Updated: May 16, 2010

# Diophantine approximation, irrationality and transcendence

Michel Waldschmidt

Course N°8, May 12, 2010

These are informal notes of my course given in April – June 2010 at IMPA (*Instituto Nacional de Matematica Pura e Aplicada*), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.

#### Addition to Lemma 81.

In [1], § 4, there is a variant of the matrix formula (64) for the simple continued fraction of a real number.

Given integers  $a_0, a_1, \ldots$  with  $a_i > 0$  for  $i \ge 1$  and writing, for  $n \ge 0$ , as usual,  $p_n/q_n = [a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ , one checks, by induction on n, the two formulae

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ a_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p_{n-1} & p_n \\ q_{n-1} & q_n \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{if } n \text{ is even}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ a_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ a_n & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p_n & p_{n-1} \\ q_n & q_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{if } n \text{ is odd}$$

$$(95)$$

Define two matrices U (up) and L (low) in  $GL_2(\mathbf{R})$  of determinant +1 by

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and  $L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

For p and q in  $\mathbf{Z}$ , we have

$$U^p = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & p \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and  $L^q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ q & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,

so that these formulae (95) are

$$U^{a_0}L^{a_1}\cdots U^{a_n} = \begin{pmatrix} p_{n-1} & p_n \\ q_{n-1} & q_n \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{if } n \text{ is even}$$

and

$$U^{a_0}L^{a_1}\cdots L^{a_n} = \begin{pmatrix} p_n & p_{n-1} \\ q_n & q_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$
 if *n* is odd.

The connexion with Euclid's algorithm is

$$U^{-p}\begin{pmatrix}a&b\\c&d\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}a-pc&b-pd\\c&d\end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad L^{-q}\begin{pmatrix}a&b\\c&d\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}a&b\\c-qa&d-qb\end{pmatrix}.$$

The corresponding variant of Lemma 81 is also given in [1], § 4: If a, b, c, d are rational integers satisfying b > a > 0,  $d > c \ge 0$  and ad - bc = 1, then there exist rational integers  $a_0, \ldots, a_n$  with n even and  $a_1, \ldots, a_n$  positive, such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ a_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

These integers are uniquely determined by  $b/d = [a_0, \ldots, a_n]$  with n even.

### 6.3.12 Periodic continued fractions

An infinite sequence  $(a_n)_{n\geq 0}$  is said to be *ultimately periodic* if there exists  $n_0 \geq 0$  and  $s \geq 1$  such that

$$a_{n+s} = a_n \quad \text{for all } n \ge n_0. \tag{96}$$

The set of s satisfying this property (6.3.12) is the set of positive multiples of an integer  $s_0$ , and  $(a_{n_0}, a_{n_0+1}, \ldots, a_{n_0+s_0-1})$  is called *the fundamental period*.

A continued fraction with a sequence of partial quotients satisfying (96) will be written

 $[a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{n_0-1}, \overline{a_{n_0}, \ldots, a_{n_0+s-1}}].$ 

*Example.* For D a positive integer which is not a square, setting  $a_0 = \lfloor \sqrt{D} \rfloor$ , we have by Theorem 87

$$a_0 + \sqrt{D} = [\overline{2a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{s-1}}]$$
 and  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{D} - a_0} = [\overline{a_1, \dots, a_{s-1}, 2a_0}].$ 

Lemma 97 (Euler 1737). If an infinite continued fraction

$$x = [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n, \dots]$$

is ultimately periodic, then x is a quadratic irrational number.

*Proof.* Since the continued fraction of x is infinite, x is irrational. Assume first that the continued fraction is periodic, namely that (96) holds with  $n_0 = 0$ :

$$x = [\overline{a_0, \dots, a_{s-1}}]$$

This can be written

$$x = [a_0, \ldots, a_{s-1}, x].$$

Hence

$$x = \frac{p_{s-1}x + p_{s-2}}{q_{s-1}x + q_{s-2}}.$$

It follows that

$$q_{s-1}X^2 + (q_{s-2} - p_{s-1})X - p_{s-2}$$

is a non-zero quadratic polynomial with integer coefficients having x as a root. Since x is irrational, this polynomial is irreducible and x is quadratic.

In the general case where (96) holds with  $n_0 > 0$ , we write

$$x = [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n_0-1}, \overline{a_{n_0}, \dots, a_{n_0+s-1}}] = [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n_0-1}, y],$$

where  $y = [\overline{a_{n_0}, \ldots, a_{n_0+s-1}}]$  is a periodic continued fraction, hence is quadratic. But

$$x = \frac{p_{n_0-1}y + p_{n_0-2}}{q_{n_0-1}y + q_{n_0-2}},$$

hence  $x \in \mathbf{Q}(y)$  is also quadratic irrational.

**Lemma 98** (Lagrange, 1770). If x is a quadratic irrational number, then its continued fraction

$$x = [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n, \dots]$$

is ultimately periodic.

*Proof.* For  $n \ge 0$ , define  $d_n = q_n x - p_n$ . According to Corollary 72, we have  $|d_n| < 1/q_{n+1}$ .

Let  $AX^2 + BX + C$  with A > 0 be an irreducible quadratic polynomial having x as a root. For each  $n \ge 2$ , we deduce from (70) that the convergent  $x_n$  is a root of a quadratic polynomial  $A_nX^2 + B_nX + C_n$ , with

$$A_n = Ap_{n-1}^2 + Bp_{n-1}q_{n-1} + Cq_{n-1}^2,$$
  

$$B_n = 2Ap_{n-1}p_{n-2} + B(p_{n-1}q_{n-2} + p_{n-2}q_{n-1}) + 2Cq_{n-1}q_{n-2},$$
  

$$C_n = A_{n-1}.$$

Using  $Ax^2 + Bx + C = 0$ , we deduce

$$A_n = (2Ax + B)d_{n-1}q_{n-1} + Ad_{n-1}^2,$$
  

$$B_n = (2Ax + B)(d_{n-1}q_{n-2} + d_{n-2}q_{n-1}) + 2Ad_{n-1}d_{n-2}.$$

There are similar formulae expressing A, B, C as homogeneous linear combinations of  $A_n, B_n, C_n$ , and since  $(A, B, C) \neq (0, 0, 0)$ , it follows that  $(A_n, B_n, C_n) \neq (0, 0, 0)$ . Since  $x_n$  is irrational, one deduces  $A_n \neq 0$ .

From the inequalities

$$q_{n-1}|d_{n-2}| < 1, \quad q_{n-2}|d_{n-1}| < 1, \quad q_{n-1} < q_n, \quad |d_{n-1}d_{n-2}| < 1,$$

one deduces

$$\max\{|A_n|, |B_n|/2, |C_n|\} < A + |2Ax + B|.$$

This shows that  $|A_n|$ ,  $|B_n|$  and  $|C_n|$  are bounded independently of n. Therefore there exists  $n_0 \ge 0$  and s > 0 such that  $x_{n_0} = x_{n_0+s}$ . From this we deduce that the continued fraction of  $x_{n_0}$  is purely periodic, hence the continued fraction of x is ultimately periodic.

A reduced quadratic irrational number is an irrational number x > 1 which is a root of a degree 2 polynomial  $ax^2 + bx + c$  with rational integer coefficients, such that the other root x' of this polynomial, which is the Galois conjugate of x, satisfies -1 < x' < 0. If x is reduced, then so is -1/x'.

Lemma 99. A continued fraction

$$x = [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n \dots]$$

is purely periodic if and only if x is a reduced quadratic irrational number. In this case, if  $x = [\overline{a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{s-1}}]$  and if x' is the Galois conjugate of x, then

$$-1/x' = [\overline{a_{s-1}, \dots, a_1, a_0}]$$

*Proof.* Assume first that the continued fraction of x is purely periodic:

$$x = [\overline{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{s-1}}].$$

From  $a_s = a_0$  we deduce  $a_0 > 0$ , hence x > 1. From  $x = [a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{s-1}, x]$ and the unicity of the continued fraction expansion, we deduce

$$x = \frac{p_{s-1}x + p_{s-2}}{q_{s-1}x + q_{s-2}}$$
 and  $x = x_s$ .

Therefore x is a root of the quadratic polynomial

$$P_s(X) = q_{s-1}X^2 + (q_{s-2} - p_{s-1})X - p_{s-2}.$$

This polynomial  $P_s$  has a positive root, namely x > 1, and a negative root x', with the product  $xx' = -p_{s-2}/q_{s-1}$ . We transpose the relation

$$\begin{pmatrix} p_{s-1} & p_{s-2} \\ q_{s-1} & q_{s-2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} a_{s-1} & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} p_{s-1} & q_{s-1} \\ p_{s-2} & q_{s-2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{s-1} & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Define

$$y = [\overline{a_{s-1}, \ldots, a_1, a_0}],$$

so that y > 1,

$$y = [a_{s-1}, \dots, a_1, a_0, y] = \frac{p_{s-1}y + q_{s-1}}{p_{s-2}y + q_{s-2}}$$

and y is the positive root of the polynomial

$$Q_s(X) = p_{s-2}X^2 + (q_{s-2} - p_{s-1})X - q_{s-1}.$$

The polynomials  $P_s$  and  $Q_s$  are related by  $Q_s(X) = -X^2 P_s(-1/X)$ . Hence y = -1/x'.

For the converse, assume x > 1 and -1 < x' < 0. Let  $(x_n)_{n \ge 1}$  be the sequence of complete quotients of x. For  $n \ge 1$ , define  $x'_n$  as the Galois conjugate of  $x_n$ . One deduces by induction that  $x'_n = a_n + 1/x'_{n+1}$ , that  $-1 < x'_n < 0$  (hence  $x_n$  is reduced), and that  $a_n$  is the integral part of  $-1/x'_{n+1}$ .

If the continued fraction expansion of x were not purely periodic, we would have

$$x = [a_0, \dots, a_{h-1}, \overline{a_h, \dots, a_{h+s-1}}]$$

with  $a_{h-1} \neq a_{h+s-1}$ . By periodicity we have  $x_h = [a_h, \ldots, a_{h+s-1}, x_h]$ , hence  $x_h = x_{h+s}, x'_h = x'_{h+s}$ . From  $x'_h = x'_{h+s}$ , taking integral parts, we deduce  $a_{h-1} = a_{h+s-1}$ , a contradiction.

**Corollary 100.** If r > 1 is a rational number which is not a square, then the continued fraction expansion of  $\sqrt{r}$  is of the form

$$\sqrt{r} = [a_0, \overline{a_1, \dots, a_{s-1}, 2a_0}]$$

with  $a_1, \ldots, a_{s-1}$  a palindrome and  $a_0 = \lfloor \sqrt{r} \rfloor$ . Conversely, if the continued fraction expansion of an irrational number t > 1 is of the form

$$t = [a_0, \overline{a_1, \dots, a_{s-1}, 2a_0}]$$

with  $a_1, \ldots, a_{s-1}$  a palindrome, then  $t^2$  is a rational number.

*Proof.* If  $t^2 = r$  is rational > 1, then for and  $a_0 = \sqrt{t}$  the number  $x = t + a_0$  is reduced. Since t' + t = 0, we have

$$-\frac{1}{x'} = \frac{1}{x - 2a_0}$$

Hence

$$x = [\overline{2a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{s-1}}], \quad -\frac{1}{x'} = [\overline{a_{s-1}, \dots, a_1, 2a_0}]$$

and  $a_1, \ldots, a_{s-1}$  a palindrome.

Conversely, if  $t = [a_0, \overline{a_1, \ldots, a_{s-1}, 2a_0}]$  with  $a_1, \ldots, a_{s-1}$  a palindrome, then  $x = t + a_0$  is periodic, hence reduced, and its Galois conjugate x' satisfies

$$-\frac{1}{x'} = [\overline{a_1, \dots, a_{s-1}, 2a_0}] = \frac{1}{x - 2a_0}$$

which means t + t' = 0, hence  $t^2 \in \mathbf{Q}$ .

**Lemma 101** (Serret, 1878). Let x and y be two irrational numbers with continued fractions

$$x = [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n \dots]$$
 and  $y = [b_0, b_1, \dots, b_m \dots]$ 

respectively. Then the two following properties are equivalent.

(i) There exists a matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  with rational integer coefficients and determinant  $\pm 1$  such that

$$y = \frac{ax+b}{cx+d}.$$

(ii) There exists  $n_0 \ge 0$  and  $m_0 \ge 0$  such that  $a_{n_0+k} = b_{m_0+k}$  for all  $k \ge 0$ .

Condition (i) means that x and y are equivalent modulo the action of  $GL_2(\mathbf{Z})$  by homographies.

Condition (ii) means that there exists integers  $n_0$ ,  $m_0$  and a real number t > 1 such that

$$x = [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n_0-1}, t]$$
 and  $y = [b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{m_0-1}, t].$ 

Example.

If 
$$x = [a_0, a_1, x_2]$$
, then  $-x = \begin{cases} [-a_0 - 1, 1, a_1 - 1, x_2] & \text{if } a_1 \ge 2, \\ [-a_0 - 1, 1 + x_2] & \text{if } a_1 = 1. \end{cases}$  (102)

*Proof.* We already know by (70) that if  $x_n$  is a complete quotient of x, then x and  $x_n$  are equivalent modulo  $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$ . Condition (ii) means that there is a partial quotient of x and a partial quotient of y which are equal. By transitivity of the  $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$  equivalence, (ii) implies (i).

Conversely, assume (i):

$$y = \frac{ax+b}{cx+d}$$

Let n be a sufficiently large number. From

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p_n & p_{n-1} \\ q_n & q_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u_n & u_{n-1} \\ v_n & v_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$u_n = ap_n + bq_n, \quad u_{n-1} = ap_{n-1} + bq_{n-1}, v_n = cp_n + dq_n, \quad v_{n-1} = cp_{n-1} + dq_{n-1},$$

we deduce

$$y = \frac{u_n x_{n+1} + u_{n-1}}{v_n x_{n+1} + v_{n-1}}$$

We have  $v_n = (cx + d)q_n + c\delta_n$  with  $\delta_n = p_n - q_n x$ . We have  $q_n \to \infty$ ,  $q_n \ge q_{n-1} + 1$  and  $\delta_n \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Hence, for sufficiently large n, we have  $v_n > v_{n-1} > 0$ . From part 1 of Corollary 84, we deduce

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_n & u_{n-1} \\ v_n & v_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} a_s & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $a_0, \ldots, a_s$  in **Z** and  $a_1, \ldots, a_s$  positive. Hence

$$y = [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_s, x_{n+1}].$$

A computational proof of (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Another proof is given by Bombieri [2] (Theorem A.1 p. 209). He uses the fact that  $GL_2(\mathbf{Z})$  is generated by the two matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

The associated fractional linear transformations are K and J defined by

$$K(x) = x + 1$$
 and  $J(x) = 1/x$ .

We have  $J^2 = 1$  and

$$K([a_0,t]) = [a_0+1,t], \quad K^{-1}([a_0,t]) = [a_0-1,t].$$

Also  $J([a_0, t]) = [0, a_0, t]$  if  $a_0 > 0$  and J([0, t]) = [t]. According to (102), the continued fractions of x and -x differ only by the first terms. This completes the proof.  $^{13}$ 

#### 6.4 Diophantine approximation and simple continued fractions

**Lemma 103** (Lagrange, 1770). The sequence  $(|q_n x - p_n|)_{n>0}$  is strictly decreasing: for  $n \ge 1$  we have

$$|q_n x - p_n| < |q_{n-1} x - p_{n-1}|.$$

Proof. We use Lemma 71 twice: on the one hand

$$|q_n x - p_n| = \frac{1}{x_{n+1}q_n + q_{n-1}} < \frac{1}{q_n + q_{n-1}}$$

because  $x_{n+1} > 1$ , on the other hand

$$|q_{n-1}x - p_{n-1}| = \frac{1}{x_n q_{n-1} + q_{n-2}} > \frac{1}{(a_n + 1)q_{n-1} + q_{n-2}} = \frac{1}{q_n + q_{n-1}}$$
  
cause  $x_n < a_n + 1$ .

because  $x_n < a_n + 1$ .

**Corollary 104.** The sequence  $(|x - p_n/q_n|)_{n\geq 0}$  is strictly decreasing: for  $n \geq 1$  we have

$$\left|x - \frac{p_n}{q_n}\right| < \left|x - \frac{p_{n-1}}{q_{n-1}}\right|.$$

*Proof.* For  $n \ge 1$ , since  $q_{n-1} < q_n$ , we have

$$\left|x - \frac{p_n}{q_n}\right| = \frac{1}{q_n} |q_n x - p_n| < \frac{1}{q_n} |q_{n-1} x - p_{n-1}| = \frac{q_{n-1}}{q_n} \left|x - \frac{p_{n-1}}{q_{n-1}}\right| < \left|x - \frac{p_{n-1}}{q_{n-1}}\right|.$$

Here is the *law of best approximation* of the simple continued fraction.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>Bombieri in [2] gives formulae for  $J([a_0,t])$  when  $a_0 \leq -1$ . He distinguishes eight cases, namely four cases when  $a_0 = -1$  ( $a_1 > 2$ ,  $a_1 = 2$ ,  $a_1 = 1$  and  $a_3 > 1$ ,  $a_1 = a_3 = 1$ ), two cases when  $a_0 = -2$   $(a_1 > 1, a_1 = 1)$  and two cases when  $a_0 \leq -3$   $(a_1 > 1, a_1 = 1)$ . Here, (102) enables us to simplify his proof by reducing to the case  $a_0 \ge 0$ .

**Lemma 105.** Let  $n \ge 0$  and  $(p,q) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}$  with q > 0 satisfy

$$|qx-p| < |q_nx-p_n|$$

Then  $q \geq q_{n+1}$ .

*Proof.* The system of two linear equations in two unknowns u, v

$$\begin{cases} p_n u + p_{n+1} v &= p \\ q_n u + q_{n+1} v &= q \end{cases}$$
(106)

has determinant  $\pm 1$ , hence there is a solution  $(u, v) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}$ .

Since  $p/q \neq p_n/q_n$ , we have  $v \neq 0$ . If u = 0, then  $v = q/q_{n+1} > 0$ , hence  $v \ge 1$  and  $q_n \ge q_{n+1}$ . We now assume  $uv \neq 0$ .

Since q,  $q_n$  and  $q_{n+1}$  are > 0, it is not possible for u and v to be both negative. In case u and v are positive, the desired result follows from the second relation of (106). Hence one may suppose u and v of opposite signs. Since  $q_n x - p_n$  and  $q_{n+1} x - p_{n+1}$  also have opposite signs, the numbers  $u(q_n x - p_n)$  and  $v(q_{n+1} x - p_{n+1})$  have same sign, and therefore

$$|q_n x - p_n| = |u(q_n x - p_n)| + |v(q_{n+1} x - p_{n+1})| = |qx - p| < |q_n x - p_n|,$$

which is a contradiction.

A consequence of Lemma 105 is that the sequence of  $p_n/q_n$  produces the best rational approximations to x in the following sense: any rational number p/q with denominator  $q < q_n$  has  $|qx - p| > |q_nx - p_n|$ . This is sometimes referred to as best rational approximations of type 0.

**Corollary 107.** The sequence  $(q_n)_{n\geq 0}$  of denominators of the convergents of a real irrational number x is the increasing sequence of positive integers for which

$$\|q_n x\| < \|q x\| \quad for \quad 1 \le q < q_n.$$

As a consequence,

$$||q_n x|| = \min_{1 \le q \le q_n} ||qx||.$$

The theory of continued fractions is developed starting from Corollary 107 as a definition of the sequence  $(q_n)_{n\geq 0}$  in Cassels's book [5].

**Corollary 108.** Let  $n \ge 0$  and  $p/q \in \mathbf{Q}$  with q > 0 satisfy

$$\left|x - \frac{p}{q}\right| < \left|x - \frac{p_n}{q_n}\right|.$$

Then  $q > q_n$ .

*Proof.* For  $q \leq q_n$  we have

$$\left|x - \frac{p}{q}\right| = \frac{1}{q}|qx - p| > \frac{1}{q}|q_n x - p_n|\frac{q_n}{q}\left|x - \frac{p_n}{q_n}\right| \ge \left|x - \frac{p_n}{q_n}\right|.$$

Corollary 108 shows that the denominators  $q_n$  of the convergents are also among the *best rational approximations of type* 1 in the sense that

$$\left| x - \frac{p}{q} \right| > \left| x - \frac{p_n}{q_n} \right|$$
 for  $1 \le q < q_n$ ,

but they do not produce the full list of them: to get the complete set, one needs to consider also some of the rational fractions of the form

$$\frac{p_{n-1} + ap_n}{q_{n-1} + aq_n}$$

with  $0 \le a \le a_{n+1}$  (semi-convergents) – see for instance [7], Chap. II, § 16.

**Lemma 109** (Vahlen, 1895). Among two consecutive convergents  $p_n/q_n$  and  $p_{n+1}/q_{n+1}$ , one at least satisfies  $|x - p/q| < 1/2q^2$ .

*Proof.* Since  $x - p_n/q_n$  and  $x - p_{n-1}/q_{n-1}$  have opposite signs,

$$\left|x - \frac{p_n}{q_n}\right| + \left|x - \frac{p_{n-1}}{q_{n-1}}\right| = \left|\frac{p_n}{q_n} - \frac{p_{n-1}}{q_{n-1}}\right| = \frac{1}{q_n q_{n-1}} < \frac{1}{2q_n^2} + \frac{1}{2q_{n-1}^2}$$

The last inequality is  $ab < (a^2 + b^2)/2$  for  $a \neq b$  with  $a = 1/q_n$  and  $b = 1/q_{n-1}$ . Therefore,

either 
$$\left| x - \frac{p_n}{q_n} \right| < \frac{1}{2q_n^2}$$
 or  $\left| x - \frac{p_{n-1}}{q_{n-1}} \right| < \frac{1}{2q_{n-1}^2}$ .

103

**Lemma 110** (É. Borel, 1903). Among three consecutive convergents  $p_{n-1}/q_{n-1}$ ,  $p_n/q_n$  and  $p_{n+1}/q_{n+1}$ , one at least satisfies  $|x - p/q| < 1/\sqrt{5}q^2$ .

This completes the proof of the irrationality criterion Proposition 4 including (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (vi) in § 2.1.

The fact that the constant  $\sqrt{5}$  cannot be replaced by a larger one was proved in Lemma 41. This is true for any number with a continued fraction expansion having all but finitely many partial quotients equal to 1 (which means the Golden number  $\Phi$  and all rational numbers which are equivalent to  $\Phi$  modulo  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$ ).

*Proof.* Recall Lemma 71: for  $n \ge 0$ ,

$$q_n x - p_n = \frac{(-1)^n}{x_{n+1}q_n + q_{n-1}}$$

Therefore  $|q_n x - p_n| < 1/\sqrt{5}q_n$  if and only if  $|x_{n+1}q_n + q_{n-1}| > \sqrt{5}q_n$ . Define  $r_n = q_{n-1}/q_n$ . Then this condition is equivalent to  $|x_{n+1} + r_n| > \sqrt{5}$ .

Recall the inductive definition of the convergents:

$$x_{n+1} = a_{n+1} + \frac{1}{x_{n+2}}.$$

Also, using the definitions of  $r_n$ ,  $r_{n+1}$ , and the inductive relation  $q_{n+1} = a_{n+1}q_n + q_{n-1}$ , we can write

$$\frac{1}{r_{n+1}} = a_{n+1} + r_n.$$

Eliminate  $a_{n+1}$ :

$$\frac{1}{x_{n+2}} + \frac{1}{r_{n+1}} = x_{n+1} + r_n$$

Assume now

$$|x_{n+1} + r_n| \le \sqrt{5}$$
 and  $|x_{n+2} + r_{n+1}| \le \sqrt{5}$ .

We deduce

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{5} - r_{n+1}} + \frac{1}{r_{n+1}} \le \frac{1}{x_{n+2}} + \frac{1}{r_{n+1}} = x_{n+1} + r_n \le \sqrt{5},$$

which yields

$$r_{n+1}^2 - \sqrt{5}r_{n+1} + 1 \le 0.$$

The roots of the polynomial  $X^2 - \sqrt{5}X + 1$  are  $\Phi = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$  and  $\Phi^{-1} = (\sqrt{5} - 1)/2$ . Hence  $r_{n+1} > \Phi^{-1}$  (the strict inequality is a consequence of the irrationality of the Golden ratio).

This estimate follows from the hypotheses  $|q_n x - p_n| < 1/\sqrt{5}q_n$  and  $|q_{n+1}x - p_{n+1}| < 1/\sqrt{5}q_{n+1}$ . If we also had  $|q_{n+2}x - p_{n+2}| < 1/\sqrt{5}q_{n+2}$ , we would deduce in the same way  $r_{n+2} > \Phi^{-1}$ . This would give

$$1 = (a_{n+2} + r_{n+1})r_{n+2} > (1 + \Phi^{-1})\Phi^{-1} = 1,$$

which is impossible.

**Lemma 111** (Legendre, 1798). If  $p/q \in \mathbf{Q}$  satisfies  $|x - p/q| \leq 1/2q^2$ , then p/q is a convergent of x.

*Proof.* Let r and s in **Z** satisfy  $1 \leq s < q$ . From

$$1 \le |qr - ps| = |s(qx - p) - q(sx - r)| \le s|qx - p| + q|sx - r| \le \frac{s}{2q} + q|sx - r|$$

one deduces

$$|q|sx - r| \ge 1 - \frac{s}{2q} > \frac{1}{2} \ge q|qx - p|.$$

Hence |sx - r| > |qx - p| and therefore Lemma 105 implies that p/q is a convergent of x.

## References

 P. FLAJOLET, B. VALLÉE, I. VARDI, Continued fractions from Euclid to the present day, 44p. http://www.lix.polytechnique.fr/Labo/Ilan.Vardi/continued\_fractions.ps