Hilbert schemes of points on singular surfaces: combinatorics, geometry, and representation theory

Balázs Szendrői, University of Oxford

LAGOON e-Seminar, 4 June 2020





A: a finitely generated commutative unital  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra.

 $X = \operatorname{Spec}(A)$ : the algebraic variety over  $\mathbb{C}$  whose ring of functions is A.

The *n*-th Hilbert scheme of points of X parametrizes the set of codimension n ideals  $I \triangleleft A$ :

$$\operatorname{Hilb}^{n}(X) = \{ I \triangleleft A \colon \dim_{\mathbb{C}} A/I = n \}.$$

Grothendieck: this set carries the structure of a quasiprojective algebraic scheme over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

**Geometric interpretation** For  $I \in Hilb^n(X)$ , we get a surjection

 $A \twoheadrightarrow A/I$ 

defining a subscheme (subvariety)

$$Z = \operatorname{Spec}(A/I) \subset X = \operatorname{Spec} A$$

of finite length n. So we can think of Hilb<sup>n</sup>(X) as parametrizing **finite length subschemes** of the geometric space X = Spec A.

**Construction** Choosing  $P_1, \ldots, P_n$  distinct points in X, we can let  $Z = \bigcup P_i$  and

$$I = I_Z = \{ f \in A \colon f(P_i) = 0 \} \triangleleft A.$$

Then

$$I_Z \in \operatorname{Hilb}^n(X).$$

This construction however does not give all codimension n ideals.

# Hilbert schemes of points: affine case, example

**Example**  $A = \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ , corresponding to the affine plane  $X = \text{Spec}(A) = \mathbb{C}^2$ .

- $\langle 1 \rangle \in \text{Hilb}^0(X)$  corresponds to the empty subscheme.
- $\langle x, y \rangle \in \operatorname{Hilb}^1(X)$  corresponds to the origin in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ .
- $\langle x \alpha, y \beta \rangle \in \text{Hilb}^1(X)$  for  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$  corresponds to  $P = (\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ . Indeed

$$\operatorname{Hilb}^1(X) \cong X.$$

- $\langle x^2 1, y \rangle = \langle x + 1, y \rangle \cap \langle x 1, y \rangle \in \text{Hilb}^2(X)$  corresponds to the pair of points  $Z = (1, 0) \cup (-1, 0)$  in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ .
- ⟨x<sup>2</sup>, y⟩ ∈ Hilb<sup>2</sup>(X) gives a length-two fat subscheme supported at the origin;

$$A/I = \mathbb{C}[x, y]/\langle x^2, y \rangle = \mathbb{C}[x]/\langle x^2 \rangle$$

is an Artinian ring with nilpotent elements.

•  $\langle x^2, xy, y^2 \rangle \in \text{Hilb}^3(X)$  gives a length-three fat subscheme at the origin.

Let X be a general quasiprojective algebraic variety. We can then define

 $\operatorname{Hilb}^{n}(X) = \{ Z \subset X \text{ a subscheme of length } n \}.$ 

Once again, a collection of n distinct points of X gives  $Z = \bigcup P_i \in \operatorname{Hilb}^n(X)$ . The Hilbert scheme parametrizes, in a geometric way, collisions between points of X.

Indeed, a subscheme  $Z \subset X$  of length n has **support**  $\text{Supp}(Z) \subset X$ , a set of unordered points in X together with multiplicities summing to n. This gives rise to the **Hilbert–Chow morphism** 

$$\phi_{\mathrm{HC}} \colon \mathrm{Hilb}^n(X) \to S^n(X)$$

to the *n*-th symmetric product of X

$$S^n(X) = \overbrace{X \times \ldots \times X}^n / \mathfrak{S}_n$$

where  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  is the symmetric group.

# Geometry and topology of Hilbert schemes of points

The Hilbert scheme has its own geometry over  $\mathbb{C}$ , and hence topology. Its topology is a combination of

- the global topology of the space X, and
- the local topology of Hilbert schemes of local  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ .

For this talk, one object of interest is the generating function

$$Z_X(q) = 1 + \sum_{n \ge 1} \chi_{top}(\operatorname{Hilb}^n(X)) q^n$$

We are also interested in geometric questions such as

- when is  $\operatorname{Hilb}^n(X)$  nonsingular;
- when is  $\operatorname{Hilb}^n(X)$  irreducible?

Let first X = C be a smooth connected algebraic curve over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then the Hilbert–Chow morphism is an isomorphism:

$$\phi_{\mathrm{HC}}$$
:  $\mathrm{Hilb}^n(C) \cong S^n(C).$ 

Slogan: "in one dimension, there is only one way for points to collide". This in particular shows that  $\operatorname{Hilb}^n(C)$  is irreducible and nonsingular. **Theorem** (MacDonald)

$$Z_C(q) = (1-q)^{-\chi_{top}(C)}.$$

**Example** If  $C = \mathbb{A}^1$ , then  $\operatorname{Hilb}^n(C) = \mathbb{A}^n$  ("Newton's theorem on symmetric functions"), and so

$$Z_{\mathbb{A}^1}(q) = 1 + q + q^2 + \ldots = (1 - q)^{-1}.$$

Let now X be a smooth algebraic surface over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

**Theorem** (Fogarty) The algebraic variety  $\operatorname{Hilb}^n(X)$  is irreducible and nonsingular. The Hilbert–Chow morphism

 $\phi_{\mathrm{HC}}$ :  $\mathrm{Hilb}^n(X) \to S^n(X)$ 

is a resolution of singularities of the symmetric product.

Theorem (Göttsche)

$$Z_X(q) = E(q)^{\chi_{\rm top}(X)}$$

where

$$E(q) = \prod_{m} (1 - q^{m})^{-1}$$

is essentially the Dedekind eta function.

**Remark** In particular, up to a power of q, this is a **modular function** of q.

**Example, continued** Return to  $X = \mathbb{C}^2$ , the affine plane, corresponding to the ring  $A = \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ . Special ideals: **monomial ideals** attached to **partitions**.

**Example** Let  $\lambda = (4, 2, 1)$ , a partition of 7.



We get the monomial ideal

$$I_{\lambda} = \langle x^4, x^2y, xy^2, y^3 \rangle \in \operatorname{Hilb}^7(\mathbb{C}^2).$$

**Example, continued** Return to  $X = \mathbb{C}^2$ , the affine plane, corresponding to the ring  $A = \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ . Special ideals: **monomial ideals** attached to **partitions**.

Using the technique of torus localization, we obtain

$$\chi_{top}(Hilb^n(\mathbb{C}^2)) = \#\{\text{monomial ideals of colength n}\} \\ = \#\{\lambda \text{ a partition of } n\} \\ = p(n)$$

and so

$$Z_{\mathbb{C}^2}(q) = 1 + \sum_{n \ge 1} p(n)q^n = \prod_m (1 - q^m)^{-1}$$

as stated by Göttsche's formula!

Next, let X = C be a **singular** algebraic curve over  $\mathbb{C}$  with a finite number of **planar** singularities  $P_i \in C$ .

The corresponding Hilbert schemes  $\operatorname{Hilb}^n(C)$  are of course singular (already for n = 1) but known to be irreducible.

**Theorem** (conjectured by Oblomkov and Shende, proved by Maulik)

$$Z_C(q) = (1-q)^{-\chi(C)} \prod_{j=1}^k Z^{(P_i,C)}(q)$$

Here each  $Z^{(P_i,C)}(q)$  is a highly nontrivial local term that can be expressed in terms of the HOMFLY polynomial of the embedded link of the singularity  $P_i \in C$ . In joint work with Gyenge and Némethi, followed by further work with Craw, Gammelgaard and Gyenge, we explored the case of **singular algebraic sur-faces**.

As in the curve case, one is only likely to get results for restricted classes of singularities. We study the simplest possible class: **rational double points**.

There are many equivalent characterisations of surface rational double points. The most useful for us will be the following.

**Definition** A surface rational double point  $P \in X$  is a quotient singularity locally analytically of the form

$$P = [(0,0)] \in X = \mathbb{C}^2 / \Gamma$$

for a finite matrix group

 $\Gamma < \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{C}).$ 

**Definition** A surface rational double point  $P \in X$  is a quotient singularity locally of the form  $P = [(0,0)] \in X = \mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$  for a finite group  $\Gamma < SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ . We have

$$A = \mathbb{C}[X] = \mathbb{C}[x, y]^{\Gamma},$$

the ring of invariants.

Such groups/singularities correspond to finite subgroups of the rotation group SO(3), and so come in three families.

- Abelian groups  $\Gamma = C_{r+1}$ , called type  $A_r$ .
- (Binary) dihedral groups, called type  $D_r$ .
- Exceptional groups (tetrahedral, octahedral, icosahedral), called types  $E_6$ ,  $E_7$ ,  $E_8$ .

Via the **McKay correspondence**, these subgroups of  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$  can be related to simply laced (finite and affine) Dynkin diagrams, hence their names.

#### Singular and equivariant Hilbert schemes

Our main interest is in the spaces  $\operatorname{Hilb}^n(X)$  for  $X = \mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$  with coordinate ring  $A = \mathbb{C}[x, y]^{\Gamma}$ . These are singular spaces for  $n \ge 1$ .

Given the action of the group  $\Gamma$  on  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , one can define **equivariant Hilbert** schemes also, for any finite-dimensional representation  $\rho \in \operatorname{Rep}(\Gamma)$  of  $\Gamma$ :

$$\operatorname{Hilb}^{\rho}(\mathbb{C}^2) = \{ I \lhd \mathbb{C}[x, y] \; \Gamma \text{-invariant} \colon \mathbb{C}[x, y] / I \simeq_{\Gamma} \rho \}.$$

Their topological Euler characteristics can be collected into a master generating function

$$Z_{\mathbb{C}^2,\Gamma}(q_0,\ldots,q_r) = \sum_{m_0,\ldots,m_r=0}^{\infty} \chi_{\text{top}} \left(\text{Hilb}^{m_0\rho_0+\ldots+m_r\rho_r}(\mathbb{C}^2)\right) q_0^{m_0}\cdot\ldots\cdot q_r^{m_r}$$

where  $\operatorname{Irrep}(\Gamma) = \{\rho_0, \rho_1, \dots, \rho_r\}.$ 

This function  $Z_{\mathbb{C}^2,\Gamma}(q_0,\ldots,q_r)$  turns out to be closely related to the function  $Z_X(q)$  attached to the singular surface  $X = \mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$ .

The case of an abelian group Let  $\Gamma$  be the group of type  $A_r$ 

$$\Gamma = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \omega & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^{-1} \end{pmatrix} : \omega^{r+1} = 1 \right\} < \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}).$$

Monomial ideals in  $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$  are  $\Gamma$ -equivariant, and correspond to partitions that are **coloured** by r + 1 colours, in the following way (here r = 2 so  $\Gamma \cong C_3$ ):



## The abelian case: coloured box counting

We apply torus localization again. We get a coloured version of the partition counting problem:

 $\chi_{\text{top}}\left(\text{Hilb}^{\sum m_i \rho_i}(\mathbb{C}^2)\right) = \#\{\lambda \text{ a coloured partition with } m_i \text{ boxes of colour } i\}$ and so

$$Z_{\mathbb{C}^2,\Gamma}(q_0,\ldots,q_r) = 1 + \sum_{\lambda} \prod_j q_j^{\operatorname{col}_j(\lambda)}$$

is the **coloured generating function of partitions** (for diagonal colouring).

**Example** For type  $A_1$ ,  $\Gamma \cong C_2$  and we get the generating function of partitions in the checkerboard colouring

$$Z_{\mathbb{C}^2,C_2}(q_0,q_1) = E(q_0q_1)^2 \cdot \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} q_0^{m^2} q_1^{m^2+m}$$

For abelian  $\Gamma < SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ , the generating function of diagonally coloured partitions can be determined purely combinatorially, and one gets a similar formula to the  $A_1$  case.

However, the answer has a Lie-theoretic flavour, and generalises to all types in the following way.

**Theorem** (essentially due to Nakajima) In all types, the equivariant generating function has the following expression, with  $q = \prod_i q_i^{\delta_i}$ :

$$Z_{\mathbb{C}^2,\Gamma}(q_0,\ldots,q_r) = E(q)^{r+1} \sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbb{Z}^r} q^{\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{m}^t C\mathbf{m}} \prod_{i=1}^r q_i^{m_i}$$

Here  $r, C, \delta_i$  are the rank, Cartan matrix and Dynkin indices corresponding to the type of the group  $\Gamma$ .

Our main interest was not in the equivariant function, but the function

$$Z_X(q) = 1 + \sum_{n \ge 1} \chi_{\text{top}}(\text{Hilb}^n(X))q^n$$

attached to the singular geometry  $X = \mathbb{C}^2 / \Gamma$ .

**Theorem** (Gyenge–Némethi–Sz., 2015) Let  $\Gamma$  be of type  $A_r$  or  $D_r$ . Then, with  $q = \prod_i q_i^{\delta_i}$  and  $\xi = \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{1+h})$ , we have

$$Z_X(q) = Z_{\mathbb{C}^2,\Gamma}(q_0, q_1, \dots, q_r)|_{q_1 = q_2 = \dots = q_r = \xi}$$

where h is the Coxeter number of the Lie algebra of the corresponding type. The Theorem implies in particular that the function  $Z_X(q)$  is modular.

#### We conjectured that the result also holds in type E.

- For type  $A_r$ , the argument is purely combinatorial and only involves coloured partitions.
- Coloured partitions have a Lie-theoretic meaning as **elements of a crystal basis** (of a certain representation of the affine Lie algebra)
- For type  $D_r$ , the argument has two parts:
  - 1. the combinatorics of the crystal basis in type  $D_r$ , and
  - 2. the study of the geometry of stratifications of Hilbert schemes indexed by crystal basis elements.



Return to our finite subgroup  $\Gamma \subset SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ , with  $Irrep(\Gamma) = \{\rho_0, \rho_1, \ldots, \rho_r\}$ . Let V be the canonical 2-dim rep of  $\Gamma$ .

The **McKay graph** of  $\Gamma$  has

- vertex set  $\{0, 1, \ldots, r\};$
- dim Hom<sub> $\Gamma$ </sub>( $\rho_j, \rho_i \otimes V$ ) edges from *i* to *j*.

McKay (1980): this graph is an extended Dynkin diagram of ADE type.

**McKay quiver**: turn the McKay graph into a quiver (oriented graph) by introducing a pair of opposite arrows for each edge. Extend by an additional vertex labelled  $\infty$ , with a pair of arrows to and from vertex 0. Call Q the resulting quiver on the vertex set  $V(Q) = \{0, 1, \ldots, r, \infty\}$ , with edge set E(Q).

The McKay quiver of abelian  $\Gamma$ 

Return to  $\Gamma \cong \mu_{r+1}$  of type  $A_r$ 

$$\Gamma = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \omega & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^{-1} \end{pmatrix} : \omega^{r+1} = 1 \right\} < \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}).$$

The (extended) McKay quiver Q looks as follows:



# Representations of the McKay quiver

We want to study representation (quiver) varieties of the extended McKay quiver Q. These depend on two parameters:

- the dimension vector  $d \in \mathbb{N}^{r+1}$ , attaching to each vertex *i* a nonnegative integer  $d_i$  (with vertex  $\infty$  always carrying dimension 1);
- the stability parameter  $\theta \in \mathbb{Q}^{r+1}$ .

Given this data, we fix a set of vector spaces  $\{V_i : I \in V(Q)\}$  of dimension  $d_i$  attached to each vertex, with  $V_{\infty}$  of dimension 1, and we consider the collection of all linear maps  $\{\varphi_{ij} : V_i \to V_j : (ij) \in E(Q)\}$ , subject two conditions:

- they should satisfy the **preprojective relations**;
- they should be **semistable** with respect to the parameter  $\theta$  (King).

Let  $U_{\theta}(d)$  denote the space of all linear maps satisfying these two conditions. This is a locally closed subvariety of an affine space. The space  $U_{\theta}(d)$  carries an action of the group  $G = \prod_{i=0}^{r} \operatorname{GL}(V_i)$ . Orbits of this group parametrise **isomorphism classes** of representations of Q, which are  $\theta$ -semistable with dimension vector d and satisfy the relations.

Define the (Nakajima) quiver variety

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\theta}(d) = U_{\theta}(d) /\!\!/_{\theta} G,$$

the Geometric Invariant Theory (GIT) quotient of  $U_{\theta}(d)$  by the group G.

**Example 1** (Kronheimer–Nakajima) Choose  $d_1 = \{\dim \rho_i\}$ . Then for generic stability condition  $\theta$ , the GIT quotient  $\mathfrak{M}_{\theta}(d_1)$  is independent of  $\theta$ , and is isomorphic to the minimal resolution Y of the surface singularity  $X = \mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$ .

**Example 2** (folklore) Let  $d_n = \{n \cdot \dim \rho_i\}$  for some natural number n. Choose the stability condition  $\theta = 0$ . Then the GIT quotient  $\mathfrak{M}_0(d_n)$  is affine (general fact), and is isomorphic to the *n*-th symmetric product  $S^n(X)$ . In particular,  $\mathfrak{M}_0(d_1) \cong X$ . We continue to work with this setup: fix  $d_n = \{n \cdot \dim \rho_i\}$ , and study the space  $\mathfrak{M}_{\theta}(d_n)$  as the stability parameter  $\theta \in \mathbb{Q}^{r+1}$  varies.

By general principles of variation of GIT (Thaddeus, Dolgachev-Hu), we expect a wall-and-chamber structure, with stability parameters in open chambers giving nice GIT quotients  $\mathfrak{M}_{\theta}(d_n)$ , while the quotient  $\mathfrak{M}_{\theta_0}(d_n)$  becomes more singular for parameters  $\theta_0$  lying in walls.

The general setup will also induce morphisms

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\theta}(d_n) \to \mathfrak{M}_{\theta_0}(d_n)$$

relating different quiver varieties.

**Example (continued)** With  $d_1 = \{\dim \rho_i\}$  as above, moving from a generic stability condition  $\theta$  to  $\theta = 0$  gives a morphism  $\mathfrak{M}_{\theta}(d_1) \to \mathfrak{M}_0(d_1)$  which can be identified with the minimal resolution  $Y \to X = \mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$ .

**Theorem** (Varagnolo–Vasserot, Kuznetsov) Fix  $n \ge 1$ . There exists a distinguished open chamber  $C^+ \subset \mathbb{Q}^{r+1}$  inside stability space, so that for  $\theta \in C^+$ ,

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\theta}(d_n) \cong \operatorname{Hilb}^{n \cdot \rho_{\operatorname{reg}}}(\mathbb{C}^2)$$

where on the right we have the  $\Gamma$ -equivariant Hilbert scheme of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  corresponding to  $n \cdot \rho_{\text{reg}} \in \text{Rep}(\Gamma)$ , with  $\rho_{\text{reg}} \in \text{Rep}(\Gamma)$  is the regular representation. The morphism to the stability space at zero stability can be identified with

$$\operatorname{Hilb}^{n \cdot \rho_{\operatorname{reg}}}(\mathbb{C}^2) \to S^n(\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma)$$

which is a minimal resolution of singularities.

**Example (continued again)** For n = 1, we this fits with a theorem of Kapranov and Vasserot, the isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hilb}^{\rho_{\mathrm{reg}}}(\mathbb{C}^2) \cong Y$$

between the minimal resolution Y of X and the so-called  $\Gamma$ -Hilbert scheme.

In a recent paper, Bellamy and Craw understood the structure of the entire stability space, at least as far as generic open chambers are concerned.

**Theorem** (Bellamy–Craw, 2018) The closed cone  $\bar{C}^+ \subset \mathbb{Q}^{r+1}$  can be identified with the nef cone (closed ample cone) of the variety Hilb<sup> $n\cdot\rho_{reg}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ . There is a larger cone  $N \subset \mathbb{Q}^{r+1}$ , with a finite (combinatorially described) walland-chamber structure, open chambers of which correspond to ample cones of birational models of Hilb<sup> $n\cdot\rho_{reg}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ .</sup></sup>

**Example** Let  $\Gamma \cong \mu_3$ , corresponding to Dynkin type  $A_2$ , and n = 3.



A distinguished corner of stability space



**Theorem** (Craw–Gammelgaard–Gyenge–Sz., 2019) For a distinguished ray  $\langle \theta_0 \rangle \in \partial \bar{C}_+$ , we have an isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\theta_0}(d_n) \cong \operatorname{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma)$$

between a quiver variety and the Hilbert scheme of points of the surface singularity.

Theorem (continued) The resulting chain of morphisms

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\theta}(d_n) \to \mathfrak{M}_{\theta_0}(d_n) \to \mathfrak{M}_0(d_n)$$

can be identified with the chain

$$\operatorname{Hilb}^{n \cdot \rho_{\operatorname{reg}}}(\mathbb{C}^2) \to \operatorname{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma) \to S^n(\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma)$$

which includes the Hilbert–Chow morphism of the singular variety  $X = \mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$ .

**Corollary** The Hilbert scheme  $\operatorname{Hilb}^{n}(X)$  of the surface singularity  $X = \mathbb{C}^{2}/\Gamma$  is an irreducible, normal quasiprojective variety with a unique symplectic (Calabi–Yau) resolution.

This is about as nice as one could hope for! Irreducibility was known before (Xudong Zheng, 2017). Conjecturally this property **characterises** surface rational double points among all varieties of dimension at least 2.

# Many spaces in one diagram

We get the following diagram of GIT-induced morphisms, including the Hilbert– Chow morphisms of both the singularity  $X = \mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$  and its minimal resolution Y.



As opposed to the combinatorial story, which only applies to type A and type D singularities, the quiver story is completely general. We have identified the resolution of singularities

$$\operatorname{Hilb}^{n \cdot \rho_{\operatorname{reg}}}(\mathbb{C}^2) \to \operatorname{Hilb}^n(X)$$

with a map

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\theta}(d_n) \to \mathfrak{M}_{\theta_0}(d_n)$$

between quiver varieties.

This suggests that the conjecture of Gyenge–Némethi–Sz. about the generating function of Euler characteristics of  $\operatorname{Hilb}^n(X)$  could be approached this way.

Nakajima, 2009: the fibres of the map  $\mathfrak{M}_{\theta}(d_n) \to \mathfrak{M}_{\theta_0}(d_n)$  between quiver varieties are themselves (Lagrangian subvarieties in) quiver varieties associated with **finite ADE quivers**.

This looks like it gives an approach to the conjecture. However, computing the Euler characteristics of fibres directly is still hard! Nevertheless...

**Theorem** (Nakajima, 2020) For  $\Gamma$  of **arbitrary type**, with  $q = \prod_i q_i^{\delta_i}$  and  $\xi = \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{1+h})$ , the generating function of the Hilbert scheme of points of the surface singularity  $X = \mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$  is related to the equivariant generating function by the formula

$$Z_X(q) = Z_{\mathbb{C}^2,\Gamma}(q_0, q_1, \dots, q_r)|_{q_1 = q_2 = \dots = q_r = \xi}$$

where h is the Coxeter number of the Lie algebra of the corresponding type. In other words, the conjecture of Gyenge–Némethi–Sz. from 2015 holds. How does he do it?

# Specialising stability parameters in representation theory

Nakajima, 2009:

- the collection of spaces  $\{\mathfrak{M}_{\theta}(d): d \in \mathbb{N}^{r+1}\}$ , for generic stability parameter and **all** dimension vectors, give rise to a **representation** of the **affine Lie algebra**  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$  attached to the McKay quiver as Dynkin diagram;
- going from a generic stability parameter to a degenerate one corresponds to **branching of representations** with respect to subalgebras of  $\hat{g}$ ;
- specifically, going from a generic parameter  $\theta$  to our special ray  $\theta_0$  corresponds to considering representations of  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$  as representations of the finitedimensional Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g} \hookrightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ .

This gives the following interpretation of the GyNSz conjecure: the generating function of Euler characteristics of our spaces  $\operatorname{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma)$  is given by the graded **quantum dimension**, taken at a specific root of unity, of the basic representation of the affine Lie algebra, restricted to  $\mathfrak{g} \hookrightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ .

# Quantum dimensions of standard modules

It turns out that in computing this quantum dimension, a lot of cancellations happen, and the GyNSz conjecture is reduced to the following statement.

**Theorem** (Nakajima, 2020) The quantum dimension of an arbitrary so-called standard module of  $U_q(L\mathfrak{g})$  of type ADE at the root of unity  $\xi = \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{1+h})$  is equal to 1.

While this statement fits into more general conjectures in representation theory, it appears that this was a new result Nakajima needed to prove for  $E_7$ ,  $E_8$ . For  $E_8$ , his proof relies on his own earlier computations of characters, done on a supercomputer, as well as further miraculous cancellations such as

$$(-4) + 18 + (-23) + 10 = 1.$$

- Other walls in the space of stability parameters some interesting geometry and combinatorics work in progress by Gyenge, Sz. and others
- This is the rank 1 story how about higher rank? Work in progress by Gammelgaard
- How much of the picture exists for a finite subgroup  $G < SL(3, \mathbb{C})$ ? Some really interesting combinatorics, ideas from Donaldson–Thomas theory... for another time
- Can we really understand why this simple substitution works? Nakajima's proof still relies on some mysterious cancellations...



# Thank you!