

Motives and adjoints

Bruno Kahn

RMS/SMF/IMSc Indo-French Conference in Mathematics 2008

Chennai, December 16, 2008

$\mathcal{M} : \{\text{fields}\} \rightarrow \text{Cat}$ pseudo-functor. Recall:

- k field $\mapsto \mathcal{M}(k)$ category.
- $f : k \rightarrow K$ field extension $\mapsto \mathcal{M}(f) = f_{\mathcal{M}}^* = f^* : \mathcal{M}(k) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(K)$.
- $k \xrightarrow{f} K \xrightarrow{g} L$ successive extensions: natural isomorphism

$$c_{f,g} : g^* \circ f^* \Rightarrow (g \circ f)^*$$

with 2-cocycle relation between the $c_{f,g}$ (for 3 successive extensions).

We call this a *motivic theory*.

\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} motivic theories: morphism of motivic theories $\varphi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$:

- $\forall k \varphi_k : \mathcal{M}(k) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(k)$.
- $f : k \rightarrow K$ extension: natural isomorphism

$$v_f^\varphi = v_f : \varphi_K f_{\mathcal{M}}^* \xrightarrow{\sim} f_{\mathcal{N}}^* \varphi_k$$

with 1-cocycle relation w.r.t. $c_{f,g}^{\mathcal{M}}$ and $c_{f,g}^{\mathcal{N}}$ (for f, g composable).

Two questions:

Q1. \mathcal{M} motivic theory, $f : k \rightarrow K$: when does f^* have a (left or right) adjoint? (Notation: usually $f_{\#}$ for left adjoint, f_* for right adjoint.)

Q2. $\varphi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ morphism of motivic theories, $f : k \rightarrow K$. Assume that $f_{\mathcal{M}}^*$, $f_{\mathcal{N}}^*$ have (say) left adjoints $f_{\#}^{\mathcal{M}}$, $f_{\#}^{\mathcal{N}}$. Get *base change morphism*

$$(1) \quad w_f^{\varphi} : f_{\#}^{\mathcal{N}} \varphi_K \rightarrow \varphi_k f_{\#}^{\mathcal{M}}.$$

When is (1) an isomorphism?

In this talk:

Q1. Very often yes (but disparate proofs). Also some counterexamples.

Q2. Sometimes yes, but no in interesting cases.

Applications:

- To Bloch-Rovinsky-Beilinson's "correspondences at the generic point".
- (in progress:) to L -functions.

1. EXAMPLES OF ADJOINTS

1.1. K/k finite separable. basically all theories one can think of (left and right adjoints):

Examples 1. a) $\mathcal{M}(k) = \text{Sm}(k)$: $f_{\#}$ = naïve restriction of scalars, $f_* =$ Weil restriction of scalars.

b) $\mathcal{M}(k) =$ étale sheaves of abelian groups: $f_* \xrightarrow{\sim} f_{\#}$ (via trace). Yields examples like categories of commutative group schemes...

c) Categories of pure motives ($f_* \xrightarrow{\sim} f_{\#}$).

d) Voevodsky's triangulated categories of motives (ditto).

Etc. (Not the most exciting.)

1.2. Categories of pure motives. (A, \sim) adequate pair: A commutative ring, \sim adequate equivalence relation on algebraic cycles with coefficients in A .

Morphisms of motivic theories:

$$\mathrm{Sm}^{\mathrm{proj}}(k) \rightarrow \mathrm{Cor}_{\sim}(k, A) \rightarrow \mathrm{Mot}_{\sim}^{\mathrm{eff}}(k, A) \rightarrow \mathrm{Mot}_{\sim}(k, A)$$

(last 2 fully faithful.)

Theorem 2. a) If $A = \mathbf{Q}$ and $\sim = \text{num}$, $\exists f_* \xrightarrow{\sim} f_{\#}$ for any $f : k \rightarrow K$ primary, for $\mathcal{M} = \text{Cor}_{\text{num}}, \text{Mot}_{\text{num}}^{\text{eff}}, \text{Mot}_{\text{num}}$, and base change morphisms are isomorphisms.

b) If $A = \mathbf{Q}$, $\sim = \text{rat}$, $\mathcal{M} = \text{Mot}_{\text{rat}}^{\text{eff}}$, $k = \bar{k}$, $K = k(C)$ with $g(C) > 0$, $\exists f_{\#} \mathbf{1} \Rightarrow k$ is the algebraic closure of a finite field. ($\mathbf{1}$ unit motive.)

c) In b), “ \Leftarrow ” if the (Tate-)Beilinson conjecture $\text{rat} = \text{num}$ over k holds.

d) In b), if $k = \bar{\mathbf{F}}_q$, $\exists C$ such that $\nexists f_{\#} \mathbb{L}$ (\mathbb{L} Lefschetz motive).

Idea of proofs: For a): By Jannsen, $\text{Mot}_{\text{num}}(k, \mathbf{Q})$ is abelian semi-simple.

Lemma 3. *$T : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ \mathbf{Q} -linear functor between \mathbf{Q} -linear abelian semi-simple categories. If T is fully faithful, it has isomorphic left and right adjoints.*

If K/k is primary, $\text{Mot}_{\text{num}}(k, \mathbf{Q}) \rightarrow \text{Mot}_{\text{num}}(K, \mathbf{Q})$ is fully faithful basically because cycles modulo numerical eq. are invariant under algebraically closed extensions.

For b), main point: $k = \bar{k}$, A abelian variety over k . Then $A(k) \otimes \mathbf{Q} = 0$
 $\iff k$ is the algebraic closure of a finite field.

For c), uses birational motives (see below).

For d), uses example of Srinivas: if $X/\bar{\mathbf{F}}_q$, smooth cubic hypersurface of dimension 3 and C smooth hyperplane section of the Fano surface parametrising the lines of X , then $CH_1(X_K) \otimes \mathbf{Q} \neq A_1^{\text{num}}(X_K, \mathbf{Q})$ for $K = \bar{\mathbf{F}}_q(C)$.

Properties of adjoints in case of numerical motives:

- Commute with twist (follows from projection formula).
- Respect weights.

In particular, $\text{Ab}(k)$ category of abelian k -varieties: fully faithful morphism of motivic theories

$$h_1 : \text{Ab} \otimes \mathbf{Q} \rightarrow \text{Mot}_{\text{num}} .$$

This implies:

$$f_* h_1(A) = h_1(\text{Tr}_{K/k} A), \quad f_{\#} h_1(A) = h_1(\text{Im}_{K/k} A)$$

(K/k -trace and image).

1.3. 1-motives. (under construction).

Future theorem 4. $\mathcal{M}(k) = \text{Deligne's } 1\text{-motives}$, $f : k \rightarrow K$ primary:

$\exists f_{\#}$ and f_* , with

$$f_{\#}[0 \rightarrow A] = [0 \rightarrow \text{Im}_{K/k} A]$$

$$f_*[0 \rightarrow A] = [0 \rightarrow \text{Tr}_{K/k} A].$$

Bonus: K/k finitely generated \Rightarrow

- $f_{\#}$ has a first left derived functor.
- f_* has a first right derived functor.

$$R^1 f_*[0 \rightarrow A] = [\text{LN}(A, K/k) \rightarrow 0]$$

$\text{LN}(A, K/k) = A(K\bar{k})/(\text{Tr}_{K/k} A)(\bar{k})$ the *Lang-Néron group* of A viewed as G_k -module (finitely generated by Lang-Néron).

Idea of proofs: glueing (start from lattices, dualise to tori, treat semi-abelian varieties, etc.)

1.4. Birational categories. \mathcal{M} motivic theory, $\varphi : \text{Sm}^{\text{proj}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ or $\varphi : \text{Sm} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ morphism.

$\forall k S_b(k) \subset \text{Sm}^{\text{proj}}(k)$ or $\text{Sm}(k)$, set of birational morphisms
 $\mapsto \varphi(S_b(k)) \subset \mathcal{M}(k)$.

Yields naturally commutative diagram of motivic theories

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Sm}^* & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathcal{M} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 S_b^{-1} \text{Sm}^* & \xrightarrow{\bar{\varphi}} & S_b^{-1} \mathcal{M}
 \end{array}
 \quad * = \emptyset \text{ or proj}$$

$S_b^{-1} \text{Sm}$: the *birational theory* associated to (\mathcal{M}, φ) .

Theorem 5. $f : k \rightarrow K$ such that $K = k(U)$ for $U \in \text{Sm}(k)$. Then $f_{\#}$ exists for $\mathcal{M} = S_b^{-1} \text{Sm}$.

Sketch. Two proofs:

1) $\text{Sm}(U)$ category of smooth U -schemes: pair of adjoint functors

$$\text{Sm}(k) \begin{array}{c} \longleftarrow \\ \longrightarrow \end{array} \text{Sm}(U)$$

(extension and restriction of scalars). They preserve birational morphisms, hence induce other pair of adjoint functors:

$$S_b^{-1} \text{Sm}(k) \begin{array}{c} \longleftarrow \\ \longrightarrow \end{array} S_b^{-1} \text{Sm}(U).$$

Finally, the “generic fibre” functor $\text{Sm}(U) \rightarrow \text{Sm}(K)$ induces an equivalence of categories

$$S_b^{-1} \text{Sm}(U) \xrightarrow{\sim} S_b^{-1} \text{Sm}(K)$$

(techniques developed with Sujatha).

2) (In characteristic 0): Two results obtained with Sujatha:

$$S_b^{-1} \text{Sm}^{\text{proj}} \xrightarrow{\sim} S_b^{-1} \text{Sm}$$

$$X, Y \in S_b^{-1} \text{Sm}^{\text{proj}} : \text{Hom}(X, Y) = Y(k(X))/R$$

$R = R$ -equivalence.

$X \in S_b^{-1} \text{Sm}^{\text{proj}}(K)$: is the functor

$$S_b^{-1} \text{Sm}^{\text{proj}}(k) \ni Y \mapsto \text{Hom}(X, Y_K) = Y_K(K(X))/R$$

corepresentable?

Yes, because $Y_K(K(X))/R = Y(k(\mathcal{X}))/R$ for $\mathcal{X} \in \text{Sm}^{\text{proj}}(k)$ such that $k(\mathcal{X}) = K(X)$ (so, it is corepresented by \mathcal{X}).

Resolution of singularities used 3 times in this second proof!

Theorem 6. $f : k \rightarrow K$ finitely generated: $\exists f_{\#}$ for $\mathcal{M} = \text{Mot}_{\text{rat}}^O := (S_b^{-1} \text{Mot}_{\text{rat}}^{\text{eff}})^{\natural}$ (birational motives). ($\natural =$ pseudo-abelian envelope.)
 Coefficients \mathbf{Z} if $\text{char } k = 0$, \mathbf{Q} if $\text{char } k > 0$.

Proof. Use that, for $X, Y \in \text{Sm}^{\text{proj}}(k)$, in $\text{Mot}_{\text{rat}}^O(k, A)$

$$\text{Hom}(h^O(X), h^O(Y)) = CH_0(Y_{k(X)}) \otimes A$$

(proven with Sujatha).

In char. 0, same proof as second proof of previous theorem. In char. p , use de Jong (more intricate). □

Examples 7. $K = k(C)$, C curve:

$$1) f_{\#}\mathbf{1} = h^0(C) = h_0(C) \oplus h_1(C) \oplus h_2(C) = \mathbf{1} \oplus h_1(C).$$

(Note: $h_2(C) = \mathbb{L} = 0$ in $S_b^{-1} \text{Mot}_{\text{rat}}^{\text{eff}}(k, A)$.)

2) Γ/K curve; S/k surface such that $k(S) = K(\Gamma)$. Then

$$f_{\#}h_1(\Gamma) = h_1(\text{Im}_{K/k} J) \oplus t_2(S)$$

J Jacobian of Γ , $t_2(S)$ transcendental part of $h_2(S)$ (orthogonal complement of the Néron-Severi part).

Empirically: $f_{\#}(w) \in \{w, w + 1\}$.

\Rightarrow base change for $\text{Mot}_{\text{rat}}^O \rightarrow \text{Mot}_{\text{num}}^O$ not isomorphism!

2. APPLICATIONS

2.1. Motives at the generic point.

Definition 8. $n \geq 0$:

a) $d_{\leq n} \text{Mot}_{\text{rat}}^O(k, A)$ thick subcategory generated by $h^O(X)$, $\dim X \leq n$.

b) $d_n \text{Mot}_{\text{rat}}^O(k, A) = (d_{\leq n} \text{Mot}_{\text{rat}}^O(k, A) / I_n)^{\natural}$, I_n ideal of morphisms factoring through an object of $d_{\leq n-1} \text{Mot}_{\text{rat}}^O(k, A)$.

Fact: $X, Y \in \text{Sm}^{\text{proj}}(k)$ of dimension n . In $d_n \text{Mot}_{\text{rat}}^O(k, A)$, have

$$\text{Hom}(\bar{h}^O(X), \bar{h}^O(Y)) = CH^n(X \times Y) \otimes A / \equiv$$

\equiv subgroup generated by classes of irreducible cycles not dominant on either X or Y : these are the *correspondences at the generic point*.

Conjecture 9 (Bloch, Rovinsky, Beilinson). $\forall k, n$, $d_n \text{Mot}_{\text{rat}}^O(k, \mathbf{Q})$ is abelian semi-simple (in particular, $\dim_{\mathbf{Q}} \text{Hom} < \infty$).

Examples 10. a) $n = 0$: Artin motives.

b) $n = 1$: $\text{Ab}(k) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$.

In both cases the conjecture is true.

$f : k \rightarrow K$ finitely generated extension of transcendence degree d :

$$f_{\#} d_{\leq n} \text{Mot}_{\text{rat}}^O(K, \mathbf{Q}) \subseteq d_{\leq n+d} \text{Mot}_{\text{rat}}^O(K, \mathbf{Q}).$$

$\Rightarrow f_{\#}$ induces functors

$$f_{\#}^n : d_n \text{Mot}_{\text{rat}}^O(K, \mathbf{Q}) \rightarrow d_{n+d} \text{Mot}_{\text{rat}}^O(k, \mathbf{Q}).$$

Theorem 11. *Let $A = \mathbf{Z}$ if $\text{char } k = 0$ and $A = \mathbf{Q}$ if $\text{char } k = p > 0$.*

Let $X, Y \in \text{Sm}^{\text{proj}}(K)$ of dimension n . Suppose K/k has a smooth projective model S and that X, Y spread to projective S -schemes \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} , smooth over k .

Then exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_n \text{Mot}_{\text{rat}}^O(K, A)(\bar{h}^O(X), \bar{h}^O(Y)) \\
 \xrightarrow{f_{\#}^n} d_{n+d} \text{Mot}_{\text{rat}}^O(k, A)(f_{\#}^n \bar{h}^O(X), f_{\#}^n \bar{h}^O(Y)) \\
 \rightarrow CH^{n+d}(\mathcal{X} \times_k \mathcal{Y} - \mathcal{X} \times_S \mathcal{Y}) \otimes A / \equiv \rightarrow 0
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\equiv \text{ image of } \equiv \subset CH^{n+d}(\mathcal{X} \times_k \mathcal{Y}) \otimes A.$$

2.2. L -functions. Situation: $k = \bar{k}$,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \Gamma & \longrightarrow & S \\
 f' \downarrow & & f \downarrow \\
 \text{Spec } K & \xrightarrow{j} & C \\
 & & p \downarrow \\
 & & \text{Spec } k
 \end{array}$$

C curve, S surface, f projective flat generically smooth with geometrically connected fibres, Γ generic fibre of f , $K = k(C)$.

Theorem 12. J Jacobian of C , l prime $\neq \text{char } k$. Isomorphisms

$$H^0(C, j_* R^1 f'_* \mathbf{Q}_l(1)) \simeq V_l(\text{Tr}_{K/k} J)$$

$$H^2(C, j_* R^1 f'_* \mathbf{Q}_l(1)) \simeq V_l(\text{Tr}_{K/k} J)(-1)$$

and exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{LN}(J, K/k) \otimes \mathbf{Q}_l \rightarrow H^1(C, j_* R^1 f'_* \mathbf{Q}_l(1)) \rightarrow H_{\text{tr}}^2(S, \mathbf{Q}_l(1)) \rightarrow 0$$

$$H_{\text{tr}}^2(S, \mathbf{Q}_l(1)) := H^2(S, \mathbf{Q}_l(1)) / \text{NS}(S) \otimes \mathbf{Q}_l.$$

Now assume $k = \bar{k}_0$; $G := \text{Gal}(k/k_0)$.

\mathbf{K}_l Grothendieck group of (continuous, finite-dimensional) \mathbf{Q}_l -representations of G . Consider in \mathbf{K}_l :

(1) $A_l = [H^*(S)]$, alternating sum of cohomology groups of S ;

(2) $B_l = [R^*p_*j_*R^*f'_*\mathbf{Q}_l]$ (9 terms).

These classes lift to classes $A, B \in \mathbf{K}$, \mathbf{K} Grothendieck group of Chow motives (by Murre for A_l and by Theorem 12 for B_l).

Consider the complex

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} = \mathrm{NS}(C) \xrightarrow{f^*} \mathrm{NS}(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{NS}(\Gamma) = \mathbf{Z}.$$

Its homology D at $\mathrm{NS}(S)$ controls multiple fibres of f : may view D as G_k -lattice, hence $D \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ as Artin motive.

Theorem 13. $A - B = [D \otimes \mathbf{Q}(1)]$.

2.3. Case of a finite field. Suppose k_0 finite.

Corollary to Theorem 12 (motivic expression of $L(K, H^1(\Gamma), s)$):

Corollary 14.

$$L(K, H^1(\Gamma), s) = \frac{\zeta(h_1(\mathrm{Tr}_{K/k} J), s) \zeta(h_1(\mathrm{Tr}_{K/k} J), s - 1)}{\zeta(t_2(S), s) \zeta(\mathrm{LN}(J, K/k), s - 1)}. \quad \square$$

This formula involves terms appearing in the various adjoints above...

Corollary to Theorem 13:

Corollary 15.

$$\frac{\zeta(S, s)}{L(K, H^*(\Gamma), s)} = \zeta(D(1), s) = \zeta(D, s - 1).$$