

$$B^{\varphi=\pi^d} = \text{Spa}(\mathbb{F}_q[[x_0^{1/p^\infty}, \dots, x_{d-1}^{1/p^\infty}]])$$

perfect adic space not perfectoid (non-analytic)

$\forall S/\mathbb{F}_q$ perfectoid $B^{\varphi=\pi^d} \times S \simeq B_S^{0, d, 1/p^\infty} =$ perfectoid open ball

\rightarrow absolute perfectoid space non-perfectoid

$$B_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{\varphi=\pi^d} \setminus \{0\} = \text{Spa}(\mathbb{F}_q[[x_0^{1/p^\infty}, \dots, x_{d-1}^{1/p^\infty}]]) \setminus V(x_0, \dots, x_{d-1})$$

$$\cong \text{Spa}(-)_a = \text{perfectoid q.c. space}$$

$$X = \bigcup_{i=0}^{d-1} \underbrace{\{x_i \neq 0\}}_{U_i}$$

$$U_i \simeq B_{\mathbb{F}_q((x_i^{1/p^\infty}))}^{0, d-1, 1/p^\infty} = \text{perfectoid open ball radius } 1/\mathbb{F}_q((x_i^{1/p^\infty}))$$

But no structural morphism \rightarrow perfectoid field since

$$G(X) = \mathbb{F}_q[[x_0^{1/p^\infty}, \dots, x_{d-1}^{1/p^\infty}]]$$

(2)

Other set of charts

$$X = \bigcup_{i=0}^{d-1} \underbrace{\left\{ \forall j \quad |k_j| \leq |k_i| \neq 0 \right\}}_{V_i}$$

$$V_i \subset \subset U_i \quad X = \bigcup_i V_i = \bigcup_i U_i$$

Closed ball in $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{d-1}(|k_i|^{-1})$

$\Rightarrow X$ is proper but not really

Since $\forall F/\mathbb{F}_q$ perf. field $X_F \simeq \mathbb{B}_F^{d, 1/p^\infty}$ not q.c.

$X \rightarrow \text{Spa}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ not adic

Analogy with $\mathbb{P}_F^{d-1} = \{ [x_0 : \dots : x_{d-1}] \} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{d-1} \underbrace{\{ x_i \neq 0 \}}_{U_i \simeq \mathbb{A}_F^{d-1}}$

$$= \bigcup_{i=0}^{d-1} \underbrace{\left\{ \forall j \quad |x_j| \leq |x_i| \neq 0 \right\}}_{V_i \simeq \mathbb{B}_F^{d-1}}$$

$$V_i \subset \subset \bar{U}_i$$

closed ball

Th. $\forall d \geq 2$ $\text{Spa}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q[[x_0^{1/t^\infty}, \dots, x_{d-1}^{1/t^\infty}]]) \setminus V(x_0, \dots, x_{d-1})$
 is simply connected.

Rem. $\mathbb{C}/\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$ $X_{\mathbb{C}} \simeq \mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{C}}^d$ not simply connected
 \Rightarrow we really need to work absolutely / $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$

Proof: Elstik + our space is q.c.
 \hookrightarrow a Scholze purity (trivial in equal char.)

$$\Rightarrow \varprojlim_n \text{F-ét.} / \text{Spa}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q[[x_i^{1/t^n}]]_i)_a \simeq \text{F-ét.} / \text{Spa}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q[[x_i^{1/t^\infty}]]_i)_a$$

Th. b sep. closed $\text{Spa}(b[[x_0, \dots, x_{d-1}]]) \setminus V(x_0, \dots, x_{d-1})$
 is simply connected.

Th (local GAGA): A noetherian I-adic

$$\text{Vector bundles} / \text{Spec}(A) \setminus V(I) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Vector bundles} / \text{Spa}(A) \setminus V(I)$$

$$\text{F. ét} / _ \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{F. ét} / _$$

→ Raynaud's flatification + GAGF (EGA III)

$$X = \text{Spec}(A) \quad \mathcal{K} = \text{Spf}(A)$$

$$\text{Spa}(A)_a = \varprojlim_{\tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}} \tilde{X}$$

↑ I-admissible formal blow-up

$$= \varprojlim_{\tilde{X} \rightarrow X} \hat{X} \quad \text{I-adic completion along exceptional divisor.}$$

↑ I-admissible blowup

Any v.b. / $\text{Spa}(A)_a$ has a model / \hat{X} for some $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$

GAGF \Rightarrow Any v.b. / \hat{X} Comes from a v.b. / \tilde{X}

restrict it to $\tilde{X} \setminus V(I) = \text{Spec}(A) \setminus V(I) \quad \square$

Conclusion of the proof:

Taniguchi - Nagata purity + Hensel

$\forall d \geq 2$ $F. \text{et} / \text{Spec}(R) \setminus V(m)$ $R = k[[x_0, \dots, x_{d-1}]]$

$\downarrow \cong$
 $F. \text{et} / \text{Spec}(R)$] trivial by Hensel

The Case E/\mathbb{Q}_p

Problems: * $d > 1$

absolute diamond

$\overline{B_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{\varphi = \pi^d}} \setminus \{0\}$

diamond not representable
by a perfectoid space

* $\mathbb{C}/\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$

$\overline{B_{\mathbb{C}}^{\varphi = \pi^d}} \setminus \{0\}$

not simply connected

$\underbrace{\hspace{1cm}}_{\text{diamond}}$

→ Can't do an extension to a perfectoid field. Have to
work with absolute diamonds that are not diamonds

(4)

Guided by the equal char. case where at the end the result is a consequence of Zariski-Nagata purity.

→ Proves that: $\bar{U}/B_{\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q}^{\varphi=\pi^d}$ finite étale extends to $B_{\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q}^{\varphi=\pi^d}$ then it is trivial.

⇒ one has to prove purity for the inclusion $B_{\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q}^{\varphi=\pi^d} \hookrightarrow B_{\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q}^{\varphi=\pi^d}$

Consequence of the following purity theorem in rigid analytic geometry:

Th: $d \geq 3$, $\rho \in]0, 1[$. Then

Finite étale covers $/B^d, B^d(\rho) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{F. ét. covers} / B^d$.

→ Lütkebohmert's adaptation of Siu's thesis:

any v. b. $/B^d, B^d(p)$ extends canonically to a ~~normal~~ coherent sheaf $/B^d$

⇒ any finite étale $/B^d, B^d(p)$ extends to a finite $/B^d$

+ th. $d \geq 2$. \bar{U} normal s.t. $\bar{U} / B^d, B^d(p)$
 \downarrow finite \downarrow étale
 B^d $B^d, B^d(p)$

false for $d=2$

Then \bar{U}/B^d is étale.

No Zariski's main theorem in rigid geometry

\bar{U} \hookrightarrow ? \downarrow finite
 $B^d, B^d(p) \hookrightarrow B^d$
 quasi-finite separated