# On profitability of Selfish Mining (joint work with C. Grunspan)

### Ricardo Pérez-Marco (CNRS, IMJ-PRG, Paris 7)

Paris Cryptofinance Seminar Univ. Paris-Diderot, Paris

June 21, 2018

"On profitability of Selfish Mining", ArXiv:1805.08281, 5/2018.

R. Pérez-Marco

2

# On profitability of Selfish Mining

- 1 Deviant mining strategies
- 2 On profitability
- 3 Martingale analysis
- 4 Lead-Stubborn Mining
- 5 Equal Fork Stubborn Mining
- 6 Attack on difficulty adjustment
- 7 Profitability after a difficulty adjustment

R. Pérez-Marco

2

# On profitability of Selfish Mining

- 1 Deviant mining strategies
- 2 On profitability
- 3 Martingale analysis
- 4 Lead-Stubborn Mining
- 5 Equal Fork Stubborn Mining
- 6 Attack on difficulty adjustment
- 7 Profitability after a difficulty adjustment

R. Pérez-Marco

▲□ > ▲□ > ▲目 > ▲目 > ▲目 > ● ●

R. Pérez-Marco

History

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のへの

R. Pérez-Marco

< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

= 990

# Selfish Mining

### History

RHornings's Bitcointalk thread "Mining cartel attack", 12/2010.

R. Pérez-Marco

#### History

- RHornings's Bitcointalk thread "Mining cartel attack", 12/2010.
- M. Rosenfeld "Analysis of Bitcoin pooled mining reward systems" ArXiv:1112.4980, 12/2011.

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 − のへで

#### History

- RHornings's Bitcointalk thread "Mining cartel attack", 12/2010.
- M. Rosenfeld "Analysis of Bitcoin pooled mining reward systems" ArXiv:1112.4980, 12/2011.
- I. Eyal, E.M. Sirer "Majority is not Enough: Bitcoin Mining is Vulnerable" ArXiv:1311.0243, 11/2013.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ● ● ● ●

#### History

- RHornings's Bitcointalk thread "Mining cartel attack", 12/2010.
- M. Rosenfeld "Analysis of Bitcoin pooled mining reward systems" ArXiv:1112.4980, 12/2011.
- I. Eyal, E.M. Sirer "Majority is not Enough: Bitcoin Mining is Vulnerable" ArXiv:1311.0243, 11/2013.

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 − のへで

 L. Bahack, "Theoretical Bitcoin Attacks with less than Half of the Computational Power" ArXiv:1312.7013, 12/2013.

#### History

- RHornings's Bitcointalk thread "Mining cartel attack", 12/2010.
- M. Rosenfeld "Analysis of Bitcoin pooled mining reward systems" ArXiv:1112.4980, 12/2011.
- I. Eyal, E.M. Sirer "Majority is not Enough: Bitcoin Mining is Vulnerable" ArXiv:1311.0243, 11/2013.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ● ● ● ●

- L. Bahack, "Theoretical Bitcoin Attacks with less than Half of the Computational Power" ArXiv:1312.7013, 12/2013.
- Further papers and textbooks.

#### History

- RHornings's Bitcointalk thread "Mining cartel attack", 12/2010.
- M. Rosenfeld "Analysis of Bitcoin pooled mining reward systems" ArXiv:1112.4980, 12/2011.
- I. Eyal, E.M. Sirer "Majority is not Enough: Bitcoin Mining is Vulnerable" ArXiv:1311.0243, 11/2013.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ● ● ● ●

- L. Bahack, "Theoretical Bitcoin Attacks with less than Half of the Computational Power" ArXiv:1312.7013, 12/2013.
- Further papers and textbooks.

#### History

- RHornings's Bitcointalk thread "Mining cartel attack", 12/2010.
- M. Rosenfeld "Analysis of Bitcoin pooled mining reward systems" ArXiv:1112.4980, 12/2011.
- I. Eyal, E.M. Sirer "Majority is not Enough: Bitcoin Mining is Vulnerable" ArXiv:1311.0243, 11/2013.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ● ● ● ●

- L. Bahack, "Theoretical Bitcoin Attacks with less than Half of the Computational Power" ArXiv:1312.7013, 12/2013.
- Further papers and textbooks.

Bitcoin Protocol rule "Bitcoin miners release blocks as soon as they are validated".

#### History

- RHornings's Bitcointalk thread "Mining cartel attack", 12/2010.
- M. Rosenfeld "Analysis of Bitcoin pooled mining reward systems" ArXiv:1112.4980, 12/2011.
- I. Eyal, E.M. Sirer "Majority is not Enough: Bitcoin Mining is Vulnerable" ArXiv:1311.0243, 11/2013.
- L. Bahack, "Theoretical Bitcoin Attacks with less than Half of the Computational Power" ArXiv:1312.7013, 12/2013.
- Further papers and textbooks.

Bitcoin Protocol rule "Bitcoin miners release blocks as soon as they are validated".

Bitcoin Stability Conjecture Protocol rules are aligned with self-interest of the network actors.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ● ● ● ●

#### R. Pérez-Marco

▲□ > ▲□ > ▲目 > ▲目 > ▲目 > ● ●

R. Pérez-Marco

General block withholding strategies

R. Pérez-Marco

#### General block withholding strategies

• Witheld blocks trying to build an advantage with a relative hashing power 0 < q < 1/2.

◆ロ > ◆母 > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ─ 臣 ─ のへで

R. Pérez-Marco

#### General block withholding strategies

- Witheld blocks trying to build an advantage with a relative hashing power 0 < q < 1/2.
- Timely release blocks to invalidate blocks validated by honest miners.

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 \_ のへで

R. Pérez-Marco

#### General block withholding strategies

- Witheld blocks trying to build an advantage with a relative hashing power 0 < q < 1/2.
- Timely release blocks to invalidate blocks validated by honest miners.
- Relies on a good connection so that a share of  $0 < \gamma \le 1$  miners adopt the selfish block in case of competition.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ● ● ● ●

#### General block withholding strategies

- Witheld blocks trying to build an advantage with a relative hashing power 0 < q < 1/2.
- Timely release blocks to invalidate blocks validated by honest miners.
- Relies on a good connection so that a share of  $0 < \gamma \le 1$  miners adopt the selfish block in case of competition.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ● ● ● ●

#### General block withholding strategies

- Witheld blocks trying to build an advantage with a relative hashing power 0 < q < 1/2.
- Timely release blocks to invalidate blocks validated by honest miners.
- Relies on a good connection so that a share of  $0 < \gamma \le 1$  miners adopt the selfish block in case of competition.

#### Consequences

Slows the network, hence it reduces the total "Profit and Loss" (PnL) per unit if time of the network.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ● ● ● ●

#### General block withholding strategies

- Witheld blocks trying to build an advantage with a relative hashing power 0 < q < 1/2.
- Timely release blocks to invalidate blocks validated by honest miners.
- Relies on a good connection so that a share of 0 < γ ≤ 1 miners adopt the selfish block in case of competition.

#### Consequences

 Slows the network, hence it reduces the total "Profit and Loss" (PnL) per unit if time of the network.

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 \_ のへで

 Creates a large amount of orphan blocks (hence, the attack is noticeable)

#### General block withholding strategies

- Witheld blocks trying to build an advantage with a relative hashing power 0 < q < 1/2.
- Timely release blocks to invalidate blocks validated by honest miners.
- Relies on a good connection so that a share of  $0 < \gamma \le 1$  miners adopt the selfish block in case of competition.

#### Consequences

- Slows the network, hence it reduces the total "Profit and Loss" (PnL) per unit if time of the network.
- Creates a large amount of orphan blocks (hence, the attack is noticeable)
- All other things being equal, after 2016 blocks, the difficulty adjusts down.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ● ● ● ●

R. Pérez-Marco

▲ロ▶▲圖▶▲臣▶▲臣▶ 臣 のへで

R. Pérez-Marco

Let  $\Delta \ge 0$  be the advance of the secret fork over the public blockchain. When the honest miners validate a block then (Selfish Mining (SM) algorithm):

ヘロト ヘヨト ヘヨト ヘヨト

Let  $\Delta \ge 0$  be the advance of the secret fork over the public blockchain. When the honest miners validate a block then (Selfish Mining (SM) algorithm):

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□

If  $\Delta = 0$  the SM mines normally.

R. Pérez-Marco

Let  $\Delta \ge 0$  be the advance of the secret fork over the public blockchain. When the honest miners validate a block then (Selfish Mining (SM) algorithm):

- If  $\Delta = 0$  the SM mines normally.
- If ∆ = 1 then the SM broadcasts his block. A competition follows.

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□

Let  $\Delta \ge 0$  be the advance of the secret fork over the public blockchain. When the honest miners validate a block then (Selfish Mining (SM) algorithm):

- If  $\Delta = 0$  the SM mines normally.
- If ∆ = 1 then the SM broadcasts his block. A competition follows.

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□

If  $\Delta = 2$  then the SM broadcasts his secret fork.

Let  $\Delta \ge 0$  be the advance of the secret fork over the public blockchain. When the honest miners validate a block then (Selfish Mining (SM) algorithm):

- If  $\Delta = 0$  the SM mines normally.
- If ∆ = 1 then the SM broadcasts his block. A competition follows.
- If  $\Delta = 2$  then the SM broadcasts his secret fork.
- If △ ≥ 3 then the SM broadcasts blocks from his secret fork to match the length of the public blockchain.

Let  $\Delta \ge 0$  be the advance of the secret fork over the public blockchain. When the honest miners validate a block then (Selfish Mining (SM) algorithm):

- If  $\Delta = 0$  the SM mines normally.
- If ∆ = 1 then the SM broadcasts his block. A competition follows.
- If  $\Delta = 2$  then the SM broadcasts his secret fork.
- If ∆ ≥ 3 then the SM broadcasts blocks from his secret fork to match the length of the public blockchain.
- Except in the first two cases, the SM keeps working on top of his secret fork.

(日)

R. Pérez-Marco

• Nayak-Kumar-Miller-Shi, *"Stubborn Mining: Generalizing Selfish Mining and Combining with an Eclipse Attack"*, IEEE European Symp. Security and Privacy, 2016.

ヘロト ヘヨト ヘヨト ヘヨト

= 990

R. Pérez-Marco

• Nayak-Kumar-Miller-Shi, *"Stubborn Mining: Generalizing Selfish Mining and Combining with an Eclipse Attack"*, IEEE European Symp. Security and Privacy, 2016.

These are variations of SM algorithm.

R. Pérez-Marco

• Nayak-Kumar-Miller-Shi, *"Stubborn Mining: Generalizing Selfish Mining and Combining with an Eclipse Attack"*, IEEE European Symp. Security and Privacy, 2016.

These are variations of SM algorithm.

■ Lead-Stubborn Mining (LStM) When △ ≥ 2 as in SM with △ ≥ 3, and for △ = 1 releases all the secret fork and mines normally on top of it.

• Nayak-Kumar-Miller-Shi, *"Stubborn Mining: Generalizing Selfish Mining and Combining with an Eclipse Attack"*, IEEE European Symp. Security and Privacy, 2016.

These are variations of SM algorithm.

- Lead-Stubborn Mining (LStM) When △ ≥ 2 as in SM with △ ≥ 3, and for △ = 1 releases all the secret fork and mines normally on top of it.
- Equal Fork Stubborn Mining (EFStM) As in the previous case for  $\Delta = 1$ , but if the deviant miner finds a new block he does not reveal it.

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 − のへで

• Nayak-Kumar-Miller-Shi, *"Stubborn Mining: Generalizing Selfish Mining and Combining with an Eclipse Attack"*, IEEE European Symp. Security and Privacy, 2016.

These are variations of SM algorithm.

- Lead-Stubborn Mining (LStM) When △ ≥ 2 as in SM with △ ≥ 3, and for △ = 1 releases all the secret fork and mines normally on top of it.
- Equal Fork Stubborn Mining (EFStM) As in the previous case for  $\Delta = 1$ , but if the deviant miner finds a new block he does not reveal it.

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 − のへで

• Nayak-Kumar-Miller-Shi, *"Stubborn Mining: Generalizing Selfish Mining and Combining with an Eclipse Attack"*, IEEE European Symp. Security and Privacy, 2016.

These are variations of SM algorithm.

- Lead-Stubborn Mining (LStM) When △ ≥ 2 as in SM with △ ≥ 3, and for △ = 1 releases all the secret fork and mines normally on top of it.
- Equal Fork Stubborn Mining (EFStM) As in the previous case for  $\Delta = 1$ , but if the deviant miner finds a new block he does not reveal it.

Other "Trail Mining" strategies would be discussed elsewhere in the general context of Catch-up Mining (CM).

R. Pérez-Marco
▲□▶▲□▶▲臣▶▲臣▶ 臣 のへで

R. Pérez-Marco

Markov chain or "state machine" models.

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 − 釣へぐ

R. Pérez-Marco

Markov chain or "state machine" models.

For SM

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆三 > ◆三 > ・三 ・ のへぐ

R. Pérez-Marco

Markov chain or "state machine" models.

For SM



・ロ・・ (日・・ (日・・)

2

R. Pérez-Marco

### Markov chain or "state machine" models.

For SM



For LStM

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆三 > ◆三 > ・三 ・ のへの

R. Pérez-Marco

### Markov chain or "state machine" models.

For SM



### For LStM



프 🕨 🗉 프

R. Pérez-Marco

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ■ のへで

R. Pérez-Marco

• The profitability analysis depends in a fundamental way on the duration of the attack cycles.

2

• The profitability analysis depends in a fundamental way on the duration of the attack cycles.

• The stationnary probability of the Markov model computes the probability of being in a given state in a steady regime.

• The profitability analysis depends in a fundamental way on the duration of the attack cycles.

• The stationnary probability of the Markov model computes the probability of being in a given state in a steady regime.

ヘロト ヘアト ヘビト ヘビト

• The Markov model offers no insight of the duration of the attack cycles nor on the time to reach a steady regime.

• The profitability analysis depends in a fundamental way on the duration of the attack cycles.

- The stationnary probability of the Markov model computes the probability of being in a given state in a steady regime.
- The Markov model offers no insight of the duration of the attack cycles nor on the time to reach a steady regime.
- There is no proper analysis of profitability in the literature.

ヘロト ヘアト ヘビト ヘビト

= 990

▲□ > ▲□ > ▲目 > ▲目 > ▲目 > ● ●

R. Pérez-Marco

• Profit and Loss (PnL) of a business

PnL = R - C = Profit - Cost

◆□> ◆□> ◆豆> ◆豆> ・豆 ・ 釣へ()>

R. Pérez-Marco

• Profit and Loss (PnL) of a business

PnL = R - C = Profit - Cost

• What counts is Profit and Loss per unit time (PnLt)

 $PnLt = R_t - C_t = (Profit per unit time) - (Cost per unit time)$ 

• Profit and Loss (PnL) of a business

PnL = R - C = Profit - Cost

• What counts is Profit and Loss per unit time (PnLt)

 $PnLt = R_t - C_t = (Profit per unit time) - (Cost per unit time)$ 

• Key observation:  $C_t$  for a non-stopping mining operation is the same for honest mining or a deviant strategy.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ○○○

• Profit and Loss (PnL) of a business

PnL = R - C = Profit - Cost

• What counts is Profit and Loss per unit time (PnLt)

 $PnLt = R_t - C_t = (Profit per unit time) - (Cost per unit time)$ 

• Key observation:  $C_t$  for a non-stopping mining operation is the same for honest mining or a deviant strategy.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ○○○

▲ロト▲聞▶▲臣▶▲臣▶ 臣 のへで

R. Pérez-Marco

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 − のへで

## **Repetition games**

Definition (Repetition games)

A repetition game follows an strategy of repeated cycles.

R. Pérez-Marco

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 − のへで

## **Repetition games**

Definition (Repetition games)

A repetition game follows an strategy of repeated cycles.

R. Pérez-Marco

### Definition (Repetition games)

A repetition game follows an strategy of repeated cycles. Let R, C and T be random variables resp. of revenue, cost and duration over a cycle.

▲口▶▲圖▶▲圖▶▲圖▶ ▲国▶ ④�?

R. Pérez-Marco

### Definition (Repetition games)

A repetition game follows an strategy of repeated cycles. Let R, C and T be random variables resp. of revenue, cost and duration over a cycle. The game is integrable when

 $\mathbb{E}[T] < +\infty$ .

R. Pérez-Marco

### Definition (Repetition games)

A repetition game follows an strategy of repeated cycles. Let R, C and T be random variables resp. of revenue, cost and duration over a cycle. The game is integrable when

 $\mathbb{E}[T] < +\infty$ .

Theorem (Profitability of integrable games)

$$\mathbb{E}[PnLt] = rac{\mathbb{E}[R] - \mathbb{E}[C]}{\mathbb{E}[T]}$$
.

R. Pérez-Marco

ヨト・モート

# Proof of the Profitability Theorem

### Proof.

 $R_i$ ,  $C_i$  and  $T_i$  values for the *i*-cycle.

R. Pérez-Marco

ヨト・モート

# Proof of the Profitability Theorem

### Proof.

 $R_i$ ,  $C_i$  and  $T_i$  values for the *i*-cycle.

R. Pérez-Marco

#### Proof.

 $R_i$ ,  $C_i$  and  $T_i$  values for the *i*-cycle. The  $(R_i)$  (resp.  $(C_i)$ ,  $(T_i)$ ) are integrable i.i.d. random variables.

R. Pérez-Marco

#### Proof.

 $R_i$ ,  $C_i$  and  $T_i$  values for the *i*-cycle. The  $(R_i)$  (resp.  $(C_i)$ ,  $(T_i)$ ) are integrable i.i.d. random variables. Let  $PnLt_n$  be the PnLt after *n* cycles:

R. Pérez-Marco

#### Proof.

 $R_i$ ,  $C_i$  and  $T_i$  values for the *i*-cycle. The  $(R_i)$  (resp.  $(C_i)$ ,  $(T_i)$ ) are integrable i.i.d. random variables. Let  $PnLt_n$  be the PnLt after *n* cycles:

$$PnLt_n = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n R_i - \sum_{i=1}^n C_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n T_i} = \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n R_i - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n C_i}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n T_i}$$

《曰》 《聞》 《臣》 《臣》

R. Pérez-Marco

#### Proof.

 $R_i$ ,  $C_i$  and  $T_i$  values for the *i*-cycle. The  $(R_i)$  (resp.  $(C_i)$ ,  $(T_i)$ ) are integrable i.i.d. random variables. Let  $PnLt_n$  be the PnLt after *n* cycles:

$$PnLt_n = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n R_i - \sum_{i=1}^n C_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n T_i} = \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n R_i - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n C_i}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n T_i}$$

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト

By the Strong Law of Large Numbers we have that almost surely

R. Pérez-Marco

#### Proof.

 $R_i$ ,  $C_i$  and  $T_i$  values for the *i*-cycle. The  $(R_i)$  (resp.  $(C_i)$ ,  $(T_i)$ ) are integrable i.i.d. random variables. Let  $PnLt_n$  be the PnLt after *n* cycles:

$$PnLt_n = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n R_i - \sum_{i=1}^n C_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n T_i} = \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n R_i - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n C_i}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n T_i}$$

By the Strong Law of Large Numbers we have that almost surely

$$\mathbb{E}[PnLt] = \lim_{n \to +\infty} PnL_n = \frac{\mathbb{E}[R] - \mathbb{E}[C]}{\mathbb{E}[T]}$$

R. Pérez-Marco

▲□ > ▲□ > ▲目 > ▲目 > ▲目 > ● ●

R. Pérez-Marco

To compare integrable games with repetition and equal cost the benchmark is the Revenue Ratio

2

R. Pérez-Marco

To compare integrable games with repetition and equal cost the benchmark is the Revenue Ratio

Definition (Revenue Ratio)

The revenue ratio of a game with repetition is

 $P = rac{\mathbb{E}[R]}{\mathbb{E}[T]}$ 

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

R. Pérez-Marco

To compare integrable games with repetition and equal cost the benchmark is the Revenue Ratio

Definition (Revenue Ratio)

The revenue ratio of a game with repetition is

 $P = \frac{\mathbb{E}[R]}{\mathbb{E}[T]}$ 

### Corollary

Let  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  be integrable non-stopping mining strategies. Strategy  $S_1$  is more profitable than strategy  $S_2$  if and only if  $P(S_1) \ge P(S_2)$ .

R. Pérez-Marco

## **Notations**

▲□ > ▲□ > ▲目 > ▲目 > ▲目 > ● ●

R. Pérez-Marco

## Notations

Two group of miners with relative hashrates

0 < q < 1/2 < p < 1, p + q = 1

◆ロ > ◆母 > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ─ 臣 ─ のへで

R. Pérez-Marco

# Notations

Two group of miners with relative hashrates

0 < q < 1/2 < p < 1, p + q = 1

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 \_ のへで

• The block validation times T' and T are exponentially distributed random variables with resp. parameters  $\alpha'$  and  $\alpha$ .
# Notations

• Two group of miners with relative hashrates

0 < q < 1/2 < p < 1, p + q = 1

- The block validation times T' and T are exponentially distributed random variables with resp. parameters  $\alpha'$  and  $\alpha$ .
- The probabilities of success of each group are

 $\mathbb{P}[T < T'] = p, \quad \mathbb{P}[T' < T] = q.$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ● ● ● ●

# Notations

• Two group of miners with relative hashrates

0 < q < 1/2 < p < 1, p + q = 1

• The block validation times T' and T are exponentially distributed random variables with resp. parameters  $\alpha'$  and  $\alpha$ .

• The probabilities of success of each group are

 $\mathbb{P}[T < T'] = p, \quad \mathbb{P}[T' < T] = q.$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ● ● ● ●

• N'(t) and N(t) numbers of validated blocks at time *t* are Poisson processes with resp. parameters  $\alpha'$  and  $\alpha$ .

# Notations

Two group of miners with relative hashrates

0 < q < 1/2 < p < 1, p + q = 1

• The block validation times T' and T are exponentially distributed random variables with resp. parameters  $\alpha'$  and  $\alpha$ .

• The probabilities of success of each group are

 $\mathbb{P}[T < T'] = p, \quad \mathbb{P}[T' < T] = q.$ 

• N'(t) and N(t) numbers of validated blocks at time *t* are Poisson processes with resp. parameters  $\alpha'$  and  $\alpha$ .

$$\mathbb{P}[N(t) = n] = \frac{(\alpha t)^n}{n!} e^{-\alpha t}, \quad \mathbb{P}[N'(t) = n] = \frac{(\alpha' t)^n}{n!} e^{-\alpha' t}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ● ● ● ●

R. Pérez-Marco

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ■ のへで

R. Pérez-Marco

• Duration of the cycle for the honest strategy is the stopping time:

$$au_{H} = T' \wedge T$$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 \_ のへで

R. Pérez-Marco

• Duration of the cycle for the honest strategy is the stopping time:

$$au_{H} = T' \wedge T$$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 \_ のへで

• We compute  $\mathbb{E}[\tau_H] = \tau_0 = \frac{1}{\alpha + \alpha'}$ .

R. Pérez-Marco

• Duration of the cycle for the honest strategy is the stopping time:

$$au_{H} = T' \wedge T$$

- We compute  $\mathbb{E}[\tau_H] = \tau_0 = \frac{1}{\alpha + \alpha'}$ .
- Therefore, if b > 0 is the block reward,  $\mathbb{E}[R] = p.0 + q.b = qb$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ◆□ ◆ ○ ◆

• Duration of the cycle for the honest strategy is the stopping time:

$$au_{H} = T' \wedge T$$

- We compute  $\mathbb{E}[\tau_H] = \tau_0 = \frac{1}{\alpha + \alpha'}$ .
- Therefore, if b > 0 is the block reward,  $\mathbb{E}[R] = p.0 + q.b = qb$
- The revenue ratio of the honest strategy is

$$P(H) = \frac{qb}{\tau_0}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ◆□ ◆ ○ ◆

R. Pérez-Marco

▲□▶▲□▶▲臣▶▲臣▶ 臣 のへで

R. Pérez-Marco

• The combinatorics of each cycle *c* of attack gives a revenue R(c) = N(c)b and has a duration T(c).

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 − のへで

R. Pérez-Marco

- The combinatorics of each cycle *c* of attack gives a revenue R(c) = N(c)b and has a duration T(c).
- If the probability of each cycle is p(c) then

 $\mathbb{E}[R] = \sum_{c} p(c)R(c)$  $\mathbb{E}[T] = \sum_{c} p(c)T(c)$ 

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

R. Pérez-Marco

- The combinatorics of each cycle *c* of attack gives a revenue R(c) = N(c)b and has a duration T(c).
- If the probability of each cycle is p(c) then

$$\mathbb{E}[R] = \sum_{c} p(c)R(c)$$
$$\mathbb{E}[T] = \sum_{c} p(c)T(c)$$

• The combinatorics is involved and the computation of each T(c) involves conditional probabilities and iterated integrals... too complex! We need new tools and ideas...

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ◆□ ◆ ○ ◆

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ = 目 - のへで

R. Pérez-Marco

### Definition (Martingale and Stopping Time)

A martingale is a stochastic process  $(N(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$  with an adapted decreasing filtration  $(\Sigma_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$  such that N(t) is  $\Sigma_t$ -measurable, and for t > s

 $\mathbb{E}[N(t)|\Sigma_s] = N(s) \; .$ 

R. Pérez-Marco

### Definition (Martingale and Stopping Time)

A martingale is a stochastic process  $(N(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$  with an adapted decreasing filtration  $(\Sigma_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$  such that N(t) is  $\Sigma_t$ -measurable, and for t > s

 $\mathbb{E}[N(t)|\Sigma_s] = N(s) \; .$ 

R. Pérez-Marco

### Definition (Martingale and Stopping Time)

A martingale is a stochastic process  $(N(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$  with an adapted decreasing filtration  $(\Sigma_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$  such that N(t) is  $\Sigma_t$ -measurable, and for t > s

 $\mathbb{E}[N(t)|\Sigma_s] = N(s) \; .$ 

A stopping time  $\tau$  is a random variable taking values in  $\mathbb{R}_+$  only depending on  $(N(t))_{t \leq \tau}$ .

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 − のへで

R. Pérez-Marco

### Definition (Martingale and Stopping Time)

A martingale is a stochastic process  $(N(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$  with an adapted decreasing filtration  $(\Sigma_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$  such that N(t) is  $\Sigma_t$ -measurable, and for t > s

 $\mathbb{E}[N(t)|\Sigma_s] = N(s) \; .$ 

A stopping time  $\tau$  is a random variable taking values in  $\mathbb{R}_+$  only depending on  $(N(t))_{t \leq \tau}$ .

### Theorem (Doob's Stopping Time Theorem)

Let  $(N(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$  be a martingale and  $\tau$  be a bounded stopping time.

R. Pérez-Marco

### Definition (Martingale and Stopping Time)

A martingale is a stochastic process  $(N(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$  with an adapted decreasing filtration  $(\Sigma_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$  such that N(t) is  $\Sigma_t$ -measurable, and for t > s

 $\mathbb{E}[N(t)|\Sigma_s] = N(s) \; .$ 

A stopping time  $\tau$  is a random variable taking values in  $\mathbb{R}_+$  only depending on  $(N(t))_{t \leq \tau}$ .

### Theorem (Doob's Stopping Time Theorem)

Let  $(N(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$  be a martingale and  $\tau$  be a bounded stopping time.

R. Pérez-Marco

### Definition (Martingale and Stopping Time)

A martingale is a stochastic process  $(N(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$  with an adapted decreasing filtration  $(\Sigma_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$  such that N(t) is  $\Sigma_t$ -measurable, and for t > s

 $\mathbb{E}[N(t)|\Sigma_s] = N(s) \; .$ 

A stopping time  $\tau$  is a random variable taking values in  $\mathbb{R}_+$  only depending on  $(N(t))_{t \leq \tau}$ .

#### Theorem (Doob's Stopping Time Theorem)

Let  $(N(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$  be a martingale and  $\tau$  be a bounded stopping time. Then we have  $\mathbb{E}[N(\tau)] = N(0)$ .

R. Pérez-Marco

▲□ > ▲□ > ▲目 > ▲目 > ▲目 > ● ●

R. Pérez-Marco

### Theorem (Poisson Races)

*N* and *N'* two independent Poisson processes with parameters  $\alpha'$  and  $\alpha$  with  $\alpha' < \alpha$  and N(0) = N'(0) = 0.

▲口 ▶ ▲圖 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ● ● ● ●

R. Pérez-Marco

### Theorem (Poisson Races)

*N* and *N'* two independent Poisson processes with parameters  $\alpha'$  and  $\alpha$  with  $\alpha' < \alpha$  and N(0) = N'(0) = 0.

▲口 ▶ ▲圖 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ● ● ● ●

R. Pérez-Marco

### Theorem (Poisson Races)

*N* and *N*' two independent Poisson processes with parameters  $\alpha'$  and  $\alpha$  with  $\alpha' < \alpha$  and N(0) = N'(0) = 0. Then, the stopping time

 $\tau = \inf\{t > 0; N(t) = N'(t) + 1\}$ 

▲口▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ■ のQの

is finite a.s. and integrable.

R. Pérez-Marco

#### Theorem (Poisson Races)

*N* and *N*' two independent Poisson processes with parameters  $\alpha'$  and  $\alpha$  with  $\alpha' < \alpha$  and N(0) = N'(0) = 0. Then, the stopping time

 $\tau = \inf\{t > 0; N(t) = N'(t) + 1\}$ 

is finite a.s. and integrable. Moreover, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\tau] = \frac{1}{\alpha - lpha'}, \ \mathbb{E}[N'(\tau)] = \frac{lpha'}{lpha - lpha'}, \ \mathbb{E}[N(\tau)] = \frac{lpha}{lpha - lpha'}$$

R. Pérez-Marco

### Proof.

#### Assume $\tau$ bounded

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ 臣 ▶ ◆ 臣 ● の < ⊙

R. Pérez-Marco

### Proof.

#### Assume $\tau$ bounded

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ 臣 ▶ ◆ 臣 ● の < ⊙

R. Pérez-Marco

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 − のへで

## Proof

### Proof.

Assume  $\tau$  bounded (otherwise truncate  $\tau \wedge t_0$  and make  $t_0 \rightarrow +\infty$ ).

R. Pérez-Marco

### Proof.

Assume  $\tau$  bounded (otherwise truncate  $\tau \wedge t_0$  and make  $t_0 \rightarrow +\infty$ ). The compensated Poisson processes  $N(t) - \alpha t$  and  $N'(t) - \alpha' t$  are martingales.

R. Pérez-Marco

### Proof.

Assume  $\tau$  bounded (otherwise truncate  $\tau \wedge t_0$  and make  $t_0 \to +\infty$ ). The compensated Poisson processes  $N(t) - \alpha t$  and  $N'(t) - \alpha' t$  are martingales. Doob's Stopping Time Theorem gives

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 \_ のへで

R. Pérez-Marco

### Proof.

Assume  $\tau$  bounded (otherwise truncate  $\tau \wedge t_0$  and make  $t_0 \to +\infty$ ). The compensated Poisson processes  $N(t) - \alpha t$  and  $N'(t) - \alpha' t$  are martingales. Doob's Stopping Time Theorem gives

$$\alpha \mathbb{E}[\tau] = \mathbb{E}[N(\tau)] = \mathbb{E}[N'(\tau)] + 1 = \alpha' \mathbb{E}[\tau] + 1$$

▲口▶▲圖▶▲圖▶▲圖▶ ▲国▶ ④�?

R. Pérez-Marco

### Proof.

Assume  $\tau$  bounded (otherwise truncate  $\tau \wedge t_0$  and make  $t_0 \to +\infty$ ). The compensated Poisson processes  $N(t) - \alpha t$  and  $N'(t) - \alpha' t$  are martingales. Doob's Stopping Time Theorem gives

$$\alpha \mathbb{E}[\tau] = \mathbb{E}[N(\tau)] = \mathbb{E}[N'(\tau)] + 1 = \alpha' \mathbb{E}[\tau] + 1$$

from where we get

$$\mathbb{E}[\tau] = \frac{1}{\alpha - \alpha'}$$

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

and the two other formulas.

R. Pérez-Marco

# Selfish Mining Stopping Time

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

R. Pérez-Marco

# Selfish Mining Stopping Time

• Let  $T_1, T_2, \ldots$  and  $T'_1, T'_2, \ldots$  interblock validation times.

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆三 > ◆三 > ・三 ・ のへぐ

R. Pérez-Marco

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 − のへで

# Selfish Mining Stopping Time

- Let  $T_1, T_2, \ldots$  and  $T'_1, T'_2, \ldots$  interblock validation times.
- $S_n = T_1 + \ldots + T_n$ ,  $S'_n = T'_1 + \ldots + T'_n$ .

R. Pérez-Marco

# Selfish Mining Stopping Time

- Let  $T_1, T_2, \ldots$  and  $T'_1, T'_2, \ldots$  interblock validation times.
- $S_n = T_1 + \ldots + T_n$ ,  $S'_n = T'_1 + \ldots + T'_n$ .

Lemma (Duration of attack cycles)

The duration of attack cycles for selfish mining is given by the stopping time

$$\tau_{SM} = \inf\{t \ge T_1; N(t) = N'(t) - 1 + 2 \cdot \mathbf{1}_{T_1 < T_1'} + 2 \cdot \mathbf{1}_{T_1' < T_1 < S_2 < S_2'}\}$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ ○ ●

R. Pérez-Marco

## Selfish Mining Revenue Ratio

▲□▶▲□▶▲臣▶▲臣▶ 臣 のへで

R. Pérez-Marco
#### Theorem (SM Revenue Ratio)

 $\tau_{SM}$  and  $R(\tau_{SM,\gamma})$  are integrable and

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ のQ@

R. Pérez-Marco

#### Theorem (SM Revenue Ratio)

 $\tau_{SM}$  and  $R(\tau_{SM,\gamma})$  are integrable and

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ のQ@

R. Pérez-Marco

#### Theorem (SM Revenue Ratio)

 $\tau_{SM}$  and  $R(\tau_{SM,\gamma})$  are integrable and

$$egin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[m{R}( au_{SM})] &= rac{(1+
ho q)(m{p}-m{q})+m{p}m{q}}{m{p}-m{q}}\,m{q}b-(1-\gamma)m{p}^2m{q}\,m{b}\ \mathbb{E}[ au_{SM}] &= rac{(1+m{p}m{q})(m{p}-m{q})+m{p}m{q}}{m{p}-m{q}}\, au_0 \end{aligned}$$

ヘロン 人間 とくほ とくほ とう

æ

R. Pérez-Marco

#### Theorem (SM Revenue Ratio)

 $\tau_{SM}$  and  $R(\tau_{SM,\gamma})$  are integrable and

$$egin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[R( au_{SM})] &= rac{(1+
ho q)(
ho - q) + 
ho q}{
ho - q} \, qb - (1-\gamma) 
ho^2 q \, b \ \mathbb{E}[ au_{SM}] &= rac{(1+
ho q)(
ho - q) + 
ho q}{
ho - q} \, au_0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{SM}) = rac{qb}{ au_0} - (1-\gamma) rac{p^2 q(p-q)b}{((1+pq)(p-q)+pq) au_0} \leq \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$$

ヘロン 人間 とくほ とくほ とう

æ

R. Pérez-Marco

## The Theorem from beyond

▲ロト▲聞▶▲臣▶▲臣▶ 臣 のへで

R. Pérez-Marco

### The Theorem from beyond

The following theorem shows that in a stable regime without difficulty adjustments the Bitcoin protocol is stable with respect to block withholding strategies.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

### The Theorem from beyond

The following theorem shows that in a stable regime without difficulty adjustments the Bitcoin protocol is stable with respect to block withholding strategies.

Theorem (Optimality of Honest Mining)

For any block withholding strategy S we have

 $P(S) \leq P(H) = rac{qb}{ au_0}$ 

R. Pérez-Marco

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

R. Pérez-Marco

#### Lemma (Duration of attack cycles)

The duration of attack cycles for Lead-Stubborn mining is given by the stopping time  $\xi_{LStM}$ 

$$\xi_{LStM} = \tau + (T_{N(\tau)+1} \wedge T'_{N(\tau)+1}) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{T'_1 \leq T_1}$$

▲口 ▶ ▲圖 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ● ● ● ●

R. Pérez-Marco

#### Lemma (Duration of attack cycles)

The duration of attack cycles for Lead-Stubborn mining is given by the stopping time  $\xi_{LStM}$ 

$$\xi_{LStM} = \tau + (T_{N(\tau)+1} \wedge T'_{N(\tau)+1}) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{T'_1 \leq T_1}$$

▲口 ▶ ▲圖 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ● ● ● ●

R. Pérez-Marco

#### Lemma (Duration of attack cycles)

The duration of attack cycles for Lead-Stubborn mining is given by the stopping time  $\xi_{LStM}$ 

$$\xi_{LStM} = \tau + (T_{N(\tau)+1} \wedge T'_{N(\tau)+1}) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{T'_1 \leq T_1}$$

with

$$\tau = \inf\{t \ge T_1; N(t) = N'(t) + \mathbf{1}_{T_1 < T'_1}\}$$

R. Pérez-Marco

(日)

R. Pérez-Marco

Theorem (LStM Revenue Ratio)

 $\xi_{LStM}$  and  $R(\xi_{LStM})$  are integrable and



R. Pérez-Marco

Theorem (LStM Revenue Ratio)

 $\xi_{LStM}$  and  $R(\xi_{LStM})$  are integrable and



R. Pérez-Marco

Theorem (LStM Revenue Ratio)

 $\xi_{LStM}$  and  $R(\xi_{LStM})$  are integrable and

$$\mathbb{E}[R(\xi_{LStM})] = \left(rac{p+pq-q^2}{p-q}
ight) qb - pq f b$$
 $\mathbb{E}[\xi_{LStM}] = rac{p+pq-q^2}{p-q} au_0$ 

500

R. Pérez-Marco

Theorem (LStM Revenue Ratio)

 $\xi_{LStM}$  and  $R(\xi_{LStM})$  are integrable and

$$\mathbb{E}[R(\xi_{LStM})] = \left(\frac{p + pq - q^2}{p - q}\right)qb - pqfb$$
$$\mathbb{E}[\xi_{LStM}] = \frac{p + pq - q^2}{p - q}\tau_0$$

with 
$$f = \frac{1-\gamma}{\gamma} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{2q}(1 - \sqrt{1 - 4(1 - \gamma)pq})\right)$$

596

R. Pérez-Marco

Theorem (LStM Revenue Ratio)

 $\xi_{LStM}$  and  $R(\xi_{LStM})$  are integrable and

$$\mathbb{E}[R(\xi_{LStM})] = \left(\frac{p + pq - q^2}{p - q}\right)qb - pqfb$$
$$\mathbb{E}[\xi_{LStM}] = \frac{p + pq - q^2}{p - q}\tau_0$$

with 
$$f = \frac{1-\gamma}{\gamma} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{2q}(1 - \sqrt{1 - 4(1 - \gamma)pq})\right)$$
 and  

$$P(\xi_{LStM}) = \frac{qb}{\tau_0} - \frac{(p - q)pqf}{p + q(p - q)}\frac{b}{\tau_0}$$

R. Pérez-Marco

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ のQ@

R. Pérez-Marco

#### Lemma (Equal Fork Stubborn Stopping Time)

The duration of attack cycles for Lead-Stubborn mining is given by the stopping time  $\xi_{EFStM}$ 

 $\xi_{EFStM} = \inf\{t \ge 0; N(t) = N'(t) + 1\}$ 

R. Pérez-Marco

#### Lemma (Equal Fork Stubborn Stopping Time)

The duration of attack cycles for Lead-Stubborn mining is given by the stopping time  $\xi_{EFStM}$ 

 $\xi_{EFStM} = \inf\{t \ge 0; N(t) = N'(t) + 1\}$ 

R. Pérez-Marco

#### Lemma (Equal Fork Stubborn Stopping Time)

The duration of attack cycles for Lead-Stubborn mining is given by the stopping time  $\xi_{EFStM}$ 

$$\xi_{EFStM} = \inf\{t \ge 0; N(t) = N'(t) + 1\}$$

#### Note: Same stopping time that for Poisson Games.

R. Pérez-Marco

### Equal Fork Stubborn Revenue Ratio

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ のへで

R. Pérez-Marco

ヘロン 人間 とくほ とくほ とう

# Equal Fork Stubborn Revenue Ratio

#### Theorem (LStM Revenue Ratio)

 $\xi_{EFStM}$  and  $R(\xi_{EFStM})$  are integrable and

R. Pérez-Marco

ヘロン 人間 とくほ とくほ とう

# Equal Fork Stubborn Revenue Ratio

#### Theorem (LStM Revenue Ratio)

 $\xi_{EFStM}$  and  $R(\xi_{EFStM})$  are integrable and

R. Pérez-Marco

# Equal Fork Stubborn Revenue Ratio

#### Theorem (LStM Revenue Ratio)

 $\xi_{\text{EFStM}}$  and  $R(\xi_{\text{EFStM}})$  are integrable and

$$\mathbb{E}[R(\xi_{ extsf{EFStM}})] = rac{q}{
ho - q}b - gb$$
 $\mathbb{E}[\xi_{ extsf{EFStM}}] = rac{ au_0}{
ho - q}$ 

R. Pérez-Marco

# Equal Fork Stubborn Revenue Ratio

#### Theorem (LStM Revenue Ratio)

 $\xi_{EFStM}$  and  $R(\xi_{EFStM})$  are integrable and

$$\mathbb{E}[R(\xi_{\textit{EFStM}})] = rac{q}{
ho - q}b - gb$$
  
 $\mathbb{E}[\xi_{\textit{EFStM}}] = rac{ au_0}{
ho - q}$ 

ヘロン ヘビン ヘビン

with 
$$g = \frac{1-\gamma}{\gamma} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2(1-\gamma)q} \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - 4(1-\gamma)pq}\right)\right)$$
 and  
 $P(\xi_{EFStM}) = \frac{qb}{\tau_0} - (p-q)g\frac{b}{\tau_0}$ 

R. Pérez-Marco

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ のQ@

R. Pérez-Marco

• A block withholding attack that aims to orphan honest mined blocks slows down the network.

= 990

ヘロト ヘアト ヘビト ヘビト

• A block withholding attack that aims to orphan honest mined blocks slows down the network.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

• After  $n_0 = 2016$  (sic, 2015) blocks we have the difficulty divided by a factor  $\delta > 1$ . We need to re-evaluate the profitability.

R. Pérez-Marco

• A block withholding attack that aims to orphan honest mined blocks slows down the network.

• After  $n_0 = 2016$  (sic, 2015) blocks we have the difficulty divided by a factor  $\delta > 1$ . We need to re-evaluate the profitability.

• For an attack cycle,  $\mathbb{E}[R]$  is unchanged but  $\mathbb{E}[T]$  is changed to  $\delta^{-1}\mathbb{E}[T]$  and the Revenue Ratio is multiplied by  $\delta$ .

• A block withholding attack that aims to orphan honest mined blocks slows down the network.

• After  $n_0 = 2016$  (sic, 2015) blocks we have the difficulty divided by a factor  $\delta > 1$ . We need to re-evaluate the profitability.

• For an attack cycle,  $\mathbb{E}[R]$  is unchanged but  $\mathbb{E}[T]$  is changed to  $\delta^{-1}\mathbb{E}[T]$  and the Revenue Ratio is multiplied by  $\delta$ .

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 − のへで

• The apparent hashrate of the attackers becomes  $\tilde{q} > q$ .

• A block withholding attack that aims to orphan honest mined blocks slows down the network.

• After  $n_0 = 2016$  (sic, 2015) blocks we have the difficulty divided by a factor  $\delta > 1$ . We need to re-evaluate the profitability.

- For an attack cycle,  $\mathbb{E}[R]$  is unchanged but  $\mathbb{E}[T]$  is changed to  $\delta^{-1}\mathbb{E}[T]$  and the Revenue Ratio is multiplied by  $\delta$ .
- The apparent hashrate of the attackers becomes  $\tilde{q} > q$ .
- Depending on  ${\it q}$  and  $\gamma$  selfish mining strategies can become profitable.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ◆□ ◆ ○○

(日) (四) (三) (三) (三) (三) (○)

R. Pérez-Marco

• The problem comes from the difficulty adjustment formula that ignores orphan blocks and therefore sub-estimates the total hashrate of the network.

ヘロト ヘアト ヘビト ヘビト

• The problem comes from the difficulty adjustment formula that ignores orphan blocks and therefore sub-estimates the total hashrate of the network.

• It would be enough to include in honest validated blocks "proof of orphans".

• The problem comes from the difficulty adjustment formula that ignores orphan blocks and therefore sub-estimates the total hashrate of the network.

• It would be enough to include in honest validated blocks "proof of orphans".

• This could be cone by propagating orphan headers through the network and include the data in validated blocks.

・ロン ・四 と ・ 回 と ・ 回 と

= 990
#### BIP coutermeasure against block withholding

• The problem comes from the difficulty adjustment formula that ignores orphan blocks and therefore sub-estimates the total hashrate of the network.

• It would be enough to include in honest validated blocks "proof of orphans".

• This could be cone by propagating orphan headers through the network and include the data in validated blocks.

• Then the new adjustment formula will take the ratio of the difference of first and last timestamp in a  $n_0$  period and divide it by  $n_0 + n'$  where n' is the number of orphan blocks.

## Apparent hashrates

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへの

R. Pérez-Marco

#### Apparent hashrates

#### Theorem (Apparent hashrates)

$$\tilde{q}(SM) = \frac{((1+pq)(p-q)+pq)q - (1-\gamma)p^2q(p-q)}{p^2q + p - q}$$
$$\tilde{q}(LStM) = q \cdot \frac{p+pq-q^2}{p+pq-q} - \frac{pq(p-q)f(\gamma)}{p+pq-q}$$
$$\tilde{q}(EFStM) = \frac{q}{p} - \left(1 - \frac{q}{p}\right)f(\gamma)$$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 \_ のへで

R. Pérez-Marco

## Expected difficulty adjustments

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

R. Pérez-Marco

### Expected difficulty adjustments

Theorem (Expected difficulty adjustments)

$$\mathbb{E}[\delta(SM)] = \frac{p - q + pq(p - q) + pq}{p^2 q + p - q}$$
$$\mathbb{E}[\delta(LStM)] = \frac{p + pq - q^2}{p + pq - q}$$
$$\mathbb{E}[\delta(EFStM)] = \frac{1}{p}$$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 − のへで

R. Pérez-Marco

#### Comparisons after a difficulty adjustment

(日) (四) (三) (三) (三) (三) (○)

R. Pérez-Marco

#### Comparisons after a difficulty adjustment

For different values of q and  $\gamma$  we can compare the different strategies after a difficulty adjustment:

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

R. Pérez-Marco

#### Comparisons after a difficulty adjustment

For different values of q and  $\gamma$  we can compare the different strategies after a difficulty adjustment:



#### R. Pérez-Marco

#### Comparisons with NKMS2016

▲□ > ▲圖 > ▲目 > ▲目 > → 目 → のへで

R. Pérez-Marco

#### Comparisons with NKMS2016



#### R. Pérez-Marco

# Thank you for your attention!

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

크

R. Pérez-Marco