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#### Abstract

We give a proof of the periodicity of quantum $T$-systems of type $A_{n} \times$ $A_{\ell}$ with certain spiral boundary conditions. Our proof is based on categorification of the $T$-system in terms of the representation theory of quantum affine algebras, more precisely on relations between classes of Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules and of evaluation modules.
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## 1. Introduction

The $Q$-system was introduced by Kirillov and Reshetikhin $[\mathrm{KR}]$ as a system of relations between characters of certain simple finite-dimensional representations of the quantum affine algebra $\mathcal{U}_{q}\left(\hat{s} l_{n+1}\right)$, now called Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules

$$
Q_{a, b}^{2}=Q_{a-1, b} Q_{a+1, b}+Q_{a, b+1} Q_{a, b-1},
$$

where $1 \leq a \leq n$ and $b$ is a non-negative integer. Inspired by this work, the $T$-system was written in [KNS1] as a refined version of the $Q$-system depending on a spectral parameter $u$ :

$$
T_{a, b}(u-1) T_{a, b}(u+1)=T_{a-1, b}(u) T_{a+1, b}(u)+T_{a, b+1}(u) T_{a, b-1}(u) .
$$

It was conjectured that it is satisfied by the classes of the Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules.
In a fundamental work [FR], Frenkel and Reshetikhin introduced a character theory for finite-dimensional representations of quantum affine algebras, called the $q$ characters. Then the $T$-system is satisfied by $q$-characters of Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules in all types [ $\mathrm{N} 2, \mathrm{H} 2$ ], and so by their classes as conjectured above.

In another direction, Zamolodchikov initiated in $[\mathrm{Z}]$ a long series of work on the periodicity of solutions of $T$-systems with certain boundary conditions, which culminated in the work of Keller [Kel2] with a very general uniform proof of the periodicity of $T$-systems associated to a pair ( $\Delta, \Delta^{\prime}$ ) of Dynkin diagrams (see [IIKNS, Kel1] for reviews and references).

In this note we propose a simple proof of the periodicity (and half-periodicity) of $T$-systems of type $A_{n} \times A_{\ell}$ and of its quantum version (in the sense of [N2, HL2]), with certain spiral boundary conditions (more general than the unit condition usually considered). We follow the approach in [HL1, Section 12.1] where the proof of the commutative periodicity in type $A_{n} \times A_{1}$ is obtained with formulas for solutions in terms of $q$-characters. Indeed we find solutions in terms of certain evaluation representations, containing Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules but not only, and more precisely in terms of their $q, t$-characters defined by Nakajima [N1].

The quantum periodicity (and half-periodicity) established in this note should also follow from the analog results in the commutative case (with unit boundary condition) mentioned above and from results in [BZ, CKLP] (indeed the approach in [Kel2] is based on the study of the periodicity of a sequence of mutations in a certain cluster algebra). Our direct method gives an explicit solution in terms of $q, t$-characters.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we state the main periodicity and quantum periodicity results and we give several examples. In Section 3 we give the necessary reminders on the representation theory of quantum affine algebras. In Section 4 we recall how the $T$-system appears in the Grothendieck ring of the category of representations and we prove it has also other incarnations. We conclude in Section 5 with the proof of the quantum periodicity.

Acknowledgment : The author is very grateful to Bernard Leclerc for many discussions over the years and to Bernhard Keller for useful remarks and explanations about [Kel2] and its consequences. The author would you like to thank Laura Fedele for her careful reading and for pointing typos in a former version of this paper. The author is supported by the European Research Council under the European Union's Framework Programme H2020 with ERC Grant Agreement number 647353 Qaffine.

## 2. Periodicity and quantum periodicity

In this section we state the periodicity and quantum periodicity of $T$-systems that we establish in this note.
2.1. Periodicity. Let us first state the commutative $A_{n} \times A_{\ell}$-periodicity. Let

$$
I=\{1, \cdots, n\} \text { and } J=\{1, \cdots, \ell\} .
$$

We work on the lattice

$$
\Lambda=\{(a, b, u) \in I \times J \times \mathbb{Z} \mid a+b+u \in 2 \mathbb{Z}\}
$$

Let us consider a family of commuting variables $\left(T_{a, b}(u)\right)_{(a, b, u) \in \Lambda}$ satisfying the $T$ system (sometimes called octahedron relation) :

$$
T_{a, b}(u-1) T_{a, b}(u+1)=T_{a-1, b}(u) T_{a+1, b}(u)+T_{a, b+1}(u) T_{a, b-1}(u),
$$

for any $(a, b, u+1) \in \Lambda$.
So that the system is well-defined, we have to fix the boundary conditions, that is the values of

$$
T_{0, b}(u), T_{a, \ell+1}(u), T_{n+1, b}(u) \text { and } T_{a, 0}(u) .
$$

The first choice is to set all values to 1 , this is called the unit boundary condition (see [IIKNS]). The system is already non trivial with such a choice. We will also consider the following boundary condition. Let $\left(\mathcal{F}_{r}\right)_{r \in I}$ be formal variables that we call coefficients. We also set $\mathcal{F}_{0}=\mathcal{F}_{n+1}=1$. We set the following boundary conditions (for $u$ modulo $2(\ell+n+2))$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
T_{n+1, m}(u)= \begin{cases}\mathcal{F}_{\frac{u+n+m+3}{}}^{2} & \text { for }-n-3 \leq u+m \leq n-1 \\
1 & \text { for } 0 \leq u+m-n+1 \leq 2 \ell+2 .\end{cases} \\
T_{0, m}(u)= \begin{cases}\mathcal{F}^{\frac{u-m+2}{2}} & \text { for }-2 \leq u-m \leq 2 n, \\
1 & \text { for } 0 \leq u-m-2 n \leq 2(\ell+1) .\end{cases} \\
T_{k, \ell+1}(u)= \begin{cases}\mathcal{F}_{\frac{u-k-\ell+1}{2}} & \text { for } 0 \leq u-\ell-k+1 \leq 2 n+2, \\
1 & \text { for } \ell+1 \leq u-2 n-k \leq 3(\ell+1) .\end{cases} \\
T_{k, 0}(u)= \begin{cases}\mathcal{F}_{\frac{u+k+2}{}}^{2} & \text { for }-2 \leq u+k \leq 2 n, \\
1 & \text { for } 0 \leq u-2 n+k \leq 2(\ell+1) .\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

This is a particular case of the spiral boundary condition (see [IIKNS]).
Remark 2.1. For $(a, b, u) \in \Lambda$, an induction on $u \geq a+b-2$ shows that $T_{a, b}(u)$ is a rational fraction in the

$$
X_{k, m}=T_{k, m}(k+m-2) \text { for }(k, m) \in I \times J
$$

and in the coefficients

$$
X_{k, 0}=T_{k, 0}(k-2)=\mathcal{F}_{k} .
$$

We have the following periodicity.
Theorem 2.2. For any $(a, b, u) \in \Lambda$, we have the half-periodicity property :

$$
T_{a, b}(u)=T_{n+1-a, \ell+1-b}(u+n+\ell+2) .
$$

It implies that $T_{a, b}(u)$ is $2(n+\ell+2)$-periodic in $u$.
Example 2.3. Let $n=\ell=1$. The non trivial boundary conditions are

$$
T_{0,1}(1)=T_{1,2}(3)=T_{2,1}(5)=T_{1,0}(7)=\mathcal{F}_{1} .
$$

Set $X=X_{1,1}=T_{1,1}(0)$.
The values of $T_{1,1}(u)$ for $u=0,2,4,6,8$ are respectively

$$
X, \frac{\mathcal{F}_{1}+1}{X}, X, \frac{\mathcal{F}_{1}+1}{X}, X .
$$

Example 2.4. Let $n=1$ and $\ell=2$. The non trivial boundary conditions are

$$
T_{0,1}(1)=T_{0,2}(2)=T_{1,3}(4)=T_{2,2}(6)=T_{2,1}(7)=T_{1,0}(9)=\mathcal{F}_{1}
$$

Set $X_{1}=X_{1,1}=T_{1,1}(0)$ and $X_{2}=X_{1,2}=T_{1,2}(1)$.
The values of $T_{1,1}(t)$ for $t=0,2,4,6,8,10$ are

$$
X_{1}, \frac{\mathcal{F}_{1}+X_{2}}{X_{1}}, \frac{X_{1}+1}{X_{2}}, X_{2}, \frac{\mathcal{F}_{1} X_{1}+\mathcal{F}_{1}+X_{2}}{X_{1} X_{2}}, X_{1}
$$

The values of $T_{1,2}(u)$ for $u=1,3,5,7,9,11$ are respectively

$$
X_{2}, \frac{\mathcal{F}_{1} X_{1}+\mathcal{F}_{1}+X_{2}}{X_{1} X_{2}}, X_{1}, \frac{\mathcal{F}_{1}+X_{2}}{X_{1}}, \frac{X_{1}+1}{X_{2}}, X_{2} .
$$

Example 2.5. Let $n=2$ and $\ell=1$. The non trivial boundary conditions are

$$
\begin{gathered}
T_{0,1}(1)=T_{1,2}(3)=T_{2,2}(4)=T_{3,1}(6)=T_{2,0}(8)=T_{1,0}(9)=\mathcal{F}_{1} \\
T_{1,0}(1)=T_{0,1}(3)=T_{1,2}(5)=T_{2,2}(6)=T_{3,1}(8)=T_{2,0}(11)=\mathcal{F}_{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Set $X_{1}=X_{1,1}=T_{1,1}(0)$ and $X_{2}=X_{2,1}=T_{2,1}(1)$.
The values of $T_{1,1}(t)$ for $t=0,2,4,6,8,10$ are

$$
X_{1}, \frac{\mathcal{F}_{1} X_{2}+\mathcal{F}_{2}}{X_{1}}, \frac{X_{1}+\mathcal{F}_{2}}{X_{2}}, X_{2}, \frac{X_{1}+\mathcal{F}_{2}+\mathcal{F}_{1} X_{2}}{X_{1} X_{2}}, X_{1}
$$

The values of $T_{2,1}(u)$ for $u=1,3,5,7,9,11$ are respectively

$$
X_{2}, \frac{X_{1}+\mathcal{F}_{2}+\mathcal{F}_{1} X_{2}}{X_{1} X_{2}}, X_{1}, \frac{\mathcal{F}_{2}+\mathcal{F}_{1} X_{2}}{X_{1}}, \frac{X_{1}+\mathcal{F}_{2}}{X_{2}}, X_{2}
$$

2.2. Quantum periodicity. Let us now the state the quantum version of the $A_{n} \times A_{\ell^{-}}$ periodicity (see also [KN] and [DFK] for $n=1$ ).

We work now with quasi-commuting variables $\left(X_{a, b}\right)_{(a, b) \in I \times J}$ :

$$
X_{a, b} * X_{c, d}=t^{\gamma(a, b ; c, d)-\gamma(c, d ; a, b)} X_{c, d} * X_{a, b}
$$

To define the power of $t$, we use the inverse $\tilde{C}(z)$ of the quantized Cartan matrix

$$
C(z)=\left(\left(z+z^{-1}\right) \delta_{i, j}-\delta_{i+1, j}-\delta_{i-1, j}\right)_{i, j \in I}
$$

For $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a, c \in I$, we denote by $\tilde{C}_{a, c}(p)$ the coefficient of $z^{p}$ in the expansion in $z$ of $\tilde{C}_{a, c}(p)$. We set
$\gamma(a, b ; c, d)=\tilde{C}_{a, c}(2 \ell-2 b+c-a+1)+\tilde{C}_{a, c}(2 \ell-2 b+c-a-1)+\cdots+\tilde{C}_{a, c}(2 d-2 b+c-a+1)$.
The relation is also extended to $b=0$ or $d=0$ so that we get the quasi-commutation rule with the coefficients. In particular for $r<r^{\prime}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{r} * \mathcal{F}_{r^{\prime}}=t^{\tilde{C}_{r, r^{\prime}}\left(2 \ell+r^{\prime}-r+1\right)+\tilde{C}_{r, r^{\prime}}\left(2 \ell+r^{\prime}-r-1\right)+\cdots+\tilde{C}_{r, r^{\prime}}\left(2 \ell+r-r^{\prime}+3\right)} \mathcal{F}_{r^{\prime}} * \mathcal{F}_{r} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\mathcal{F}_{r} * \mathcal{F}_{r+1}=t^{\tilde{C}_{r, r+1}(2 \ell+2)} \mathcal{F}_{r+1} * \mathcal{F}_{r}$. This is derived from $\tilde{C}_{i, j}(k)=0$ if $k \leq|j-i|$ (which can be observed for example in the formula in [GTL, Appendix A.3]).

The quasi-commuting variables $\left(X_{a, b}\right)_{(a, b) \in I \times J}$ with the $\mathcal{F}_{t}$ generate a quantum torus $\mathcal{T}_{t}$ over $\mathbb{Z}\left[t^{ \pm 1 / 2}\right]$. We denote its fraction field by $K_{t}$. It has an antimultiplicative bar involution satisfying $\bar{t}=t^{-1}$ and so that the $X_{a, b}, \mathcal{F}_{t}$ are bar-invariant.

For each product $m$ of various $X_{a, b}^{ \pm 1}, \mathcal{F}_{t}^{ \pm 1}$, there is a unique $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ so that $t^{\alpha / 2} m$ is bar invariant. This is called a commutative monomial. The commutative monomials form a $\mathbb{Z}\left[t^{ \pm 1 / 2}\right]$-basis of $\mathcal{T}_{t}$.

Example 2.6. For $n=\ell=1$, we have :

$$
X_{1} * \mathcal{F}_{1}=t^{2} \mathcal{F}_{1} * X_{1}
$$

For $n=1, \ell=2$, we have :

$$
X_{1} * X_{2}=t^{-2} X_{2} * X_{1}, X_{1} * \mathcal{F}_{1}=\mathcal{F}_{1} * X_{1}, X_{2} * \mathcal{F}_{1}=\mathcal{F}_{1} * X_{2}
$$

For $n=2, \ell=1$, we have :

$$
\begin{gathered}
X_{1} * X_{2}=t X_{2} * X_{1}, X_{1} * \mathcal{F}_{1}=t \mathcal{F}_{1} * X_{1}, X_{1} * \mathcal{F}_{2}=\mathcal{F}_{2} * X_{1} \\
X_{2} * \mathcal{F}_{2}=t \mathcal{F}_{2} * X_{2}, X_{2} * \mathcal{F}_{1}=t \mathcal{F}_{1} * X_{2}, \mathcal{F}_{1} * \mathcal{F}_{2}=t^{-1} \mathcal{F}_{2} * \mathcal{F}_{1}
\end{gathered}
$$

We fix the same the boundary conditions as for the commutative setting above.
Theorem 2.7. Consider a family of bar-invariant $T_{a, b}(u) \in K_{t}$ satisfying :

$$
T_{a, b}(u-1) * T_{a, b}(u+1) \in t^{\mathbb{Z} / 2} T_{a-1, b}(u) * T_{a+1, b}(u)+t^{\mathbb{Z} / 2} T_{a, b+1}(u) * T_{a, b-1}(u)
$$

for $(a, b, u+1) \in \Lambda$. We assume the same initial conditions as in Remark 2.1 and the same spiral boudary conditions as in the classical setting. Then for $(a, b, u) \in \Lambda$ :

$$
T_{a, b}(u)=T_{n+1-a, \ell+1-b}(u+n+\ell+2) .
$$

It implies that $T_{a, b}(u)$ is $2(n+\ell+2)$-periodic in $u$.
The classical periodicity in Theorem 2.2 follows directly from this Theorem. We propose a simple proof based on the representations theory of quantum affine algebras and on their Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules.

Example 2.8. Let us study the examples 2.3, 2.4, 2.5 above. In these examples, let us just replace each Laurent monomial in the $X_{a, b}$ by the corresponding commutative monomial in the quantum torus. We get bar-invariant elements in $\mathcal{T}_{t}$ and we keep the notation $T_{a, b}(u)$. Let us verify they satisfy the quantum $T$-system.

Let $n=\ell=1$. We get :

$$
T_{1,1}(0) * T_{1,1}(2)=t \mathcal{F}_{1}+1, \quad T_{1,1}(2) * T_{1,1}(4)=t^{-1} \mathcal{F}_{1}+1
$$

Let $n=1, \ell=2$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{1,1}(0) * T_{1,1}(2)=\mathcal{F}_{1}+t^{-1} T_{1,2}(1), & T_{1,2}(1) * T_{1,2}(3)=\mathcal{F}_{1}+t^{-1} T_{1,1}(2), \\
T_{1,1}(2) * T_{1,1}(4)=1+t^{-1} T_{1,2}(3), & T_{1,2}(3) * T_{1,2}(5)=1+t^{-1} \mathcal{F}_{1} * T_{1,1}(4), \\
T_{1,1}(4) * T_{1,1}(6)=1+t^{-1} T_{1,2}(5), & T_{1,2}(5) * T_{1,2}(7)=\mathcal{F}_{1}+t^{-1} T_{1,1}(6), \\
T_{1,1}(6) * T_{1,1}(8)=\mathcal{F}_{1}+t^{-1} T_{1,2}(7), & T_{1,2}(7) * T_{1,2}(9)=1+t^{-1} T_{1,1}(8), \\
T_{1,1}(8) * T_{1,1}(10)=1+t^{-1} T_{1,2}(9) * \mathcal{F}_{1}, & T_{1,2}(9) * T_{1,2}(11)=1+t^{-1} T_{1,1}(10) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $n=2, \ell=1$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{1,1}(0) * T_{1,1}(2)=t^{\frac{1}{2}} T_{2,1}(1) * \mathcal{F}_{1}+\mathcal{F}_{2}, & T_{2,1}(1) * T_{2,1}(3)=t T_{1,1}(2)+1 \\
T_{1,1}(2) * T_{1,1}(4)=t^{\frac{3}{2}} T_{2,1}(3) * \mathcal{F}_{2}+\mathcal{F}_{1}, & T_{2,1}(3) * T_{2,1}(5)=t^{\frac{1}{2}} T_{1,1}(4)+t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{F}_{1} \\
T_{1,1}(4) * T_{1,1}(6)=t^{\frac{1}{2}} T_{2,1}(5)+t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{F}_{2}, & T_{2,1}(5) * T_{2,1}(7)=t^{\frac{3}{2}} \mathcal{F}_{1} * T_{1,1}(6)+\mathcal{F}_{2} \\
T_{1,1}(6) * T_{1,1}(8)=t T_{2,1}(7)+1, & T_{2,1}(7) * T_{2,1}(9)=t^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{F}_{2} * T_{1,1}(8)+\mathcal{F}_{1} \\
T_{1,1}(8) * T_{1,1}(10)=t^{\frac{1}{2}} T_{2,1}(9)+t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{F}_{1}, & T_{2,1}(9) * T_{2,1}(11)=t^{\frac{1}{2}} T_{1,1}(10)+t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{F}_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. Finite-dimensional representations of quantum affine algebras

We recall the main definitions and properties of finite-dimensional representations of the quantum affine algebra associated to $s l_{n+1}$.
3.1. Quantum affine algebras. All vector spaces, algebras and tensor products are defined over $\mathbb{C}$.

Let $C=\left(C_{i, j}\right)_{0 \leq i, j \leq n}$ be the Cartan matrix of type $A_{n}^{(1)}$, that is

$$
C_{i, j}=2 \delta_{i, j}-\delta_{i, j+1}-\delta_{i+1, j}
$$

where $n+1$ is identified with 0 . Fix $q \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$ which is not a root of unity.
The quantum affine algebra $\mathcal{U}_{q}(\mathfrak{g})$ is defined by generators $k_{i}^{ \pm 1}, x_{i}^{ \pm}(0 \leq i \leq n)$ and relations

$$
\begin{gathered}
k_{i} k_{j}=k_{j} k_{i}, k_{i} x_{j}^{ \pm}=q^{ \pm C_{i, j}} x_{j}^{ \pm} k_{i},\left[x_{i}^{+}, x_{j}^{-}\right]=\delta_{i, j} \frac{k_{i}-k_{i}^{-1}}{q-q^{-1}}, \\
\sum_{p=0 \cdots 1-C_{i, j}}(-1)^{p}\left(x_{i}^{ \pm}\right)^{\left(1-C_{i, j}-p\right)} x_{j}^{ \pm}\left(x_{i}^{ \pm}\right)^{(p)}=0(\text { for } i \neq j),
\end{gathered}
$$

where we denote $\left(x_{i}^{ \pm}\right)^{(p)}=\left(x_{i}^{ \pm}\right)^{p} /[p]_{q}$ for $0 \leq p \leq 2$, where $[p]_{q}=\left(q^{p}-q^{-p}\right)\left(q-q^{-1}\right)^{-1}$.
It is a Hopf algebra with a coproduct $\Delta: \mathcal{U}_{q}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{q}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathcal{U}_{q}(\mathfrak{g})$ defined for $0 \leq i \leq n$ by

$$
\Delta\left(k_{i}\right)=k_{i} \otimes k_{i}, \Delta\left(x_{i}^{+}\right)=x_{i}^{+} \otimes 1+k_{i} \otimes x_{i}^{+}, \Delta\left(x_{i}^{-}\right)=x_{i}^{-} \otimes k_{i}^{-1}+1 \otimes x_{i}^{-}
$$

Let $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}=s l_{n+1}$ be the finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra of Cartan matrix $\left(C_{i, j}\right)_{i, j \in I}$. We denote respectively by $\omega_{i}, \alpha_{i}, \alpha_{i}^{\vee}(i \in I)$ the fundamental weights, the simple roots and the simple coroots of $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$. We use the standard partial ordering $\leq$ on the weight lattice $P$ of $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$.

The algebra $\mathcal{U}_{q}(\mathfrak{g})$ has another set of generators, the Drinfeld generators, denoted by

$$
x_{i, m}^{ \pm}, k_{i}^{ \pm 1}, h_{i, r}, c^{ \pm 1 / 2} \text { for } i \in I, m \in \mathbb{Z}, r \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\} .
$$

We have $x_{i}^{ \pm}=x_{i, 0}^{ \pm}$for $i \in I$. A complete set of relations for Drinfeld generators was obtained in [B, D]. In particular the multiplication defines a surjective linear morphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{U}_{q}^{-}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathcal{U}_{q}(\mathfrak{h}) \otimes \mathcal{U}_{q}^{+}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{q}(\mathfrak{g}) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{U}_{q}^{ \pm}(\mathfrak{g})$ is the subalgebra generated by the $x_{i, m}^{ \pm}(i \in I, m \in \mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathcal{U}_{q}(\mathfrak{h})$ is the subalgebra generated by the $k_{i}^{ \pm 1}$, the $h_{i, r}$ and $c^{ \pm 1 / 2}(i \in I, r \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\})$.
3.2. Finite-dimensional representations. We refer to $[\mathrm{CH}]$ for generalities on the category $\mathcal{C}$ of finite-dimensional representations of $\mathcal{U}_{q}(\mathfrak{g})$. For $i \in I$, the action of $k_{i}$ on any object of $\mathcal{C}$ is diagonalizable with eigenvalues in $\pm q^{\mathbb{Z}}$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\mathcal{C}$ is the category of type 1 finite-dimensional representations (see [CP2]), i.e. we assume that for any object of $\mathcal{C}$, the eigenvalues of $k_{i}$ are in $q^{\mathbb{Z}}$ for $i \in I$. The simple objects of $\mathcal{C}$ are parametrized by $n$-tuples of polynomials $\left(P_{i}(u)\right)_{i \in I}$ satisfying $P_{i}(0)=1$ (they are called Drinfeld polynomials) [CP1, CP2].

In type $A$, there is a family of evaluation morphisms $e v_{a}: \mathcal{U}_{q}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{q}(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ parametrized by $a \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$. Hence for $V$ a simple finite-dimensional representations of $\mathcal{U}_{q}(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$, by pullback we get an evaluation representation $(V)_{a}$. If the highest weight of $V$ is a multiple of a fundamental weight, then $V$ is a Kirillov-Reshetikhin module. In the particular case of a fundamental weight, we get the fundamental representations $V_{i}(a)=\left(V\left(\omega_{i}\right)\right)_{a}$ of Drinfeld polynomials $(1, \cdots, 1,1-z a, 1, \cdots, 1)$ with a non-trivial polynomial in position $i$. Their classes generate the Grothendieck ring $K_{0}(\mathcal{C})$ of the category $\mathcal{C}$ which is a polynomial ring in the variables $\left[V_{i}(a)\right]$ as proved in [FR]. In general simple finitedimensional representations are not evaluation modules.

For $\omega \in P$, the weight space $V_{\omega}$ of an object $V$ in $\mathcal{C}$ is the set of weight vectors of weight $\omega$, i.e. of vectors $v \in V$ satisfying $k_{i} v=q^{\left(\omega\left(\alpha_{i}^{\vee}\right)\right)} v$ for any $i \in I$.

The elements $c^{ \pm 1 / 2}$ act by identity on any object $V$ of $\mathcal{C}$, and so the action of the $h_{i, r}$ commute. Since the $h_{i, r}, i \in I, r \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$, also commute with the $k_{i}, i \in I$, every object in $\mathcal{C}$ can be decomposed as a direct sum of generalized eigenspaces of the $h_{i, r}$ and $k_{i}$. More precisely, by Frenkel-Reshetikhin theory of $q$-characters [FR], the eigenvalues of the $h_{i, r}$ and $k_{i}$ can be encoded by monomials $m$ in formal variables $Y_{i, a}^{ \pm 1}\left(i \in I, a \in \mathbb{C}^{*}\right)$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the set of such monomials (also called l-weights). Given $m \in \mathcal{M}$ and an object $V$ in $\mathcal{C}$, let $V_{m}$ be the subspace of $V$ of common pseudo-eigenvectors of the $h_{i, r}$, $k_{i}$ with pseudo-eigenvalues associated to $m$ (also called $l$-weight space). Thus,

$$
V=\bigoplus_{m \in \mathcal{M}} V_{m} .
$$

If $v \in V_{m}$, then $v$ is a weight vector of weight

$$
\omega(m)=\sum_{i \in I, a \in \mathbb{C}^{*}} u_{i, a}(m) \omega_{i} \in P,
$$

where we denote $m=\prod_{i \in I, a \in \mathbb{C}^{*}} Y_{i, a}^{u_{i, a}(m)}$. For $v \in V_{m}$, we set $\omega(v)=\omega(m)$.
The $q$-character morphism is an injective ring morphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi_{q}: \operatorname{Rep}\left(\mathcal{U}_{q}(\mathfrak{g})\right) & \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}=\mathbb{Z}\left[Y_{i, a}^{ \pm 1}\right]_{i \in I, a \in \mathbb{C}^{*}} \\
\chi_{q}(V) & =\sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}} \operatorname{dim}\left(V_{m}\right) m .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $V_{m} \neq\{0\}$ we say that $m$ is an $l$-weight of $V$.
A monomial $m \in \mathcal{M}$ is said to be dominant if $u_{i, a}(m) \geq 0$ for any $i \in I, a \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$. For $V$ a simple object in $\mathcal{C}$, let $M(V)$ be the highest weight monomial of $\chi_{q}(V)$, that is so that $\omega(M(V))$ is maximal for the partial ordering on $P . M(V)$ is dominant and characterizes the isomorphism class of $V$ (it is equivalent to the data of the Drinfeld
polynomials). Hence to a dominant monomial $M$ is associated a simple representation $L(M)$. For $i \in I$ and $a \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$, we have for example the fundamental representation $V_{i}(a)=L\left(Y_{i, a}\right)$. The simple modules of highest weight monomial

$$
X_{i, \alpha}^{\beta}=Y_{i, q^{\alpha}} Y_{i, q^{\alpha+2}} \cdots Y_{i, q^{\alpha+2(\beta-1)}}
$$

for some $i \in I, \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}, \beta \geq 1$ are Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules. We will also use the notation $X_{i, \alpha}^{\beta}=1$ for $\beta \leq 0$.

Example 3.1. The $q$-character of the fundamental representation $L\left(Y_{a}\right)$ of $\mathcal{U}_{q}\left(s \hat{l}_{2}\right)$ is

$$
\chi_{q}\left(L\left(Y_{a}\right)\right)=Y_{a}+Y_{a q^{2}}^{-1} .
$$

The $q$-characters of evaluation modules, including Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules and fundamental modules, are known explicitly (see references in the introduction of [H3]). The formulas involve the monomials $A_{i, a}$ defined in [FR] for $i \in I, a \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$ by

$$
A_{i, a}=Y_{i, a q-q_{i}} Y_{i, a q^{r_{i}}} \times \prod_{\left\{j \in I \mid C_{i, j}=-1\right\}} Y_{j, a}^{-1}
$$

3.3. Quantum Grothendieck ring. The Grothendieck ring $K_{0}(\mathcal{C})$ has a $t$-deformation called quantum Grothendieck ring $K_{t}(\mathcal{C})$ as constructed in [VV, N1, H1] (we use the version of $[\mathrm{H} 1, \mathrm{HL} 2])$. It is a $\mathbb{Z}\left[t^{ \pm 1 / 2}\right]$-subalgebra of a quantum torus $\mathcal{Y}_{t}$ and simple objects $L(m)$ have corresponding classes $[L(m)]_{t} \in K_{t}(\mathcal{C})$. A quantum version of a result in [FM] gives the following [N1] :

$$
\begin{equation*}
[L(m)]_{t} \in m *\left(1+\mathbb{Z}\left[t^{ \pm 1 / 2}, A_{i, c}^{-1}\right]_{i \in I, c \in \mathbb{C}^{*}}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words, $m$ is maximal for the Nakajima partial ordering on monomials, that is $M \preceq M^{\prime}$ if $M^{\prime} M^{-1}$ is a product of variables $A_{i, c}$.

If a simple module $V$ is thin, that is if its $\ell$-weight spaces are of dimension 1 , then $[V]_{t}$ is a sum of commutative monomials (defined as in section 2.2) and can be identified with its $q$-character (see [HL2, Corollary 5.3]). In type $A$, all simple evaluation modules are thin.

## 4. Relations in the Grothendieck ring

We recall how the $T$-system originally occurs in the representation theory of quantum affine algebras and we also establish another incarnation of the $T$-system in the Grothendieck ring $K_{0}(\mathcal{C})$ (that we call horizontal $T$-system).

We denote $I=\{1, \cdots, n\}$ and $J=\{1, \cdots, \ell\}$ as above.
4.1. Original $T$-systems. For $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $0 \leq m \leq p \leq \ell$, consider the KirillovReshetikhin module

$$
\beta(m, p)^{i}=L\left(X_{i, i+2 m}^{p-m+1}\right)
$$

We extend the notation to $i=0$ and $i=n+1$ by setting $\beta(m, p)^{i}=1$ in these cases.
For $i \in I$ and $0 \leq m \leq p<\ell$, we have the $T$-system in $K_{0}(\mathcal{C})$ :

$$
\beta(m, p)^{i} \beta(m+1, p+1)^{i}=\beta(m, p+1)^{i} \beta(m+1, p)^{i}+\beta(m+1, p+1)^{i-1} \beta(m, p)^{i+1} .
$$

See the list of references in the introduction of [H3]. It can be deformed into the quantum $T$-system in $K_{t}(\mathcal{C})$ (see [N2, HL2]) :
$\beta(m, p)^{i} * \beta(m+1, p+1)^{i}=t^{\lambda} \beta(m, p+1)^{i} * \beta(m+1, p)^{i}+t^{\mu} \beta(m+1, p+1)^{i-1} * \beta(m, p)^{i+1}$. for some $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Z} / 2$ which depend in $m, p, i$ (they can be explicitly computed but this is not relevant for the following).
4.2. Horizontal $T$-systems. The $T$-system has another incarnation in $K_{0}(\mathcal{C})$.

For $0 \leq i \leq j \leq n+1$ and $0 \leq m \leq \ell+1$, consider the evaluation module

$$
\alpha(i, j)^{m}=L\left(M_{[i, j]}^{m}\right) \text { where } M_{[i, j]}^{m}=X_{i, i}^{m} X_{j, j+2 m}^{\ell+1-m} .
$$

Some of these representations are Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(i, n+1)^{m+1}=\beta(0, m)^{i} \text { and } \alpha(0, i)^{m}=\beta(m, \ell)^{i} \text { for } 0 \leq m \leq \ell \text { and } 0 \leq i \leq n+1 . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $0 \leq i \leq n+1$ and $j \geq 0$, we will denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{i}=L\left(X_{i, i}^{\ell+1}\right)=\alpha(i, i)^{m}=\beta(0, \ell)^{i}=\alpha(i, i+j)^{\ell+1}=\alpha(i-j, i)^{0} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $F_{0}=F_{n+1}=1$.
Theorem 4.1. For $0 \leq i<j \leq n$ and $m \in J$, there are $\lambda, \lambda^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z} / 2$, so that :
$\alpha(i, j)^{m} * \alpha(i+1, j+1)^{m}=t^{\lambda} \alpha(i, j+1)^{m} * \alpha(i+1, j)^{m}+t^{\lambda^{\prime}} \alpha(i, j)^{m+1} * \alpha(i+1, j+1)^{m-1}$.
Remark 4.2. (i) This relation is "orthogonal" to the original quantum $T$-system in the sense that the spectral parameter is replaced by the vertex of the Dynkin diagram.
(ii) At $t=1$, it can be shown that the relation comes from a non-split exact sequence obtained by a normalized $R$-matrix, as for the original $T$-system (see [N2, H2]). In fact, it can be checked that the two tensor products associated to the right hand terms correspond to simple modules. Using [C, Theorem 4], the proof is analogous to the one for the $T$-system.
(iii) At $t=1$, this relation can be seen as an extended $T$-systems in [MY].
(iv) For the limit values of $i, j$, the relation involves both the $\alpha$ and the $\beta$-modules and so connect the two families. The specialization at $t=1$ reads :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\beta(m, \ell)^{j} \alpha(1, j+1)^{m}=\beta(m, \ell)^{j+1} \alpha(1, j)^{m}+\beta(m+1, \ell)^{j} \alpha(1, j+1)^{m-1}(\text { for } i=0) \\
\alpha(i, n)^{m} \beta(0, m-1)^{i+1}=\beta(0, m-1)^{i} \alpha(i+1, n)^{m}+\alpha(i, n)^{m+1} \beta(0, m-2)^{i+1}(\text { for } j=0) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. By [FR, FM], a $q$-character is determined uniquely by the multiplicity of its dominant monomials. We will use the notation $A_{i, \lambda}$ instead of $A_{i, q^{\lambda}}$ for $i \in I, \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$. First we prove that $\alpha(i, j)^{m} * \alpha(i+1, j+1)^{m}$ has $2 m+1$ dominant monomials :

$$
\begin{gathered}
M_{1}=M_{[i, j]}^{m} M_{[i+1, j+1]}^{m}, M_{2}=M_{1} A_{i+1, i+2 m}^{-1} A_{i+2, i+1+2 m}^{-1} \cdots A_{j, j+2 m-1}^{-1}, \\
M_{2 r}=M_{2} \prod_{2 \leq p \leq r}\left(A_{i, i+2 m-2 p+3} A_{i+1, i+2 m-2 p+2}\right)^{-1}, M_{2 r+1}=M_{2 r} A_{i, i+2 m-2 r+1}^{-1},
\end{gathered}
$$

where $1 \leq r \leq m$. It is clear that these monomials occur. Indeed $M_{1}$ is the product of the highest monomials. For $2 \leq r \leq 2 m+1$, we decompose

$$
M_{r}=\left(M_{[i+1, j+1]}^{m}\left(M_{2} M_{1}^{-1}\right)\right) \times\left(M_{[i, j]}^{m}\left(M_{r} M_{2}^{-1}\right)\right) .
$$

Now consider $M \neq M_{1}$ a dominant monomial which occurs. We factorize

$$
M=M_{1} M^{\prime} M^{\prime \prime}
$$

where $M^{\prime}$ (resp. $M^{\prime \prime}$ ) is a monomial of $\left(M_{[i, j]}^{m}\right)^{-1} \alpha(i, j)^{m}$ (resp. of $\left(M_{[i+1, j+1]}^{m}\right)^{-1} \alpha(i+$ $\left.1, j+1)^{m}\right)$. As $M$ is dominant, we have $M^{\prime} M^{\prime \prime} \in \mathbb{Z}\left[A_{k, r}^{-1}\right]_{k \in I, r \leq j+2 \ell}$. Then

$$
M^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}\left[A_{k, r}^{-1}\right]_{k \leq j-1, r \in \mathbb{Z}}
$$

and that there is $R \geq 0$ such that

$$
M^{\prime \prime} \in\left(A_{j, j+2 m-1} A_{j, j+2 m-3} \cdots A_{j, j+2 m-1-2 R}\right)^{-1} \mathbb{Z}\left[A_{k, r}^{-1}\right]_{k \leq j-1, r \in \mathbb{Z}} .
$$

The monomial $\tilde{M}=M\left(X_{j+1, j+1+2 m}^{\ell+1-m} X_{j, j+2 m}^{\ell+1-m}\right)^{-1}$ is a monomial of $\chi_{q}\left(L\left(X_{i, i}^{m} X_{i+1, i+1}^{m}\right)\right)$ which has a unique dominant monomial. Hence $\tilde{M} Y_{j, j+2 m}$ is dominant. So

$$
\tilde{M}^{\prime}=\tilde{M}\left(A_{j, j+2 m-1} \cdots A_{i+1, i+2 m}^{-1}\right)
$$

is a monomial of $\chi_{q}\left(L\left(X_{i, i}^{m} X_{i+1, i+1}^{m}\right)\right)$. If $\tilde{M}^{\prime}=X_{i, i}^{m} X_{i+1, i+1}^{m}$, then $M=M_{2}$. Otherwise, $\tilde{M} A_{i, i+2 m-1}$ is a monomial of $\chi_{q}\left(L\left(X_{i, i}^{m} X_{i+1, i+1}^{m}\right)\right)$. We continue by induction, and so $M$ is one of the $M_{r}$.

This also implies that each $M_{r}$ occurs with multiplicity which is a power of $t$.
Similarly, we get that $\alpha(i, j+1)^{m} * \alpha(i+1, j)^{m}$ has $m+1$ dominant monomials which are the $M_{2 r+1}$ for $0 \leq r \leq m$. We also get that $\alpha(i, j)^{m+1} * \alpha(i+1, j+1)^{m-1}$ has $m$ dominant monomials which are the $M_{2 r}$ for $1 \leq r \leq m$.

To conclude, we have to check that the powers of $t$ match : this can be done using positivity in the quantum Grothendieck ring as in [HL2, Section 5.10] or directly as in [HO, section 9].

## 5. Proof of periodicity

In this section we finish the proof of the quantum periodicity.
It suffices to identify the $T_{a, b}(t)$ with variables satisfying the $T$-system, the halfperiodicity and such that the variables corresponding to the $X_{a, b}$ are algebraically independent. We will identify the $T_{a, b}(t)$ with certain $q, t$-characters of minimal affinizations, that is elements of the quantum torus $\mathcal{Y}_{t}$.

For $0 \leq k \leq n+1,0 \leq m \leq \ell+1$ and $u \in \mathbb{Z}$ so that $k+m+u \in 2 \mathbb{Z}$, we set :

$$
T_{k, m}(u)= \begin{cases}\alpha\left(\frac{u+2-k-m}{2}, \frac{u+2+k-m}{2}\right)^{m} & \text { for } 0 \leq u+2-k-m \leq 2(n+1-k), \\ \beta\left(\frac{u-2 n+k-m}{2}, \frac{u-2 n-2+k+m}{2}\right)^{n+1-k} & \text { for } m \leq u-2 n+k \leq 2 \ell-m+2, \\ \alpha\left(\frac{u-2 n-2 \ell+k+m-2}{2}, \frac{u-k-2 \ell+m}{2}\right)^{\ell+1-m} & \text { for } 0 \leq u-2 n-2 \ell-2+m+k \leq 2 k, \\ \beta\left(\frac{u-2-2 n-k+m-2 \ell}{2}, \frac{u-2 n-2-k-m}{2}\right)^{k} & \text { for }-m \leq u-2 \ell-2 n-k-2 \leq m .\end{cases}
$$

This defines $T_{k, m}(u)$ for $0 \leq u-m-k+2 \leq 2 n+2 \ell+4$, and we extend the definition for any $u$ by $2(n+\ell+2)$-periodicity.

Remark 5.1. (i) The formulas in all cases are compatible thanks to relations (5).
(ii) Identifying the class $F_{r}$ defined in (6) with $\mathcal{F}_{r}$, we recover boundary conditions of Section 2.

The $X_{k, m}$ quasi-commute, with the same rules as in Section 2. The relations (4) and Theorem 4.1 imply that the $T_{a, b}(u)$ satisfy the quantum $T$-system for a distinguished choice of the powers of $t$ (let us call it the distinguished powers).

The $\left(X_{k, m}\right)_{(k, m) \in I \times(J \cup\{0\})}$ form a family of algebraically independent variables. We may argue as in [HL4]. Let us explain this point for completeness : all the representations we consider belong to the monoidal category $\mathcal{C}_{\ell}^{o}$ of representations whose classes belong to the subring of the Grothendieck ring $K_{0}(\mathcal{C})$ generated by the classes of fundamental representations $\left[L\left(Y_{k, k+2 m}\right)\right]$ for $(k, m) \in I \times(J \cup\{0\})$. Then there is an injective ring morphism

$$
\chi_{q}^{T}: K_{0}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\ell}^{o}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}
$$

called truncated $q$-character morphism [HL3] : it is defined so that for $L(m)$ a simple module in $\mathcal{C}_{\ell}^{o}, \chi_{q}^{T}(L(m))$ is obtained from $\chi_{q}(L(m))$ by removing the monomials $m^{\prime}$ so that in $m^{\prime} m^{-1}$ contains a factor of the form $A_{k, k+2 \ell+1}^{-1}, k \in I$. Now by [H2], the $\chi_{q}^{T}\left(X_{k, m}\right)=X_{k, k+2 m}^{\ell+1-m}$ are just monomials which are clearly algebraically independent.

As by construction we have $T_{a, b}(u)=T_{n+1-a, \ell+1-b}(u+n+\ell+2)$, we get the result for the quantum $T$-system with the distinguished powers of $t$.

To conclude it suffices to check that the powers of $t$ correspond automatically to the distinguished choice. We consider a solution and we prove by induction on $u \geq a+b-2$ that the $T_{a, b}(u)$ correspond to the $q, t$-characters and that the powers of $t$ are given by the distinguished choice. As discussed above, the $X_{a, b}$ are algebraically independent so we can identify the $T_{a, b}(u)$ for $u=a+b-2$, with the corresponding $q, t$-characters. In general, we have a relation

$$
T_{a, b}(U+1) * T_{a, b}(U-1)=t^{\alpha} T_{a-1, b}(U) * T_{a+1, b}(U)+t^{\beta} T_{a, b+1}(U) * T_{a, b-1}(U)
$$

for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z} / 2$. For $u \leq U$, we have $T_{a, b}(u)=M_{a, b}(u) \chi_{a, b}(u)$ where $M_{a, b}(u)$ is a monomial in the quantum torus and $\chi_{a, b}(u)$ is a polynomial in the $A_{i, c}^{-1}$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right]$ and with constant term 1 (see (3)). Then $\left(\chi_{a, b}(u)\right)^{-1}$ is a formal power series in the $A_{i, c}^{-1}$. Each term of the sum
$T_{a, b}(U+1)=t^{\alpha} T_{a-1, b}(U) * T_{a+1, b}(U) *\left(T_{a, b}(U-1)\right)^{-1}+t^{\beta} T_{a, b+1}(U) * T_{a, b-1}(U) *\left(T_{a, b}(U-1)\right)^{-1}$
is a monomial multiplied by such a formal power series. The highest monomial is

$$
t^{\alpha} M_{a-1, b}(U) * M_{a+1, b}(U) *\left(M_{a, b}(U-1)\right)^{-1}
$$

which only appears in the first term. As $T_{a, b}(U+1)$ is bar invariant, it imposes that $\alpha$ is the power of the distinguished choice. Then one may consider

$$
T_{a, b}(U+1)-t^{\alpha} T_{a-1, b}(U) * T_{a+1, b}(U) *\left(T_{a, b}(U-1)\right)^{-1} .
$$

The same arguments identifies $\beta$ with the distinguished choice. Hence $T_{a, b}(U+1)$ satisfies the equation as the corresponding $q, t$-character and so is equal to it.

Example 5.2. Let us study the examples 2.3, 2.4, 2.5 above. Let $n=\ell=1$. We get :

$$
L\left(Y_{3}\right) * L\left(Y_{1}\right)=t L\left(Y_{1} Y_{3}\right)+1, \quad L\left(Y_{1}\right) * L\left(Y_{3}\right)=t^{-1} L\left(Y_{1} Y_{3}\right)+1 .
$$

Let $n=1, \ell=2$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
L\left(Y_{3} Y_{5}\right) * L\left(Y_{1}\right)=L\left(Y_{1} Y_{3} Y_{5}\right)+t^{-1} L\left(Y_{5}\right), & L\left(Y_{5}\right) * L\left(Y_{1} Y_{3}\right)=L\left(Y_{1} Y_{3} Y_{5}\right)+t^{-1} L\left(Y_{1}\right), \\
L\left(Y_{1}\right) * L\left(Y_{3}\right)=1+t^{-1} L\left(Y_{1} Y_{3}\right), & L\left(Y_{1} Y_{3}\right) * L\left(Y_{3} Y_{5}\right)=1+t^{-1} L\left(Y_{1} Y_{3} Y_{5}\right) * L\left(Y_{3}\right), \\
L\left(Y_{3}\right) * L\left(Y_{5}\right)=1+t^{-1} L\left(Y_{3} Y_{5}\right), & L\left(Y_{3} Y_{5}\right) * L\left(Y_{1}\right)=L\left(Y_{1} Y_{3} Y_{5}\right)+t^{-1} L\left(Y_{5}\right), \\
L\left(Y_{5}\right) * L\left(Y_{1} Y_{3}\right)=L\left(Y_{1} Y_{3} Y_{5}\right)+t^{-1} L\left(Y_{1}\right), & L\left(Y_{1}\right) * L\left(Y_{3}\right)=1+t^{-1} L\left(Y_{1} Y_{3}\right), \\
L\left(Y_{1} Y_{3}\right) * L\left(Y_{3} Y_{5}\right)=1+t^{-1} L\left(Y_{3}\right) * L\left(Y_{1} Y_{3} Y_{5}\right), & L\left(Y_{3}\right) * L\left(Y_{5}\right)=1+t^{-1} L\left(Y_{3} Y_{5}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $n=2, \ell=1$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
L\left(Y_{1,3}\right) * L\left(Y_{1,1} Y_{2,4}\right) & =t^{\frac{1}{2}} L\left(Y_{2,4}\right) * L\left(Y_{1,1} Y_{1,3}\right)+L\left(Y_{2,2} Y_{2,4}\right), \\
L\left(Y_{2,4}\right) * L\left(Y_{1,1}\right) & =t L\left(Y_{1,1} Y_{2,4}\right)+1, \\
L\left(Y_{1,1} Y_{2,4}\right) * L\left(Y_{2,2}\right) & =t^{\frac{3}{2}} L\left(Y_{1,1}\right) * L\left(Y_{2,2} Y_{2,4}\right)+L\left(Y_{1,1} Y_{1,3}\right), \\
L\left(Y_{1,1}\right) * L\left(Y_{1,3}\right) & =t^{\frac{1}{2}} L\left(Y_{2,2}\right)+t^{-\frac{1}{2}} L\left(Y_{1,1} Y_{1,3}\right), \\
L\left(Y_{2,2}\right) * L\left(Y_{2,4}\right) & =t^{\frac{1}{2}} L\left(Y_{1,3}\right)+t^{-\frac{1}{2}} L\left(Y_{2,2} Y_{2,4}\right), \\
L\left(Y_{1,3}\right) * L\left(Y_{1,1} Y_{2,4}\right) & =t^{\frac{3}{2}} L\left(Y_{1,1} Y_{1,3}\right) * L\left(Y_{2,4}\right)+L\left(Y_{2,2} Y_{2,4}\right), \\
L\left(Y_{2,4}\right) * L\left(Y_{1,1}\right) & =t L\left(Y_{1,1} Y_{2,4}\right)+1, \\
L\left(Y_{1,1} Y_{2,4}\right) * L\left(Y_{2,2}\right) & =t^{\frac{1}{2}} L\left(Y_{2,2} Y_{2,4}\right) * L\left(Y_{1,1}\right)+L\left(Y_{1,1} Y_{1,3}\right), \\
L\left(Y_{1,1}\right) * L\left(Y_{1,3}\right) & =t^{\frac{1}{2}} L\left(Y_{2,2}\right)+t^{-\frac{1}{2}} L\left(Y_{1,1} Y_{1,3}\right), \\
L\left(Y_{2,2}\right) * L\left(Y_{2,4}\right) & =t^{\frac{1}{2}} L\left(Y_{1,3}\right)+t^{-\frac{1}{2}} L\left(Y_{2,2} Y_{2,4}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$
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