

Kempner Colloquium of the Department of Mathematics

University of Colorado at Boulder.

February 28, 2005

Multiple Zeta Values

Michel Waldschmidt

<http://www.math.jussieu.fr/~miw/>

Periods

M. Kontevich and D. Zagier (2000) – *Periods*.

A **period** is a complex number whose real and imaginary parts are values of absolutely convergent integrals of rational functions with rational coefficients over domains of \mathbf{R}^n given by polynomials (in)equalities with rational coefficients.

Examples:

$$\sqrt{2} = \int_{2x^2 \leq 1} dx,$$

$$\pi = \int_{x^2 + y^2 \leq 1} dx dy,$$

$$\log 2 = \int_{1 < x < 2} \frac{dx}{x},$$

$$\zeta(2) = \int_{1 > t_1 > t_2 > 0} \frac{dt_1}{t_1} \cdot \frac{dt_2}{1 - t_2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6}.$$

Relations between periods

1 Additivity

$$\int_a^b (f(x) + g(x)) dx = \int_a^b f(x) dx + \int_a^b g(x) dx$$

and

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx = \int_a^c f(x) dx + \int_c^b f(x) dx.$$

2 Change of variables

$$\int_{\varphi(a)}^{\varphi(b)} f(t) dt = \int_a^b f(\varphi(u)) \varphi'(u) du.$$

3 Newton–Leibniz–Stokes

$$\int_a^b f'(t) dt = f(b) - f(a).$$

3 Newton–Leibniz–Stokes

$$\int_a^b f'(t)dt = f(b) - f(a).$$

Conjecture (*Kontsevich–Zagier*). *If a period has two representations, then one can pass from one formula to another using only rules 1, 2 and 3 in which all functions and domains of integrations are algebraic with algebraic coefficients.*

Example:

$$\begin{aligned}\pi &= \int_{x^2+y^2 \leq 1} dx dy \\ &= 2 \int_{-1}^1 \sqrt{1-x^2} dx \\ &= \int_{-1}^1 \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{1+x^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Far reaching consequences:

No “new” algebraic dependence relation among classical constants from analysis.

Zeta Values – Euler Numbers

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^s} \quad \text{for } s \geq 2.$$

These are special values of the Riemann Zeta Function: $s \in \mathbf{C}$.

Zeta Values – Euler Numbers

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^s} \quad \text{for } s \geq 2.$$

These are special values of the Riemann Zeta Function: $s \in \mathbf{C}$.

For $s \in \mathbf{Z}$ with $s \geq 2$, $\zeta(s)$ is a period:

$$\zeta(s) = \int_{1 > t_1 > \dots > t_s > 0} \frac{dt_1}{t_1} \dots \frac{dt_{s-1}}{t_{s-1}} \cdot \frac{dt_s}{1 - t_s}.$$

Zeta Values – Euler Numbers

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^s} \quad \text{for } s \geq 2.$$

These are special values of the Riemann Zeta Function: $s \in \mathbf{C}$.

Euler: $\pi^{-2k} \zeta(2k) \in \mathbf{Q}$ for $k \geq 1$ (Bernoulli numbers).

Zeta Values – Euler Numbers

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^s} \quad \text{for } s \geq 2.$$

These are special values of the Riemann Zeta Function: $s \in \mathbf{C}$.

Euler: $\pi^{-2k} \zeta(2k) \in \mathbf{Q}$ for $k \geq 1$ (Bernoulli numbers).

Diophantine Question: *Describe all the algebraic relations among the numbers*

$$\zeta(2), \quad \zeta(3), \quad \zeta(5), \quad \zeta(7), \dots$$

Conjecture. *There is no algebraic relation at all: these numbers*

$$\zeta(2), \quad \zeta(3), \quad \zeta(5), \quad \zeta(7), \dots$$

are algebraically independent.

Conjecture. *There is no algebraic relation at all: these numbers*

$$\zeta(2), \zeta(3), \zeta(5), \zeta(7), \dots$$

are algebraically independent.

Known:

- **Hermite-Lindemann:** π is transcendental, hence $\zeta(2k)$ also for $k \geq 1$.

Conjecture. *There is no algebraic relation at all: these numbers*

$$\zeta(2), \zeta(3), \zeta(5), \zeta(7), \dots$$

are algebraically independent.

Known:

- **Hermite-Lindemann:** π is transcendental, hence $\zeta(2k)$ also for $k \geq 1$.
- **Apéry (1978):** $\zeta(3)$ is irrational.

Conjecture. *There is no algebraic relation at all: these numbers*

$$\zeta(2), \quad \zeta(3), \quad \zeta(5), \quad \zeta(7), \dots$$

are algebraically independent.

Known:

- **Hermite-Lindemann:** π is transcendental, hence $\zeta(2k)$ also for $k \geq 1$.
- **Apéry (1978):** $\zeta(3)$ is irrational.
- **Rivoal (2000) + Ball, Zudilin. . .** *Infinitely many $\zeta(2k + 1)$ are irrational + lower bound for the dimension of the \mathbf{Q} -space they span.*

T. Rivoal: *Let $\epsilon > 0$. For any sufficiently large odd integer a , the dimension of the \mathbf{Q} -space spanned by $1, \zeta(3), \zeta(5), \dots, \zeta(a)$ is at least*

$$\frac{1 - \epsilon}{1 + \log 2} \log a.$$

T. Rivoal: *Let $\epsilon > 0$. For any sufficiently large odd integer a , the dimension of the \mathbf{Q} -space spanned by $1, \zeta(3), \zeta(5), \dots, \zeta(a)$ is at least*

$$\frac{1 - \epsilon}{1 + \log 2} \log a.$$

W. Zudilin:

- *One at least of the four numbers*

$$\zeta(5), \quad \zeta(7), \quad \zeta(9), \quad \zeta(11)$$

is irrational.

- *There is an odd integer j in the range $[5, 69]$ such that the three numbers $1, \zeta(3), \zeta(j)$ are linearly independent over \mathbf{Q} .*

Linearization of the problem (*Euler*). The product of two zeta values is a sum of *multiple zeta values*.

Linearization of the problem (*Euler*). The product of two zeta values is a sum of *multiple zeta values*.

From

$$\sum_{n_1 \geq 1} n_1^{-s_1} \sum_{n_2 \geq 1} n_2^{-s_2} = \sum_{n_1 > n_2 \geq 1} n_1^{-s_1} n_2^{-s_2} + \sum_{n_2 > n_1 \geq 1} n_2^{-s_2} n_1^{-s_1} + \sum_{n \geq 1} n^{-s_1 - s_2}$$

one deduces, for $s_1 \geq 2$ and $s_2 \geq 2$,

$$\zeta(s_1)\zeta(s_2) = \zeta(s_1, s_2) + \zeta(s_2, s_1) + \zeta(s_1 + s_2)$$

with

$$\zeta(s_1, s_2) = \sum_{n_1 > n_2 \geq 1} n_1^{-s_1} n_2^{-s_2}.$$

For instance

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta(2)^2 &= \sum_{n_1 \geq 1} n_1^{-2} \sum_{n_2 \geq 1} n_2^{-2} \\ &= \sum_{n_1 > n_2 \geq 1} n_1^{-2} n_2^{-2} + \sum_{n_2 > n_1 \geq 1} n_2^{-2} n_1^{-2} + \sum_{n \geq 1} n^{-4} \\ &= 2\zeta(2, 2) + \zeta(4).\end{aligned}$$

For k, s_1, \dots, s_k positive integers with $s_1 \geq 2$, define $\underline{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_k)$ and

$$\zeta(\underline{s}) = \sum_{n_1 > n_2 > \dots > n_k \geq 1} \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1} \dots n_k^{s_k}}.$$

For k, s_1, \dots, s_k positive integers with $s_1 \geq 2$, define $\underline{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_k)$ and

$$\zeta(\underline{s}) = \sum_{n_1 > n_2 > \dots > n_k \geq 1} \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1} \dots n_k^{s_k}}.$$

For $k = 1$ one recovers Euler's numbers $\zeta(s)$.

For k, s_1, \dots, s_k positive integers with $s_1 \geq 2$, define $\underline{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_k)$ and

$$\zeta(\underline{s}) = \sum_{n_1 > n_2 > \dots > n_k \geq 1} \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1} \dots n_k^{s_k}}.$$

Fact: *These Multiple Zeta Values are periods*

For k, s_1, \dots, s_k positive integers with $s_1 \geq 2$, define $\underline{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_k)$ and

$$\zeta(\underline{s}) = \sum_{n_1 > n_2 > \dots > n_k \geq 1} \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1} \cdots n_k^{s_k}}.$$

Fact: *These Multiple Zeta Values are periods*

Example:

$$\zeta(2, 1) = \int_{1 > t_1 > t_2 > t_3 > 0} \frac{dt_1}{t_1} \cdot \frac{dt_2}{1 - t_2} \cdot \frac{dt_3}{1 - t_3}.$$

Notation: Define

$$\omega_0 = \frac{dt}{t}, \quad \omega_1 = \frac{dt}{1-t}.$$

Then for $s \geq 2$ write the relation

$$\zeta(s) = \int_{1 > t_1 > \dots > t_s > 0} \frac{dt_1}{t_1} \dots \frac{dt_{s-1}}{t_{s-1}} \cdot \frac{dt_s}{1-t_s}$$

as

$$\zeta(s) = \int_0^1 \omega_0^{s-1} \omega_1.$$

This defines a non-commutative product of differential forms.

Chen Iterated Integrals

For a holomorphic 1-form φ ,

$$\int_0^z \varphi$$

is the primitive of φ which vanishes at $z = 0$.

For 1-forms $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k$, define inductively

$$\int_0^z \varphi_1 \cdots \varphi_k := \int_0^z \varphi_1(t) \int_0^t \varphi_2 \cdots \varphi_k.$$

Chen Iterated Integrals

$$\int_0^z \varphi_1 \cdots \varphi_k := \int_0^z \varphi_1(t) \int_0^t \varphi_2 \cdots \varphi_k.$$

If $\varphi_1(t) = \psi_1(t)dt$, then

$$\frac{d}{dz} \int_0^z \varphi_1 \cdots \varphi_k = \psi_1(z) \int_0^z \varphi_2 \cdots \varphi_k.$$

For $\underline{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_k)$, define

$$\omega_{\underline{s}} = \omega_{s_1} \cdots \omega_{s_k} = \omega_0^{s_1-1} \omega_1 \cdots \omega_0^{s_k-1} \omega_1.$$

Then

$$\zeta(\underline{s}) = \int_0^1 \omega_{\underline{s}}.$$

For $\underline{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_k)$, define

$$\omega_{\underline{s}} = \omega_{s_1} \cdots \omega_{s_k} = \omega_0^{s_1-1} \omega_1 \cdots \omega_0^{s_k-1} \omega_1.$$

Then

$$\zeta(\underline{s}) = \int_0^1 \omega_{\underline{s}}.$$

Remark on $\omega_0^{s_1-1} \omega_1 \cdots \omega_0^{s_k-1} \omega_1$:

- Ends with ω_1
- Starts with ω_0 ($s_1 \geq 2$).

For $\underline{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_k)$, define

$$\omega_{\underline{s}} = \omega_{s_1} \cdots \omega_{s_k} = \omega_0^{s_1-1} \omega_1 \cdots \omega_0^{s_k-1} \omega_1.$$

Then

$$\zeta(\underline{s}) = \int_0^1 \omega_{\underline{s}}.$$

Example:

$$\zeta(2, 1) = \int_{1 > t_1 > t_2 > t_3 > 0} \frac{dt_1}{t_1} \cdot \frac{dt_2}{1-t_2} \cdot \frac{dt_3}{1-t_3} = \int_0^1 \omega_0 \omega_1^2.$$

For $\underline{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_k)$, define

$$\omega_{\underline{s}} = \omega_{s_1} \cdots \omega_{s_k} = \omega_0^{s_1-1} \omega_1 \cdots \omega_0^{s_k-1} \omega_1.$$

Then

$$\zeta(\underline{s}) = \int_0^1 \omega_{\underline{s}}.$$

Example:

$$\zeta(2, 1) = \int_{1 > t_1 > t_2 > t_3 > 0} \frac{dt_1}{t_1} \cdot \frac{dt_2}{1-t_2} \cdot \frac{dt_3}{1-t_3} = \int_0^1 \omega_0 \omega_1^2.$$

Hence the Multiple Zeta Values $\zeta(\underline{s})$ are periods.

Main Fact: *The product of two Multiple Zeta Values is a linear combination, with integer coefficients, of Multiple Zeta Values.*

Main Fact: *The product of two Multiple Zeta Values is a linear combination, with integer coefficients, of Multiple Zeta Values.*

Moreover there are two kinds of such quadratic equations: one arising from the definition as series

$$\zeta(\underline{s}) = \sum_{n_1 > n_2 > \dots > n_k \geq 1} \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1} \cdots n_k^{s_k}},$$

the other from the integrals

$$\zeta(\underline{s}) = \int_0^1 \omega_{\underline{s}}.$$

These two collections of quadratic equations are essentially distinct. Consequently the Multiple Zeta Values satisfy many linear relations with rational coefficients.

These two collections of quadratic equations are essentially distinct. Consequently the Multiple Zeta Values satisfy many linear relations with rational coefficients.

Example:

Product of series: $\zeta(2)^2 = 2\zeta(2, 2) + \zeta(4)$

Product of integrals: $\zeta(2)^2 = 2\zeta(2, 2) + 4\zeta(3, 1)$

Hence $\zeta(4) = 4\zeta(3, 1)$.

These two collections of quadratic equations are essentially distinct. Consequently the Multiple Zeta Values satisfy many linear relations with rational coefficients.

A complete description of these relations would in principle settle the problem of the algebraic independence of

$$\pi, \quad \zeta(3), \quad \zeta(5), \dots, \quad \zeta(2k + 1).$$

These two collections of quadratic equations are essentially distinct. Consequently the Multiple Zeta Values satisfy many linear relations with rational coefficients.

A complete description of these relations would in principle settle the problem of the algebraic independence of

$$\pi, \quad \zeta(3), \quad \zeta(5), \dots, \quad \zeta(2k + 1).$$

Goal: *Describe all linear relations among Multiple Zeta Values.*

Further example of linear relation.

Euler:

$$\zeta(2, 1) = \zeta(3).$$

Further example of linear relation.

Euler:

$$\zeta(2, 1) = \zeta(3).$$

$$\zeta(2, 1) = \int_{1 > t_1 > t_2 > t_3 > 0} \frac{dt_1}{t_1} \cdot \frac{dt_2}{1 - t_2} \cdot \frac{dt_3}{1 - t_3}.$$

Further example of linear relation.

Euler:

$$\zeta(2, 1) = \zeta(3).$$

$$\zeta(2, 1) = \int_{1 > t_1 > t_2 > t_3 > 0} \frac{dt_1}{t_1} \cdot \frac{dt_2}{1 - t_2} \cdot \frac{dt_3}{1 - t_3}.$$

$$\zeta(3) = \int_{1 > t_1 > t_2 > t_3 > 0} \frac{dt_1}{t_1} \cdot \frac{dt_2}{t_2} \cdot \frac{dt_3}{1 - t_3}.$$

Further example of linear relation.

Euler:

$$\zeta(2, 1) = \zeta(3).$$

$$\zeta(2, 1) = \int_{1 > t_1 > t_2 > t_3 > 0} \frac{dt_1}{t_1} \cdot \frac{dt_2}{1 - t_2} \cdot \frac{dt_3}{1 - t_3}.$$

$$\zeta(3) = \int_{1 > t_1 > t_2 > t_3 > 0} \frac{dt_1}{t_1} \cdot \frac{dt_2}{t_2} \cdot \frac{dt_3}{1 - t_3}.$$

Euler's result follows from $(t_1, t_2, t_3) \mapsto (1 - t_3, 1 - t_2, 1 - t_1)$.

Denote by \mathfrak{Z}_p the \mathbf{Q} -vector subspace of \mathbf{R} spanned by the real numbers $\zeta(\underline{s})$ with \underline{s} of weight $s_1 + \cdots + s_k = p$, with $\mathfrak{Z}_0 = \mathbf{Q}$ and $\mathfrak{Z}_1 = \{0\}$.

Here is Zagier's conjecture on the dimension d_p of \mathfrak{Z}_p .

Conjecture (Zagier). *For $p \geq 3$ we have*

$$d_p = d_{p-2} + d_{p-3}.$$

$$(d_0, d_1, d_2, \dots) = (1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, \dots).$$

Exemples

$$d_0 = 1 \quad \zeta(s_1, \dots, s_k) = 1 \text{ for } k = 0.$$

Exemples

$$d_0 = 1 \quad \zeta(s_1, \dots, s_k) = 1 \text{ for } k = 0.$$

$$d_1 = 0 \quad \{(s_1, \dots, s_k) ; s_1 + \dots + s_k = 1, s_1 \geq 2\} = \emptyset.$$

Exemples

$$d_0 = 1 \quad \zeta(s_1, \dots, s_k) = 1 \text{ for } k = 0.$$

$$d_1 = 0 \quad \{(s_1, \dots, s_k) ; s_1 + \dots + s_k = 1, s_1 \geq 2\} = \emptyset.$$

$$d_2 = 1 \quad \zeta(2) \neq 0$$

Exemples

$$d_0 = 1 \quad \zeta(s_1, \dots, s_k) = 1 \text{ for } k = 0.$$

$$d_1 = 0 \quad \{(s_1, \dots, s_k) ; s_1 + \dots + s_k = 1, s_1 \geq 2\} = \emptyset.$$

$$d_2 = 1 \quad \zeta(2) \neq 0$$

$$d_3 = 1 \quad \zeta(2, 1) = \zeta(3) \neq 0$$

Exemples

$$d_0 = 1 \quad \zeta(s_1, \dots, s_k) = 1 \text{ for } k = 0.$$

$$d_1 = 0 \quad \{(s_1, \dots, s_k) ; s_1 + \dots + s_k = 1, s_1 \geq 2\} = \emptyset.$$

$$d_2 = 1 \quad \zeta(2) \neq 0$$

$$d_3 = 1 \quad \zeta(2, 1) = \zeta(3) \neq 0$$

$$d_4 = 1 \quad \begin{aligned} \zeta(3, 1) &= (1/4)\zeta(4), \\ \zeta(2, 2) &= (3/4)\zeta(4), \\ \zeta(2, 1, 1) &= \zeta(4) = (2/5)\zeta(2)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Question: $d_5 = 2$?

Since

$$\zeta(2, 1, 1, 1) = \zeta(5),$$

$$\zeta(3, 1, 1) = \zeta(4, 1) = 2\zeta(5) - \zeta(2)\zeta(3),$$

$$\zeta(2, 1, 2) = \zeta(2, 3) = \frac{9}{2}\zeta(5) - 2\zeta(2)\zeta(3),$$

$$\zeta(2, 2, 1) = \zeta(3, 2) = 3\zeta(2)\zeta(3) - \frac{11}{2}\zeta(5),$$

we have $d_5 \in \{1, 2\}$.

Further, $d_5 = 2$ if and only if the number

$$\zeta(2)\zeta(3)/\zeta(5)$$

is irrational.

Zagier's conjecture can be written

$$\sum_{p \geq 0} d_p X^p = \frac{1}{1 - X^2 - X^3}.$$

M. Hoffman conjectures: *a basis of \mathfrak{Z}_p over \mathbf{Q} is given by the numbers $\zeta(s_1, \dots, s_k)$, $s_1 + \dots + s_k = p$, where each s_i is either 2 or 3.*

True for $p \leq 16$ (Hoang Ngoc Minh)

A.G. Goncharov (2000) – *Multiple ζ -values, Galois groups and Geometry of Modular Varieties.*

T. Terasoma (2002) – *Mixed Tate motives and Multiple Zeta Values.*

The numbers defined by the recurrence relation of Zagier's Conjecture

$$d_p = d_{p-2} + d_{p-3}.$$

with initial values $d_0 = 1$, $d_1 = 0$ are actual upper bounds for the actual dimension of \mathfrak{Z}_p .

A.G. Goncharov (2000) – *Multiple ζ -values, Galois groups and Geometry of Modular Varieties.*

T. Terasoma (2002) – *Mixed Tate motives and Multiple Zeta Values.*

The numbers defined by the recurrence relation of Zagier's Conjecture

$$d_p = d_{p-2} + d_{p-3}.$$

with initial values $d_0 = 1$, $d_1 = 0$ are actual upper bounds for the actual dimension of \mathfrak{Z}_p .

To prove a lower bound is the main Diophantine conjecture!

Nothing is known, even $d_p \geq 2$ for a single p !

Algebraic description of the quadratic relations among MZV

1 Integrals:

Shuffle product of differential forms

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_1 \cdots \varphi_n \amalg \psi_1 \cdots \psi_k = & \varphi_1(\varphi_2 \cdots \varphi_n \amalg \psi_1 \cdots \psi_k) \\ & + \psi_1(\varphi_1 \cdots \varphi_n \amalg \psi_2 \cdots \psi_k).\end{aligned}$$

$$\varphi_1 \amalg \psi_1 = \varphi_1 \psi_1 + \psi_1 \varphi_1.$$

Product of iterated integrals:

Let $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_k$ be differential forms with $n \geq 0$ and $k \geq 0$. Then

$$\int_0^z \varphi_1 \cdots \varphi_n \int_0^z \psi_1 \cdots \psi_k = \int_0^z \varphi_1 \cdots \varphi_n \amalg \psi_1 \cdots \psi_k.$$

Product of iterated integrals:

Let $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_k$ be differential forms with $n \geq 0$ and $k \geq 0$. Then

$$\int_0^z \varphi_1 \cdots \varphi_n \int_0^z \psi_1 \cdots \psi_k = \int_0^z \varphi_1 \cdots \varphi_n \amalg \psi_1 \cdots \psi_k.$$

Proof. Assume $z > 0$. Decompose the Cartesian product

$$\{\underline{t} \in \mathbf{R}^n ; z \geq t_1 \geq \cdots \geq t_n \geq 0\} \times \{\underline{u} \in \mathbf{R}^k ; z \geq u_1 \geq \cdots \geq u_k \geq 0\}$$

into a disjoint union of simplices (up to sets of zero measure)

$$\{\underline{v} \in \mathbf{R}^{n+k} ; z \geq v_1 \geq \cdots \geq v_{n+k} \geq 0\}.$$

Example.

$$ab\text{ш}cd = abcd + acbd + acdb + cabd + cadb + cdab$$

Example.

$$ab\text{III}cd = abcd + acbd + acdb + cabd + cadb + cdab$$

$$\omega_0\omega_1\text{III}\omega_0\omega_1 = 4\omega_0^2\omega_1^2 + 2\omega_0\omega_1\omega_0\omega_1$$

Example.

$$ab\text{III}cd = abcd + acbd + acdb + cabd + cadb + cdab$$

$$\omega_0\omega_1\text{III}\omega_0\omega_1 = 4\omega_0^2\omega_1^2 + 2\omega_0\omega_1\omega_0\omega_1$$

$$\int_0^1 \omega_0\omega_1 \cdot \int_0^1 \omega_0\omega_1 = 4 \int_0^1 \omega_0^2\omega_1^2 + 2 \int_0^1 \omega_0\omega_1\omega_0\omega_1$$

Example.

$$ab\amalg cd = abcd + acbd + acdb + cabd + cadb + cdab$$

$$\omega_0\omega_1\amalg\omega_0\omega_1 = 4\omega_0^2\omega_1^2 + 2\omega_0\omega_1\omega_0\omega_1$$

$$\int_0^1 \omega_0\omega_1 \cdot \int_0^1 \omega_0\omega_1 = 4 \int_0^1 \omega_0^2\omega_1^2 + 2 \int_0^1 \omega_0\omega_1\omega_0\omega_1$$

$$\zeta(2)^2 = 4\zeta(3, 1) + 2\zeta(2, 2).$$

Next goal: Extend the definition of Multiple Zeta Values to linear combinations of $\omega_{\underline{s}}$, so that the product of two Multiple Zeta Values is a Multiple Zeta Value.

Write $\widehat{\zeta}(\omega_{\underline{s}})$ in place of $\zeta(\underline{s})$ and define more generally

$$\widehat{\zeta}\left(\sum c_{\underline{s}}\omega_{\underline{s}}\right) = \sum c_{\underline{s}}\zeta(\underline{s})$$

so that

$$\zeta(\underline{s})\zeta(\underline{s}') = \widehat{\zeta}(\omega_{\underline{s}}\amalg\omega_{\underline{s}'}).$$

Tool: Free algebra on $\{\omega_0, \omega_1\}$.

The free monoid X^*

Let $X = \{x_0, x_1\}$ denote the *alphabet* with two letters x_0, x_1 and X^* the free monoid on X . The elements of X^* are *words*. A word can be written

$$x_{\epsilon_1} \cdots x_{\epsilon_k}$$

with $k \geq 0$ and where each ϵ_j is 0 or 1.

This law is called *concatenation*. It is not commutative:

$$x_0x_1 \neq x_1x_0.$$

Its unit is the *empty word* $e \in X^*$: the word for which $k = 0$.

The Algebra $\mathfrak{H} = \mathbf{Q}\langle x_0, x_1 \rangle$

The free \mathbf{Q} -vector space with basis X^* is the free algebra on X , denoted by $\mathfrak{H} = \mathbf{Q}\langle X \rangle$. Its elements are non commutative polynomials in the two variables x_0, x_1 .

Its unit is the *empty* word e .

The words which end with x_1 are the elements of X^*x_1 .

The words which end with x_1 are the elements of X^*x_1 .

Let $w \in X^*x_1$. Write $w = x_{\epsilon_1} \cdots x_{\epsilon_p}$ where each ϵ_i is 0 or 1 and $\epsilon_p = 1$.

If k is the number of x_1 , we define positive integers s_1, \dots, s_k by

$$w = x_0^{s_1-1} x_1 \cdots x_0^{s_k-1} x_1.$$

The words which end with x_1 are the elements of X^*x_1 .

Let $w \in X^*x_1$. Write $w = x_{\epsilon_1} \cdots x_{\epsilon_p}$ where each ϵ_i is 0 or 1 and $\epsilon_p = 1$.

If k is the number of x_1 , we define positive integers s_1, \dots, s_k by

$$w = x_0^{s_1-1} x_1 \cdots x_0^{s_k-1} x_1.$$

For $s \geq 1$ define $y_s = x_0^{s-1} x_1$. For $\underline{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_k)$ with $s_i \geq 1$, set

$$y_{\underline{s}} = y_{s_1} \cdots y_{s_k} = x_0^{s_1-1} x_1 \cdots x_0^{s_k-1} x_1.$$

y_s is a word on the alphabet

$$Y = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_s, \dots\}.$$

$y_{\underline{s}}$ is a word on the alphabet

$$Y = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_s, \dots\}.$$

The free monoid Y^* on Y

$$Y^* = \{y_{\underline{s}} ; \underline{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_k), k \geq 0, s_j \geq 1 (1 \leq j \leq k)\}$$

is the set $\{e\} \cup X^*x_1$ of words which do not end with x_0 , hence Y^* is a submonoid of X^* .

Any message can be coded with only two letters.

The Subalgebra $\mathfrak{H}^1 = \mathbb{Q}e + \mathfrak{H}x_1$ of \mathfrak{H}

The free \mathbb{Q} -vector space with basis Y^* is the free algebra

$$\mathfrak{H}^1 = \mathbb{Q}\langle Y \rangle$$

on Y . Its elements are non commutative polynomials in the variables $\{y_1, \dots, y_s, \dots\}$. It is a subalgebra of \mathfrak{H} .

The Subalgebra $\mathfrak{H}^0 = \mathbb{Q}e + x_0\mathfrak{H}x_1$ of \mathfrak{H}

The set of words in X^* which start with x_0 and end with x_1 is $x_0X^*x_1$.

The set of words in X^* which do not start with x_1 and do not end with x_0 is $\{e\} \cup x_0X^*x_1$.

The Subalgebra $\mathfrak{H}^0 = \mathbb{Q}e + x_0\mathfrak{H}x_1$ of \mathfrak{H}

The set of words in X^* which start with x_0 and end with x_1 is $x_0X^*x_1$.

The set of words in X^* which do not start with x_1 and do not end with x_0 is $\{e\} \cup x_0X^*x_1$.

This is NOT the same as the free monoid on the infinite alphabet $\{y_2, y_3, \dots\}$.

Example: $y_2y_1 \in x_0X^*x_1$.

The Subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}^0 = \mathbb{Q}e + x_0\mathfrak{h}x_1$ of \mathfrak{h}

The set of words in X^* which start with x_0 and end with x_1 is $x_0X^*x_1$.

The set of words in X^* which do not start with x_1 and do not end with x_0 is $\{e\} \cup x_0X^*x_1$.

The \mathbb{Q} -vector subspace of \mathfrak{h}^1 spanned by $\{e\} \cup x_0X^*x_1$ is the sub-algebra

$$\mathfrak{h}^0 = \mathbb{Q}e + x_0\mathfrak{h}x_1 \subset \mathfrak{h}^1 \subset \mathfrak{h}.$$

Multizeta values associated to words

For $w \in x_0 X^* x_1$, write $w = y_{\underline{s}}$ with $\underline{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_k)$ and $s_1 \geq 1$, and define

$$\widehat{\zeta}(w) = \zeta(\underline{s}).$$

Define also $\widehat{\zeta}(e) = 1$ and extend by \mathbf{Q} -linearity the definition of $\widehat{\zeta}$ to \mathfrak{H}^0 . Hence we get a mapping

$$\widehat{\zeta} : \mathfrak{H}^0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}.$$

Shuffle relations among MZV

For w and w' in \mathfrak{H}^0 , the shuffle product $w_{\text{III}}w'$ belongs to \mathfrak{H}^0 .
Furthermore,

$$\widehat{\zeta}(w)\widehat{\zeta}(w') = \widehat{\zeta}(w_{\text{III}}w')$$

for any w and w' in \mathfrak{H}^0 .

Proposition. *The map $\widehat{\zeta} : \mathfrak{H}^0 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a morphism of algebras of $\mathfrak{H}_{\text{III}}^0$ into \mathbf{R} .*

2 Series:

The Harmonic Algebra

The product $\zeta(\underline{s}) \cdot \zeta(\underline{s}')$:

$$\sum_{n_1 > n_2 > \dots > n_k \geq 1} \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1} \dots n_k^{s_k}} \cdot \sum_{n'_1 > n'_2 > \dots > n'_{k'} \geq 1} \frac{1}{n'^{s'_1} \dots n'_{k'}^{s'_{k'}}$$

is a linear combination of MZV.

Shuffle like product (*stuffle*) on the alphabet Y .

The map $\star : Y^* \times Y^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}$ is defined by induction

$$y_s u \star y_t v = y_s(u \star y_t v) + y_t(y_s u \star v) + y_{s+t}(u \star v)$$

for u and v in Y^* , s and t positive integers.

This defines *Hoffman's harmonic algebra* denoted by \mathfrak{H}_\star .

Examples.

$$y_2^{\star 2} = y_2 \star y_2 = 2y_2^2 + y_4.$$

$$y_2^{\star 3} = y_2 \star y_2 \star y_2 = 6y_2^3 + 3y_2 y_4 + 3y_4 y_2 + y_6.$$

Quadratic relations arising from the product of series

The map $\widehat{\zeta} : \mathfrak{H}^0 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a morphism of algebras of \mathfrak{H}_\star^0 into \mathbf{R} :

$$\widehat{\zeta}(u \star v) = \widehat{\zeta}(u)\widehat{\zeta}(v).$$

for u and v in \mathfrak{H}^0 .

Quadratic relations arising from the product of series

The map $\widehat{\zeta} : \mathfrak{H}^0 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a morphism of algebras of \mathfrak{H}_\star^0 into \mathbf{R} :

$$\widehat{\zeta}(u \star v) = \widehat{\zeta}(u)\widehat{\zeta}(v).$$

for u and v in \mathfrak{H}^0 .

Consequence of the two sets of quadratic relations:

$$\widehat{\zeta}(u_{\text{III}}v - u \star v) = 0$$

for u and v in \mathfrak{H}^0 .

Hoffman Third Standard Relations

For any $w \in \mathfrak{H}^0$, we have $x_1 \text{III} w - x_1 \star w \in \mathfrak{H}^0$ and

$$\widehat{\zeta}(x_1 \text{III} w - x_1 \star w) = 0.$$

Hoffman Third Standard Relations

For any $w \in \mathfrak{H}^0$, we have $x_1 \amalg w - x_1 \star w \in \mathfrak{H}^0$ and

$$\widehat{\zeta}(x_1 \amalg w - x_1 \star w) = 0.$$

Example. For $w = x_0x_1$,

$$x_1 \amalg x_0x_1 = x_1x_0x_1 + 2x_0x_1^2 = y_1y_2 + 2y_2y_1,$$

$$x_1 \star x_0x_1 = y_1 \star y_2 = y_1y_2 + y_2y_1 + y_3,$$

hence

$$y_2y_1 - y_3 \in \ker \widehat{\zeta}$$

and (Euler)

$$\zeta(2, 1) = \zeta(3).$$

Euler's proof with divergent series:

Product of series: $\zeta(1)\zeta(2) = \zeta(1, 2) + \zeta(2, 1) + \zeta(3)$

Product of integrals: $\zeta(1)\zeta(2) = \zeta(1, 2) + 2\zeta(2, 1)$

Hence $\zeta(3) = \zeta(2, 1)$.

Diophantine Conjecture (*simple form*)

Conjecture (Petitot, Hoang Ngoc Minh. . .). *The kernel of $\widehat{\zeta}$ is spanned by the standard relations*

$$\widehat{\zeta}(u \amalg v - u \star v) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\zeta}(x_1 \amalg w - x_1 \star w) = 0$$

for u, v and w in $x_0 X^ x_1$.*

Minh, H.N, Jacob, G., Oussous, N. E., Petitot, M. –

Aspects combinatoires des polylogarithmes et des sommes d'Euler-Zagier.

J. Électr. Sém. Lothar. Combin. **43** (2000), Art. B43e, 29 pp.

Regularized Double Shuffle Relations

The map $\widehat{\zeta} : \mathfrak{H}^0 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a morphism of algebras for III and for \star :

$$\widehat{\zeta}(u \text{III} v) = \widehat{\zeta}(u)\widehat{\zeta}(v) \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\zeta}(u \star v) = \widehat{\zeta}(u)\widehat{\zeta}(v).$$

Question: Is-it possible to extend $\widehat{\zeta}$ to \mathfrak{H}^1 into a morphism of algebras both for III and \star ?

Regularized Double Shuffle Relations

The map $\widehat{\zeta} : \mathfrak{H}^0 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a morphism of algebras for III and for \star :

$$\widehat{\zeta}(u \text{III} v) = \widehat{\zeta}(u)\widehat{\zeta}(v) \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\zeta}(u \star v) = \widehat{\zeta}(u)\widehat{\zeta}(v).$$

Question: Is-it possible to extend $\widehat{\zeta}$ to \mathfrak{H}^1 into a morphism of algebras both for III and \star ?

Answer: NO!

$$x_1 \text{III} x_1 = 2x_1^2, \quad x_1 \star x_1 = y_1 \star y_1 = 2x_1^2 + y_2$$

$$\widehat{\zeta}(y_2) = \zeta(2) \neq 0.$$

Radford's Theorem:

$$\mathfrak{H}_{\text{III}} = \mathfrak{H}_{\text{III}}^1[x_0]_{\text{III}} = \mathfrak{H}_{\text{III}}^0[x_0, x_1]_{\text{III}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{H}_{\text{III}}^1 = \mathfrak{H}_{\text{III}}^0[x_1]_{\text{III}}.$$

Radford's Theorem:

$$\mathfrak{H}_{\text{III}} = \mathfrak{H}_{\text{III}}^1[x_0]_{\text{III}} = \mathfrak{H}_{\text{III}}^0[x_0, x_1]_{\text{III}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{H}_{\text{III}}^1 = \mathfrak{H}_{\text{III}}^0[x_1]_{\text{III}}.$$

Hoffman's Theorem:

$$\mathfrak{H}_{\star} = \mathfrak{H}_{\star}^1[x_0]_{\star} = \mathfrak{H}_{\star}^0[x_0, x_1]_{\star} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{H}_{\star}^1 = \mathfrak{H}_{\star}^0[x_1]_{\star}.$$

Radford's Theorem:

$$\mathfrak{H}_{\text{III}} = \mathfrak{H}_{\text{III}}^1[x_0]_{\text{III}} = \mathfrak{H}_{\text{III}}^0[x_0, x_1]_{\text{III}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{H}_{\text{III}}^1 = \mathfrak{H}_{\text{III}}^0[x_1]_{\text{III}}.$$

Hoffman's Theorem:

$$\mathfrak{H}_{\star} = \mathfrak{H}_{\star}^1[x_0]_{\star} = \mathfrak{H}_{\star}^0[x_0, x_1]_{\star} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{H}_{\star}^1 = \mathfrak{H}_{\star}^0[x_1]_{\star}.$$

From $\mathfrak{H}_{\text{III}}^1 = \mathfrak{H}_{\text{III}}^0[x_1]_{\text{III}}$ and $\mathfrak{H}_{\star}^1 = \mathfrak{H}_{\star}^0[x_1]_{\star}$ we deduce that there are two uniquely determined algebra morphisms

$$\widehat{Z}_{\text{III}} : \mathfrak{H}_{\text{III}}^1 \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}[T] \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{Z}_{\star} : \mathfrak{H}_{\star}^1 \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}[T]$$

which extend $\widehat{\zeta}$ and map x_1 to T .

Theorem (Boutet de Monvel, Zagier). *There is a \mathbf{R} -linear isomorphism $\varrho : \mathbf{R}[T] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}[X]$ which makes commutative the following diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & \mathbf{R}[X] \\
 & \nearrow \widehat{Z}_{\text{III}} & \\
 \mathfrak{H}^1 & & \uparrow \varrho \\
 & \searrow \widehat{Z}_{\star} & \\
 & & \mathbf{R}[T]
 \end{array}$$

An explicit formula for ϱ is given by means of the generating series

$$\sum_{\ell \geq 0} \varrho(T^\ell) \frac{t^\ell}{\ell!} = \exp \left(Xt + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{\zeta(n)}{n} t^n \right).$$

Compare with the formula giving the expansion of the logarithm of Euler Gamma function:

$$\Gamma(1 + t) = \exp \left(-\gamma t + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{\zeta(n)}{n} t^n \right).$$

One may see ϱ as the differential operator of infinite order

$$\exp \left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{\zeta(n)}{n} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial T} \right)^n \right)$$

(just consider the image of e^{tT}).

Denote by reg_{III} the \mathbb{Q} -linear map $\mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}^0$ which maps $w \in \mathfrak{H}$ onto its constant term when w is written as a polynomial in x_0, x_1 in the shuffle algebra $\mathfrak{H}^0[x_0, x_1]_{\text{III}}$. Then reg_{III} is a morphism of algebras $\mathfrak{H}_{\text{III}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}_{\text{III}}^0$.

Theorem. (*Regularized Double Shuffle Relations – Ihara and Kaneko*). For $w \in \mathfrak{H}^1$ and $w_0 \in \mathfrak{H}^0$,

$$\text{reg}_{\text{III}}(w_{\text{III}}w_0 - w \star w_0) \in \ker \widehat{\zeta}.$$

Example. Take $w = x_1$. Since $x_1_{\text{III}}w_0 - x_1 \star w_0 \in \mathfrak{H}^0$ for any $w_0 \in \mathfrak{H}^0$, one recovers the third standard relations of Hoffman.

Diophantine Conjectures

Conjecture (Zagier, Cartier, Ihara-Kaneko, . . .). *All existing algebraic relations between the real numbers $\zeta(\underline{s})$ are in the ideal generated by the ones described above.*

Petitot and Hoang Ngoc Minh: up to weight $s_1 + \dots + s_k \leq 16$, the three standard relations for u, v and w in $x_0 X^* x_1$

$$\widehat{\zeta}(u)\widehat{\zeta}(v) = \widehat{\zeta}(u \amalg v), \quad \widehat{\zeta}(u)\widehat{\zeta}(v) = \widehat{\zeta}(u \star v),$$

$$\widehat{\zeta}(x_1 \amalg w - x_1 \star w) = 0$$

suffice.

Goncharov's Conjecture

Let \mathfrak{Z} denote the \mathbb{Q} -vector space spanned in \mathbb{C} by the numbers

$$(2i\pi)^{-|\underline{s}|} \zeta(\underline{s})$$

$\underline{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k$ with $k \geq 1$, $s_1 \geq 2$, $s_i \geq 1$ ($2 \leq i \leq k$).

Hence \mathfrak{Z} is a \mathbb{Q} -subalgebra of \mathbb{C} bifiltered by the weight and by the depth.

For a graded Lie algebra C_\bullet denote by $\mathfrak{U}C_\bullet$ its universal enveloping algebra and by

$$\mathfrak{U}C_\bullet^\vee = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} (\mathfrak{U}C)_n^\vee$$

its graded dual, which is a commutative Hopf algebra.

Conjecture (Goncharov). *There exists a free graded Lie algebra C_\bullet and an isomorphism of algebras*

$$\mathfrak{Z} \simeq \mathfrak{U}C_\bullet^\vee$$

filtered by the weight on the left and by the degree on the right.

References:

Goncharov A.B. – Multiple polylogarithms, cyclotomy and modular complexes. *Math. Research Letter* **5** (1998), 497–516.

References on Multiple Zeta Values and Euler sums

compiled by Michael Hoffman

<http://www.usna.edu/Users/math/meh/biblio.html>