#### Exceptional Sets and Such

#### Jing Jing Huang, Brian Dietel, Chaungxun Chang, Jonathan Mason, Holly Krieger, Robert Wilson, Mathilde Herblot, Martin Mereb

March 19, 2008

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

#### Introduction Defintion

Examples

#### The Big Theorem

Statement The Proof

#### Applications to Exceptional Sets

Jing Jing Huang, Brian Dietel, Chaungxun Chang, Jonathan M Exceptional Sets and Such

æ

Defintion Examples

## Defintion

# Let f be an entire function. We define an exceptional set for f to be

$$S_f = \{ \alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}} | f(\alpha) \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \}.$$

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

æ

Defintion Examples

#### Examples

Arbitrary finite subsets of algebraic numbers are easily seen to be exceptional. For instance, if

$$g(z) = e^{(z-\alpha_1)\cdots(z-\alpha_k)},$$

・ロン ・回と ・ヨン・

æ

then  $S_g = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k\}.$ 

Defintion Examples

We can also look at the Taylor series centered at a point in  $S_f$  and require that the coefficients lie in  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ . We conjectured that every subset of  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  is an exceptional set in this more restrictive sense. We generalized this statement to the following theorem.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Statement The Proof

## The Big Theorem

Fix  $A \subset \mathbb{C}$  with A countable. For each integer  $s \geq 0$  and each  $\alpha \in A$ , fix a dense subset  $E_{\alpha,s} \subset \mathbb{C}$ . Then we can find an entire function f such that  $f^{(s)}(\alpha) \in E_{\alpha,s}$ .

We will show that this theorem holds for infinite subsets A, but a similar proof will show that it holds for finite A as well.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Statement The Proof

#### Proof

First we enumerate  $A \subset \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots\}$ . We construct a sequence of polynomials as follows.

$$P_{0}(z) = 1$$

$$P_{1}(z) = (z - \alpha_{1})$$

$$P_{2}(z) = (z - \alpha_{1})(z - \alpha_{2})$$

$$P_{3}(z) = (z - \alpha_{1})^{2}(z - \alpha_{2})$$

$$P_{4}(z) = (z - \alpha_{1})^{2}(z - \alpha_{2})(z - \alpha_{3})$$

$$P_{5}(z) = (z - \alpha_{1})^{2}(z - \alpha_{2})^{2}(z - \alpha_{3})$$

$$P_{6}(z) = (z - \alpha_{1})^{3}(z - \alpha_{2})^{2}(z - \alpha_{3})$$

$$P_{7}(z) = (z - \alpha_{1})^{3}(z - \alpha_{2})^{2}(z - \alpha_{3})(z - \alpha_{4})$$

・ロト ・日本 ・モト ・モト

æ

Statement The Proof

## Proof (cont.)

The pattern can be seen by following the arrows and picking up the corresponding term at each node:

$$(z - \alpha_1) \rightarrow (z - \alpha_2) \qquad (z - \alpha_3) \qquad (z - \alpha_4)$$
$$(z - \alpha_1) \qquad (z - \alpha_2) \qquad (z - \alpha_3) \qquad (z - \alpha_4)$$
$$(z - \alpha_1) \qquad (z - \alpha_2) \qquad (z - \alpha_3) \qquad (z - \alpha_4)$$
$$(z - \alpha_1) \qquad (z - \alpha_2) \qquad (z - \alpha_3) \qquad (z - \alpha_4)$$

Statement The Proof

# Proof (cont.)

Now we define  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n P_n(z)$  where we will define the  $a_n$ 's recursively in order to satisfy these two conditions:

- 1. The *a<sub>n</sub>*'s must decrease sufficiently fast for the function to converge.
- 2. The  $a_n$  must be constructed to ensure the desired conditions on f.

<ロ> (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Statement The Proof

# Proof (cont.)

First we will place restrictions on  $a_n$  to make f entire. f will converge absolutely when

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{|a_{n+1}||P_{n+1}(z)|}{|a_n||P_n(z)|}<1.$$

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Note that from the construction of  $P_n(z)$ , we have that  $\frac{P_{n+1}(z)}{P_n(z)} = z - \alpha_{m(n)}$  where m(n) < n.

Statement The Proof

(ロ) (同) (E) (E) (E)

## Proof (cont.)

Define  $r_n = \min\left(\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{2\max_{m \le n} |\alpha_m|}\right)$ If  $\frac{|a_{n+1}|}{|a_n|} < r_n$ , then  $\frac{|a_{n+1}|}{|a_n|}|z - \alpha_{m(n)}| \le \frac{|a_{n+1}|}{|a_n|}|z| + \frac{|a_{n+1}|}{|a_n|}\max_{m \le n} |\alpha_m|$   $\le \frac{1}{n}|z| + \frac{1}{2}$ 

Statement The Proof

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

æ

# Proof (cont.)

#### Then

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{|a_{n+1}|}{|a_n|} |z - \alpha_{m(n)}| \le \frac{1}{2}$$

Thus, if  $\frac{|a_{n+1}|}{|a_n|} < r_n$ , then f will converge absolutely.

Statement The Proof

# Proof (cont.)

Now we will fix the  $a_i$ 's recursively. To this end, we will adopt the following notation:

$$f(\alpha_1) = \beta_0, f(\alpha_2) = \beta_1, f'(\alpha_1) = \beta_2, f(\alpha_3) = \beta_3, f'(\alpha_2) = \beta_4, \dots, \text{and}$$
  
 $E_{\alpha_1,0} = E_0, E_{\alpha_2,0} = E_1, E_{\alpha_1,1} = E_2, E_{\alpha_3,0} = E_3, E_{\alpha_2,1} = E_4, \dots$   
We will fix the  $a_i$ 's so that  $\beta_k \in E_k$ .

・ロト ・日本 ・モト ・モト

æ

Statement The Proof

# Proof (cont.)

First,  $\beta_0 = f(\alpha_1) = a_0$ , so we pick  $a_0$  to be any nonzero element of  $E_0$ . Now

$$\beta_1 = f(\alpha_2) = a_0 + a_1(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1).$$

To ensure convergence, we need  $|a_1| < r_0|a_0|$ . This forces us to pick  $a_1$  in the open ball centered at  $a_0$  with radius  $\frac{r_0|a_0|}{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1}$ . Since  $E_1$  is dense, we can pick such a  $a_1 \neq 0$  with  $\beta_1 \in E_1$ .

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

Statement The Proof

## Proof (cont.)

As a slightly less trivial example, we will look at the how to compute  $a_5$ . We have that

$$f(z) = a_0 + a_1(z - \alpha_1) + a_2(z - \alpha_1)(z - \alpha_2) + a_3(z - \alpha_1)^2(z - \alpha_2) + a_4(z - \alpha_1)^2(z - \alpha_2)(z - \alpha_3) + a_5(z - \alpha_1)^2(z - \alpha_2)^2(z - \alpha_3) + (z - \alpha_1)^3g(x)$$

Direct computation shows us that

$$f''(\alpha_1) = 2a_2 + 2a_3(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) + 2a_4(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)(\alpha_1 - \alpha_3) + 2a_5(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)^2(\alpha_1 - \alpha_3) + 0.$$

We have already picked  $a_2$ ,  $a_3$ , and  $a_4$ . We determine the open subset that must contain  $a_5$  (based on convergence requirements, and then we pick  $a_5$  in this subset so that  $\beta_5 = f''(\alpha_1) \in E_5 = E_{\alpha_1,3}$  (which is dense).

Statement The Proof

# Proof (cont.)

In general,  $\beta_k = P(\alpha_i - \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i - \alpha_{m(k)})$  (with coefficients only depending on  $a_0, \dots, a_k$ ). We have already picked  $a_0, \dots, a_{k-1}$ . Since  $E_k$  is dense in  $\mathbb{C}$ , we can pick  $a_k \neq 0$ , so that  $\beta_k \in E_k$  and  $a_k$  is small enough to ensure convergence.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

#### Exceptional sets

Now suppose that  $B \subset A = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  (with B and  $A \setminus B$  both infinite), we can enumerate  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots\}$  where  $\alpha_{2n+1} \in B$  and  $\alpha_{2n+2} \notin B$ . Now from our theorem, we can construct an entire function f with  $E_{\alpha_{2n+1},s} = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  and  $E_{\alpha_{2n+2},s} = \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  for all  $n, s \ge 0$ . Thus, all derivatives of f at  $\alpha_{2n+1}$  are algebraic, and hence we have the Taylor series

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k (z - \alpha_{2n+1})^k$$

where  $c_k \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ , and  $S_f = B$ . This shows that any infinite subset of  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  is exceptional. Furthermore, the same construction would work if we took the coefficients to be in  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ !

## Acknowledgements

We would like to express our extreme gratitude to Professor Waldschmidt and Dr. Racinet for their help and encouragement this week. We would also like to thank the University of Arizona and all of the organizers that made this week possible.

A B K A B K