alpha)$ by

$$
\left\{\left[\begin{array}{c}
\sqrt{1-\mu^{2}} r e^{i \alpha}  \tag{5.16}\\
\mu+i s \\
1+i \mu s
\end{array}\right]: \begin{array}{l}
\alpha \in[-\pi / 2, \pi / 2), \quad s \in[-1,1] \\
r \in\left[-\sqrt{1-s^{2}}, \sqrt{1-s^{2}}\right]
\end{array}\right\} .
$$

We start to define two sides $\mathcal{S}_{c}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{c}^{\prime}$ in the geographical coordinates. As described in [FFP10], we will discuss the triangular face with the vertices $\mathbf{z}_{2}, \mathbf{z}_{3}, \mathbf{z}_{4}$ on the intersection $\mathcal{B}_{c} \cap S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$ in terms of two slice $s$-parameters. We give the details for this face on $\mathcal{B}_{c} \cap S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$ and others follow similarly.

Proposition 5.3.3. The part of $\mathcal{B}_{c} \cap S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$ outside $T^{-1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right), R^{-1} S\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right), S\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$ forms a triangular face of fundamental polyhedron, see Figure 5.2. In terms of geographical coordinates $\left(r_{0}, s_{0}, \alpha_{0}\right)$ on $\mathcal{B}_{c}$ and $\left(r_{1}, s_{1}, \alpha_{1}\right)$ on $S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$ this face is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\lambda \leq s_{0} \leq \lambda, \quad-\lambda \leq s_{1} \leq \lambda, \quad-2 \lambda \leq s_{0}-s_{1} \leq 0 \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, the boundary of this triangle admits the following description in geographical coordinates.
(i) Points of $\mathcal{B}_{c} \cap S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right) \cap T^{-1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$ are given by $s_{0}=-\lambda$.
(ii) Points of $\mathcal{B}_{c} \cap S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right) \cap S\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$ are given by $s_{1}=\lambda$.
(iii) Points of $\mathcal{B}_{c} \cap S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right) \cap R^{-1} S\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$ are given by $s_{0}-s_{1}=0$.

Similarly, we state the result on the intersection of $\mathcal{B}_{c} \cap S\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$.

Figure 5.2: A schematic picture of triangular face $\mathcal{F}_{234}$. The level sets of $s_{0}$ are dashed lines and the level sets of $s_{1}$ are dotted lines.


Proposition 5.3.4. The part of $\mathcal{B}_{c} \cap S\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$ outside $T\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right), R S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$, $S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$ forms a triangular face of fundamental polyhedron, see Figure 5.3. In terms of geographical coordinates $\left(r_{0}, s_{0}, \alpha_{0}\right)$ on $\mathcal{B}_{c}$ and $\left(r_{2}, s_{2}, \alpha_{2}\right)$ on $S\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$ this face is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\lambda \leq s_{0} \leq \lambda, \quad-\lambda \leq s_{2} \leq \lambda, \quad 0 \leq s_{0}-s_{2} \leq 2 \lambda . \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, the boundary of this triangle admits the following description in geographical coordinates.
(i) Points of $\mathcal{B}_{c} \cap S\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right) \cap T\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$ are given by $s_{0}=\lambda$.
(ii) Points of $\mathcal{B}_{c} \cap S\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right) \cap S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$ are given by $s_{2}=-\lambda$.
(iii) Points of $\mathcal{B}_{c} \cap S\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right) \cap R S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$ are given by $s_{0}-s_{2}=0$.

Proof. The first item follows directly from Lemma 5.3.10. The map $S$ sends $\left(r_{0}, s_{0}, \alpha_{0}\right) \in$ $\mathcal{B}_{c}$ to $\left(r_{2}, s_{2}, \alpha_{2}\right) \in S\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$. Thus $S$ sends the points on $\mathcal{B}_{c} \cap S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right) \cap T^{-1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$ given by $s_{0}=-\lambda$ to the points on $\mathcal{B}_{c} \cap S\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right) \cap S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$ given by $s_{2}=-\lambda$.

We will postpone (iii) part of the proof until to Corollary 5.3.9
We remark that none of the triple intersections (i) to (iii) in Propositions 5.3.3 and 5.3 .4 is contained in a geodesic. Before we prove the Proposition 5.3.3, we need to explore the intersection $\mathcal{B}_{c} \cap S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$ in terms of two slices parameters $s_{0}, s_{1}$ and how intersects the neighboring bisectors $T^{-1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right), R^{-1} S\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$ and $S\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$.

Proposition 5.3.5. Consider the geographical coordinates $\left(r_{0}, s_{0}, \alpha_{0}\right)$ on $\mathcal{B}_{c}$ and $\left(r_{1}, s_{1}, \alpha_{1}\right)$ on $S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$. Points on $\mathcal{B}_{c} \cap S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$ may be uniquely expressed in terms of $s_{0}$ and $s_{1}$; see Figure 5.2. The range of these parameters is determined by the inequality

$$
\left|\frac{\left(1+i \mu s_{0}\right)\left(\mu+i s_{1}\right)-2 \sin \phi_{1} e^{i \phi_{2}}\left(1+i \mu s_{1}\right)\left(\mu+i s_{0}\right)}{\sqrt{\left(1-\mu^{2}\right)\left(1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{1}\right)\left(\mu+i s_{1}\right)}}\right|<\sqrt{1-s_{0}^{2}} .
$$

The other coordinates are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
r_{0} e^{i \alpha_{0}} & =\frac{\left(1+i \mu s_{0}\right)\left(\mu+i s_{1}\right)-2 \sin \phi_{1} e^{i \phi_{2}}\left(1+i \mu s_{1}\right)\left(\mu+i s_{0}\right)}{\sqrt{\left(1-\mu^{2}\right)\left(1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{1}\right)}\left(\mu+i s_{1}\right)}  \tag{5.19}\\
r_{1} e^{i \alpha_{1}} & =\frac{\left(1+i \mu s_{1}\right)\left(\mu+i s_{0}\right)-2 \sin \phi_{1} e^{-i \phi_{2}}\left(1+i \mu s_{0}\right)\left(\mu+i s_{1}\right)}{\sqrt{\left(1-\mu^{2}\right)\left(1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{1}\right)}\left(\mu+i s_{0}\right)} \tag{5.20}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. In geographical coordinates, points of $\mathcal{B}_{c} \cap S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$ are given by

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
{\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & -\sqrt{1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{1}} \\
0 & -2 \sin \phi_{1} e^{-i \phi_{2}} & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\sqrt{1-\mu^{2}} r_{1} e^{i \alpha_{1}} \\
\mu+i s_{1} \\
\sqrt{1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{1}} \\
0
\end{array}\right]-1 \mu s_{1}}
\end{array}\right] \text { ( } \begin{gathered}
\left.1+i \mu s_{1}\right) \\
=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\sqrt{1-\mu^{2}} r_{1} e^{i \alpha_{1}}-\sqrt{1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{1}}\left(1+i \mu s_{1}\right)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\sqrt{1-\mu^{2}} r_{0} e^{i \alpha_{0}} \\
\mu+i s_{0} \\
-2 \sin \phi_{1} e^{-i \phi_{2}}\left(\mu+i s_{1}\right) \\
1+i \mu s_{0}
\end{array}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

These points as the above expression are the same with homogeneous coordinates in $\mathbb{C}^{2,1}$. Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{-2 \sin \phi_{1} e^{-i \phi_{2}}\left(\mu+i s_{1}\right)}{\sqrt{1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{1}} \sqrt{1-\mu^{2}} r_{1} e^{i \alpha_{1}}-\left(1+i \mu s_{1}\right)}=\frac{\mu+i s_{0}}{1+i \mu s_{0}}  \tag{5.21}\\
& \frac{\sqrt{1-\mu^{2}} r_{1} e^{i \alpha_{1}}-\sqrt{1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{1}}\left(1+i \mu s_{1}\right)}{-2 \sin \phi_{1} e^{-i \phi_{2}}\left(\mu+i s_{1}\right)}=\frac{\sqrt{1-\mu^{2}} r_{0} e^{i \alpha_{0}}}{\mu+i s_{0}} \tag{5.22}
\end{align*}
$$

Rearranging (5.21 gives

$$
r_{1} e^{i \alpha_{1}}=\frac{\left(1+i \mu s_{1}\right)\left(\mu+i s_{0}\right)-2 \sin \phi_{1} e^{-i \phi_{2}}\left(1+i \mu s_{0}\right)\left(\mu+i s_{1}\right)}{\sqrt{\left(1-\mu^{2}\right)\left(1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{1}\right)}\left(\mu+i s_{0}\right)}
$$

To find $r_{0} e^{i \alpha_{0}}$ we just use this formula to substitute for $r_{1} e^{i \alpha_{1}}$ in (5.22).
In order to be in $\mathcal{B}_{c}$ we must have $r_{0}^{2}<1-s_{0}^{2}$. Using 5.19 we can obtain the range of $s_{0}, s_{1}$ as required.

Analogously, we describe $\mathcal{B}_{c} \cap S\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$ and $\mathcal{B}_{c} \cap R^{-1} S\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$.
Proposition 5.3.6. Consider the geographical coordinates $\left(r_{0}, s_{0}, \alpha_{0}\right)$ on $\mathcal{B}_{c}$ and $\left(r_{2}, s_{2}, \alpha_{2}\right)$ on $S\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$. Points on $\mathcal{B}_{c} \cap S\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$ may be uniquely expressed in terms of $s_{0}$ and $s_{2}$. The range of these parameters is determined by the inequality

$$
\left|\frac{\left(1+i \mu s_{0}\right)\left(\mu+i s_{2}\right)-2 \sin \phi_{1} e^{-i \phi_{2}}\left(1+i \mu s_{2}\right)\left(\mu+i s_{0}\right)}{\sqrt{\left(1-\mu^{2}\right)\left(1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{1}\right)}\left(\mu+i s_{2}\right)}\right|<\sqrt{1-s_{0}^{2}}
$$

The other coordinates are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& r_{0} e^{i \alpha_{0}}=\frac{\left(1+i \mu s_{0}\right)\left(\mu+i s_{2}\right)-2 \sin \phi_{1} e^{-i \phi_{2}}\left(1+i \mu s_{2}\right)\left(\mu+i s_{0}\right)}{\sqrt{\left(1-\mu^{2}\right)\left(1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{1}\right)}\left(\mu+i s_{2}\right)}  \tag{5.23}\\
& r_{2} e^{i \alpha_{2}}=\frac{\left(1+i \mu s_{2}\right)\left(\mu+i s_{0}\right)-2 \sin \phi_{1} e^{i \phi_{2}}\left(1+i \mu s_{0}\right)\left(\mu+i s_{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\left(1-\mu^{2}\right)\left(1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{1}\right)}\left(\mu+i s_{0}\right)} \tag{5.24}
\end{align*}
$$

Figure 5.3: A schematic picture of triangular face $\mathcal{F}_{345}$. The level sets of $s_{0}$ are dashed lines and the level sets of $s_{2}$ are dotted lines.


Proposition 5.3.7. Consider the geographical coordinates $\left(r_{0}, s_{0}, \alpha_{0}\right)$ on $\mathcal{B}_{c}$ and $\left(r_{3}, s_{3}, \alpha_{3}\right)$ on $R^{-1} S\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$. Points on $\mathcal{B}_{c} \cap R^{-1} S\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$ may be uniquely expressed in terms of $s_{0}$ and $s_{3}$. The range of these parameters is determined by the inequality

$$
\left|\frac{\left(1+i \mu s_{0}\right)\left(\mu+i s_{3}\right)-2 \sin \phi_{1} e^{-i \phi_{2}}\left(1+i \mu s_{3}\right)\left(\mu+i s_{0}\right)}{\sqrt{\left(1-\mu^{2}\right)\left(1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{1}\right)}\left(\mu+i s_{3}\right)}\right|<\sqrt{1-s_{0}^{2}} .
$$

The other coordinates are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& r_{0} e^{i \alpha_{0}}=\frac{e^{-2 i \phi_{1}}\left[\left(1+i \mu s_{0}\right)\left(\mu+i s_{3}\right)-2 \sin \phi_{1} e^{-i \phi_{2}}\left(1+i \mu s_{3}\right)\left(\mu+i s_{0}\right)\right]}{\sqrt{\left(1-\mu^{2}\right)\left(1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{1}\right)}\left(\mu+i s_{3}\right)}  \tag{5.25}\\
& r_{3} e^{i \alpha_{3}}=\frac{\left(1+i \mu s_{3}\right)\left(\mu+i s_{0}\right)-2 \sin \phi_{1} e^{i \phi_{2}}\left(1+i \mu s_{0}\right)\left(\mu+i s_{3}\right)}{\sqrt{\left(1-\mu^{2}\right)\left(1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{1}\right)}\left(\mu+i s_{0}\right)} \tag{5.26}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. In geographical coordinates, points of $\mathcal{B}_{c} \cap R^{-1} S\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
u^{2} e^{i \phi_{2}} & 0 & -u^{2} \sqrt{1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{1}} e^{i \phi_{2}} \\
0 & 2 \bar{u} \sin \phi_{1} & 0 \\
-\bar{u} \sqrt{1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{1}} e^{-i \phi_{2}} & 0 & \bar{u} e^{-i \phi_{2}}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\sqrt{1-\mu^{2}} r_{3} e^{i \alpha_{3}} \\
\mu+i s_{3} \\
1+i \mu s_{3}
\end{array}\right]} \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{c}
e^{-2 i \phi_{1}}\left[\sqrt{1-\mu^{2}} r_{3} e^{i \alpha_{3}}-\sqrt{1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{1}}\left(1+i \mu s_{3}\right)\right] \\
-2 \sin \phi_{1} e^{i \phi_{2}}\left(\mu+i s_{3}\right) \\
\sqrt{1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{1}} \sqrt{1-\mu^{2}} r_{3} e^{i \alpha_{3}}-\left(1+i \mu s_{1}\right)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\sqrt{1-\mu^{2}} r_{0} e^{i \alpha_{0}} \\
\mu+i s_{0} \\
1+i \mu s_{0}
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

The result follows as before.

Corollary 5.3.8. In terms of geographical coordinates ( $r_{1}, s_{1}, \alpha_{1}$ ) on $S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$ and $\left(r_{2}, s_{2}, \alpha_{2}\right)$ on $S\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$, points of $\mathcal{B}_{c} \cap S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right) \cap S\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$ are given by $s_{1}=\lambda$ or $s_{2}=-\lambda$.

Proof. Points of $\mathcal{B}_{c} \cap S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right) \cap S\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{0} e^{i \alpha_{0}} & =\frac{\left(1+i \mu s_{0}\right)\left(\mu+i s_{1}\right)-2 \sin \phi_{1} e^{i \phi_{2}}\left(1+i \mu s_{1}\right)\left(\mu+i s_{0}\right)}{\sqrt{\left(1-\mu^{2}\right)\left(1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{1}\right)}\left(\mu+i s_{1}\right)} \\
& =\frac{\left(1+i \mu s_{0}\right)\left(\mu+i s_{2}\right)-2 \sin \phi_{1} e^{-i \phi_{2}}\left(1+i \mu s_{2}\right)\left(\mu+i s_{0}\right)}{\sqrt{\left(1-\mu^{2}\right)\left(1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{1}\right)}\left(\mu+i s_{2}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From this we find

$$
e^{i \phi_{2}}\left[\mu\left(1-s_{1} s_{2}\right)+i\left(s_{2}+\mu s_{1}\right)\right]=e^{-i \phi_{2}}\left[\mu\left(1-s_{1} s_{2}\right)+i\left(s_{1}+\mu s_{2}\right)\right] .
$$

Hence $s_{1}+s_{2}=0$ and $s_{1}=\lambda$.
Corollary 5.3.9. In terms of geographical coordinates ( $r_{0}, s_{0}, \alpha_{0}$ ) on $\mathcal{B}_{c}$, $\left(r_{1}, s_{1}, \alpha_{1}\right)$ on $S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$ and $\left(r_{3}, s_{3}, \alpha_{3}\right)$ on $R^{-1} S\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$, points of $\mathcal{B}_{c} \cap S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right) \cap R^{-1} S\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$ are given by $s_{0}-s_{1}=0$ and $s_{0}-s_{3}=0$.
Proof. Points of $\mathcal{B}_{c} \cap S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right) \cap R^{-1} S\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{0} e^{i \alpha_{0}} & =\frac{\left(1+i \mu s_{0}\right)\left(\mu+i s_{1}\right)-2 \sin \phi_{1} e^{i \phi_{2}}\left(1+i \mu s_{1}\right)\left(\mu+i s_{0}\right)}{\sqrt{\left(1-\mu^{2}\right)\left(1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{1}\right)}\left(\mu+i s_{1}\right)} \\
& =\frac{e^{-2 i \phi_{1}}\left[\left(1+i \mu s_{0}\right)\left(\mu+i s_{3}\right)-2 \sin \phi_{1} e^{-i \phi_{2}}\left(1+i \mu s_{3}\right)\left(\mu+i s_{0}\right)\right]}{\sqrt{\left(1-\mu^{2}\right)\left(1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{1}\right)}\left(\mu+i s_{3}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

From this we find

$$
\frac{e^{i \pi / 6}\left(1+i \mu s_{1}\right)}{\mu+i s_{1}}-\frac{e^{-i \pi / 6}\left(1+i \mu s_{3}\right)}{\mu+i s_{3}}=\frac{i\left(1+i \mu s_{0}\right)}{\mu+i s_{0}} .
$$

Comparing with the real and imaginary parts yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sqrt{3} \mu\left(s_{1}-s_{3}\right)+2 s_{1} s_{3}=s_{0}\left(s_{1}+s_{3}\right) \\
& \sqrt{3} s_{0}\left(s_{1}-s_{3}\right)+2 \mu s_{0}=\mu\left(s_{1}+s_{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This simplifies to be a quadratic equation with respect to $s_{1}$ that

$$
\left(\sqrt{3} s_{0}-\mu\right) s_{1}^{2}+\left(2 \mu s_{0}+\sqrt{3} \mu^{2}-\sqrt{3} s_{0}^{2}\right) s_{1}-\mu s_{0}\left(\sqrt{3} \mu+s_{0}\right)=0
$$

whose solutions are $s_{1}=s_{0}$ and $s_{1}=\frac{\mu\left(\sqrt{3} \mu+s_{0}\right)}{\mu-\sqrt{3} s_{0}}>\lambda$ which is impossible. Thus we obtain $s_{0}=s_{1}=s_{3}$.

Proof of (iii) part of Proposition 5.3.4. Since the map $R$ preserves the $s_{0}$-slices of $\mathcal{B}_{c}$ and sends $\left(r_{3}, s_{3}, \alpha_{3}\right) \in R^{-1} S\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$ to $\left(r_{2}, s_{2}, \alpha_{2}\right) \in S\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$, it follows that points of $\mathcal{B}_{c} \cap S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right) \cap$ $R^{-1} S\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$ given by $s_{0}-s_{3}=0$ are sent by $R$ to be points of $\mathcal{B}_{c} \cap S\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right) \cap R S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$ given by $s_{0}-s_{2}=0$ as required.

We now investigate the intersection of $\mathcal{B}_{c}$ with its images under $T$ and $T^{-1}$.
Lemma 5.3.10. The bisectors $\mathcal{B}_{c}$ and $T^{-1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$ have a common slice which corresponding to $s_{0}=-\lambda$ in terms of geographical coordinates $\left(r_{0}, s_{0}, \alpha_{0}\right)$ on $\mathcal{B}_{c}$.

Likewise, $\mathcal{B}_{c}$ and $T\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$ have a common slice $s_{0}=\lambda$ in geographical coordinates.

Proof. Points of $\mathcal{B}_{c}$ are given by $2 \sin \phi_{2}\left|z_{2}\right|=\left|z_{2}-\sqrt{1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{2}}\right|$ and points of $T^{-1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$ are given by $2 \sin \phi_{2}\left|z_{2}\right|=\left|z_{2}-\sqrt{1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{2}} e^{-2 i \phi_{2}}\right|$. The common solution is $z_{2}=$ $x_{2} e^{-i \phi_{2}}$. In geographical coordinates this is

$$
\frac{\mu+i s_{0}}{1+i \mu s_{0}}=x_{2} e^{-i \phi_{2}}
$$

and $s_{0} \in[-1,1]$, we obtain that $s_{0}=-\lambda$.

## The vertices

We have already seen the vertices $\mathbf{z}_{i}(i=1,2, \cdots, 6)$ of $\mathbf{D}$ lying on two slices $\mathcal{L}_{1}$ and $T\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}\right)$ of $\mathcal{B}_{c}$. We now list them again as the intersection of $\mathcal{B}_{c}$ with images of $\mathcal{B}_{c}$ under suitable elements in the stabilizer of $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ and discuss their non-homogeneous coordinates and geographical coordinates.
(i) The vertices on the slice $\mathcal{L}_{1}=\mathcal{B}_{c} \cap T^{-1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$ corresponding to $s=-\lambda$. Let $\mathbf{z}_{1}$ define the intersection of the spine of $\mathcal{B}_{c}$ with $\mathcal{L}_{1}$. The other vertices are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{z}_{2}=\mathcal{B}_{c} \cap T^{-1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right) \cap S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right) \cap R^{-1} S\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right) \\
& \mathbf{z}_{3}=\mathcal{B}_{c} \cap T^{-1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right) \cap S\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right) \cap R S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) The vertices on the slice $T\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}\right)=\mathcal{B}_{c} \cap T\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$ corresponding to $s=\lambda$. Let $\mathbf{z}_{6}$ define the intersection of the spine of $\mathcal{B}_{c}$ with $T\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}\right)$. The other vertices are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{z}_{4}=\mathcal{B}_{c} \cap T\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right) \cap S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right) \cap R^{-1} S\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right) \\
& \mathbf{z}_{5}=\mathcal{B}_{c} \cap T\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right) \cap S\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right) \cap R S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In non-homogeneous coordinates and geographical coordinates of the vertices $\mathbf{z}_{i}$ are given by

|  | $z_{1}$ | $z_{2}$ | $r$ | $s$ | $\alpha$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{z}_{1}$ | 0 | $x_{2} e^{-i \phi_{2}}$ | 0 | $-\lambda$ |  |
| $\mathbf{z}_{2}$ | $x_{1} e^{-i \phi_{1}}$ | $x_{2} e^{-i \phi_{2}}$ | $\rho$ | $-\lambda$ | $-3 \phi_{1} / 2$ |
| $\mathbf{z}_{3}$ | $x_{1} e^{i \phi_{1}}$ | $x_{2} e^{-i \phi_{2}}$ | $\rho$ | $-\lambda$ | $\phi_{1} / 2$ |
| $\mathbf{z}_{4}$ | $x_{1} e^{-i \phi_{1}}$ | $x_{2} e^{i \phi_{2}}$ | $\rho$ | $\lambda$ | $-\phi_{1} / 2$ |
| $\mathbf{z}_{5}$ | $x_{1} e^{i \phi_{1}}$ | $x_{2} e^{i \phi_{2}}$ | $\rho$ | $\lambda$ | $3 \phi_{1} / 2$ |
| $\mathbf{z}_{6}$ | 0 | $x_{2} e^{i \phi_{2}}$ | 0 | $\lambda$ |  |

## The edges

We now characterize the edges of the prism contained in the intersection of three bisectors. Let $\gamma_{j k}=\gamma_{k j}$ denote the edge of $\mathbf{D}$ with the vertices $\mathbf{z}_{j}$ and $\mathbf{z}_{k}$ as endpoints. Just half of the edges are contained in geodesic. We now list them in the following lemmas. Recall the number $\rho=\sqrt{\frac{\sin \left(\pi / 6-\phi_{1} / 2\right)}{\cos \left(\phi_{1} / 2\right) \sin \left(\pi / 6+\phi_{1}\right)}}$ for the reader as shown in Section 5.2.3.

Lemma 5.3.11. (i) The edge $\gamma_{16}$ is contained in the spine of $\mathcal{B}_{c}$;
(ii) The edge $\gamma_{12}$ is a geodesic arc, given in geographical coordinates by

$$
0 \leq r_{0} \leq \rho, \quad s_{0}=-\lambda, \quad \alpha_{0}=-\frac{3 \phi_{1}}{2} .
$$

(iii) The edge $\gamma_{13}$ is a geodesic arc, given in geographical coordinates by

$$
0 \leq r_{0} \leq \rho, \quad s_{0}=-\lambda, \quad \alpha_{0}=\frac{\phi_{1}}{2} .
$$

(iv) The edge $\gamma_{46}$ is a geodesic arc, given in geographical coordinates by

$$
0 \leq r_{0} \leq \rho, \quad s_{0}=\lambda, \quad \alpha_{0}=-\frac{\phi_{1}}{2} .
$$

(v) The edge $\gamma_{56}$ is a geodesic arc, given in geographical coordinates by

$$
0 \leq r_{0} \leq \rho, \quad s_{0}=\lambda, \quad \alpha_{0}=\frac{3 \phi_{1}}{2} .
$$

Proof. Part ( $i$ ) follows by construction. We now prove (ii) and the other parts follow similarly. The edge $\gamma_{12}$ is defined to be the intersection of $\mathcal{B}_{c} \cap T^{-1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right) \cap \mathcal{B}_{78}$. It follows that the edge is contained in the slice of $\mathcal{B}_{c}$ with $s_{0}=-\lambda$ by Lemma 5.3.10. Following the definition of $\mathcal{B}_{78}$, we see that $\arg \left(z_{1}\right)=\arg \left(\frac{e^{i \alpha_{0}}}{1-i \tan \left(\phi_{1} / 2\right)}\right)=-\phi_{1}$ which implies that $\alpha_{0}=-3 \phi_{1} / 2$. Therefore this edge is a geodesic arc since it is contained both a Lagrangian plane and a complex line. Moreover, we know that $r_{0}=0$ at $\mathbf{z}_{1}$ and $r_{0}=\rho$ at $\mathbf{z}_{2}$.

We describe the edges not contained in a geodesic arc.
Lemma 5.3.12. (i) The edge $\gamma_{24}$ is given the points $\left(r_{0}, s_{0}, \alpha_{0}\right)$ of $\mathcal{B}_{c}$ by

$$
r_{0} e^{i \alpha_{0}}=\frac{2 \sin \phi_{2} e^{-i \phi_{1}}\left(1+i \mu s_{0}\right)}{\sqrt{\left(1-\mu^{2}\right)\left(1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{1}\right)}}
$$

where $s_{0} \in[-\lambda, \lambda]$ and not contained in a geodesic.
(ii) The edge $\gamma_{34}$ is given the points $\left(r_{0}, s_{0}, \alpha_{0}\right)$ of $\mathcal{B}_{c}$ by

$$
r_{0} e^{i \alpha_{0}}=\frac{2 \sin \phi_{2}\left(1-i \mu s_{0}\right)}{\sqrt{\left(1-\mu^{2}\right)\left(1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{1}\right)}}
$$

where $s_{0} \in[-\lambda, \lambda]$ and not contained in a geodesic.
(iii) The edge $\gamma_{35}$ is given the points $\left(r_{0}, s_{0}, \alpha_{0}\right)$ of $\mathcal{B}_{c}$ by

$$
r_{0} e^{i \alpha_{0}}=\frac{2 \sin \phi_{2} e^{i \phi_{1}}\left(1+i \mu s_{0}\right)}{\sqrt{\left(1-\mu^{2}\right)\left(1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{1}\right)}}
$$

where $s_{0} \in[-\lambda, \lambda]$ and not contained in a geodesic.
(iv) The edge $\gamma_{23}$ is given by points $\left(r_{0}, s_{0}, \alpha_{0}\right)$ of $\mathcal{B}_{c}$ with $s_{0}=-\lambda$ and

$$
r_{0} e^{i \alpha_{0}}=\frac{(1-i \mu \lambda)\left(\mu+i s_{1}\right)-2 \sin \phi_{1} e^{i \phi_{2}}\left(1+i \mu s_{1}\right)(\mu-i \lambda)}{\sqrt{\left(1-\mu^{2}\right)\left(1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{1}\right)}\left(\mu+i s_{1}\right)}
$$

where $s_{1} \in[-\lambda, \lambda]$ and not contained in a geodesic.


Figure 5.4: The schematic view of the core prism $\mathcal{P}_{c}$ contained in $\mathcal{B}_{c}$ and the geodesic triangle on the complex line $\mathcal{C}_{1}$.
(v) The edge $\gamma_{45}$ is given by points $\left(r_{0}, s_{0}, \alpha_{0}\right)$ of $\mathcal{B}_{c}$ with $s_{0}=\lambda$ and

$$
r_{0} e^{i \alpha_{0}}=\frac{(1+i \mu \lambda)\left(\mu+i s_{2}\right)-2 \sin \phi_{1} e^{-i \phi_{2}}\left(1+i \mu s_{2}\right)(\mu+i \lambda)}{\sqrt{\left(1-\mu^{2}\right)\left(1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{1}\right)}\left(\mu+i s_{2}\right)}
$$

where $s_{2} \in[-\lambda, \lambda]$ and not contained in a geodesic.

Proof. We now prove $(i)$ and the others follow similarly. This follows by substituting $s_{1}=s_{0}$ in 5.19) and using the fact that $\mathbf{z}_{2}$ and $\mathbf{z}_{4}$ correspond to $s_{0}=-\lambda$ and $s_{0}=\lambda$ respectively. In particular, we see that neither $s_{0}$ nor $\alpha_{0}$ is constant on this edge. This implies that this edge cannot be contained in a geodesic.

## The faces

In order to define the two sides $\mathcal{S}_{c}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{c}^{\prime}$ contained in $\mathcal{B}_{c}$, it suffices to describe their faces. We denote them by $\mathcal{F}_{i j k}$ or $\mathcal{F}_{i j k l}$, where $i, j, k$ and $l$ are the indices of the vertices of the face. We repeat the previous result and summarize them again.

- Two $\mathbb{C}$-planar faces $\mathcal{F}_{123}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{456}$. The boundary of $\mathcal{F}_{123}$ is $\gamma_{12} \cup \gamma_{13} \cup \gamma_{23}$ and the boundary of $\mathcal{F}_{456}$ is $\gamma_{46} \cup \gamma_{56} \cup \gamma_{45}$.
- Two triangular faces $\mathcal{F}_{234}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{345}$ are contained in the intersections $\mathcal{B}_{c} \cap S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$ and $\mathcal{B}_{c} \cap S\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$ respectively. The boundary of $\mathcal{F}_{234}$ is $\gamma_{23} \cup \gamma_{34} \cup \gamma_{24}$ and the boundary of $\mathcal{F}_{345}$ is $\gamma_{34} \cup \gamma_{45} \cup \gamma_{35}$.
- Three quadrilateral faces $\mathcal{F}_{1246}, \mathcal{F}_{1346}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{1356}$ are foliated by geodesics. More precisely, given a fixed $s_{0} \in[-\lambda, \lambda]$, the slice $s_{0}$ intersects with the face $\mathcal{F}_{1246}$ (resp. $\mathcal{F}_{1346}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{1356}$ ) to be a geodesic, one of whose endpoints is lying at $\gamma_{16}$ and the other is lying at $\gamma_{24}$ (resp. $\gamma_{34}$ and $\gamma_{35}$ ). The boundary of $\mathcal{F}_{1246}$ is $\gamma_{12} \cup \gamma_{24} \cup \gamma_{46} \cap \gamma_{16}$, the boundary of $\mathcal{F}_{1346}$ is $\gamma_{13} \cup \gamma_{34} \cup \gamma_{46} \cap \gamma_{16}$ and the boundary of $\mathcal{F}_{1356}$ is $\gamma_{13} \cup \gamma_{35} \cup \gamma_{56} \cap \gamma_{16}$.

We remark that the faces $\mathcal{F}_{1246}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{1356}$ are contained in the intersection of $\mathcal{B}_{c}$ with $\mathcal{B}_{78}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{79}$ respectively. Since each geodesic as a foliation of $\mathcal{F}_{1246}$ (or $\mathcal{F}_{1356}$ ) is also lying in a meridian of $\mathcal{B}_{78}$ (or $\mathcal{B}_{79}$ ).

To this end, we give the definitions of two sides $\mathcal{S}_{c}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{c}^{\prime}$. Note that the boundary of $\mathcal{S}_{c}$ is $\mathcal{F}_{1246} \cup \mathcal{F}_{1346} \cup \mathcal{F}_{123} \cup \mathcal{F}_{234}$ and the boundary of $\mathcal{S}_{c}^{\prime}$ is $\mathcal{F}_{1346} \cup \mathcal{F}_{1356} \cup \mathcal{F}_{456} \cup \mathcal{F}_{345}$.

Definition 5.3.13. The side $\mathcal{S}_{c}$ is made up of those points $\left(r_{0}, s_{0}, \alpha_{0}\right)$ of $\mathcal{B}_{c}$ with
(i) $-\lambda \leq s_{0} \leq \lambda$;
(ii) $\arctan \left(\mu s_{0}\right)-\phi_{1} \leq \alpha_{0} \leq-\arctan \left(\mu s_{0}\right)$;
(iii) $\left(r_{0}, s_{0}, \alpha_{0}\right)$ is outside $S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$.

We has shown that a point $\left(r_{0}, s_{0}, \alpha_{0}\right)$ in the intersection $\mathcal{B}_{c} \cap S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$ need to satisfy the formula (5.19). Compare with two sides of equality in (5.19), it follows that the ratio between the imaginary part and real part of the right side of (5.19) is equal to $\tan \alpha_{0}$, which makes $s_{1}$ out to be a function $f\left(s_{0}, \alpha_{0}\right)$ with respect to $s_{0}$ and $\alpha_{0}$. Thus the condition (iii) can be written in terms of geographical coordinates as

$$
r_{0} \leq\left|\frac{\left(1+i \mu s_{0}\right)\left(\mu+i s_{1}\right)-2 \sin \phi_{1} e^{i \phi_{2}}\left(1+i \mu s_{1}\right)\left(\mu+i s_{0}\right)}{\sqrt{\left(1-\mu^{2}\right)\left(1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{1}\right)}\left(\mu+i s_{1}\right)}\right|
$$

by replacing $s_{1}$ with $f\left(s_{0}, \alpha_{0}\right)$.
Definition 5.3.14. The side $\mathcal{S}_{c}^{\prime}$ is made up of those points $\left(r_{0}, s_{0}, \alpha_{0}\right)$ of $\mathcal{B}_{c}$ with
(i) $-\lambda \leq s_{0} \leq \lambda$;
(ii) $-\arctan \left(\mu s_{0}\right) \leq \alpha_{0} \leq \arctan \left(\mu s_{0}\right)+\phi_{1}$;
(iii) $\left(r_{0}, s_{0}, \alpha_{0}\right)$ is outside $S\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$.

The condition (iii) is the same argument as (iii) of Definition 5.3 .13 only if consider (5.23) in Proposition 5.3.6.

### 5.3.3 Sides of prism type

In this section we define four sides of the polyhedron $\mathbf{D}$. These sides are contained in bisectors, denoted by $\mathcal{S}_{17}, \mathcal{S}_{67}, \mathcal{S}_{78}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{79}$ each of whose indices is the same as its corresponding bisector. A simple description of these sides is a triangular prism whose top and bottom faces are respectively contained in different slices of a bisector.

## The sides $\mathcal{S}_{78}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{79}$

For these two sides, we only need to analyze the side $\mathcal{S}_{78}$ and the other follows similarly since $\mathcal{B}_{79}=R\left(\mathcal{B}_{78}\right)$.

Recall, two vertices $\mathbf{z}_{7}$ and $\mathbf{z}_{8}$ lie on the spine of $\mathcal{B}_{78}$. We take the edge $\gamma_{78}$ is a geodesic segment contained in the spine of $\mathcal{B}_{78}$. In terms of slice decomposition, the faces $\mathcal{F}_{167}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{248}$ are respectively contained in two of the slices of $\mathcal{B}_{78}$. In terms of meridian decomposition, the faces $\mathcal{F}_{1278}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{4678}$ are respectively contained in two of the meridians of $\mathcal{B}_{78}$. To see this, we verify that $\arg \left(\mathbf{z}_{2}\right)=-\phi_{2}$ for the vertices $\mathbf{z}_{1}, \mathbf{z}_{2}$ and $\arg \left(\mathbf{z}_{2}\right)=\phi_{2}$ for the vertices $\mathbf{z}_{4}, \mathbf{z}_{6}$ in (5.9).

We define the face $\mathcal{F}_{1246}$ to be the intersection of $\mathcal{B}_{78}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{c}$. More precise, a point $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ on the intersection of $\mathcal{B}_{78} \cap \mathcal{B}_{c}$ in non-homogeneous coordinates is given by

$$
\arg \left(z_{1}\right)=-\phi_{1}, \quad 2 \sin \phi_{2}\left|z_{2}\right|=\left|z_{2}-\sqrt{1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{2}}\right| .
$$

Furthermore, a point $\mathbf{z}=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ of $\mathcal{B}_{78}$ lies outside of $\mathcal{B}_{c}$, (i.e. the distance between $\mathbf{z}$ and $I_{1}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{C}_{1}\right)$ is greater than the distance between $\mathbf{z}$ and $\left.\mathcal{C}_{1}\right)$ if and only if

$$
\arg \left(z_{1}\right)=-\phi_{1}, \quad 2 \sin \phi_{2}\left|z_{2}\right|<\left|z_{2}-\sqrt{1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{2}}\right|
$$

From the above analysis, we give the following explicit definition of the sides $\mathcal{S}_{78}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{79}$.

Definition 5.3.15. The side $\mathcal{S}_{78}$ is made up of those points $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ of $\mathcal{B}_{78}$ with

$$
\begin{gathered}
\arg \left(z_{1}\right)=-\phi_{1}, \quad\left|z_{1}\right| \leq x_{1}, \quad-\phi_{2} \leq \arg \left(z_{2}\right) \leq \phi_{2} \\
2 \sin \phi_{2}\left|z_{2}\right| \leq\left|z_{2}-\sqrt{1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{2}}\right|
\end{gathered}
$$

Definition 5.3.16. The side $\mathcal{S}_{79}$ is made up of those points $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ of $\mathcal{B}_{79}$ with

$$
\begin{gathered}
\arg \left(z_{1}\right)=\phi_{1}, \quad\left|z_{1}\right| \leq x_{1}, \quad-\phi_{2} \leq \arg \left(z_{2}\right) \leq \phi_{2}, \\
2 \sin \phi_{2}\left|z_{2}\right| \leq \mid z_{2}-\sqrt{1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{2} \mid .}
\end{gathered}
$$

Remark 5.3.17. The face $\mathcal{F}_{1246}$ is foliated by geodesics each of which are the intersection of a meridian of $\mathcal{B}_{78}$ and a slice of $\mathcal{B}_{c}$. Points in the intersection is given by

$$
\arg \left(z_{2}\right)=\arg \left(\frac{\mu+i s}{1+i \mu s}\right)=\text { const } \in\left[-\phi_{2}, \phi_{2}\right],
$$

which is corresponding to a constant $s_{0}$.

## The sides $\mathcal{S}_{17}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{67}$

Similarly, these two sides are respectively contained in cospinal and cotranchal bisectors whose common slice is $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ and common complex spine is $\mathcal{C}_{2}$.

We begin with defining the common face $\mathcal{F}_{789}$. Recall that the edges $\gamma_{78}$ and $\gamma_{79}$ are the geodesic segments contained in the spines of $\mathcal{B}_{78}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{79}$ respectively. We define the edge $\gamma_{89}$ to be a geodesic segment connecting with $\mathbf{z}_{8}$ and $\mathbf{z}_{9}$. In order to see this, we apply $S$ on the complex line $\mathcal{C}_{1}$. Recall, $S$ preserves the complex line $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ and $S^{2}$ acts on $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ as identity. Using this, we see that the restriction of $S$ to $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ is given by

$$
\left.S\right|_{\mathcal{C}_{1}}: \quad z \rightarrow \frac{z-\sqrt{1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{1}}}{\sqrt{1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{1}} z-1}, \quad|z|<1
$$

Since $\left.S\right|_{\mathcal{C}_{1}}$ is of order 2 , it preserves the geodesic passing through $\mathbf{z}_{8}$ and $\mathbf{z}_{9}$ and acts as a rotation of $\pi$ at its fixed point $\left(\sqrt{\tan \left(\phi_{2} / 2\right) / \tan \left(\pi / 6-\phi_{2} / 2\right)}, 0\right)$. From the above analysis, we define the face $\mathcal{F}_{789}$ to be a geodesic triangular face lying on the complex line $\mathcal{C}_{1}$, refer to Figure 5.5

In order to define two sides $\mathcal{S}_{17}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{67}$, it remains to define two faces $\mathcal{F}_{2389}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{4589}$.

- We denote $\alpha=\arg \left(z_{1}\right)$ and so the meridians of $\mathcal{B}_{17}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{67}$ correspond to $\alpha$ being constant. We denote $[z, w]$ by the geodesic segment between $z$ and $w$ in $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$.
- Projecting a meridian $\alpha$ onto $\mathcal{C}_{1}$, it becomes a straight line passing through the origin with angle $\alpha$.
- For each $\alpha \in\left[-\phi_{1}, \phi_{1}\right]$, we denote $\mathbf{p}_{0}^{\alpha}$ by the intersection of this straight line with the edge $\gamma_{89}$. Moreover, we denote by $\mathbf{p}_{1}^{\alpha}$ and $\mathbf{p}_{2}^{\alpha}$ by the intersection of the meridian $\alpha$ with the edges $\gamma_{23}$ and $\gamma_{45}$ respectively.

We now define the faces $\mathcal{F}_{2389}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{4589}$ as follows:

$$
\mathcal{F}_{2389}=\bigcup_{\alpha \in\left[-\phi_{1}, \phi_{1}\right]}\left[\mathbf{p}_{1}^{\alpha}, \mathbf{p}_{0}^{\alpha}\right] \text { and } \mathcal{F}_{4589}=\bigcup_{\alpha \in\left[-\phi_{1}, \phi_{1}\right]}\left[\mathbf{p}_{2}^{\alpha}, \mathbf{p}_{0}^{\alpha}\right]
$$

The region $\left\{\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right):-\phi_{1} \leq \arg \left(z_{1}\right) \leq \phi_{1}, \arg \left(z_{2}\right)=-\phi_{2},\left|z_{2}\right| \leq x_{2}\right\}$ enclosed by two different slices and meridians of $\mathcal{B}_{17}$ is called a dihedral angle region. From the geometric view of point, the face $\mathcal{F}_{2389}$ separates the dihedral angle region in $\mathcal{B}_{17}$ into two components. We are interested in the component containing the spine of $\mathcal{B}_{17}$, and we denote this component by $\mathfrak{C}_{17}$. Similarly, $\mathfrak{C}_{67}$ is the component of the dihedral angle region in $\mathcal{B}_{67}$ containing its spine.

Definition 5.3.18. The side $\mathcal{S}_{17}$ is made up of those points $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ of $\mathcal{B}_{17}$ with

$$
-\phi_{1} \leq \arg \left(z_{1}\right) \leq \phi_{1}, \quad \arg \left(z_{2}\right)=-\phi_{2}, \quad\left|z_{2}\right| \leq x_{2}
$$

and $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ is lying in $\mathfrak{C}_{17}$.
Definition 5.3.19. The side $\mathcal{S}_{67}$ is made up of those points $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ of $\mathcal{B}_{67}$ with

$$
-\phi_{1} \leq \arg \left(z_{1}\right) \leq \phi_{1}, \quad \arg \left(z_{2}\right)=\phi_{2}, \quad\left|z_{2}\right| \leq x_{2}
$$

and $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ is lying in $\mathfrak{C}_{67}$.


Figure 5.5: The triangle drawn by green line indicates the face $\mathcal{F}_{789}$. Orthogonal projection of the edges $\gamma_{23}$ and $\gamma_{45}$ onto $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ is the blue arc of circle lying inside $\mathcal{F}_{789}$ and another blue arc indicates the projection of $\gamma_{34}$.

### 5.3.4 Sides of wedge type

We define, in this section, two special sides $\mathcal{S}_{g}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{g}^{\prime}$ which are not contained in bisectors. These sides are foliated by 2-dimensional cones over Giraud disc.

## Projection of the faces $\mathcal{F}_{234}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{345}$

Recall that orthogonal projection of the faces $\mathcal{F}_{234}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{345}$ onto $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ is a leaf-shaped region bounded by two blue arcs in Figure 5.5. The edge $\gamma_{89}$ separates the leaf-shaped region into two parts, denoted by $A$ and $B$; see Figure 5.6 .

For $\alpha \in\left[-\phi_{1}, \phi_{1}\right]$, we denote $l_{\alpha}$ by the intersection of a straight line of angle $\alpha$ passing through the origin with $A$. Clearly, $A$ is foliated by the straight segments $l_{\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in$ $\left[-\phi_{1}, \phi_{1}\right]$. Furthermore, the straight segment reduces to $\mathbf{z}_{9}$ for $\alpha=\phi_{1}$ or $\mathbf{z}_{8}$ for $\alpha=-\phi_{1}$. Since $S$ is of order 2 by acting on $\mathcal{C}_{1}, S$ maps $A$ to $B$ (or $B$ to $A$ ). It follows that $B$ can be foliated by the geodesic arcs $l_{\alpha}^{\prime}=S\left(l_{-\alpha}\right)$ for $\alpha \in\left[-\phi_{1}, \phi_{1}\right]$. From Lemma 5.3.20, we see that $l_{\alpha}$ and $l_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ have the same common endpoint $\mathbf{p}_{0}^{\alpha}$. Thus the connected curves $l_{\alpha} \cup l_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ are leaves of a foliation of the leaf-shaped region $A \cup B$ for $\alpha \in\left[-\phi_{1}, \phi_{1}\right]$.
Lemma 5.3.20. For $\alpha \in\left[-\phi_{1}, \phi_{1}\right]$, then $\left.S\right|_{\mathcal{C}_{1}}\left(\mathbf{p}_{0}^{-\alpha}\right)=\mathbf{p}_{0}^{\alpha}$.
Proof. Using the $z$-coordinate in $\mathcal{C}_{1}$, the edge $\gamma_{89}$ is a geodesic which can be written by

$$
\left|z-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{1}}}\right|=\frac{2 \sin \phi_{1}}{\sqrt{1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{1}}}
$$

with $|z|<1$. Then the point $\mathbf{p}_{0}^{-\alpha}=r e^{-i \alpha}$ on the edge $\gamma_{89}$ may satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{2}-\frac{2 r \cos \alpha}{\sqrt{1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{1}}}+1=0 \tag{5.27}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 5.6: The leaf-shaped region is separated by $\gamma_{89}$ into $A$ and $B . A$ is foliated by the straight segments $l_{\alpha}$ with angle $\alpha$ and $B$ is foliated by the geodesic $\operatorname{arcs} l_{\alpha}^{\prime}$.

Thus (5.27) leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.S\right|_{\mathcal{C}_{1}}\left(\mathbf{p}_{0}^{-\alpha}\right) & =\frac{r e^{-i \alpha}-\sqrt{1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{1}}}{\sqrt{1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{1}} r e^{-i \alpha}-1} \\
& =\frac{\sqrt{1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{1}}\left(r^{2}+1\right)-2 r \cos \alpha+4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{1} r e^{i \alpha}}{\left(1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{1}\right) r^{2}-2 r \cos \alpha \sqrt{1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{1}}+1} \\
& =\frac{4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{1} r e^{i \alpha}}{4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{1}} \\
& =r e^{i \alpha} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the result.

## Parameterization of the faces $\mathcal{F}_{234}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{345}$

We start to parameterize the triangular faces $\mathcal{F}_{234}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{345}$ by the meridian $\alpha$-parameter.
We denote $\Pi_{\mathcal{C}_{1}}$ by the orthogonal projection onto $\mathcal{C}_{1}$. Recall, $\gamma_{89}$ separates the leafshaped region into $A$ and $B$. Then there exist two curves $\ell_{234}$ and $\ell_{345}$ such that $\ell_{234}$ and $\ell_{345}$ separate resepectively the faces $\mathcal{F}_{234}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{345}$ into two parts, one of which is projected to $A$ and the other is projected to $B$. Moreover, $S\left(\ell_{234}\right)=\ell_{345}$ and then $\Pi_{\mathcal{C}_{1}}\left(\ell_{234}\right)=\Pi_{\mathcal{C}_{1}}\left(\ell_{345}\right)=\gamma_{89}$.

For $\alpha \in\left[-\phi_{1}, \phi_{1}\right]$, we consider the pre-image of $l_{\alpha} \cup l_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ on $\mathcal{F}_{234}$, denoted by $L_{234}^{\alpha}$. In other words, we have $\Pi_{\mathcal{C}_{1}}\left(L_{234}^{\alpha}\right)=l_{\alpha} \cup l_{\alpha}^{\prime}$. Similarly, there is a curve $L_{345}^{\alpha}$ in $\mathcal{F}_{345}$ such that $\Pi_{\mathcal{C}_{1}}\left(L_{345}^{\alpha}\right)=l_{\alpha} \cup l_{\alpha}^{\prime}$. For each $\alpha \in\left[-\phi_{1}, \phi_{1}\right]$, we see that $L_{234}^{\alpha}$ and $\ell_{234}\left(L_{345}^{\alpha}\right.$ and $\ell_{345}$ ) intersect a point whose orthogonal projection on $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ is $\mathbf{p}_{0}^{\alpha}$.

In order to see this, we construct a family of Lagrangian planes which contain the geodesic segments connecting with $\mathbf{p}_{0}^{\alpha}$ and a point of $\mathcal{F}_{234}$ (or $\mathcal{F}_{345}$ ).

- Analysis of the pre-image $\Pi_{\mathcal{C}_{1}}^{-1}\left(l_{\alpha}\right) \subset L_{234}^{\alpha}$ and the case $\Pi_{\mathcal{C}_{1}}^{-1}\left(l_{\alpha}\right) \subset L_{345}^{\alpha}$ follows similarly. For $s \in$ $[-\lambda, \lambda]$, a slice $\mathcal{C}_{s}$ of $\mathcal{B}_{c}$ corresponds to $s$ being constant. We denote $\mathbf{p}_{s}$ by the intersection of the slice $\mathcal{C}_{s}$ with the edge $\gamma_{16}$. A bisector whose spine is the geodesic passing through 0 and $\mathbf{p}_{s}$ in $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{B}_{s}$. It follows that $\mathcal{C}_{s}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ turn out be two of the slices of $\mathcal{B}_{s}$. For a fixed $\alpha \in\left[-\phi_{1}, \phi_{1}\right]$, a meridian $L_{\alpha, s}$ of $\mathcal{B}_{s}$ containing $l_{\alpha}$ intersects with $\mathcal{C}_{s}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{234}$ at a point $\mathbf{q}_{\alpha, s}$. For $s=-\lambda$, we see that $\mathbf{q}_{\alpha, s}=\mathbf{p}_{1}^{\alpha}$ and $\Pi_{\mathcal{C}_{1}}\left(\left[\mathbf{p}_{1}^{\alpha}, \mathbf{p}_{0}^{\alpha}\right]\right)=l_{\alpha}$. We can take $s_{\alpha} \in(-\lambda, \lambda)$ such that $\Pi_{\mathcal{C}_{1}}\left(\left[\mathbf{q}_{\alpha, s_{\alpha}}, \mathbf{p}_{0}^{\alpha}\right]\right)=\mathbf{p}_{0}^{\alpha}$. Thus
 a curve consisting of points $\mathbf{q}_{\alpha, s}$ for $\left[-\lambda, s_{\alpha}\right]$ becomes $\Pi_{\mathcal{C}_{1}}^{-1}\left(l_{\alpha}\right) \subset L_{345}^{\alpha}$, drawn by the blue line in $\mathcal{F}_{234}$.
- Analysis of the pre-image $\Pi_{\mathcal{C}_{1}}^{-1}\left(l_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right) \subset L_{234}^{\alpha}$. We denote $\mathbf{q}_{-\alpha, s}^{\prime}$ by the intersection of $L_{-\alpha, s}$ with $\mathcal{C}_{s}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{345}$. For a fixed $\alpha \in\left[-\phi_{1}, \phi_{1}\right]$, we can take $s_{\alpha}^{\prime} \in(-\lambda, \lambda)$ such that $\Pi_{\mathcal{C}_{1}}\left(\left[\mathbf{q}_{-\alpha, s_{\alpha}^{\prime}}^{\prime}, \mathbf{p}_{0}^{-\alpha}\right]\right)=\mathbf{p}_{0}^{-\alpha}$ and $\Pi_{\mathcal{C}_{1}}\left(\left[\mathbf{q}_{-\alpha, \lambda}^{\prime}, \mathbf{p}_{0}^{-\alpha}\right]\right)=l_{-\alpha}$. Since the map $S$ preserves $\mathcal{C}_{1}$, we have $\Pi_{\mathcal{C}_{1}}\left(S^{-1}\left(\left[\mathbf{q}_{-\alpha, s}^{\prime}, \mathbf{p}_{0}^{-\alpha}\right]\right)\right)=S^{-1}\left(\Pi_{\mathcal{C}_{1}}\left(\left[\mathbf{q}_{-\alpha, s}^{\prime}, \mathbf{p}_{0}^{-\alpha}\right]\right)\right)$. In particular, $\Pi_{\mathcal{C}_{1}}\left(S^{-1}\left(\left[\mathbf{q}_{-\alpha, s_{\alpha}^{\prime}}^{\prime}, \mathbf{p}_{0}^{-\alpha}\right]\right)\right)=S^{-1}\left(\mathbf{p}_{0}^{-\alpha}\right)=\mathbf{p}_{0}^{\alpha}$ and $\Pi_{\mathcal{C}_{1}}\left(S^{-1}\left(\left[\mathbf{q}_{-\alpha, \lambda}^{\prime}, \mathbf{p}_{0}^{-\alpha}\right]\right)\right)=S^{-1}\left(l_{\alpha}\right)=$ $l_{\alpha}^{\prime}$. Thus we define a curve consisting of points $S^{-1}\left(\mathbf{q}_{-\alpha, s}^{\prime}\right)$ for $\left[s_{\alpha}^{\prime}, \lambda\right]$, which is drawn by the green line in $\mathcal{F}_{234}$. In fact, the geodesic segment $\left.S^{-1}\left(\left[\mathbf{q}_{-\alpha, s}^{\prime}\right), \mathbf{p}_{0}^{-\alpha}\right]\right)$ is contained in the meridian $S^{-1}\left(L_{-\alpha, s}\right)$ of bisector $S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{s}\right)$.

The same construction can be implemented for the face $\mathcal{F}_{345}$. This enables us to give the following proposition.

Proposition 5.3.21. For $\alpha \in\left[-\phi_{1}, \phi_{1}\right]$, then $S\left(L_{234}^{\alpha}\right)=L_{345}^{-\alpha}$.

## Sides foliated by 2 -dimensional cones

For each $\alpha \in\left[-\phi_{1}, \phi_{1}\right]$, we define a sheet $\mathfrak{X}_{234}^{\alpha}$ to be the geodesic cone from $L_{234}^{\alpha}$ to the point $\mathbf{p}_{0}^{\alpha}$. In other words, we join with each point of $L_{234}^{\alpha}$ to $\mathbf{p}_{0}^{\alpha}$ by a geodesic segment, that is

$$
\mathfrak{X}_{234}^{\alpha}=\bigcup_{\mathbf{z} \in L_{234}^{\alpha}}\left[\mathbf{p}_{0}^{\alpha}, \mathbf{z}\right]
$$

Analogously, the sheet $\mathfrak{X}_{345}^{\alpha}$ is defined to be the geodesic cone from $L_{345}^{\alpha}$ to the point $\mathbf{p}_{0}^{\alpha}$, that is

$$
\mathfrak{X}_{345}^{\alpha}=\bigcup_{\mathbf{z} \in L_{345}^{\alpha}}\left[\mathbf{p}_{0}^{\alpha}, \mathbf{z}\right]
$$

Proposition 5.3.22. For $\alpha \neq \beta \in\left[-\phi_{1}, \phi_{1}\right]$, $\mathfrak{X}_{234}^{\alpha}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{X}_{345}^{\alpha}$ ) and $\mathfrak{X}_{234}^{\beta}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{X}_{345}^{\beta}$ ) are disjoint.

Proof. It suffices to show that the orthogonal projection of $\mathfrak{X}_{234}^{\alpha}$ (or $\mathfrak{X}_{345}^{\alpha}$ ) onto $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ is $l_{\alpha} \cup l_{\alpha}^{\prime}$. From Lemma 1.2 .10 , the projection of $\left[\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{p}_{0}^{\alpha}\right]$ is a geodesic segment joining $\mathbf{p}_{0}^{\alpha}$ and $\Pi_{\mathcal{C}_{1}}(\mathbf{z})$. Observe that both $l_{\alpha}$ and $l_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ are geodesic segments with common endpoint $\mathbf{p}_{0}^{\alpha}$. For each point $\mathbf{z}$ of $L_{234}^{\alpha}$, it follows that $\Pi_{\mathcal{C}_{1}}(\mathbf{z}) \in l_{\alpha} \cup l_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ implies $\Pi_{\mathcal{C}_{1}}\left(\left[\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{p}_{0}^{\alpha}\right]\right)$ is contained in $l_{\alpha} \cup l_{\alpha}^{\prime}$. For $\alpha \neq \beta \in\left[-\phi_{1}, \phi_{1}\right]$, therefore, $\left\{l_{\alpha} \cup l_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right\} \cap\left\{l_{\beta} \cup l_{\beta}^{\prime}\right\}=\emptyset$ implies that $\mathfrak{X}_{234}^{\alpha} \cap \mathfrak{X}_{234}^{\beta}=\emptyset$ (or $\mathfrak{X}_{345}^{\alpha} \cap \mathfrak{X}_{345}^{\beta}=\emptyset$.


Figure 5.7: The schematic view of the sheets of two sides $\mathcal{S}_{g}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{g}^{\prime}$. The action of $S$ on a sheet $\mathfrak{X}_{234}^{\alpha}$ to $\mathfrak{X}_{345}^{-\alpha}$.

We are ready to describe the sides $\mathcal{S}_{g}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{g}^{\prime}$, for the schematic view in Figure 5.7.
Definition 5.3.23. The side $S_{g}$ is made up of the sheets $\mathfrak{X}_{234}^{\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in\left[-\phi_{1}, \phi_{1}\right]$, namely, $\mathcal{S}_{g}=\underset{\alpha \in\left[-\phi_{1}, \phi_{1}\right]}{\bigcup} \mathfrak{X}_{234}^{\alpha}$.

Definition 5.3.24. The side $S_{g}^{\prime}$ is made up of the sheets $\mathfrak{X}_{345}^{\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in\left[-\phi_{1}, \phi_{1}\right]$, namely, $\mathcal{S}_{g}^{\prime}=\underset{\alpha \in\left[-\phi_{1}, \phi_{1}\right]}{\bigcup} \mathfrak{X}_{345}^{\alpha}$.

From Proposition 5.3.22 we remark that both $\mathcal{S}_{g}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{g}^{\prime}$ are real analytic 3-submanifolds.

### 5.3.5 Construction of the polyhedron

In the previous sections we constructed eight 3-dimensional cells which are sides of our polyhedron. We define the polyhedron $\mathbf{D}$ to be the interior of the union of eight 3-cells, refer to Figure 5.7. It follows from Proposition 5.3.25 that $\mathbf{D}$ is well-defined a 4 -dimensional domain.

Proposition 5.3.25. $\mathcal{S}_{c} \cup \mathcal{S}_{c}^{\prime} \cup \mathcal{S}_{g} \cup \mathcal{S}_{g}^{\prime} \cup \mathcal{S}_{17} \cup \mathcal{S}_{67} \cup \mathcal{S}_{78} \cup \mathcal{S}_{79}$ is homeomorphic to $S^{3}$.
Proof. Recall of the basic geometric fact that $S^{3}$ can be interpreted as the union of two 3 -balls gluing along $S^{2}$. We see, up to homotopy, that the core prism $\mathcal{P}_{c}$ is a 3 -ball with the boundary $\mathcal{F}_{123} \cup \mathcal{F}_{456} \cup \mathcal{F}_{1246} \cup \mathcal{F}_{1356} \cup \mathcal{F}_{234} \cup \mathcal{F}_{345}$. Another 3-ball is the union of $\mathcal{S}_{g}, \mathcal{S}_{g}^{\prime}, \mathcal{S}_{17}, \mathcal{S}_{67}, \mathcal{S}_{78}, \mathcal{S}_{79}$ whose boundary is also $\mathcal{F}_{123} \cup \mathcal{F}_{456} \cup \mathcal{F}_{1246} \cup \mathcal{F}_{1356} \cup \mathcal{F}_{234} \cup \mathcal{F}_{345}$. In order to see this, we can think that two faces $\mathcal{F}_{123}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{456}$ are lying at both sides of the face $\mathcal{F}_{789}$. This completes the result.

We also need to ensure that the interior of two sides $\mathcal{S}_{g}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{g}^{\prime}$ cannot intersect the other sides contained in bisectors. This follows directly from the following proposition.

Proposition 5.3.26. The interior of $\mathcal{S}_{g}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{g}^{\prime}$ does not intersect with the sides contained in bisectors.


Figure 5.8: The schematic view of eight sides of the polyhedron $\mathbf{D}$ in the complex hyperbolic space. $\mathbf{D}$ is a 4-dimensional domain bounded by these eight sides.

Proof. It suffices to show the interior of each sheet $\mathfrak{X}_{234}^{\alpha}\left(\right.$ or $\left.\mathfrak{X}_{345}^{\alpha}\right)$ does not meet with the sides contained in bisectors for $\alpha \in\left[-\phi_{1}, \phi_{1}\right]$. We only need to analyze the sheet $\mathfrak{X}_{234}^{\alpha}$ and the other follows similarly.

Recall, the bisectors containing the sides come in pairs so that the complex spines are the coordinate axes. As in the Proposition 1.2.28 of Tho10 (or the Section 2.1.2), the number of intersection points between a geodesic and a bisector is equal to the number of intersection points between its spine and the projection of the geodesic onto its complex spine. Moreover, as in Section 1.2.4, we know that the projection of a geodesic $\sigma$ to a complex line $\mathcal{C}$ is an arc of a geometrical circle (and in particular, this is also a geodesic $\operatorname{arc}$ if $\sigma \cap \mathcal{C} \neq \emptyset)$ in $\mathcal{C}$. For the case that $\mathcal{C}$ is a coordinate axis, the projection of a geodesic segment $[\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}]$ is a shorter arc of a geometrical circle with endpoints $\Pi_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{z})$ and $\Pi_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{w})$ (the images of points under orthogonal projection onto $\mathcal{C}$ ).

For $\alpha \in\left[-\phi_{1}, \phi_{1}\right]$ and $\mathbf{z} \in L_{234}^{\alpha}$, we consider the projection of the geodesic segment $\left[\mathbf{p}_{0}^{\alpha}, \mathbf{z}\right]$ onto the coordinate axes $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{2}$. We denote $\Pi_{1}, \Pi_{2}$ by the orthogonal projection onto $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ respectively.
(i) Pair of sides $\mathcal{S}_{78}, \mathcal{S}_{79}$ are contained in bisectors $\mathcal{B}_{78}, \mathcal{B}_{79}$ whose spines contain $\gamma_{78}$ and $\gamma_{79}$. Clearly, $\Pi_{1}\left(\left[\mathbf{p}_{0}^{\alpha}, \mathbf{z}\right]\right)=l_{\alpha} \cup l_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ does not intersect with $\gamma_{78}$ and $\gamma_{79}$.
(ii) Pair of sides $\mathcal{S}_{17}, \mathcal{S}_{67}$ are contained in bisectors $\mathcal{B}_{17}, \mathcal{B}_{67}$ whose spines contain the straight segment $\gamma_{17}$ and $\gamma_{67}$.

- For $\mathbf{z} \in \Pi_{1}^{-1}\left(l_{\alpha}\right)$, the geodesic segment $\left[\mathbf{p}_{0}^{\alpha}, \mathbf{z}\right]$ is contained in a meridian of $\mathcal{B}_{s}$. Thus $\Pi_{2}\left(\left[\mathbf{p}_{0}^{\alpha}, \mathbf{z}\right]\right)$ is a straight segment with endpoints $\mathbf{z}_{7}$ and a point of $\gamma_{16}$ and cannot intersect with $\gamma_{17}, \gamma_{67}$.
- For $\mathbf{z} \in \Pi_{1}^{-1}\left(l_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)$, we see that $\Pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{S}_{17}\right)=\Pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{S}_{67}\right)$ is the geodesic triangular face $\mathcal{F}_{789}$ and $\Pi_{1}\left(\left[\mathbf{p}_{0}^{\alpha}, \mathbf{z}\right]\right)=l_{\alpha}^{\prime}$. The interior of $l_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ does not intersect with $\mathcal{F}_{789}$.
(iii) Pair of sides $\mathcal{S}_{c}, \mathcal{S}_{c}^{\prime}$ are contained in bisector $\mathcal{B}_{c}$ whose spine contains $\gamma_{16}$.
- For $\mathbf{z} \in \Pi_{1}^{-1}\left(l_{\alpha}\right)$, then $\Pi_{2}\left(\left[\mathbf{p}_{0}^{\alpha}, \mathbf{z}\right]\right)$ is a straight segment which intersects with $\gamma_{16}$ only at $\Pi_{2}(\mathbf{z})$.
- We denote $\left(\mathbf{p}_{0}^{\alpha}, *\right)$ by the extension of $\left[\mathbf{p}_{0}^{\alpha}, \mathbf{z}\right]$ from $\mathbf{p}_{0}^{\alpha}$ to $\infty$ without $\mathbf{p}_{0}^{\alpha}$. For $\mathbf{z} \in \Pi_{1}^{-1}\left(l_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)$, observe that $\Pi_{1}\left(\mathbf{p}_{0}^{\alpha}, *\right)$ is a geodesic ray from $\mathbf{p}_{0}^{\alpha}$ to the boundary passing through $S(0)$, see Figure 5.6. Non-intersection of $\Pi_{1}\left(\mathbf{p}_{0}^{\alpha}, *\right)$ and $\mathcal{F}_{789}$ shows that $\left(\mathbf{p}_{0}^{\alpha}, *\right)$ cannot intersect with the sides $\mathcal{S}_{17}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{67}$. Thus we know that $\Pi_{2}\left(\mathbf{p}_{0}^{\alpha}, *\right)$ does not intersect with $\gamma_{17}$ and $\gamma_{67}$. From the geometric view, we claim that $\Pi_{2}\left(\left[\mathbf{p}_{0}^{\alpha}, \mathbf{z}\right]\right)$ intersects with $\gamma_{16}$ only at $\Pi_{2}(\mathbf{z})$. In fact, the interior of $\Pi_{2}\left(\left[\mathbf{p}_{0}^{\alpha}, \mathbf{z}\right]\right)$ can only lie inside the angle region $-\phi_{2} \leq \arg \left(z_{2}\right) \leq \phi_{2}$. Otherwise, it is not the shorter arc of a circle which is contradiction with Lemma 1.2.12, If $\Pi_{2}\left(\left[\mathbf{p}_{0}^{\alpha}, \mathbf{z}\right]\right)$ intersects with $\gamma_{16}$ twice, then $\Pi_{2}\left(\mathbf{p}_{0}^{\alpha}, *\right)$ intersects with $\gamma_{17}$ or $\gamma_{67}$, which is a contradiction.

From the above analysis, we see that the interior of $\left[\mathbf{p}_{0}^{\alpha}, \mathbf{z}\right]$ for $\alpha \in\left[-\phi_{1}, \phi_{1}\right]$ cannot intersect with the sides contained in bisectors.

### 5.4 The main theorem

Our goal is to use Poincarés polyhedron theorem to show that the polyhedron $\mathbf{D}$ is a fundamental domain and find a geometrical presentation although we already know both
that the group $\Gamma_{k}$ is discrete and that a presentation of $\Gamma_{k}$ (see [DFP05, Par06] ). We will prove the following result:

Theorem 5.4.1. Suppose that the ordered pair $(k, l)$ is in the list

$$
(7,42),(8,24),(9,18),(10,15),(12,12),(15,10),(18,9),(24,8),(42,7)
$$

that is, $l=6 k /(k-6)$. Then writing $\phi_{1}=\pi / k$ and $\phi_{2}=\pi / l$, the group $\Gamma_{k}$ generated by the side pairings of $\mathbf{D}$ is a discrete subgroup of $P U(2,1)$ with fundamental domain $\mathbf{D}$ and presentation

$$
\Gamma_{k}=\left\langle R, S, T, I_{1}: \begin{array}{c}
R^{k}=T^{l}=\left(R^{-1} S\right)^{3}=\left(T^{-1} I_{1}\right)^{3}=\left(S^{-1} I_{1}\right)^{3}  \tag{5.28}\\
=[R, T]=1, \quad T=S^{2}, \quad R=I_{1}^{2}
\end{array}\right\rangle
$$

Remark 5.4.2. As the roles of $k$ and $l$ are actually symmetric, there are only 5 different groups $\Gamma_{k}$ for $k=7,8,9,10,12$. In particular, among them only $\Gamma_{9}$ and $\Gamma_{12}$ are arithmetic, see the Table on the Page 27 of Par09.

We will prove this theorem by verifying the conditions of the Poincarés polyhedron theorem, following the strategy outlined below. For the case of $k=6$, that is $l=\infty$, this makes $T$ turn into a parabolic which gives rise to the disappearance of $T^{l}$ in the presentation. Thus the group $\Gamma_{6}$ is exactly the same as $G_{2}$ (compare [Zh11]), up to conjugation.

Writing $J=S^{-1} I_{1}, R_{1}=T^{-1} I_{1}, A_{1}=R$ and $A_{1}^{\prime}=J T J^{-1}$, the presentation of Theorem 5.4.1 becomes

$$
\left\langle\begin{array}{ll} 
& J^{3}=R_{1}^{3}=A_{1}^{k}=A_{1}^{\prime l}=1 \\
J, R_{1}, A_{1}, A_{1}^{\prime}: & A_{1}=\left(J R_{1}^{-1} J\right)^{2}, A_{1}^{\prime}=\left(J^{-1} R_{1}^{-1} J^{-1}\right)^{2} \\
& A_{1} R_{1}=R_{1} A_{1}, A_{1}^{\prime} R_{1}=R_{1} A_{1}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right\rangle
$$

Note that $\left[A_{1}, R_{1}\right]=[R, T]$ follows from $R=I_{1}^{2}$ and $\left[A_{1}^{\prime}, R_{1}\right]=J[T, R] J^{-1}$ follows from $T=S^{2}$ and $R^{-1} S=J^{-1} R_{1} J$. This is the presentation in terms of $R_{1}, J$ given in [Par09] with $p=3$.

### 5.4.1 The side pairing maps

Let $R, S, T$ and $I_{1}$ be given by (5.5), 5.11) and (5.12) respectively. In this section we show that these maps are the side-pairings of our polyhedron $\mathbf{D}$ and pair the sides of $\mathbf{D}$ as follows (see Figure 5.9).

$$
R: \mathcal{S}_{78} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}_{79}, \quad T: \mathcal{S}_{17} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}_{67}, \quad S: \mathcal{S}_{g} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}_{g}^{\prime}, \quad I_{1}: \mathcal{S}_{c} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}_{c}^{\prime}
$$

We now verify these maps satisfy conditions $(I)$ and $(I I)$ in Definition 2.3 .3 for each side. It is clear that the side pairing maps $R, S, T$ satisfy condition $(I)$ and we give more details for the side pairing map $I_{1}$.

Recall that the map

$$
I_{1}=\frac{e^{-i \phi_{1} / 3}}{2 \sin \phi_{2}}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-2 \sin \phi_{2} e^{i \phi_{1}} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & -\sqrt{1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{2}} \\
0 & \sqrt{1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{2}} & -1
\end{array}\right]
$$

The action of $I_{1}$ on the bisector $\mathcal{B}_{c}($ see 5.16$)$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{e^{-i \phi_{1} / 3}}{2 \sin \phi_{2}}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-2 \sin \phi_{2} e^{i \phi_{1}} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & -\sqrt{1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{2}} \\
0 & \sqrt{1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{2}} & -1
\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{c}
\sqrt{1-\mu^{2}} r e^{i \alpha} \\
\mu+i s \\
1+i \mu s
\end{array}\right] \\
&=-e^{-i \phi_{1} / 3}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\sqrt{1-\mu^{2}} r e^{i\left(\alpha+\phi_{1}\right)} \\
\mu-i s \\
1-i \mu s
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

We see that $I_{1}$ maps $\mathcal{B}_{c}$ to itself, sending the point with coordinates $(r, s, \alpha)$ to the point with coordinates $\left(r,-s, \alpha+\phi_{1}\right)$ when $-\pi / 2 \leq \alpha<\pi / 2-\phi_{1}$ or the point with coordinates $\left(-r,-s, \alpha+\phi_{1}-\pi\right)$ when $\pi / 2-\phi_{1} \leq \alpha<\pi / 2$.

In terms of its action on the vertices of prism, we summarize as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{1}: \mathbf{z}_{1} \\
& \mathbf{z}_{2} \longrightarrow \mathbf{z}_{6}, \\
& \mathbf{z}_{2} \longrightarrow \mathbf{z}_{4}, \\
& \mathbf{z}_{3} \longrightarrow \mathbf{z}_{5}, \\
& \mathbf{z}_{4} \longrightarrow \\
& \mathbf{z}_{3}, \\
& \mathbf{z}_{6} \longrightarrow \mathbf{z}_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemmas 5.3.11 and 5.3.12, we can easily see that

$$
\begin{gathered}
I_{1}\left(\gamma_{16}\right)=\gamma_{16}, I_{1}\left(\gamma_{12}\right)=\gamma_{46}, I_{1}\left(\gamma_{13}\right)=\gamma_{56} \\
I_{1}\left(\gamma_{24}\right)=\gamma_{34}, I_{1}\left(\gamma_{34}\right)=\gamma_{35}
\end{gathered}
$$

which implies that

$$
I_{1}\left(\mathcal{F}_{1246}\right)=\mathcal{F}_{1346}, \quad I_{1}\left(\mathcal{F}_{1346}\right)=\mathcal{F}_{1356}
$$

We now concentrate on showing $I_{1}\left(\mathcal{F}_{234}\right)=\mathcal{F}_{456}$ and $I_{1}\left(\mathcal{F}_{123}\right)=\mathcal{F}_{456}$. Observe that the face $\mathcal{F}_{234}$ is contained in the intersection $\mathcal{B}_{c} \cap S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)=\mathcal{B}_{c} \cap J\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$ that is a Giraud disc. Moreover, we have $J\left(\mathcal{F}_{234}\right) \subset J\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right) \cap J^{-1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$ and $J^{-1}\left(\mathcal{F}_{234}\right) \subset J^{-1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right) \cap \mathcal{B}_{c}$ since $J$ is a regular elliptic element of order 3. As the permutation of $J$ on the edges $\gamma_{23}, \gamma_{34}$ and $\gamma_{24}$, the triple intersection $\mathcal{B}_{c} \cap J\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right) \cap J^{-1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$ contains $\gamma_{23}, \gamma_{34}$ and $\gamma_{24}$. It follows that the third bisector containing the face $\mathcal{F}_{234}$ is $J^{-1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)=I_{1}^{-1} S\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$. Obviously the map $I_{1}$ sends points of $\mathcal{B}_{c} \cap I_{1}^{-1} S\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$ to points of $\mathcal{B}_{c} \cap S\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$. Furthermore, the edge $\gamma_{23}$ is contained in $\mathcal{B}_{c} \cap I_{1}^{-1} S\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$ with $s_{0}=-\lambda$ and the edge $\gamma_{45}$ is contained in $\mathcal{B}_{c} \cap S\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$ with $s_{0}=\lambda$, which implies that $I_{1}\left(\gamma_{23}\right)=\gamma_{45}$. From the above argument, we obtain $I_{1}\left(\mathcal{F}_{234}\right)=\mathcal{F}_{456}$ and $I_{1}\left(\mathcal{F}_{123}\right)=\mathcal{F}_{456}$. As a result, we prove that $I_{1}\left(\mathcal{S}_{c}\right)=\mathcal{S}_{c}^{\prime}$.

We give the following lemma to verify condition (II) for each side.
Lemma 5.4.3. If $g$ is one of $R, S, T, I_{1}$, then $g^{-1}(\mathbf{D}) \cap \mathbf{D}=g(\mathbf{D}) \cap \mathbf{D}=\emptyset$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
R^{-1}(\overline{\mathbf{D}}) \cap \overline{\mathbf{D}}=\mathcal{S}_{78}, & T^{-1}(\overline{\mathbf{D}}) \cap \overline{\mathbf{D}}=\mathcal{S}_{17}, & S^{-1}(\overline{\mathbf{D}}) \cap \overline{\mathbf{D}}=\mathcal{S}_{g}, & I_{1}^{-1}(\overline{\mathbf{D}}) \cap \overline{\mathbf{D}}=\mathcal{S}_{c} \\
R(\overline{\mathbf{D}}) \cap \overline{\mathbf{D}}=\mathcal{S}_{79}, & T(\overline{\mathbf{D}}) \cap \overline{\mathbf{D}}=\mathcal{S}_{67}, & S(\overline{\mathbf{D}}) \cap \overline{\mathbf{D}}=\mathcal{S}_{g}^{\prime}, & I_{1}(\overline{\mathbf{D}}) \cap \overline{\mathbf{D}}=\mathcal{S}_{c}^{\prime}
\end{array}
$$

Proof. - Consider the side $\mathcal{S}_{78}$ and other sides $\mathcal{S}_{79}, \mathcal{S}_{17}, \mathcal{S}_{67}$ follow similarly. If $\mathbf{z} \in \overline{\mathbf{D}}$ then $-\phi_{1} \leq \arg \left(z_{1}\right) \leq \phi_{1}$ with equality only when $\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{B}_{78}$ (or $\mathcal{B}_{79}$ ). Likewise, if $\mathbf{w}=R(\mathbf{z}) \in \overline{\mathbf{D}}$ then $-\phi_{1} \leq \arg \left(e^{i 2 \phi_{1}} z_{1}\right) \leq \phi_{1}$. Hence if $R(\mathbf{z}) \in \overline{\mathbf{D}}$, or equivalently $\mathbf{z} \in R^{-1}(\mathbf{D})$, then $-3 \phi_{1} \leq \arg \left(z_{1}\right) \leq-\phi_{1}$. Thus $\mathbf{z} \in \overline{\mathbf{D}} \cap R^{-1}(\overline{\mathbf{D}})$ if and only if $\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{B}_{78}$ and precisely $\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{S}_{78}$.


Figure 5.9: The sides of the polyhedron and side pairings. The bold lines denote the spines of the bisectors.

- Consider the core sides $\mathcal{S}_{c}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{c}^{\prime}$. Observe that $I_{1}$ preserves $\mathcal{B}_{c}$ and swaps one side of $\mathcal{B}_{c}$ with the other. If $\mathbf{z}=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in \overline{\mathbf{D}}$ then $2 \sin \phi_{2}\left|z_{2}\right| \leq\left|z_{2}-\sqrt{1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{2}}\right|$ with equality only when $\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{S}_{c} \cup \mathcal{S}_{c}^{\prime}$. If $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{D}$, then $\mathbf{w}=I_{1}(\mathbf{z})$ satisfying $2 \sin \phi_{2}\left|w_{2}\right|>$ $\left|w_{2}-\sqrt{1-4 \sin ^{2} \phi_{2}}\right|$ does not intersect $\overline{\mathbf{D}}$. Only $\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{S}_{c}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{S}_{c}^{\prime}\right)$ then $I_{1}(\mathbf{z}) \in \mathcal{S}_{c}^{\prime}$ $\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.I_{1}^{-1}(\mathbf{z}) \in \mathcal{S}_{c}\right)$.
- Consider the sides $\mathcal{S}_{g}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{g}^{\prime}$. By construction, we see that $S\left(\mathcal{S}_{g}\right)=\mathcal{S}_{g}^{\prime}$. It suffices to show that the images of other sides under $S$ cannot intersect with the sides of D except for $\mathcal{S}_{g}^{\prime}$. In order to see this, the spines of $S\left(\mathcal{B}_{78}\right)$ and $S\left(\mathcal{B}_{79}\right)$ are the geodesic segments connecting with $S(0)$ and $\mathbf{z}_{8}, \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{9}}$. It is easy to see that there is no intersection of the interior of $S\left(\mathcal{B}_{78}\right)$ and $S\left(\mathcal{B}_{79}\right)$ with the sides of $\overline{\mathbf{D}}$. The spines of $S\left(\mathcal{B}_{17}\right)$ and $S\left(\mathcal{B}_{67}\right)$ are the straight lines in common complex spine $S\left(\mathcal{C}_{2}\right)$. Their projection on $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ is a geodesic triangle with vertices $\mathbf{z}_{8}, \mathbf{z}_{9}, S(0)$. Thus they don't intersect with the sides of $\overline{\mathbf{D}}$. For the side $\mathcal{S}_{g}, T\left(\mathcal{S}_{g}^{\prime}\right) \cap \mathcal{S}_{g}^{\prime}=\emptyset$ implies that $S\left(\mathcal{S}_{g}^{\prime}\right) \cap \mathcal{S}_{g}=\emptyset$. Moreover, $S\left(\mathcal{S}_{g}^{\prime}\right)=T\left(\mathcal{S}_{g}\right)$ implies that this is the same projection of $\mathcal{S}_{g}$ on $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ and a rotation of the projection on $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ with angle $\phi_{2}$.


### 5.4.2 The face cycles

We now write the face cycles induced by the side-pairings in terms of type of face and the label of face reflects the order of vertices.

- The $\mathbb{C}$-planar triangle cycles:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{F}_{167} \xrightarrow{R} \mathcal{F}_{167}, \\
\mathcal{F}_{789} \xrightarrow{T} \mathcal{F}_{789}, \\
\mathcal{F}_{123} \xrightarrow{I_{1}} \mathcal{F}_{645} \xrightarrow{T^{-1}} \mathcal{F}_{123}, \\
\mathcal{F}_{248} \xrightarrow{S} \mathcal{F}_{359} \xrightarrow{R^{-1}} \mathcal{F}_{248} .
\end{gathered}
$$

- The $\mathbb{R}$-planar quadrilateral cycles:

$$
\mathcal{F}_{1287} \xrightarrow{T} \mathcal{F}_{6487} \xrightarrow{R} \mathcal{F}_{6597} \xrightarrow{T^{-1}} \mathcal{F}_{1397} \xrightarrow{R^{-1}} \mathcal{F}_{1287} .
$$

- The Giraud triangle cycles:

$$
\mathcal{F}_{234} \xrightarrow{I_{1}} \mathcal{F}_{453} \xrightarrow{S^{-1}} \mathcal{F}_{342} \xrightarrow{I_{1}} \mathcal{F}_{534} \xrightarrow{S^{-1}} \mathcal{F}_{423} \xrightarrow{I_{1}} \mathcal{F}_{345} \xrightarrow{S^{-1}} \mathcal{F}_{234} .
$$

- The generic quadrilateral cycles:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{F}_{1246} \xrightarrow{I_{1}} \mathcal{F}_{6431} \xrightarrow{I_{1}} \mathcal{F}_{1356} \xrightarrow{R^{-1}} \mathcal{F}_{1246}, \\
& \mathcal{F}_{2398} \xrightarrow{S} \mathcal{F}_{3489} \xrightarrow{S} \mathcal{F}_{4598} \xrightarrow{T^{-1}} \mathcal{F}_{2398} .
\end{aligned}
$$

### 5.4.3 Verifying the tessellation conditions

In this section we verify the cyclic condition of the Poincaré's polyhedron theorem, we refer more details to [DFP05] and Par06. Recall that for a face cycle

$$
\mathcal{F}_{1} \xrightarrow{g_{1}} \mathcal{F}_{2} \xrightarrow{g_{2}} \cdots \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{n} \xrightarrow{g_{n}} \mathcal{F}_{1} .
$$

The cycle transformation $g_{n} \circ g_{n-1} \cdots \circ g_{1}$ acts on $\mathcal{F}_{1}$ as the identity and there is a certain integer $m$ such that $\left(g_{n} \circ g_{n-1} \cdots \circ g_{1}\right)^{m}=I d$. We call $n$ the length of cycle and $n \cdot m$ its total length. In order to ensure condition cyclic we must show that there is a neighborhood $U$ of the interior of the face such that $U$ is covered by $\overline{\mathbf{D}}$ and its images under relevant sid pairings. Specifically, for the above face cycle, the following images of $\overline{\mathbf{D}}$ :

$$
g_{1}^{-1}(\overline{\mathbf{D}}),\left(g_{2} \circ g_{1}\right)^{-1}(\overline{\mathbf{D}}), \cdots,\left(\left(g_{n} \circ g_{n-1} \cdots \circ g_{1}\right)^{m}\right)^{-1}(\overline{\mathbf{D}})=\overline{\mathbf{D}}
$$

cover a neighborhood of $\mathcal{F}_{1}$. We only sufficiently consider a neighborhood $U$ of one member of a single face cycle and others are the images of $U$ under suitable side-pairings.

## Tessellation around $\mathbb{C}$-planar faces

In this section we consider the faces contained in a complex line. These are the faces $\mathcal{F}_{123}, \mathcal{F}_{456}, \mathcal{F}_{789}, \mathcal{F}_{167}, \mathcal{F}_{248}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{359}$. They form four face cycles described again as follows:

$$
\mathcal{F}_{167} \xrightarrow{R} \quad \mathcal{F}_{167}, \quad \mathcal{F}_{789} \xrightarrow{T} \quad \mathcal{F}_{789} .
$$

The face $\mathcal{F}_{167}$ is in the intersection of two bisectors $\mathcal{B}_{78}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{79}$. If a point $z=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in \overline{\mathbf{D}}$, then $-\phi_{1} \leq \arg \left(z_{1}\right) \leq \phi_{1}$ and the face $\mathcal{F}_{167}$ is contained in $z_{1}=0$. We know $R$ acts on $z_{1}$-plane as a rotation with the angle $2 \phi_{1}$. Therefore, the union of the images of $\overline{\mathbf{D}}$ under $R^{i}$ for $i=1,2, \cdots, k$ covers a sufficient small neighborhood of the face $\mathcal{F}_{167}$. Similarly, the union of the images of $\overline{\mathbf{D}}$ under $T^{j}$ for $j=1,2, \cdots, l$ covers a sufficient small neighborhood of the face $\mathcal{F}_{789}$, we refer to the schematic view of their images in Figure 5.10. If the group is discrete, these elliptic elements are necessary to have finite order which gives rise to $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$. Together with the condition $1 / k+1 / l=1 / 6$, we obtain the pairs ( $k, l$ ) listed in Theorem 5.4.1. Otherwise the group is not discrete (see Mos88). From the geometrical point of view, in this case, $\mathbf{D}$ will intersect its image under some non-trivial power of $R$ or $T$.

Proposition 5.4.4. The polyhedron $\mathbf{D}$ and its images under the power of $R$ (resp. T) tessellate around the face $\mathcal{F}_{167}$ (resp. $\mathcal{F}_{789}$ ). Moreover, the cycle transformation corresponding to the face $\mathcal{F}_{167}$ (resp. $\mathcal{F}_{789}$ ) is $R$ (resp. T) and $n=1, m=k$ (resp. $m=l$ ). This gives the cycle relation $R^{k}=T^{l}=1$.

The remaining two face cycles:

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\mathcal{F}_{123} & \xrightarrow{I_{1}} & \mathcal{F}_{456} & \xrightarrow{T^{-1}} & \mathcal{F}_{123}, \\
\mathcal{F}_{248} & \xrightarrow{S} & \mathcal{F}_{359} & \xrightarrow{R^{-1}} & \mathcal{F}_{248} .
\end{array}
$$



Figure 5.10: (a) The images of $\overline{\mathbf{D}}$ under the power of $R$ tiling a neighborhood of the face $\mathcal{F}_{167}$. (b) The images of $\overline{\mathbf{D}}$ under the power of $T$ tiling a neighborhood of the face $\mathcal{F}_{789}$.


Figure 5.11: (a) The images of $\overline{\mathbf{D}}$ covering a neighborhood of the face $\mathcal{F}_{123}$. (b) The images of $\overline{\mathbf{D}}$ covering a neighborhood of the face $\mathcal{F}_{248}$. The black points at the center indicate the corresponding faces.

Both of $T^{-1} I_{1}$ and $R^{-1} S$ are the complex reflections. The main difference between them is that the face $\mathcal{F}_{123}$ is in the intersection of two bisectors $\mathcal{B}_{c}, \mathcal{B}_{17}$ and the face $\mathcal{F}_{248}$ is in the intersection of a bisector $\mathcal{B}_{78}$ with a side $S_{g}$ which is not contained in bisector. It is the same schematic 2 -dimensional picture of covering a neighborhood of $\mathcal{F}_{123}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{248}$, see Figure 5.11
Proposition 5.4.5. The polyhedron $\mathbf{D}$ and its images under $I_{1}^{-1}, I_{1}^{-1} T, I_{1}^{-1} T I_{1}^{-1}, T^{-1} I_{1}$ and $T^{-1}$ tessellate around the face $\mathcal{F}_{123}$. Moreover, the cycle transformation corresponding to the face $\mathcal{F}_{123}$ is $T^{-1} I_{1}$ and $n=2, m=3$. This gives the cycle relation $\left(T^{-1} I_{1}\right)^{3}=1$.

Proposition 5.4.6. The polyhedron $\mathbf{D}$ and its images under $R^{-1}, R^{-1} S, R^{-1} S R^{-1}, S^{-1} R$ and $S^{-1}$ tessellate around the face $\mathcal{F}_{248}$. Moreover, the cycle transformation corresponding to the face $\mathcal{F}_{248}$ is $R^{-1} S$ and $n=2, m=3$. This gives the cycle relation $\left(R^{-1} S\right)^{3}=1$.


Figure 5.12: The images of $\overline{\mathbf{D}}$ covering a neighborhood of the face $\mathcal{F}_{234}$. The black point at the center indicates the face $\mathcal{F}_{234}$.

## Tessellation around $\mathbb{R}$-planar faces

In this section we only consider a single face cycle in which the faces are all contained in Lagrangian planes. The associate face cycle is

$$
\mathcal{F}_{1278} \quad \xrightarrow{T} \mathcal{F}_{4678} \quad \xrightarrow{R} \quad \mathcal{F}_{5679} \quad \xrightarrow{T^{-1}} \mathcal{F}_{1379} \quad \xrightarrow{R^{-1}} \mathcal{F}_{1278} .
$$

The schematic image of the tiling of a neighborhood of the face $\mathcal{F}_{1278}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{r|l}
\mathbf{D} & R^{-1}(\mathbf{D}) \\
\hline T^{-1}(\mathbf{D}) & T^{-1} R^{-1}(\mathbf{D})
\end{array}
$$

In fact that $\mathbf{D}$ and its images as above have disjoint interiors follows easily from Lemma 5.4.3. Moreover, the bisector $\mathcal{B}_{17}$ separates $\mathbf{D}$ and $T^{-1}(\mathbf{D})$, the bisector $\mathcal{B}_{78}$ separates $\mathbf{D}$ and $R^{-1}(\mathbf{D})$. Thus applying $T^{-1}$ to $\mathbf{D}$ and $R^{-1}(\mathbf{D})$, we see that the bisector $T^{-1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{78}\right)$ separates $T^{-1}(\mathbf{D})$ and $T^{-1} R^{-1}(\mathbf{D})$. Analogously, applying $R^{-1}$ to $\mathbf{D}$ and $T^{-1}(\mathbf{D})$, the bisector $R^{-1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{17}\right)$ separates $R^{-1}(\mathbf{D})$ and $R^{-1} T^{-1}(\mathbf{D})=T^{-1} R^{-1}(\mathbf{D})$.

Proposition 5.4.7. The polyhedron $\mathbf{D}$ and its images under $T^{-1}, R^{-1}$ and $T^{-1} R^{-1}$ tessellate around the face $\mathcal{F}_{1278}$. Moreover, the cycle transformation corresponding to the face $\mathcal{F}_{1278}$ is $R^{-1} T^{-1} R T$ and $n=4, m=1$. This gives the cycle relation $[T, R]=1$.

## Tessellation around the face $\mathcal{F}_{234}$

The face $\mathcal{F}_{234}$ is contained in a Giraud disc which is the intersection of $\mathcal{B}_{c}, S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$ and $I_{1}^{-1} S\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$. It is given by an equation of the form

$$
\left|\left\langle\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{n}_{0}\right\rangle\right|=\left|\left\langle\mathbf{z}, I_{1}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{n}_{0}\right)\right\rangle\right|=\left|\left\langle\mathbf{z}, I_{1}^{-1} S I_{1}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{n}_{0}\right)\right\rangle\right| .
$$

As the arguments in Section 7.8 of [FFP10], we see that there are three regions where the first (resp. second and third) of these quantities as above is the smallest tessellate around the face $\mathcal{F}_{234}$.

Note that the points of $\mathbf{D}$ around the face $\mathcal{F}_{234}$ is bounded by $\mathcal{B}_{c}$ and the side $\mathcal{S}_{g}$ and the points of $S^{-1}(\mathbf{D})$ around the face $\mathcal{F}_{234}$ is bounded by $S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{c}\right)$ and the side $\mathcal{S}_{g}$. Thus the union of $\mathbf{D}$ and $S^{-1}(\mathbf{D})$ covers a neighborhood of $\mathcal{F}_{234}$ in the region where the first quantity is smallest. Applying the elements $S^{-1} I_{1}$ and $I_{1}^{-1} S$, we obtain the union $I_{1}^{-1}(\mathbf{D}), I_{1}^{-1} S(\mathbf{D}), I_{1}^{-1} S I_{1}^{-1}(\mathbf{D})$ and $S^{-1} I_{1}(\mathbf{D})$ covering the other two regions, see Figure 5.12. There is a difference around the faces $\mathcal{F}_{123}$ (or $\mathcal{F}_{248}$ ) and $\mathcal{F}_{234}$, that is not apparent from the 2-dimensional picture. We give the difference in the following proposition.

Proposition 5.4.8. The polyhedron $\mathbf{D}$ and its images under $I_{1}^{-1}, I_{1}^{-1} S, I_{1}^{-1} S I_{1}^{-1}, S^{-1} I_{1}$ and $S^{-1}$ tessellate around the face $\mathcal{F}_{234}$. Moreover, the cycle transformation corresponding to the face $\mathcal{F}_{234}$ is $\left(S^{-1} I_{1}\right)^{3}$ and $n=6, m=1$. This gives the cycle relation $\left(S^{-1} I_{1}\right)^{3}=1$.

## Tessellation around the generic quadrilateral faces

In this section we consider the faces of $\mathbf{D}$ that are neither contained in a complex line nor in a Lagrangian plane nor in a Giraud disc. These faces are regarded as the foliation of geodesics.

We first consider the face $\mathcal{F}_{1346}$, the associated face cycle is

$$
\mathcal{F}_{1346} \xrightarrow{I_{1}} \mathcal{F}_{1356} \xrightarrow{R^{-1}} \mathcal{F}_{1246} \xrightarrow{I_{1}} \mathcal{F}_{1346} .
$$

This is the same situation as in Zh11. We state again and refer to the 2-dimensional picture in Figure 5.13

The face $\mathcal{F}_{1346}$ is the intersection of $\mathcal{S}_{c}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{c}^{\prime}$ contained in the core bisector $\mathcal{B}_{c}$ and $\mathbf{D}$ covers the part of a neighborhood of $\mathcal{F}_{1346}$ at the side of $\mathcal{B}_{c}$ where $\left|\left\langle\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{n}_{0}\right\rangle\right|<\left|\left\langle\mathbf{z}, I_{1}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{n}_{0}\right)\right\rangle\right|$. Observe that $I_{1}$ or $I_{1}^{-1}$ swaps one side of $\mathcal{B}_{c}$ and the other. Moreover, $I_{1}^{-1}(\overline{\mathbf{D}}) \cap \overline{\mathbf{D}}=\mathcal{S}_{c}$, $I_{1}(\overline{\mathbf{D}}) \cap \overline{\mathbf{D}}=\mathcal{S}_{c}^{\prime}$ and $I_{1}^{-1}(\overline{\mathbf{D}}) \cap I_{1}(\overline{\mathbf{D}})=I_{1}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{S}_{79}\right)=I_{1}\left(\mathcal{S}_{78}\right)$. Therefore $\overline{\mathbf{D}} \cup I_{1}^{-1}(\overline{\mathbf{D}}) \cup I_{1}(\overline{\mathbf{D}})$ covers a neighborhood of $\mathcal{F}_{1346}$.

Proposition 5.4.9. The polyhedron $\mathbf{D}$ and its images under $I_{1}^{-1}$ and $I_{1}$ tessellate around the face $\mathcal{F}_{1346}$. Moreover, the cycle transformation corresponding to the face $\mathcal{F}_{1346}$ is $I_{1} R^{-1} I_{1}$ and $n=3, m=1$. This gives the cycle relation $I_{1} R^{-1} I_{1}=1$.

For the face $\mathcal{F}_{3489}$, the associated face cycle is

$$
\mathcal{F}_{3489} \xrightarrow{S} \mathcal{F}_{4589} \xrightarrow{T^{-1}} \mathcal{F}_{2389} \xrightarrow{S} \mathcal{F}_{3489} .
$$

The face $\mathcal{F}_{1346}$ is the intersection of $\mathcal{S}_{g}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{g}^{\prime}$. Since the map $S$ (or $S^{-1}$ ) acts on the triangle $\mathcal{F}_{789}$ as rotation of $\pi$ around its fixed point, the image $S(\mathbf{D})$ (or $S^{-1}(\mathbf{D})$ ) may be interpreted as lying in the other side of $\mathcal{S}_{g} \cup \mathcal{S}_{g}^{\prime}$ by $S$ or $S^{-1}$. Furthermore, it follows from Lemma 5.4.3 that $S^{-1}(\overline{\mathbf{D}}) \cap \overline{\mathbf{D}}=\mathcal{S}_{g}, S(\overline{\mathbf{D}}) \cap \overline{\mathbf{D}}=\mathcal{S}_{g}^{\prime}$. It is obvious that $S^{-1}(\overline{\mathbf{D}}) \cap S(\overline{\mathbf{D}})=$ $S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{S}_{67}\right)=S\left(\mathcal{S}_{17}\right)$ is contained in a bisector, and $\mathcal{S}_{g} \cap \mathcal{S}_{g}^{\prime} \cap S^{-1}\left(\mathcal{S}_{67}\right)=\mathcal{F}_{1346}$, see Figure 5.14 The result follows from the same argument as above.


Figure 5.13: The images of $\overline{\mathbf{D}}$ covering a neighborhood of the face $\mathcal{F}_{1346}$. The black point at the center indicates the face $\mathcal{F}_{1346}$.


Figure 5.14: The images of $\overline{\mathbf{D}}$ covering a neighborhood of the face $\mathcal{F}_{3489}$. The black point at the center indicates the face $\mathcal{F}_{3489}$.

Proposition 5.4.10. The polyhedron $\mathbf{D}$ and its images under $S^{-1}$ and $S$ tessellate around the face $\mathcal{F}_{3489}$. Moreover, the cycle transformation corresponding to the face $\mathcal{F}_{3489}$ is $S T^{-1} S$ and $n=3, m=1$. This gives the cycle relation $S T^{-1} S=1$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 5.4.1 by Poincaré's polyhedron theorem with Propositions 5.4.4 5.4.10.

### 5.4.4 Euler orbifold characteristics

We compute again their Euler orbifold characteristics $\chi\left(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} / \Gamma_{k}\right)$ by analyzing the stabilizer of $i$-dimensional faces of our fundamental domain $\mathbf{D}$, which agrees with the formula in Page 38 of Par09 by substituting $p=3$, see also Theorem 5.1' of Sau90]. The following table gives the order of the stabilizer for the vertices, 1 -simplices, 2 -simplices, 3 -simplices and the only 4-cell, the whole of $\mathbf{D}$.

| $i$-cells | Cycle | Stabilizer | Order |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vertices | $\mathbf{z}_{1}, \mathbf{z}_{6}$ | $\left\langle T^{-1} I_{1}, R\right\rangle$ | $3 k$ |
|  | $\mathbf{z}_{8}, \mathbf{z}_{9}$ | $\left\langle R^{-1} S, T\right\rangle$ | $3 l$ |
|  | $\mathbf{z}_{7}$ | $\langle R, T\rangle$ | $k l$ |
|  | $\mathbf{z}_{2}, \mathbf{z}_{3}, \mathbf{z}_{4}, \mathbf{z}_{5}$ | $\left\langle I_{1} T^{-1}, S^{-1} R\right\rangle$ | 24 |
| Edges | $\gamma_{16}$ | $\left\langle I_{1}\right\rangle$ | $2 k$ |
|  | $\gamma_{89}$ | $\langle S\rangle$ | $2 l$ |
|  | $\gamma_{17}, \gamma_{67}$ | $\langle R\rangle$ | $k$ |
|  | $\gamma_{78}, \gamma_{79}$ | $\langle T\rangle$ | $l$ |
|  | $\gamma_{23}, \gamma_{34}, \gamma_{45}, \gamma_{24}, \gamma_{35}$ | $\left\langle R^{-1} S\right\rangle$ | $2 k$ |
|  | $\gamma_{28}, \gamma_{48}, \gamma_{39}, \gamma_{59}$ | $\left\langle R^{-1} S\right\rangle$ | $2 k$ |
| 2-faces | $\mathcal{F}_{123}, \mathcal{F}_{456}$ | $\left\langle T^{-1} I_{1}\right\rangle$ | 3 |
|  | $\mathcal{F}_{234}, \mathcal{F}_{345}$ | $\left\langle S^{-1} I_{1}\right\rangle$ | 3 |
|  | $\mathcal{F}_{248}, \mathcal{F}_{359}$ | $\left\langle R^{-1} S\right\rangle$ | 3 |
|  | $\mathcal{F}_{167}$ | $\langle R\rangle$ | $k$ |
|  | $\mathcal{F}_{789}$ | $\langle T\rangle$ | $l$ |
|  | $\mathcal{F}_{1246}, \mathcal{F}_{1346}, \mathcal{F}_{1356}$ | $\langle I d\rangle$ | 1 |
|  | $\mathcal{F}_{1278}, \mathcal{F}_{4678}, \mathcal{F}_{1379}, \mathcal{F}_{5679}$ | $\langle I d\rangle$ | 1 |
|  | $\mathcal{F}_{2389}, \mathcal{F}_{3489}, \mathcal{F}_{4589}$ | $\langle I d\rangle$ | 1 |
| 3-faces | $\mathcal{S}_{c}, \mathcal{S}_{c}^{\prime}$ | $\langle I d\rangle$ | 1 |
|  | $\mathcal{S}_{g}, \mathcal{S}_{g}^{\prime}$ | $\langle I d\rangle$ | 1 |
|  | $\mathcal{S}_{78}, \mathcal{S}_{79}$ | $\langle I d\rangle$ | 1 |
|  | $\mathcal{S}_{17}, \mathcal{S}_{67}$ | $\langle I d\rangle$ | 1 |
|  | $\mathbf{D}$ | $\langle I d\rangle$ | 1 |

Using $1 / l=1 / 6-1 / k$, we compute the Euler orbifold characteristic to be

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi\left(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} / \Gamma_{k}\right) & =\frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{1}{k}+\frac{1}{l}\right)+\frac{1}{24}+\frac{1}{k l}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{k}+\frac{1}{l}\right)-\left(\frac{1}{k}+\frac{1}{l}\right)-1+\frac{25}{6}-4+1 \\
& =\frac{1}{72}+\frac{1}{k}\left(\frac{1}{6}-\frac{1}{k}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

### 5.5 Mostow groups of the second type

We review, in this section, the Mostow groups of the second type, which is written based on related materials in the Parker's survey paper [Par09]. It aims to explain briefly the previous construction of fundamental domains might be adapted for all Mostow groups of the second type.

Let $\Gamma(p, k)$ denote the equilateral triangle group $\left\langle R_{1}, R_{2}, R_{3}\right\rangle$ where each $R_{i}$ is of order $p$. Mostow groups of the second type are the groups $\Gamma(p, k)$ where the values of $p, k$ and $l=1 /(1 / 2-1 / p-1 / k)$ are given in the following table.

| $p$ | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $k$ | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 12 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 6 |
| $l$ | 42 | 24 | 18 | 15 | 12 | 20 | 12 | 8 | 20 | 10 | 12 | 6 |

In fact, the values of $k$ and $l$ can be interchanged.

We begin with the given geometric generators

$$
R=\left(J R_{1}^{-1} J\right)^{2}, S=J R_{1}^{-1}, T=\left(J R_{1}^{-1}\right)^{2}, I_{1}=J R_{1}^{-1} J .
$$

A conjectural presentation of $\Gamma(p, k)$ immediately follows from the above setting and the braid relations that

$$
\Gamma(p, k)=\left\langle R, S, T, I_{1} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{c}
R^{k}=T^{l}=\left(R^{-1} S\right)^{p}=\left(T^{-1} I_{1}\right)^{p}=\left(S^{-1} I_{1}\right)^{3}  \tag{5.29}\\
=[R, T]=1, \quad T=S^{2}, \quad R=I_{1}^{2}
\end{array}\right.\right\rangle .
$$

To confirm that 5.29 is an exact presentation for $\Gamma(p, k)$, it needs to construct the same fundamental domains as the previous sections.

The key point of construction is to analyze the stabilizer group $\langle R, S, T\rangle$. As we computed in Section 5.2.2, the common eigenvector of $R, S$ is

$$
\mathbf{n}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
u^{2} \bar{\tau} \\
\bar{u}^{2} \tau \\
-1
\end{array}\right]
$$

and $T$ fixes the complex line to the polar vector $\mathbf{n}$.
By an easy calculation, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}\rangle_{H} & =\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\bar{u}^{2} \tau & u^{2} \bar{\tau} & -1
\end{array}\right] H\left[\begin{array}{c}
u^{2} \bar{\tau} \\
\bar{u}^{2} \tau \\
-1
\end{array}\right] \\
& =1-u^{3}+\bar{u}^{6} \tau^{3}-\bar{u}^{3} \tau^{3}+u^{6} \bar{\tau}^{3}-u^{3} \bar{\tau}^{3}+1-\bar{u}^{3} \\
& =2\left[1-\cos \frac{2 \pi}{p}-\cos \left(\frac{4 \pi}{p}+\frac{2 \pi}{k}\right)+\cos \left(\frac{2 \pi}{p}+\frac{2 \pi}{k}\right)\right] \\
& \triangleq 2 N(p, k) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The basic construction requires us that the stabilizer group fixes a complex line. It suffices to analyze the norm of $\mathbf{n}$ and ask for the positive values.

- For $p=4$,

$$
N(4, k)=1-\sqrt{2} \sin \left(\frac{2 \pi}{k}-\frac{\pi}{4}\right),
$$

then $N(4, k) \geq 0$ if and only $k \geq 4$.

- For $p=5$, then

$$
N(5, k)=1-\cos \frac{2 \pi}{5}+2 \sin \left(\frac{3 \pi}{5}+\frac{2 \pi}{k}\right) \sin \frac{\pi}{5}>0 .
$$

- For $p=6$,

$$
N(6, k)=\frac{1}{2}+\cos \frac{2 \pi}{k} .
$$

then $N(6, k) \geq 0$ if and only $k \geq 3$.

As $T$ is a complex refection fixing the complex line $\mathbf{n}^{\perp}$, and

$$
T=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \bar{u}^{2} & 0 \\
-\bar{u}^{3} \tau & \bar{u}^{2} \tau^{2}+u \bar{\tau} & 0 \\
\bar{u} & -\tau & u \bar{\tau}
\end{array}\right],
$$



Figure 5.15: The schematic view of construction in 2-dimension. The bold lines are the complex lines. The bold points are the intersection of two complex lines which give rise to the vertices of polyhedron.
$T$ has the eigenvalue $\bar{u}^{2} \tau^{2}$ corresponding to $\mathbf{n}$ and a repeated eigenvalue $u \bar{\tau}$. Thus the relation $T^{l}=1$ implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{l}=\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{k} \tag{5.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a positive integer $l(l=\infty$ if possible). Only possible values of $k, l$ satisfying (5.30) listed in the table.

The construction of fundamental domains follows from the complex lines fixed by $R$, $T, R_{1}, R_{3}, R R_{3} R^{-1}$ and $T R_{1} T^{-1}$, see Figure 5.15 . The orthogonal properties of these complex lines come from the braid relations. A fundamental domain of the stabilizer group $\langle R, S\rangle$ acting on the complex line $\mathbf{n}^{\perp}$ is a geodesic hyperbolic triangle $\triangle(k / 2, p, p)$ with the interior angles $2 \pi / k, \pi / p, \pi / p$. As $l$ tends to $\infty$, the complex line $\mathbf{n}^{\perp}$ degenerates to an ideal point. The action of the stabilizer group $\langle R, S\rangle$ on the boundary is almostEuclidean, in other words, the triangle $\triangle(k / 2, p, p)$ becomes an Euclidean triangle in a horizontal section of the Heisenberg group since $1 / p+1 / k=1 / 2$.

From the above arguments, the construction of fundamental domains for $\Gamma(3, k)$ can be implemented for all Mostow groups of the second type. Analogous to the case $G_{2}=\Gamma(3,6)$, the limiting configuration of fundamental domains for $\Gamma(4, k)$ and $\Gamma(6, k)$ turns out to be two of Mostow groups of the first type. In that case, $T$ becomes to be a parabolic element. The presentation may be obtained by removing the relation $T^{l}=1$. This gives a new approach to construct the fundamental domains for some of Mostow groups of the first type.

Chapter 6
Cohomology with local coefficients

In this chapter, we compute the cohomology of the Eisenstein-Picard modular surface and its sister with local coefficients. Our results follow the work by Yasaki [Yas08], where he constructed explicitly a spine for $S U(2,1 ; \mathbb{Z}[i])$ and used it to compute the cohomology for $S U(2,1 ; \mathbb{Z}[i])$.

### 6.1 Algorithm to compute the cohomology

This section recalls Dan Yasaki's work Yas08, which describes - from the theoretical viewpoint - an algorithm to compute the cohomology of arithmetic groups as well as the quotient orbifolds.

### 6.1.1 Spines

Let $G$ be a connected semisimple Lie group with finite center, and $K$ a maximal compact subgroup of $G$. Then $X=G / K$ is a Riemannian symmetric space of noncompact type. Let $\Gamma$ be an arithmetic subgroup of $G$, and $\Gamma \backslash X$ be the corresponding locally symmetric space. The special case of our interest is when $G$ is the Lie group $S U(2,1)$ and $\Gamma$ is a lattice. In that case, the associated symmetric space $X$ will be the complex hyperbolic plane $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$.

Definition 6.1.1. The virtual cohomological dimension (vcd) of $\Gamma$ is the highest dimension of a space $X^{\prime}$ such that there exists a subgroup $\Gamma^{\prime} \subset \Gamma$ of index finite, such that $\Gamma^{\prime}$ acts freely on $X^{\prime}$ and $\Gamma^{\prime} \backslash X^{\prime}$ is compact.

Borel and Serre BS73 showed that the discrepancy between the dimension of $X$ and $\operatorname{vcd}(\Gamma)$ is given by the $\mathbb{Q}$-rank of $\Gamma$, the dimension of a maximal $\mathbb{Q}$-split torus in $\Gamma$. In our case, $X$ is 4 -dimensional, and $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Gamma)=1$, then

$$
\operatorname{vcd}(\Gamma)=\operatorname{dim}(X)-\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Gamma)=3 .
$$

This allows us to find a 3 -dimensional $\Gamma$-equivariant deformation retract $X_{0} \subset X$.
Definition 6.1.2. A spine is a $\Gamma$-equivariant deformation retract $X_{0} \subset X$ of dimension equal to the virtual cohomological dimension such that $\Gamma \backslash X_{0}$ is compact.

Remark 6.1.3. A spine, in the previous chapters, is a geodesic in $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ that determines a bisector. However, a spine in this chapter has a different sense that is a 3 -dimensional subspace of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$.

For the general case of $\mathbb{Q}$-rank 1 groups, Yasaki showed in Yas06 the existence of spines. More precisely, a $\Gamma$-equivariant deformation retract of $X$ was constructed by a family of exhaustion functions. Such exhaustion functions, from a geometric point of view, can be thought of as a measure of height with respect to a cusp or a rational parabolic subgroup. Recall that Busemann functions, in the same sense, can be also regarded as a distance of points between in the interior and on the boundary and its level set is a measure of height, called a horosphere. One can show that Busemann functions are a negative logarithm of these exhaustion functions, see Example 6.1.4 for the simple case.

Example 6.1.4. For $G=S L_{2}(\mathbb{R}), \Gamma=S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ and the associated symmetric space is Poincaré's upper half-plane $\mathfrak{H}$. Let $z=x+i y \in \mathfrak{H}$ and $\lambda=p / q \in \mathbb{Q}$ in reduced form be a cusp.

- Exhaustion functions are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{\infty}(z) & =y, \\
f_{\lambda}(z) & =\frac{y}{(p-x q)^{2}+q^{2} y^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

- Consider a unit-speed geodesic $i e^{t}$ which converges to $\infty$, Busmann function with respect to $\infty$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{\infty}(z) & =d\left(z, i e^{t}\right)-t \\
& =\cosh ^{-1}\left(1+\frac{x^{2}+\left(y-e^{t}\right)^{2}}{2 y e^{t}}\right)-t \\
& =\log \left(e^{t} / y\right)-t \\
& =-\log y .
\end{aligned}
$$

In general, Busmann function with respect to $\lambda$ is given by

$$
h_{\lambda}(z)=h_{\gamma} \cdot \infty(z)=\Im\left(\gamma^{-1} \cdot z\right)=-\log \left[\frac{y}{(p-q x)^{2}+q^{2} y^{2}}\right]
$$

where $\gamma$ has the matrix form as

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p & * \\
q & *
\end{array}\right) \in S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z}) .
$$

In what follows, we will use Busemann functions as defined in Section 2.2.1 to describe a spine for $\Gamma \subset S U(2,1)$, that is a special case of $\mathbb{Q}$-rank 1 groups. Let $z=(\zeta, v, u) \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ be a point in horospherical coordinates and $\theta=\left(\zeta_{0}, v_{0}, 0\right)$ be a cusp. Busmann functions are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{\infty}(z) & =-\log u \\
h_{\theta}(z) & =-\log \left[\frac{4 u}{\left(|\zeta|^{2}+\left|\zeta_{0}\right|^{2}+u-2 \Re\left(\bar{\zeta}_{0} \zeta\right)\right)^{2}+\left(v-v_{0}+2 \Im\left(\bar{\zeta}_{0} \zeta\right)\right)^{2}}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to define the spine, we need the following notions:

- Denote by $\mathcal{P}$ the set of fixed points by all parabolic subgroups of $\Gamma$.
- For $p \in \mathcal{P}$, we define

$$
X_{p}=\left\{z \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} \mid h_{p}(z) \leq h_{q}(z) \text { for every } q \in \mathcal{P} \backslash\{p\}\right\} .
$$

- In general, for a subset $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{P}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
B(\mathcal{J}) & =\left\{z \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} \mid h_{p}(z)=h_{q}(z) \text { for every pair } p, q \in \mathcal{J}\right\}, \\
X(\mathcal{J}) & =\bigcap_{p \in \mathcal{J}} X_{p}, \\
X^{\prime}(\mathcal{J}) & =X(\mathcal{J}) \backslash \bigcup_{\mathcal{J} \supsetneq \mathcal{J}} X\left(\mathcal{J}^{\prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We call the set $X^{\prime}(\mathcal{J})$ a degenerate tile.


Figure 6.1: $\quad$ Spine for $S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$.

Remark 6.1.5. - When $\mathcal{P}$ contains only a conjugacy class of parabolic fixed points, then $X_{p}$ reduces to the Ford domain with respect to $p$.

- When $\mathcal{J}=\{p, q\}$ is of order 2 , then $X(\mathcal{J})=B(\mathcal{J})$ is the bisector between $p$ and $q$. In this case, we can think of it by using Busemann function instead of Bergman metric in (2.1).

Definition 6.1.6. A subset $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{P}$ is called admissible if $X(\mathcal{J})$ is non-empty, and strongly admissible if $X^{\prime}(\mathcal{J})$ is non-empty.

Proposition 6.1.7. Yas06 Let $\mathscr{S}$ denote the collection of strongly admissible subsets of $\mathcal{P}$. Then the symmetric space $X$ has a $\Gamma$-invariant degenerate tiling

$$
X=\coprod_{\mathcal{J} \in \mathscr{S}} X^{\prime}(\mathcal{J})
$$

such that $\gamma \cdot X^{\prime}(\mathcal{J})=X^{\prime}(\gamma \cdot \mathcal{J})$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $\mathcal{J} \in \mathscr{S}$.
The following definition enables us to give a spine for $\Gamma$, which is proved in Yas06] as the main result.

Definition 6.1.8. ([Yas06]) We define a $\Gamma$-invariant subset $X_{0} \subset X$ by

$$
X_{0}=\coprod_{\substack{\mathcal{J} \in \mathscr{S} \\|\mathcal{J}|>1}} X^{\prime}(\mathcal{J}) .
$$

Note that $X_{0}=X \backslash \bigcup_{p \in \mathcal{P}} X^{\prime}(\{p\})$. In the special case of one cusp (only one conjugacy class of parabolic fixed points), the spine has a simple description which is the union of $\Gamma$-images of the boundary of the Ford domain. For example, for $G=S L_{2}(\mathbb{R}), \Gamma=S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$, a spine is the familiar infinite trivalent tree in upper half plane (Figure 6.1).

### 6.1.2 Connection with fundamental domain

As shown in Yas06], the construction of the spine also gives a way to construct an exact fundamental domain for $\Gamma$. We investigate, on the contrary, the spine coming from the fundamental domain of $\Gamma$.

Example 6.1.9. For $G=S L_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\Gamma=S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ is a lattice of $G$ acting on the upper half plane $\mathfrak{H}$. Then a fundamental domain for $\Gamma$ is a familiar ideal geodesic triangle at the vertices $\infty, e^{i \pi / 3}, e^{i 2 \pi / 3}$ with the side pairing maps

$$
z \rightarrow-\frac{1}{z}, \quad z \rightarrow z+1
$$

We define

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{1} & =\left\{e^{i t}: \pi / 3 \leq t \leq 2 \pi / 3\right\} \\
a_{\frac{1}{2}} & =\left\{e^{i t}: \pi / 2 \leq t \leq 2 \pi / 3\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is immediately that $a_{\frac{1}{2}} \cong a_{1} /\left\langle z \rightarrow-\frac{1}{z}\right\rangle$ and $a_{1}$ can be regarded as the vertical geodesic retraction of fundamental domain. Obviously, the spine for $S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ is the union of the $\Gamma$-images of $a_{\frac{1}{2}}$, and the arc $a_{\frac{1}{2}}$ is a fundamental domain for $\Gamma$ in the spine.

Motivated by the example of $S L_{2}(\mathbb{R})$, it allows us to give the following lemma.
Lemma 6.1.10. Let $\Gamma$ be a lattice of $S U(2,1)$ with class number one. Suppose that a fundamental domain $D$ of $\Gamma$ is a geodesic cone based on connected compact set $D_{0}$, then a spine for $\Gamma$ comes from the union of the $\Gamma$-images of $D_{0}$. In particular, a fundamental domain for $\Gamma$ in the spine is contained in $D_{0}$.

Proof. In the Siegel domain model of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$, we define $A_{D_{0}}$ to be the vertical geodesic projection along the $u$-coordinate onto $D_{0}$ in $\bar{D}$. Denote by

$$
X_{0}=\bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma \cdot D_{0}
$$

It suffices to show $X_{0}$ is a $\Gamma$-equivariant deformation retract of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$.
For $z \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$, there exist a point $z_{D} \in \bar{D}$ and $\gamma_{z} \in \Gamma$ such that $\gamma_{z} \cdot z_{D}=z$. Define a family of maps $g_{t}: \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ by

$$
g_{t}(z)=\gamma_{z} \cdot\left[(1-t) z_{D}+t A_{D_{0}} \circ z_{D}\right]
$$

It is clear that $g_{0}=i d$ and $g_{1}\left(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}\right) \subset X_{0}$. For any $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $z^{\prime}=\gamma \cdot z$, then $\gamma_{z^{\prime}}=\gamma \cdot \gamma_{z}$. It follows that

$$
\gamma \cdot g_{t}(z)=g_{t}(\gamma \cdot z)
$$

This $g_{t}$ gives a $\Gamma$-equivariant deformation retract of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ onto $X_{0}$.
Remark 6.1.11. For $\Gamma=S U(2,1 ; \mathbb{Z}[i])$, a fundamental domain of $\Gamma$ constructed in FFFP10 consists of three compact sides (the core side and two pyramids) with the side pairings $I_{0}$ and $S$. Lemma 6.1.10 enables us to give a fundamental domain for $\Gamma$ in the spine, which is made up of two 3-cells - a pyramid and half of the core side. This is similar to Yasaki's constructions of two conjugacy classes $X, Y$ of 3-cells Yas08. For more details about these two constructions, we refer to the end of Section 8 in [FFP10].

## Eisenstein-Picard modular surface and its sister

For the time being, we recall that the Eisenstein-Picard modular group and its sister are denoted by $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ respectively as in Par98.


Figure 6.2: A fundamental domain for $\Gamma$ in the spine.

- For $\Gamma=G_{1}$.

Falbel and Parker [FP06] constructed a fundamental domain for the action of $G_{1}$ on $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$, which is a 4 -simplex with one ideal vertex. Following their notations, $G_{1}$ is generated by the side pairing maps $R, P$ and $Q$, where $\omega=(-1+i \sqrt{3}) / 2$ is a cube root of unity and

$$
R=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right], P=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & \omega \\
0 & 1 & -\omega \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right], Q=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & \omega \\
0 & -1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Lemma 6.1.10 enables us to give a fundamental domain for $G_{1}$ in the spine, which is a tetrahedron (see Figure 6.2(a)). The action on the vertices is given by

$$
\begin{gathered}
p_{1} \xrightarrow{P} p_{2} \xrightarrow{P} p_{3}, \\
\left(p_{0}, p_{1}, p_{3}\right) \xrightarrow{Q P^{-1}}\left(p_{0}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right), \\
\left(p_{0}, p_{1}, p_{2}\right) \xrightarrow{R}\left(p_{0}, p_{1}, p_{2}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

- For $\Gamma=G_{2}$.

A fundamental domain of $G_{2}$ constructed in Chapter 3 is a geodesic cone to infinity over a prism. This prism consists of two compact sides with side pairing map $I_{1}$. Recall that $G_{2}$ is generated by $R, S, T$ and $I_{1}$, refer to the matrices in Section 3.2. (Here the capital $R$ is not the same representative matrix as the one of $G_{1}$ ).
One compact side, which is a wedge (see Figure 6.2(b)), gives a fundamental domain for $G_{2}$ in the spine by Lemma 6.1.10. We repeat the action of side pairing maps on the vertices:

$$
\begin{gathered}
p_{2} \xrightarrow{S^{-1} I_{1}} p_{3} \xrightarrow{I_{1}^{-1} S} p_{4}, \\
\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{4}, p_{5}\right) \xrightarrow{I_{1}}\left(p_{5}, p_{4}, p_{3}, p_{1}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

TABLE 6.1: Representative cells and their stabilizers for $G_{1}$

| Cell | Dimension | Stabilizer | Generators |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{T}$ | 3 | trivial | $\langle i d\rangle$ |
| $\triangle_{012}$ | 2 | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\langle R\rangle$ |
| $\triangle_{013}$ | 2 | trivial | $\langle i d\rangle$ |
| $\triangle_{123}$ | 2 | trivial | $\langle i d\rangle$ |
| $e_{03}$ | 1 | $\mathbb{Z} / 6 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\left\langle P Q^{-1}\right\rangle$ |
| $e_{01}$ | 1 | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\langle R\rangle$ |
| $e_{12}$ | 1 | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\langle R\rangle$ |
| $p_{0}$ | 0 | $\mathbb{Z} / 6 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\left\langle P Q^{-1}, R\right\rangle$ |
| $p_{1}$ | 0 | Group $\sharp 72$ | $\left\langle P Q^{-1} P, R\right\rangle$ |

TABLE 6.2: Representative cells and their stabilizers for $G_{2}$

| Cell | Dimension | Stabilizer | Generators |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{W}$ | 3 | trivial | $\langle i d\rangle$ |
| $\square_{1245}$ | 2 | trivial | $\langle i d\rangle$ |
| $\triangle_{123}$ | 2 | trivial | $\langle i d\rangle$ |
| $\triangle_{234}$ | 2 | $\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\left\langle S^{-1} I_{1}\right\rangle$ |
| $e_{15}$ | 1 | $\mathbb{Z} / 6 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\langle R\rangle$ |
| $e_{12}$ | 1 | $\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\left\langle T^{-1} I_{1}\right\rangle$ |
| $e_{23}$ | 1 | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\left\langle T^{-1} I_{1}\right\rangle$ |
| $p_{1}$ | 0 | $\mathbb{Z} / 6 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\left\langle R, T^{-1} I_{1}\right\rangle$ |
| $p_{2}$ | 0 | Group $\sharp 24$ | $\left\langle R^{-1} S, T^{-1} I_{1}\right\rangle$ |

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(p_{5}, p_{1}, p_{2}\right) \xrightarrow{R}\left(p_{5}, p_{1}, p_{3}\right), \\
\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right) \xrightarrow{T}\left(p_{5}, p_{4}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Notation. - Let $\mathbf{T}$ denote a tetrahedron with vertices $p_{0}, p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}$ and $\mathbf{W}$ denote a wedge with vertices $p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{4}, p_{5}$; see Figure 6.2 .

- Let $\square_{i j k l}$ denote a quadrangle where the label is corresponding to its vertices.
- Let $\triangle_{i j k}$ denote a triangle where the label is corresponding to its vertices.
- Let $e_{i j}$ denote an edge with endpoints $p_{i}$ and $p_{j}$.

For $i=0,1,2,3$, we consider all the $\Gamma$-conjugacy classes of $i$-dimensional cells of $X_{0}$. For each $\Gamma$-conjugacy class, we fix a representative and compute the stabilizer. The results are given in Tables 6.1 and 6.2 .

### 6.1.3 Cohomology computation from the cell structure

In the previous section, we obtain the cell structure of fundamental domain for $\Gamma$ in the spine, which allows us to compute the cohomology of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} / \Gamma$ with local coefficients. The main reference for this section is Yas08.

## Orbifolds

We briefly review the basic definitions concerning orbifolds, or $V$-manifolds in the terminology of Satake (see Sat56, Sat57]). Let $\Omega$ be a Hausdorff topological space.

- An orbifold chart on $\Omega$ is given by a connected open subset $\widetilde{U} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ for some integer $n \geq 0$, a finite group $\Gamma_{\widetilde{U}}$ of $C^{\infty}$-automorphisms of $\widetilde{U}$, and a $\operatorname{map} \varphi: \widetilde{U} \rightarrow M$ such that $\varphi$ is $\Gamma_{\widetilde{U}}$-invariant $\left(\varphi \circ g=\varphi\right.$ for all $\left.g \in \Gamma_{\widetilde{U}}\right)$ which induces a homeomorphism of $\widetilde{U} / \Gamma_{\widetilde{U}}$ onto a open subset $U=\varphi(\widetilde{U}) \subseteq M$;
- An embedding $\lambda:\left(\widetilde{U}, \Gamma_{\widetilde{U}}, \varphi\right) \hookrightarrow\left(\widetilde{V}, \Gamma_{\widetilde{V}}, \psi\right)$ between two charts is a smooth embedding $\lambda: \widetilde{U} \hookrightarrow \widetilde{V}$ such that for any $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\widetilde{U}}$, there exists a $\gamma^{\prime} \in \Gamma_{\widetilde{V}}$ such that $\lambda \circ \gamma=\gamma^{\prime} \circ \lambda$ and $\psi \circ \lambda=\varphi$;
- An orbifold atlas on $\Omega$ is a family $\mathcal{U}=\left\{\left(\widetilde{U}, \Gamma_{\widetilde{U}}, \varphi\right)\right\}$ of such charts, which cover $\Omega$ and are locally compatible in the following sense: given any two charts $\left(\widetilde{U}, \Gamma_{\widetilde{U}}, \varphi\right)$ for $U=\varphi(\widetilde{U}) \subseteq M$ and $\left(\tilde{V}, \Gamma_{\widetilde{V}}, \psi\right)$ for $V=\varphi(\tilde{V}) \subseteq M$, and a point $x=U \cap V$, there exists an open neighborhood $W \subseteq U \cap V$ of $x$ and a chart $\left(\widetilde{W}, \Gamma_{\widetilde{W}}, \phi\right)$ for $W$ such that there are embeddings $\left(\widetilde{W}, \Gamma_{\widetilde{W}}, \phi\right) \hookrightarrow\left(\widetilde{U}, \Gamma_{\widetilde{U}}, \varphi\right)$ and $\left(\widetilde{W}, \Gamma_{\widetilde{W}}, \phi\right) \hookrightarrow\left(\widetilde{V}, \Gamma_{\widetilde{V}}, \psi\right)$.

Definition 6.1.12. An orbifold (of dimension $n$ ) is such a space $\Omega$ with an equivalence class of atlases $\mathcal{U}$. Two such atlases are said to be equivalent if they have a common refinement.

## Local system over $\Gamma \backslash X$

Since $\Gamma$ acts properly discontinuously on $X, \Gamma \backslash X$ has a canonical structure of an orbifold. Let $\pi$ denote the projection $X \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash X$. Since $X_{0}$ is a spine, there exists a $\Gamma$-equivariant deformation retract $g: X \rightarrow X_{0}$, which induces a deformation retract $\tilde{g}: \Gamma \backslash X \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash X_{0}$. Then it is naturally that a projection $\pi_{0}=\left.\pi\right|_{X_{0}}: X_{0} \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash X_{0}$.

Let $E$ be a $\Gamma$-module with $\Gamma$-action given by $\rho: \Gamma \rightarrow G L(E)$. We define the $\Gamma$ equivariant presheaf $\mathcal{E}$ on $\Gamma \backslash X$. For every open set $U \subset M$,

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\Gamma}(U)=\left\{f: \pi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow E \mid f(\gamma \cdot x)=\rho(\gamma) f(x), \forall \gamma \in \Gamma, x \in \pi^{-1}(U)\right\}
$$

Let $\mathbb{E}$ denote the sheafification of $\mathcal{E}_{\Gamma}$. We call $\mathbb{E}$ the sheaf associated to the local system on $\Gamma \backslash X$ defined by $(E, \rho)$. We will extend this terminology to $\Gamma \backslash X_{0}$, where the sheaf associated to the local system defined by $(E, \rho)$ is denoted by $\mathbb{E}_{0}$.

Considier a family of open sets $\mathcal{U}=\left\{U_{i}\right\}$ in an orbifold atlas on $\Gamma \backslash X$, we define the cohomology of $\Gamma \backslash X$ with local coefficients as follows.

- $C^{0}(\mathcal{U} ; \mathbb{E})=\left\{\alpha^{0}\left|\alpha_{i}^{0}=\alpha^{0}\right|_{U_{i}} \in \mathcal{E}_{\Gamma}\left(U_{i}\right)\right\}$
- $C^{q}(\mathcal{U} ; \mathbb{E})=\left\{\alpha^{q}\left|\alpha_{i_{0} i_{1} \cdots i_{q}}^{q}=\alpha^{0}\right|_{U_{i_{0}} \cap U_{i_{1}} \cdots \cap U_{i_{q}}} \in \mathcal{E}_{\Gamma}\left(U_{i_{0}} \cap U_{i_{1}} \cdots \cap U_{i_{q}}\right)\right\}$
- The differential operator $d$ is defined by

$$
d \cdot \alpha^{q}\left(U_{i_{0}} \cap U_{i_{1}} \cdots \cap U_{i_{q}} \cap U_{i_{q+1}}\right)=(-1)^{j} \sum_{j=0}^{q+1} \alpha_{i_{0} i_{1} \cdots \hat{i}_{j} \cdots i_{q+1}}^{q}
$$

Then the sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow C^{0}(\mathcal{U} ; \mathbb{E}) \xrightarrow{d} C^{1}(\mathcal{U} ; \mathbb{E}) \xrightarrow{d} C^{2}(\mathcal{U} ; \mathbb{E}) \xrightarrow{d} \cdots
$$

is exact and the cohomology of $\Gamma \backslash X$ is defined by

$$
H^{*}(\Gamma \backslash X ; \mathbb{E})=\frac{\operatorname{Ker}(d)}{\operatorname{Im}(d)}
$$

To this end, we recall without proof a result which compares the cohomology of the whole space and its deformation retract (also see a proof in Yas08).
Theorem 6.1.13. Yas08] $H^{*}(\Gamma \backslash X ; \mathbb{E}) \cong H^{*}\left(\Gamma \backslash X_{0} ; \mathbb{E}_{0}\right)$.
This theorem enables us to compute the cohomology of $\Gamma \backslash X$ from the structure of its spine.

## Cohomology of regular cell complex

Definition 6.1.14. A finite regular cell complex is a finite $C W$ complex where the attaching map from each closed cell into the complex is a homeomorphism onto its image.

Definition 6.1.15. For a finite regular cell complex $C$, the face poset of $C$ is the set of closed cells with the partial ordering derived from containment. Given a face poset $(P,<)$, the order complex of $P$ is the simplicial complex whose vertices are the elements of $P$ and the $k$-simplices of $P$ are $(k+1)$-flags $p_{0}<p_{1}<\cdots<p_{k}$ with $p_{i} \in P$.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ denote the order complex of the face poset of $X_{0}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{k}$ denote the set of $k$-simplices of $\mathcal{F}$. We can take, up to normalization, a $\Gamma$-equivariant homeomorphism $\Phi: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow X_{0}$ satisfying

- $\Phi(\gamma \cdot x)=\gamma \cdot \Phi(x)$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $x \in \mathcal{F}$.
- $\Phi\left(\sigma_{0}<\sigma_{1}<\cdots<\sigma_{k}\right) \subset \sigma_{k}$ for all $\sigma_{0}<\sigma_{1}<\cdots<\sigma_{k}$ ordered simplices of $\mathcal{F}$.

Let $\mathfrak{U}_{\mathcal{F}}$ denote the open cover of $\mathcal{F}$ consisting of open star neighborhoods of each vertex in $\mathcal{F}$. Using the $\Gamma$-equivariant homeomorphism $\Phi$, we get an open cover $\mathfrak{U}_{0}=\Phi\left(\mathfrak{U}_{\mathcal{F}}\right)$ of $X_{0}$ so that there is an open set $U_{\sigma} \in \mathfrak{U}_{0}$ for each cell $\sigma$. For each $p$, denote $\mathcal{R}_{p}$ a set of representatives of $\Gamma$-conjugacy classes of $p$-cells of $X_{0}$. Let $[\sigma]$ denote the representative of the conjugacy class of $\sigma$.

Čech cohomology $\check{H}^{*}\left(\mathfrak{U}_{0} ; \mathbb{E}_{0}\right)$ is defined by the cochain complex and codifferential as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
C^{0}\left(\mathfrak{U}_{0} ; \mathbb{E}_{0}\right) & =\bigoplus_{[\sigma] \in \mathcal{R}_{0}} \mathbb{E}_{0}\left(U_{[\sigma]}\right) \\
& =\bigoplus_{[\sigma] \in \mathcal{R}_{0}}\left\{f: \pi_{0}^{-1}\left(U_{[\sigma]}\right) \rightarrow E \mid f(\gamma \cdot x)=\rho(\gamma) f(x), \forall \gamma \in \Gamma, x \in \pi_{0}^{-1}\left(U_{[\sigma]}\right)\right\} \\
& =\left\{f: \mathcal{F}^{0} \rightarrow E \mid f(\gamma \cdot F)=\rho(\gamma) f(F), \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma, F \in \mathcal{F}^{0}\right\} . \\
& C^{k}\left(\mathfrak{U}_{0} ; \mathbb{E}_{0}\right)=\left\{f: \mathcal{F}^{k} \rightarrow E \mid f(\gamma \cdot F)=\rho(\gamma) f(F), \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma, F \in \mathcal{F}^{k}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $f \in C^{k}\left(\mathfrak{U}_{0} ; \mathbb{E}_{0}\right)$, $d f \in C^{k+1}\left(\mathfrak{U}_{0} ; \mathbb{E}_{0}\right)$ is given by

$$
d f\left(\sigma_{0}<\cdots<\sigma_{k+1}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{k+1}(-1)^{i} f\left(\sigma_{0}<\cdots<\hat{\sigma}_{i}<\cdots<\sigma_{k+1}\right) .
$$

Proposition 6.1.16. Yas05] Let $\mathbb{E}_{0}$ be the sheaf associated to the local system on $\Gamma \backslash X_{0}$ defined by $(E, \rho)$ and $\mathfrak{U}_{0}$ be defined as above. Then $H^{*}\left(\Gamma \backslash X_{0} ; \mathbb{E}_{0}\right)=\check{H}^{*}\left(\mathfrak{U}_{0} ; \mathbb{E}_{0}\right)$.

Proposition 6.1 .16 gives the computation of the cohomology of $\Gamma \backslash X_{0}$ with local coefficients from the Cech cohomology for the cover $\mathfrak{U}_{0}$. An implementation of method for computing the cohomology is given in Theorem 6.1.18. It is useful to introduce the following notions for computation.

We define Top : $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow X_{0}$ to be the map which sends a flag $\sigma_{0}<\cdots<\sigma_{k}$ to $\sigma_{k}$. For a cell $\sigma \in X_{0}$, let $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma}=\operatorname{Top}^{-1}(\sigma)$, which is the set of maximal flags terminating at $\sigma$. For each representative $[\sigma]$, we fix a distinguished maximal flag of cells $F_{[\sigma]}=\sigma_{0}<\sigma_{1}<\cdots<$ $\sigma_{p}$ where $\sigma_{k}$ is an $k$-cell and $\sigma_{p}=[\sigma]$. For each $\sigma \in X_{0}$, there exists a unique simplex $F_{\sigma}$ that is $\Gamma$-conjugate to $F_{[\sigma]}$. In other words, there is a unique element $\gamma_{\sigma} \in \Gamma$, up to $\operatorname{Stab}_{\Gamma}(\sigma)$, such that

$$
\gamma_{\sigma} \cdot F_{[\sigma]}=F_{\sigma} .
$$

For each fixed $p$-cell, let $S_{\sigma}$ denote the simplicial complex arising from the face poset of cells in $\sigma$. More precisely, the vertices of $S_{\sigma}$ are the cells contained in $\sigma$ and the $k$-simplices are the ( $k+1$ )-flags $\sigma_{0}<\sigma_{1}<\cdots<\sigma_{k}$.

Proposition 6.1.17. Yas05] Let $\sigma$ be a p-cell and $S_{\sigma}$ the corresponding complex defined above. Choose an orientation of $S_{\sigma}$. Then there exists a map

$$
n_{\sigma}:\left\{p \text {-simplices of } S_{\sigma}\right\} \rightarrow\{ \pm 1\}
$$

such that $\partial S_{\sigma}=\sum_{F \in S_{\sigma}^{p}} n_{\sigma}(F) \partial F$. Furthermore, if $F$ and $H$ intersect in a $(p-1)$-face, then $n_{\sigma}(F)=-n_{\sigma}(H)$.

After fixing the distinguished maximal flag $\left\{F_{[\sigma]}\right\}$ for each $p$-cell $\sigma \in \mathcal{R}_{p}$, we define a function $\operatorname{sgn}_{\sigma}: \partial \sigma \rightarrow\{ \pm 1\}$. Choose the orientation of $S_{\sigma}$ so that the map $n_{\sigma}\left(F_{[\sigma]}\right)=$ $(-1)^{p}$. Then for $\tau \in \partial \sigma$, we define

$$
\operatorname{sgn}_{\sigma}(\tau)=n_{\sigma}\left(F_{\tau}<\sigma\right)
$$

For convenience, we explain how to determine the $\operatorname{sgn}_{\sigma}$ from an intuitive point of view, see Figure 6.3

We now ready to give the main theorem for computing the cohomology from the cell structure.

Theorem 6.1.18. ([Yas08]) The cohomology $H^{*}\left(\Gamma \backslash X_{0} ; \mathbb{E}_{0}\right)$ can be computed from the complex

$$
0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \mathcal{R}_{0}} E^{\mathrm{Stab}_{\Gamma}(\sigma)} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \mathcal{R}_{1}} E^{\mathrm{Stab}_{\Gamma}(\sigma)} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \mathcal{R}} E^{\mathrm{Stab}_{\Gamma}(\sigma)} \rightarrow 0
$$

where the differential

$$
\delta: \quad \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \mathcal{R}_{p-1}} E^{\operatorname{Stab}_{\Gamma}(\sigma)} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \mathcal{R}_{p}} E^{\operatorname{Stab}_{\Gamma}(\sigma)}
$$

is given by

$$
(\delta v)_{\sigma}=\sum_{\tau \in \partial \sigma} \operatorname{sgn}_{\sigma}(\tau) \rho\left(\gamma_{\tau}\right) v_{[\tau]}
$$

Here $\gamma_{\tau}$, sgn, and $[\cdot]$ defined above and the vector $v_{[\tau]}$ is the $[\tau]$-component of the vector $v \in \underset{\sigma \in \mathcal{R}_{p-1}}{\bigoplus} E^{\operatorname{Stab}_{\Gamma}(\sigma)}$.


Figure 6.3: The simplicial complex $S_{\sigma}$ arising from the cell $\sigma$.

Table 6.3: Distinguished maximal flags

| 3-cells | 2 -cells | 1 -cells | 0 -cells |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{T}>\triangle_{012}>e_{01}>p_{0}$ | $\triangle_{012}>e_{01}>p_{0}$ | $e_{03}>p_{0}$ | $p_{0}$ |
|  | $\triangle_{013}>e_{01}>p_{0}$ | $e_{01}>p_{0}$ | $p_{1}$ |
|  | $\triangle_{123}>e_{12}>p_{1}$ | $e_{12}>p_{1}$ |  |

TABLE 6.4: T Data

| $\sigma \in \partial \mathbf{T}$ | $F_{\sigma}$ | $\gamma_{\sigma}$ | $\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\triangle_{012}$ | $\triangle_{012}>e_{01}>p_{0}$ | $i d$ | -1 |
| $\triangle_{013}$ | $\triangle_{013}>e_{01}>p_{0}$ | $i d$ | +1 |
| $\triangle_{023}$ | $\triangle_{023}>e_{02}>p_{0}$ | $Q P^{-1}$ | -1 |
| $\triangle_{123}$ | $\triangle_{123}>e_{12}>p_{1}$ | $i d$ | +1 |

### 6.2 The cohomology of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} / G_{1}$

As an application of Theorem 6.1.18, we choose the distinguished maximal flags given in Table 6.3. With this choice of distinguished flags, we compute $\gamma_{\sigma}, F_{\sigma}$ and $\operatorname{sgn}_{\sigma}$ for each cell given in Tables 6.4 - 6.7. Combining with Table 6.1, Theorem 6.1.18 enables us to give the following theorem.

Theorem 6.2.1. Let $E$ be a $G_{1}$-module with the action of $G_{1}$ given by $\rho_{1}: G_{1} \rightarrow \operatorname{GL}(E)$. Then $H^{*}\left(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} / G_{1} ; \mathbb{E}\right)$ can be computed from the following cochain complex.

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathscr{C}^{0} \rightarrow \mathscr{C}^{1} \rightarrow \mathscr{C}^{2} \rightarrow \mathscr{C}^{3} \rightarrow 0
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{C}^{0} & =E^{\left\langle\rho_{1}\left(P Q^{-1}\right), \rho_{1}(R)\right\rangle} \bigoplus E^{\left\langle\rho_{1}\left(P Q^{-1} P\right), \rho_{1}(R)\right\rangle}, \\
\mathscr{C}^{1} & =E^{\rho_{1}\left(P Q^{-1}\right)} \bigoplus E^{\rho_{1}(R)} \bigoplus E^{\rho_{1}(R)}, \\
\mathscr{C}^{2} & =E^{\rho_{1}(R)} \bigoplus E \bigoplus E, \\
\mathscr{C}^{3} & =E .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\left(\lambda_{i}\right) \in \mathscr{C}^{0},\left(\mu_{i}\right) \in \mathscr{C}^{1},\left(\nu_{i}\right) \in \mathscr{C}^{2}$, the differentials are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{0}^{(1)}(\lambda) & =\left[\begin{array}{c}
-\lambda_{1}+\rho_{1}\left(P^{2}\right) \lambda_{2} \\
-\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2} \\
\left(\rho_{1}\left(Q P^{-1}\right)-1\right) \lambda_{2}
\end{array}\right], \\
\delta_{1}^{(1)}(\mu) & =\left[\begin{array}{c}
\left(1-\rho_{1}\left(Q P^{-1}\right) \mu_{2}+\mu_{3}\right. \\
-\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}+\rho_{1}\left(P Q^{-1} P\right) \mu_{3} \\
\left(1-\rho_{1}\left(P Q P^{-1}\right)+\rho_{1}(P)\right) \mu_{3}
\end{array}\right], \\
\delta_{2}^{(1)}(\nu) & =\left[-\nu_{1}+\left(1-\rho_{1}\left(Q P^{-1}\right)\right) \nu_{2}+\nu_{3}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider the trivial representation $E=\mathbb{Z}$, then the cohomology of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} / G_{1}$ with $\mathbb{Z}$ coefficients is isomorphic to the singular cohomology of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} / G_{1}$. Theorem 6.2.1 enables us to compute explicitly the cohomology from the chain complex

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0
$$

TABLE 6.5: $\triangle_{012}$ and $\triangle_{013}$ Data

| $\sigma \in \partial \triangle_{012}$ | $F_{\sigma}$ | $\gamma_{\sigma}$ | $\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)$ | $\sigma \in \partial \triangle_{013}$ | $F_{\sigma}$ | $\gamma_{\sigma}$ | $\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $e_{01}$ | $e_{01}>p_{0}$ | $i d$ | +1 | $e_{01}$ | $e_{01}>p_{0}$ | $i d$ | +1 |
| $e_{02}$ | $e_{02}>p_{0}$ | $Q P^{-1}$ | -1 | $e_{03}$ | $e_{03}>p_{0}$ | $i d$ | -1 |
| $e_{12}$ | $e_{12}>p_{1}$ | $i d$ | +1 | $e_{12}$ | $e_{13}>p_{1}$ | $P Q^{-1} P$ | +1 |

TABLE 6.6: $\triangle_{123}$ Data

| $\sigma \in \partial \triangle_{123}$ | $F_{\sigma}$ | $\gamma_{\sigma}$ | $\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $e_{12}$ | $e_{12}>p_{1}$ | $i d$ | +1 |
| $e_{13}$ | $e_{13}>p_{1}$ | $P Q P^{-1}$ | -1 |
| $e_{23}$ | $e_{23}>p_{2}$ | $P$ | +1 |

with the differentials given by

$$
\delta_{0}^{(1)}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 1 \\
-1 & 1 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad \delta_{1}^{(1)}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
-1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right], \quad \delta_{2}^{(1)}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Theorem 6.2.2. Let $\mathbb{Z}$ denote the constant sheaf of integers on $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} / G_{1}$. Then

$$
H^{i}\left(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} / G_{1} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
\mathbb{Z}, & i=0 \\
0, & i \geq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

### 6.3 The cohomology of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} / G_{2}$

Analogue to Theorem 6.2.1, Theorem 6.3.1 follows from all the data for representatives of cells, distinguished flags, $\gamma_{\sigma}, F_{\sigma}$ and $\operatorname{sgn}_{\sigma}$ in Table 6.2 and Tables $6.8-6.12$.

Theorem 6.3.1. Let $E$ be a $G_{2}$-module with the action of $G_{2}$ given by $\rho_{2}: G_{2} \rightarrow \operatorname{GL}(E)$. Then $H^{*}\left(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} / G_{2} ; \mathbb{E}\right)$ can be computed from the following cochain complex.

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathscr{C}^{0} \rightarrow \mathscr{C}^{1} \rightarrow \mathscr{C}^{2} \rightarrow \mathscr{C}^{3} \rightarrow 0
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{C}^{0} & =E^{\left\langle\rho_{2}(R), \rho_{2}\left(T^{-1} I_{1}\right)\right\rangle} \bigoplus E^{\left\langle\rho_{2}\left(R^{-1} S\right), \rho_{2}\left(T^{-1} I_{1}\right)\right\rangle} \\
\mathscr{C}^{1} & =E^{\rho_{2}(R)} \bigoplus E^{\rho_{2}\left(T^{-1} I_{1}\right)} \bigoplus E^{\rho_{2}\left(T^{-1} I_{1}\right)} \\
\mathscr{C}^{2} & =E \bigoplus E \bigoplus E^{\rho_{2}\left(S^{-1} I_{1}\right)} \\
\mathscr{C}^{3} & =E
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 6.7: $e_{03}, e_{01}$ and $e_{12}$ Data

| $\sigma \in \partial e_{03}$ | $\gamma_{\sigma}$ | $\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)$ | $\sigma \in \partial e_{01}$ | $\gamma_{\sigma}$ | $\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)$ | $\sigma \in \partial e_{12}$ | $\gamma_{\sigma}$ | $\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $p_{0}$ | $i d$ | -1 | $p_{0}$ | $i d$ | -1 | $p_{1}$ | $i d$ | -1 |
| $p_{3}$ | $P^{2}$ | +1 | $p_{1}$ | $i d$ | +1 | $p_{2}$ | $Q P^{-1}$ | +1 |

Table 6.8: Distinguished maximal flags

| 3-cells | 2-cells | 1-cells | 0-cells |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{W}>\square_{1245}>e_{12}>p_{1}$ | $\square_{1245}>e_{12}>p_{1}$ | $e_{15}>p_{1}$ | $p_{1}$ |
|  | $\triangle_{123}>e_{12}>p_{1}$ | $e_{12}>p_{1}$ | $p_{2}$ |
|  | $\triangle_{234}>e_{23}>p_{2}$ | $e_{23}>p_{2}$ |  |

Table 6.9: W Data

| $\sigma \in \partial \mathbf{W}$ | $F_{\sigma}$ | $\gamma_{\sigma}$ | $\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\square_{1245}$ | $\square_{1245}>e_{12}>p_{1}$ | $i d$ | -1 |
| $\square_{1345}$ | $\square_{1345}>e_{45}>p_{5}$ | $I_{1}$ | -1 |
| $\triangle_{123}$ | $\triangle_{123}>e_{12}>p_{1}$ | $i d$ | +1 |
| $\triangle_{234}$ | $\triangle_{234}>e_{23}>p_{2}$ | $i d$ | -1 |

Then $\left(\alpha_{i}\right) \in \mathscr{C}^{0},\left(\beta_{i}\right) \in \mathscr{C}^{1},\left(\kappa_{i}\right) \in \mathscr{C}^{2}$, the differentials are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{0}^{(2)}(\alpha) & =\left[\begin{array}{c}
\left(\rho_{2}(T)-1\right) \alpha_{1} \\
-\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2} \\
\left(\rho_{2}\left(S^{-1} I_{1}\right)-1\right) \alpha_{2}
\end{array}\right] \\
\delta_{1}^{(2)}(\beta) & =\left[\begin{array}{c}
-\beta_{1}+\left(1-\rho_{2}(T)\right) \beta_{2}-\rho_{2}\left(I_{1}^{-1} S\right) \beta_{3} \\
\left(1-\rho_{2}(R)\right) \beta_{2}+\beta_{3} \\
\left(1+\rho_{2}\left(S^{-1} I_{1}\right)+\rho_{2}\left(I_{1}^{-1} S\right)\right) \beta_{3}
\end{array}\right], \\
\delta_{2}^{(2)}(\kappa) & =\left[-\left(1+\rho_{2}\left(I_{1}\right)\right) \kappa_{1}+\kappa_{2}-\kappa_{3}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, Theorem 6.3.1 gives us to explicitly compute the cohomology $H^{*}\left(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} / G_{2} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$ from the chain complex

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0
$$

with the differentials given by

$$
\delta_{0}^{(2)}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
-1 & 1 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad \delta_{1}^{(2)}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 3
\end{array}\right], \quad \delta_{2}^{(2)}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
-2 & 1 & -1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Theorem 6.3.2. Let $\mathbb{Z}$ denote the constant sheaf of integers on $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} / G_{2}$. Then

$$
H^{i}\left(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} / G_{2} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)= \begin{cases}\mathbb{Z}, & i=0,2 \\ 0, & i=1 \text { or } i \geq 3\end{cases}
$$

TABLE 6.10: $\square_{1245}$ Data

| $\sigma \in \partial \square_{1245}$ | $F_{\sigma}$ | $\gamma_{\sigma}$ | $\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $e_{12}$ | $e_{12}>p_{1}$ | $i d$ | +1 |
| $e_{24}$ | $e_{24}>p_{4}$ | $I_{1}^{-1} S$ | -1 |
| $e_{45}$ | $e_{45}>p_{5}$ | $T$ | -1 |
| $e_{15}$ | $e_{15}>p_{1}$ | $i d$ | -1 |

TABLE 6.11: $\triangle_{123}$ and $\triangle_{234}$ Data

| $\sigma \in \partial \triangle_{123}$ | $F_{\sigma}$ | $\gamma_{\sigma}$ | $\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)$ | $\sigma \in \partial \triangle_{234}$ | $F_{\sigma}$ | $\gamma_{\sigma}$ | $\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $e_{12}$ | $e_{12}>p_{1}$ | $i d$ | +1 | $e_{23}$ | $e_{23}>p_{2}$ | $i d$ | +1 |
| $e_{23}$ | $e_{23}>p_{2}$ | $i d$ | +1 | $e_{34}$ | $e_{34}>p_{3}$ | $S^{-1} I_{1}$ | +1 |
| $e_{13}$ | $e_{13}>p_{1}$ | $R$ | -1 | $e_{24}$ | $e_{24}>p_{4}$ | $I_{1}^{-1} S$ | +1 |

Table 6.12: $e_{15}, e_{12}$ and $e_{23}$ Data

| $\sigma \in \partial e_{15}$ | $\gamma_{\sigma}$ | $\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)$ | $\sigma \in \partial e_{12}$ | $\gamma_{\sigma}$ | $\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)$ | $\sigma \in \partial e_{23}$ | $\gamma_{\sigma}$ | $\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $p_{1}$ | $i d$ | -1 | $p_{1}$ | $i d$ | -1 | $p_{2}$ | $i d$ | -1 |
| $p_{5}$ | $T$ | +1 | $p_{2}$ | $i d$ | +1 | $p_{3}$ | $S^{-1} I_{1}$ | +1 |

Proof. We only compute the 2-dimensional cohomology $H^{2}\left(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} / G_{2}\right)$. The others are easier. It suffices to show $H^{2}\left(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} / G_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\delta_{2}^{(2)}\right) / \operatorname{Im}\left(\delta_{1}^{(2)}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$. Note that

$$
\operatorname{Ker}\left(\delta_{2}^{(2)}\right)=\left\{\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}, \kappa_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{3} \mid \kappa_{2}=2 \kappa_{1}+\kappa_{3}\right\} \cong\left\{\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Im}\left(\delta_{1}^{(2)}\right)=\left\{\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}, \kappa_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{3} \mid \kappa_{3}=3 \kappa_{2}\right\}=\left\{\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2} \mid 3 \kappa_{1}+\kappa_{3}=0\right\}
$$

Consider a homomorphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{Z}^{2} & \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathbb{Z} \\
\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{3}\right) & \longrightarrow 3 \kappa_{1}+\kappa_{3},
\end{aligned}
$$

then $\phi$ is surjective implies that

$$
\operatorname{Ker}\left(\delta_{2}^{(2)}\right) / \operatorname{Im}\left(\delta_{1}^{(2)}\right)=\mathbb{Z}^{2} / \operatorname{Ker}(\phi) \cong \mathbb{Z}
$$
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