
HOMOTOPY THEORY OF SCHEMES AND R -EQUIVALENCE

by

Denis-Charles Cisinski & Bruno Kahn

Abstract. — We prove that, for any smooth and projective scheme X over a field k of char. 0, the set of maps from $\mathrm{Spec}(k)$ to X in the \mathbf{A}^1 -homotopy category of schemes $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{A}^1}(k)$ is in bijection with the quotient of $X(k)$ by R -equivalence, and is a birational invariant of X . This is achieved by establishing a precise relation between the localization of the category of smooth k -schemes by birational maps and the category $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{A}^1}(k)$, and by applying results of the second named author and R. Sujatha on birational invariants. This gives a new proof of results obtained by A. Asok and F. Morel [AM08].

Contents

1. The birational homotopy category.....	1
2. Birational localization and \mathbf{A}^1 -homotopy theory.....	3
3. Rational points up to \mathbf{A}^1 -homotopy.....	5
4. Relationship with the work of Asok and Morel.....	6
References.....	7

This manuscript was written in 2010, and updated in 2017. We make it public due to the interest of some mathematicians.

We fix once and for all a field k . By a k -variety, we shall mean an integral separated k -scheme of finite type. Given a k -variety X , $k(X)$ will stand for its field of functions.

1. The birational homotopy category

Definition 1.1 (Manin). — Let X be a k -scheme of finite type.

Two rational points x_0 and x_1 of X are *directly R -equivalent* if there exists a rational map $f : \mathbf{P}_k^1 \dashrightarrow X$, defined at 0 and 1, such that $f(0) = x_0$ and $f(1) = x_1$.

The *R -equivalence* on $X(k)$ is the equivalence relation generated on $X(k)$ by the direct R -equivalence.

1.2. — Let $Sm_{/k}$ be the category of separated and smooth k -schemes of finite type. We denote by S_b be the class of birational morphisms in $Sm_{/k}$. We denote by $S_b^{-1}Sm_{/k}$ the localization of $Sm_{/k}$ by S_b .

Proposition 1.3 (Colliot-Thélène). — *The projection $\mathbf{A}_k^1 \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k)$ is an isomorphism in $S_b^{-1}Sm_{/k}$.*

Proof. — As $\mathbf{A}_k^1 \simeq \mathbf{P}_k^1$ in $S_b^{-1}Sm_{/k}$, it follows from (the proof of) the main assertion of [KS08, Appendix A].

Let us paraphrase Colliot-Thélène's proof, in order to show its analogy with the proof of [KS09, Prop. 2.2.3 a)]. Let ϕ be the birational isomorphism between \mathbf{P}^2 and $\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$, normalized via the isomorphism $\mathbf{A}^2 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{A}^1 \times \mathbf{A}^1$. Let W be the (closure of the graph of) ϕ : this is a del Pezzo surface, the blow-up of \mathbf{P}^2 at $\{0, \infty\} \subset \mathbf{P}^1 \equiv \mathbf{P}^2 - \mathbf{A}^2$ (it is the same W as in Colliot-Thélène's proof). Projected onto $\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$, it is its blow-up at (∞, ∞) . Keeping the notation of [KS08, Appendix A], we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & E_1 & & \\
 & \swarrow \pi & \downarrow & \searrow \sim & \\
 M_1 & & W & & L_1 \\
 \downarrow & \swarrow a & & \searrow b & \downarrow \\
 \mathbf{P}^2 & & & & \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1 \xrightarrow{p_1} \mathbf{P}^1 \\
 & & & & \uparrow \sim
 \end{array}$$

Here M_1 is one of the points blown-up in \mathbf{P}^2 , E_1 is its inverse image in W (so that $E_1 \simeq \mathbf{P}^1$) and L_1 is the (isomorphic) projection of E_1 in $\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$. For any functor F which transforms a and b into isomorphisms, the isomorphism $F(E_1) \xrightarrow{\sim} F(\mathbf{P}^1)$ factors through $F(\pi)$; finally π has a section, given by any rational point of E_1 , hence $F(\pi)$ must be an isomorphism. \square

1.4. — Note that S_b is closed under finite products in $Sm_{/k}$, so that the localized category $S_b^{-1}Sm_{/k}$ admits finite products, and the localization functor $Sm_{/k} \rightarrow S_b^{-1}Sm_{/k}$ commutes with them. The preceding proposition thus implies the following homotopy invariance property.

Proposition 1.5. — *For any separated smooth k -scheme of finite type X , the projection $\mathbf{A}_X^1 \rightarrow X$ is an isomorphism in $S_b^{-1}Sm_{/k}$.*

1.6. — For Y a smooth and proper k -variety, the direct R -equivalence relation on $Y(k)$ coincides with the \mathbf{A}^1 -homotopy relation: two rational points y_0 and y_1 are directly R -equivalent if and only if there exists a map $f : \mathbf{A}_k^1 \rightarrow Y$ such that $f(0) = y_0$ and $f(1) = y_1$. (This follows from the valuative criterion of properness.) It thus follows from Proposition 1.5 that, for any smooth k -varieties X and Y , with Y proper, the canonical map

$$(1.6.1) \quad Y(k(X)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{S_b^{-1}Sm_{/k}}(X, Y)$$

factors through R -equivalence, and induces a map

$$(1.6.2) \quad Y(k(X))/R \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{S_b^{-1}Sm/k}(X, Y).$$

We then have the following result; see [KS08, Theorem 6.6.3].

Theorem 1.7. — *Consider two smooth k -varieties X and Y , with Y proper. Then (1.6.2) is bijective.*

1.8. — Let $sPr(Sm/k)$ be the category of simplicial presheaves on the category Sm/k , endowed with its projective model category structure: the weak equivalences (resp. the fibrations) are the termwise simplicial weak equivalences (resp. the termwise Kan fibrations). Note that, for this model category structure, all representable presheaves are cofibrant.

Considering S_b as a set of maps in $sPr(Sm/k)$ via the Yoneda embedding, we shall consider the left Bousfield localization $L_b sPr(Sm/k)$ of $sPr(Sm/k)$ by S_b . The cofibrations of $L_b sPr(Sm/k)$ are thus the same as the cofibrations in $sPr(Sm/k)$, while the fibrant objects are the presheaves of Kan complexes F on Sm/k , such that, for any map $X \rightarrow Y$ in S_b , the induced map $F(Y) \rightarrow F(X)$ is a simplicial homotopy equivalence. Remark that $L_b sPr(Sm/k)$ can also be described as the left Bousfield localization of $sPr(Sm/k)$ by the set of dense open immersions, which means that the fibrant objects of $L_b sPr(Sm/k)$ may as well be described as the presheaves of Kan complexes on Sm/k which send dense open immersions to simplicial homotopy equivalences. The weak equivalences of $L_b sPr(Sm/k)$ will be called *birational weak equivalences*. We write

$$\mathcal{H}_b(k) = \mathrm{Ho}(L_b sPr(Sm/k))$$

for the homotopy category of $L_b sPr(Sm/k)$.

Proposition 1.9. — *The Yoneda embedding $Sm/k \rightarrow sPr(Sm/k)$ induces a fully faithful functor*

$$S_b^{-1}Sm/k \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_b(k).$$

In particular, given two smooth k -varieties X and Y , with Y proper, we have a canonical bijection

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_b(k)}(X, Y) \simeq Y(k(X))/R.$$

Proof. — The first assertion is a straightforward consequence of the general results of Dwyer and Kan on simplicial presheaves on localizations of simplicial categories: by virtue of [DK87, Theorem 2.2], $L_b sPr(Sm/k)$ is (Quillen equivalent to) the model category of simplicial presheaves on the simplicial localization of Sm/k by S_b . The second assertion follows immediately from the first and from Theorem 1.7. \square

2. Birational localization and \mathbf{A}^1 -homotopy theory

2.1. — We recall the description of Morel and Voevodsky's \mathbf{A}^1 -homotopy category $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{A}^1}(k)$ [MV99] as the homotopy category of a left Bousfield localization of the projective model category structure on $sPr(Sm/k)$.

Recall that a *distinguished square* is a pullback square in Sm/k of the form

$$(2.1.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} U \times_X V & \longrightarrow & V \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ U & \xrightarrow{j} & X \end{array}$$

in which j is an open immersion, while f is étale and induces an isomorphism $f^{-1}((X - U)_{red}) \simeq (X - U)_{red}$. For such a square, we shall denote by $U \amalg_{U \times_X V}^p V$ the corresponding *pushout of presheaves* over Sm/k .

Let $L_{\mathbf{A}^1} sPr(Sm/k)$ be the left Bousfield localization of $sPr(Sm/k)$ by the projections $\mathbf{A}_X^1 \rightarrow X$ for any separated and smooth k -scheme X , and by the maps of shape

$$U \amalg_{U \times_X V}^p V \rightarrow X$$

for any distinguished square (2.1.1) in Sm/k . The fibrant objects of $L_{\mathbf{A}^1} sPr(Sm/k)$ are thus the presheaves of Kan complexes F on Sm/k which are \mathbf{A}^1 -homotopy invariant, i.e. such that, for any separated smooth k -scheme X , the map

$$F(X) \rightarrow F(\mathbf{A}_X^1)$$

is a simplicial homotopy equivalence, and which have the *Brown-Gersten property*, i.e. such that, for any distinguished square (2.1.1), the induced diagram

$$(2.1.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} F(X) & \longrightarrow & F(V) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ F(U) & \longrightarrow & F(U \times_X V) \end{array}$$

is a homotopy pullback square in the homotopy category of Kan complexes. The weak equivalences of the model category $L_{\mathbf{A}^1} sPr(Sm/k)$ will be called *weak \mathbf{A}^1 -equivalences*.

The corresponding homotopy category $\mathrm{Ho}(L_{\mathbf{A}^1} sPr(Sm/k))$ is canonically equivalent to the \mathbf{A}^1 -homotopy category $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{A}^1}(k)$ of Morel and Voevodsky; see [Bla01].

Proposition 2.2. — *Any weak \mathbf{A}^1 -equivalence is a weak birational equivalence.*

Proof. — Let F be a presheaf of Kan complexes on Sm/k which sends dense open immersions to simplicial homotopy equivalences. It follows immediately from Proposition 1.5 that F is \mathbf{A}^1 -homotopy invariant. Moreover, F obviously has the Brown-Gersten property. This is equivalent to our assertion. \square

As a byproduct, we get the following consequence from the general yoga of left Bousfield localizations of model categories [Hir03].

Corollary 2.3. — *The category $\mathcal{H}_b(k)$ is the localization of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{A}^1}(k)$ by the class of weak birational equivalences, and the localization functor*

$$\gamma! : \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{A}^1}(k) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_b(k)$$

has a fully faithful right adjoint

$$\gamma^* : \mathcal{H}_b(k) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{A}^1}(k)$$

whose essential image is spanned by the presheaves of Kan complexes which send dense open immersions to simplicial homotopy equivalences.

3. Rational points up to \mathbf{A}^1 -homotopy

3.1. — Let F be a presheaf of sets over Sm/k . Given a separated smooth k -scheme X , we denote by $[X, F]_{\mathbf{A}^1}$ the quotient of $F(X)$ by the \mathbf{A}^1 -homotopy relation. We thus have a canonical map

$$(3.1.1) \quad [X, F]_{\mathbf{A}^1} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{A}^1}(k)}(X, F).$$

In the case where $X = \mathrm{Spec}(k)$ and $F = Y$ for a smooth and proper k -variety Y we have

$$(3.1.2) \quad Y(k)/R = [\mathrm{Spec}(k), Y]_{\mathbf{A}^1}.$$

Proposition 3.2 ([AM08, Cor. 2.1.5]). — *For any presheaf of sets F over Sm/k , (3.1.1) becomes surjective after Nisnevich sheafification.*

Proof. — The assertion is equivalent to the following: for any henselian local ring R of geometric origin, the map (3.1.1) evaluated at $X = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ is surjective. We shall prove this in a more general situation, by considering a simplicial presheaf F on Sm/k . Let

$$\mathcal{G}^\bullet : sPr(Sm/k) \longrightarrow sPr(Sm/k)$$

be the Godement resolution functor with respect to Nisnevich points; see [MV99, p. 66]. We also have an \mathbf{A}^1 -resolution functor

$$Sing_{\mathbf{A}^1} : sPr(Sm/k) \longrightarrow sPr(Sm/k)$$

which associates to a simplicial presheaf F the simplicial presheaf $Sing_{\mathbf{A}^1}(F)$ defined by

$$Sing_{\mathbf{A}^1}(F)_n = \mathbf{Hom}(\Delta_k^n, F_n),$$

where \mathbf{Hom} denotes the internal Hom in the category of presheaves, while

$$\Delta_k^n = \mathrm{Spec}(k[t_0, \dots, t_n]/(\sum_i t_i - 1)) \simeq \mathbf{A}_k^n$$

is the algebraic simplex. By virtue of [MV99, Lemma 2.3.20], we then have an explicit \mathbf{A}^1 -resolution functor $Ex_{\mathbf{A}^1}$ (i.e. fibrant resolution functor in the model category $L_{\mathbf{A}^1} sPr(Sm/k)$) defined by

$$Ex_{\mathbf{A}^1} = \mathcal{G}^\bullet \circ (Sing_{\mathbf{A}^1})^\omega \circ \mathcal{G}^\bullet$$

(remark that the Godement resolution does not detect the difference between a presheaf and its associated sheaf, so that it does not make any difference to work with presheaves or sheaves here).

Given a simplicial presheaf F , we then have a natural identification

$$\pi_0(Ex_{\mathbf{A}^1}(F)(\mathrm{Spec}(R))) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{A}^1}(k)}(\mathrm{Spec}(R), F).$$

As R is henselian, the map

$$\pi_0(F(\mathrm{Spec}(R))) \longrightarrow \pi_0(\mathcal{G}^\bullet(F)(\mathrm{Spec}(R)))$$

is bijective. On the other hand, the set $\pi_0(\mathrm{Sing}_{\mathbf{A}^1}(F)(\mathrm{Spec}(R)))$ is the quotient of $F_0(\mathrm{Spec}(R))$ by the \mathbf{A}^1 -homotopy relation. This implies immediately the proposition. \square

Theorem 3.3. — *For any smooth and proper k -variety Y , there is a canonical bijection*

$$Y(k)/R \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{A}^1}(k)}(\mathrm{Spec}(k), Y).$$

In particular, the functor

$$Y \longmapsto \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{A}^1}(k)}(\mathrm{Spec}(k), Y)$$

is a birational invariant of smooth and proper k -schemes.

Proof. — The localization functor $\gamma_!$ of Corollary 2.3 induces a natural map

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{A}^1}(k)}(\mathrm{Spec}(k), Y) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_b(k)}(\mathrm{Spec}(k), Y).$$

On the other hand, by virtue of Theorem 1.7, the composed map

$$[\mathrm{Spec}(k), Y]_{\mathbf{A}^1} = Y(k)/R \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{A}^1}(k)}(\mathrm{Spec}(k), Y) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_b(k)}(\mathrm{Spec}(k), Y)$$

is bijective. Therefore, by Proposition 3.2, we obtain that the map

$$Y(k)/R \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{A}^1}(k)}(\mathrm{Spec}(k), Y)$$

is bijective. \square

3.4. — Let F be a simplicial presheaf over Sm/k . We denote by $\pi_0^{\mathbf{A}^1}(F)$ the Nisnevich sheaf associated to the presheaf

$$U \longmapsto \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{A}^1}(k)}(U, F).$$

Corollary 3.5. — *For any smooth and proper k -variety Y , and for any field extension of finite type L/k , there is a natural identification*

$$Y(L)/R \simeq \pi_0^{\mathbf{A}^1}(Y)(L).$$

4. Relationship with the work of Asok and Morel

Theorem 3.3 and Corollary 3.5 are special cases of results of Aravind Asok and Fabien Morel [AM08, Theorem 2.4.3 and Corollary 2.4.9], with the difference that we used Proposition 1.5 to see that, in a precise sense, there is no difference in working up to birational equivalence or up to stable birational equivalence (which is stated in a conceptual way as Corollary 2.3). Let us clarify the relationship between their work and ours:

Asok and Morel consider a composition

$$(4.0.1) \quad \pi_0^{ch}(X) \rightarrow \pi_0^{\mathbf{A}^1}(X) \rightarrow \pi_0^{b\mathbf{A}^1}(X)$$

for any proper scheme X over a field, where $\pi_0^{ch}(X)$ is the sheaf associated to the presheaf of “naïve \mathbf{A}^1 -equivalence classes” (so that $\pi_0^{ch}(X)(K) = X(K)/\mathbf{A}^1 =$

$X(K)/R$ for any function field K), and $\pi_0^{b\mathbf{A}^1}(X)$ is defined *a priori* as a birational sheaf:

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_0^{b\mathbf{A}^1}(X)(U) &= \pi_0^{b\mathbf{A}^1}(X)(\mathrm{Spec}(k(U))) \\ \pi_0^{b\mathbf{A}^1}(X)(K) &= X(K)/R.\end{aligned}$$

(The theorem is that $\pi_0^{b\mathbf{A}^1}(X)(U)$ has the structure of a presheaf in U .) Like us (cf. Proposition 3.2), they show that when evaluated at a function field, the first map in (4.0.1) is surjective, hence all maps are bijective since the composition is the identity.

We consider instead a composition

$$(4.0.2) \quad \pi_0^{ch}(X) \rightarrow \pi_0^{\mathbf{A}^1}(X) \rightarrow \pi_0^b(X)$$

for any smooth scheme X , where $\pi_0^b(X)$ is the sheaf associated to the presheaf $U \mapsto \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_b(k)}(U, X)$. Here, evaluated at a function field, the composition is bijective for X proper by Proposition 1.9.

References

- [AM08] A. Asok & F. Morel, *Smooth varieties up to \mathbf{A}^1 -homotopy and algebraic h -cobordisms*, Adv. in Math. **227** (2011), 1990–2058.
- [Bla01] B. Blander, *Local projective model structures on simplicial presheaves*, K-theory **24** (2001), 283–301.
- [DK87] W. G. Dwyer & D. M. Kan, *Equivalences between homotopy theories of diagrams*, Algebraic topology and algebraic K-theory, Annals of Math. Studies, vol. 113, Princeton University Press, 1987, pp. 180–205.
- [Hir03] P. S. Hirschhorn, *Model categories and their localizations*, Math. Surv. and Monographs, vol. 99, Amer. Math. Soc., 2003.
- [KS08] B. Kahn & R. Sujatha, *Birational geometry and localisation of categories*, Doc. Math. - Extra Volume Merkurjev (2015), 277–334.
- [KS09] B. Kahn & R. Sujatha, *Birational motives, I: pure birational motives*, Annals of K-theory **1** (2016), 379–440.
- [MV99] F. Morel & V. Voevodsky, *\mathbf{A}^1 -homotopy theory of schemes*, Publ. Math. IHES **90** (1999), 45–143.

D.-C. CISINSKI, Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Regensburg, 93040 Regensburg, Deutschland

E-mail : denis-charles.cisinski@mathematik.uni-regensburg.de

Url : <http://www.mathematik.uni-regensburg.de/cisinski/index.html>

B. KAHN, CNRS, Sorbonne Université and Université Paris Cité, IMJ-PRG, Case 247, 4 place

Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France • *E-mail* : bruno.kahn@imj-prg.fr

Url : <https://webusers.imj-prg.fr/~bruno.kahn/>